



**NEVADA LEGISLATURE  
SUNSET SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE  
LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION**  
*Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 232B.210*

**SUMMARY MINUTES AND ACTION REPORT**

---

The second meeting of the Nevada Legislature's Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission was held on February 27, 2012, at 9 a.m. in Room 4401 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 3138 of the Legislative Building, Carson City, Nevada. A copy of this set of "Summary Minutes and Action Report," including the "Meeting Notice and Agenda" ([Exhibit A](#)) and other substantive exhibits, is available on the Nevada Legislature's website at <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/interim/76th2011/committee/>. In addition, copies of the audio record may be purchased through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Publications Office (e-mail: [publications@lcb.state.nv.us](mailto:publications@lcb.state.nv.us); telephone: 775/684-6835).

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN CARSON CITY:**

Senator James Settlemeyer  
Barbara Smith Campbell  
Frank Partlow

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN LAS VEGAS:**

Assemblywoman Irene Bustamante Adams  
Assemblywoman Maggie Carlton  
David Goldwater

**COMMITTEE MEMBER ABSENT:**

Senator Ruben J. Kihuen  
Senator John J. Lee  
Assemblyman Richard McArthur

**LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:**

Lorne J. Malkiewich, Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB)  
Sara L. Partida, Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division  
Marji Paslov Thomas, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division  
Rick Combs, Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division  
Jeanne Peyton, Secretary, Administrative Division

- Vice Chair Bustamante Adams called the meeting to order, and welcomed members, presenters, and the public to the second meeting of the Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission. She advised the members that she would be presiding over the Subcommittee at today's meeting as Vice Chair and noted that the resignation of Senator Sheila Leslie will be addressed under Agenda Item VI.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.

## **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE NOVEMBER 17, 2011, MEETING**

- The Subcommittee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

MR. PARTLOW MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE NOVEMBER 17, 2011, MEETING. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYWOMAN CARLTON AND PASSED.

## **FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND SIMILAR ENTITIES SELECTED FOR REVIEW AND REVISIONS TO LIST**

- Lorne J. Malkiewich, Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau, referred to [Exhibit B](#) and [Exhibit C](#). He explained that [Exhibit B](#) is a list of all the boards, commissions, and similar entities, titled "Nevada State Government Boards, Commissions, and Similar Entities. Continuing, Mr. Malkiewich noted that [Exhibit C](#) is a list of the 34 entities chosen for review by the Subcommittee at the December 15, 2011, meeting, titled "Nevada State Government Boards, Commissions, and Similar Entities for Review 2011-2012 Interim." He noted that it was later determined that one of the 35 entities chosen by the Subcommittee was repealed by the Legislature. In closing, Mr. Malkiewich advised the Subcommittee that no action was necessary on this item unless the Subcommittee made changes to the list.

## **APPROVAL OF SCHEDULE FOR REVIEWING ENTITIES**

- Lorne J. Malkiewich, Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau, referred to [Exhibit D](#), a schedule that he prepared for reviewing the entities. He explained that he listed both large and small entities for each meeting. Mr. Malkiewich noted that if the Subcommittee preferred to, it could rearrange or remove any of the entities from the schedule. He indicated that each meeting included a work session and suggested that the work session follow public comment on each future agenda. In conclusion, Mr. Malkiewich reviewed the list of entities that were not scheduled for review during the 2011-2012 Interim. (Please see [Exhibit D](#).)

Mr. Malkiewich further advised the Subcommittee that: (1) recommendations must be completed by July 1, 2012; and (2) 27 entities were listed on the draft review schedule ([Exhibit D](#)) and the Subcommittee is required to examine no less than 20 entities.

Responding to Assemblywoman Carlton's query regarding if an entity was unavailable on the date it was scheduled for, Mr. Malkiewich replied that after a schedule is approved and the entities are notified, an entity could be rescheduled if necessary.

In reply to Ms. Campbell Smith regarding future meeting dates, Mr. Malkiewich said that the members would be contacted and dates selected that are acceptable to as many members as possible.

- Referring to [Exhibit D](#), Senator Settelmeyer requested the Pharmacy and Therapeutics Commission be moved from the March 2012 meeting to May 2012 to coincide with the State Board of Oriental Medicine. He also suggested moving the Nevada Athletic Commission Work Session to the April 2012 meeting.

- The Subcommittee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

SENATOR SETTELMAYER MOVED TO: (1) RESCHEDULE THE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS COMMISSION TO THE MAY 2012 MEETING; (2) MOVE THE WORK SESSION FOR THE NEVADA ATHLETIC COMMISSION TO THE APRIL 2012 MEETING; AND (3) INCLUDE THE FLEXIBILITY TO RESCHEDULE OTHER ENTITIES IF NECESSARY. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MR. PARTLOW AND PASSED.

**PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING TERMINATION, MODIFICATION, CONSOLIDATION OR CONTINUED OPERATION OF CERTAIN ENTITIES PURSUANT TO *NEVADA REVISED STATUTES (NRS) 232B.240***

- Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams informed the Subcommittee that there would be an opportunity to ask questions at the end of each presentation. She indicated that public testimony would also be taken at the end of each presentation.

**Commission on Postsecondary Education ([Exhibit E](#))**

- David Perlman, Administrator, Commission on Postsecondary Education (NRS 394.383), testified on behalf of the Commission. He introduced Ann Lynch, Commissioner. Mr. Perlman informed the Subcommittee that the Commission is a licensing agency for private schools, colleges, and universities that offer education to adults and is similar to the Board of Regents, which oversees Nevada's public higher education. Mr. Perlman noted that he and his staff provide support to the seven governor-appointed commissioners in the same way the Chancellors office supports the Board of Regents. He explained that the schools licensed under the Commission enroll

approximately 70,000 students each year preparing them for careers such as casino dealers, insurance and real estate agents, teachers, doctors, bartenders, and medical and dental assistants. (Please see [Exhibit E.](#))

Continuing, Mr. Perlman indicated that:

1. The Commission provides protection to its students and the taxpayers from fraud.
2. Prior to operating, each school must undergo a rigorous licensure process, remain in a provisional status for approximately 12 months and be subject to staff reviews.
3. If a school fails to perform, the Commission ensures refunds to students or their lenders from the school's surety bond or if needed, an industry-funded indemnification account with no financial burden to Nevada taxpayers.
4. The Commission resolves student complaints. By understanding that consistent and equal enforcement of statutes can preclude student problems, the Commission has reduced the number of complaints from 700 between 1990 and 2000 to 150 since 2000.
5. In 1990 there were 89 schools operating under the authority of the Commission, which has increased to 258 at present.
6. Because of funding from the Veterans' Administration (VA) and the fees collected, the Commission has generated \$280,000 since 2006 that goes directly into the State's General Fund.

· Ann Lynch, member of Commission on Postsecondary Education, testified that:

1. The Commission protects students going to private schools.
2. The State of California experienced increased fraud when its postsecondary education commission was canceled several years ago due to budget reductions. It was reinstated in 2011.

· Mr. Goldwater said that he understands the Commission is a valued entity, but the position of the Subcommittee is to make recommendations to improve the system.

In reply to Mr. Goldwater regarding the number of refunds made in the last fiscal year, Mr. Perlman he did not have the exact refund figures for the last fiscal year, but estimated it at approximately \$60,000 and \$640,000 over the past 12 years.

· Clara Andriola, member of the Commission on Postsecondary Education added that as a school owner, she learned the thoroughness of the Commission when applying for

licensing in 1992. She noted that as a present member, she is dedicated to providing every protection available. Ms. Andriola commended the Commission for its efficiency and foresight in cutting budgets prior to the economic downfall.

- Dave Cook, member of the Commission on Postsecondary Education noted he represents Nevada's State Board of Education. Mr. Cook spoke about the achievements of the Commission working with people who are trying to begin new careers and when dealing with truth of advertising in schools. He discussed the importance of economic development in the State and noted that the Commission is a vital part of getting individuals employed.

In reply to Assemblywoman Carlton's concerns regarding student complaints, student loans, and students actually obtaining the education they were promised, Mr. Perlman said that the number of complaints received by the Commission has decreased significantly. He noted that the Commission follows through with student complaints on issues regarding a student not receiving the education guaranteed by a particular school. Mr. Perlman indicated that the Commission ensures that all school advertisements meet the requirements necessary.

Responding to Assemblywoman Carlton regarding problems schools may encounter when trying to obtain bonding, Mr. Perlman said that bonding is expensive and an average entry-level business can afford approximately \$50,000 for bonding, while the actual cost can run as high as \$1 to \$2 million dollars. He explained that the Commission was notified 30 days prior to the expiration of a bond; however, the bond covers the period of enrollment up to the time the bond's termination. He explained that a school could no longer enroll new students once a bond is terminated.

- Assemblywoman Carlton noted that it is her understanding that the Commission regulates entities of a broad scope and she indicated that not all formulas fit all schools.
- Ms. Lynch, previously identified, informed the Subcommittee that the Commission as a group has recently begun conducting research on the variety of jobs that may become available when a new school applies for licensing.

Responding to Ms. Smith Campbell about the income and expenditure statement for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 ([Exhibit E](#)), Mr. Perlman noted that salaries of the Commission members were paid through the General Fund. Mr. Perlman further noted that fees collected and VA funding are deposited to the General Fund and applied to the budget of the Commission.

Discussion ensued among Subcommittee members regarding duplication of service, and Mr. Perlman responded that if an entity were regulated by another State agency, the Commission on Postsecondary Education would not have jurisdiction over that entity.

- Ms. Smith Campbell suggested discussing duplication of duties during the work session of the Subcommittee.

Responding to Mr. Partlow regarding what other states use the same mechanism as Nevada to monitor the expenditure of VA funding, Mr. Perlman said all the 50 United States and Puerto Rico use the same system.

Responding to Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams, Mr. Perlman noted that it was not his duty to determine if there is duplication of service. He noted that he has provided guidance to the State Board of Oriental Medicine in the past.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.

### **Well Drillers' Advisory Board ([Exhibit F](#))**

- Thomas K. Gallagher, P.E., Manager II, Section Chief, Division of Water resources spoke on behalf of the Well Drillers' Advisory Board (NRS 534.150). He introduced Kelvin Hickenbottom, P.E., Deputy State Engineer, Division of Water Resources.

Mr. Gallagher outlined the duties of the Well Drillers' Advisory Board. He noted that the Board:

1. Consists of three-members and two alternate members;
2. Meets quarterly for the purpose of examining well driller license applicants to determine their qualifications; and
3. Schedules hearings when disciplinary action is necessary.

Mr. Gallagher explained that the state engineer appoints the Board members to sit in an advisory capacity to make recommendations of whether or not to license an individual. He indicated that the Well Drillers' Advisory Board has been effective in providing standardized written tests and interviews to determine if an applicant is qualified. In addition to the written test, each applicant is interviewed before the Board.

Mr. Gallagher indicated that the Board licenses the drilling contractor and the State Engineer holds the contractor responsible for complying with regulations by protecting groundwater from waste and contamination. He noted that 3,000 wells were drilled in Nevada in 2007, which gradually decreased to 1,500 in 2011. He indicated that the Board's expenses are paid for from the well drillers' licensing application fund and the renewal fund.

- Referring to NRS 534.035, "Groundwater boards: Establishment; number, appointment, terms and expenses of members; officers; meetings and quorum; duties;

dissolution,” Ms. Smith Campbell asked if there may be duplication of service between the groundwater boards and the State Contractors’ Board with regard to well drilling.

- In response, Mr. Gallagher noted that he is unaware of a groundwater board being active at this time. He further explained that the State Contractors’ Board licenses a company to contract work in Nevada; however, the State Engineer is required to license individual well drillers.
- Mr. Partlow asked how the Well Drillers’ Advisory Board has been effective in going well beyond standardized testing for applicants and if an applicant is required to demonstrate his ability to operate a drill prior to obtaining a license.
- In reply, Mr. Gallagher stated that after each applicant passes the written examination, an independent interview is scheduled with the Board members, at which the applicant is required to respond verbally to a number of questions regarding potential problems that could occur in well construction. He further noted that it would be difficult to examine each applicant during a drilling operation.

Responding to Mr. Partlow regarding the licensing procedure in Nevada, Mr. Gallagher said that in addition to the standardized national test used in other states, Nevada provides a separate written test that is specific to issues concerning the State. The Nevada examination consists of three parts and the applicant must pass the entire examination to obtain a license.

In reply to Assemblywoman Carlton regarding the number of well drillers in the State, and the process for disciplinary actions, Mr. Gallagher said there are approximately 400 licensed well drillers in the State and each license must be renewed annually prior to June 30. He clarified that no additional testing is required for renewal of a license. Mr. Gallagher noted that a hearing is scheduled with the State Engineer to determine whether the licensed driller complied with the regulations or the statute. The State Engineer may convene the Board to help in developing a record upon which to make a decision whether the applicant should be disciplined or have their license revoked. He noted that the State Engineer makes the final decision on all disciplinary actions, revocations, and licensing, with few exceptions.

Responding to Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams regarding the role of the two alternate members of the Board and if there were minutes available from previous Board meetings, Mr. Gallagher indicated that the alternate members would fill in when one of the primary members could not be present at a quarterly meeting. He further noted that in compliance with the Open Meeting Law, the Board’s agenda is posted and minutes of each meeting are available within ten days of a Board meeting.

In reply to Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams’ request, Mr. Gallagher said he would provide the minutes of the Board from the past year.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.

### **Gaming Policy Committee ([Exhibit G](#))**

- Mark A. Lipparelli, Chairman, State Gaming Control Board, introduced Stacey Woodbury, M.P.A., Chief, Administration Division, State Gaming Control Board, and Anna F. Thornley, Senior Research Specialist, State Gaming Control Board.
- Peter Bernhard, Chair, Nevada Gaming Commission, and a member of the Gaming Policy Committee, introduced himself.
- Ms. Woodbury spoke on behalf of the Gaming Policy Committee (NRS 463.021) and noted that the Gaming Policy Committee is an 11-member body, with the Governor as Chair. She explained the purpose of the Committee is to discuss issues relating to gaming policy and make advisory recommendations to the State Gaming Control Board and the Nevada Gaming Commission. (Please see [Exhibit G](#).)

Ms. Woodbury explained that in 1997, the Legislature added a function to the Committee to serve as a review panel for decisions on whether non-restricted gaming locations should be allowed to site themselves in gaming enterprise districts within Clark County. She stated that the Committee has not met since 1984; however, Governor Brian Sandoval has announced his intention to convene the panel and appoint new members and is planning a meeting in the Spring of 2012 to discuss developments in Internet gaming and new technology within the industry. Ms. Woodbury explained that the Committee does not have a budget or staff, and the Gaming Control Board provides staff service when the Committee meets. She further noted that there are no travel funds or stipend for the members.

Continuing, Ms. Woodbury explained that the review panel established by the Legislature in 1997 has met nine times, and its last meeting was in 2007 to hear issues on Clark County and the City of Las Vegas.

Responding to Mr. Goldwater regarding the significance of the Committee, Mr. Bernhard (previously identified) said the Gaming Policy Committee is important because the Governor sits as Chair and it provides him with the opportunity to participate in gaming issues. He further noted that in the past the Committee has convened when game changing events occur in the industry either statewide or worldwide.

- Mr. Bernhard also indicated that having the Committee remain in place is not of great cost to the State, but is a valuable tool to the Governor when he finds it is important to convene. He also noted that the review panel is a separate body and it would require changes to the statutes to be able to review gaming enterprise districts and evaluate

whether or not a local government should allow non-restricted gaming within or beyond the boundaries of a particular district. If the panel was abolished another vehicle would have to be put in place to handle appeals from counties and cities.

- Senator Settlemeyer asked if the Gaming Policy Committee would handle Internet gaming.
- Mr. Lipparelli responded that if federal changes occurred, it could fall under the purview of the Committee, as well as the Legislature and the State Gaming Commission.

In response to Ms. Smith Campbell's question regarding if the Governor had the ability to create this type of Committee if there was a crucial gaming issue that needed to be addressed, Mr. Lipparelli said that the Governor had that ability. He noted that the Governor's involvement has traditionally been through the Gaming Policy Committee when there is an onset of a major policy issue. Mr. Lipparelli concurred with Mr. Bernhard that there are issues that may come up where the Governor could call in experts to the Committee to tackle the problem.

In reply to Ms. Smith Campbell's concern that the Committee has not been active in over 30 years and the review panel has not been active approximately six years, Mr. Bernhard explained that the review panel is an independent decision-making body that handles appeals from decisions of a county commissioner or city council. He added that two of the five members of the review panel have to be the public members of the Gaming Policy Committee. Mr. Bernhard explained that it has not meet since 2007 because no potential gaming applicant has tried to extend gaming into neighborhoods that would involve the Committee's jurisdiction.

- Assemblywoman Carlton asked LCB staff to review the cost of eliminating an entity versus leaving it in place.
- Senator Settlemeyer questioned if the Nevada Gaming Commission members or the State Gaming Control Board had a Tribal representative.
- Mr. Lipparelli (previously identified) responded that there was an appointed Tribal representative on the Gaming Policy Committee. He also noted that the qualifications of the Board members were directed at professional capabilities such as law enforcement, finance and public administration, and a Tribal member could be appointed if he held those qualifications.
- Mr. Bernhard added that statute does not provide for appointment of a Tribal representative, however, a Tribal representative could be appointed to the Board or Commission by the Governor if he met the professional qualifications.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.

### **Nevada Athletic Commission (Exhibit H)**

- Raymond “Skip” Avansino, Chair, Nevada Athletic Commission (NAC) (NRS 467.020), introduced the members of the Commission present at the meeting as T.J. Day, Pat Lundvall, and Francisco V. Aguilar and Keith Kizer who is the Executive Director of the Commission. Chair Avansino provided the following information about the NAC:
  1. It was adopted in 1897 and the present form was implemented in 1941.
  2. The members are not salaried and do not to receive expense reimbursement.
  3. It regulates unarmed combat in the State, which includes boxing, mixed martial arts, and the different forms of these two sports.
  4. It meets one or two times a month usually in Las Vegas.
  5. Most of the fights and other major events are held in Las Vegas.
  6. All members are appointed by the Governor and the Chair rotates.
  7. The budget is approximately \$500,000 a year and has generated between \$3 million to \$5 million in revenue to the State each fiscal year.
- Keith Kizer Executive Director, Nevada Athletic Commission, added that the NAC is limited to regulating only professional boxing, kickboxing and mixed martial arts. He noted that through the *Nevada Constitution* and State laws, the NAC was formed to closely regulate these activities. Continuing, Mr. Kizer mentioned the Professional Boxing Safety Act and the Muhammad Ali Boxing Reform Act as federal laws that apply to the NAC’s activities. He explained that drug testing is performed on the athletes and unlike other sports steroid drugs are not accepted.
- Pat Lundvall, Commissioner, NAC indicated that the primary function of the NAC has always been the health and safety of fighters who engage in unarmed combat. She noted that the Nevada Legislature saw the need to protect this class of athletes. Ms. Lundvall commented that since 1897 to present the NAC has developed as a model, which is looked at across the World to ensure that the health and safety of its athletes is foremost.

In reply to Ms. Smith Campbell’s inquiry about whether there has been a reduction in revenues because of the economy, Mr. Avansino said that years 2007, 2008 and 2009

produced more revenue than year 2010. He noted that a surge of new events is scheduled for the summer and fall of 2012.

- Referring to [Exhibit H](#), Assemblywoman Carlton asked for clarification on the request for grants for athletes to travel and participate in various competitions.
- Chair Avansino said this is a fund authorized by the Legislature to assist amateur boxing organizations in the State. He explained that there were three to ten amateur organizations at each of the NAC's meetings promoting young boxers or mixed martial artists in various competitions statewide and outside the state. Chair Avansino indicated that the amateur organizations are required to provide documentation of the activity they are attending and the qualifications held by each of the athletes. He indicated that a percentage of their request is awarded depending on the amount of the fund.
- Mr. Kizer added that this fund was set up through legislation requested by former Senator William J. Raggio. He noted that a large one-night event at the MGM Grand could earn about \$15,000 for the amateur athlete fund and approximately \$500,000 to the State citizens. He commended former Senator Raggio for helping to implement such a successful program.
- Francisco V. Aguilar, Commissioner, NAC, further noted that the amateur fund is also used to purchase safety equipment for the younger athletes.
- Mr. Goldwater commented that he worked with the NAC and part of the mission of the Subcommittee is also to compliment the boards and commissions that are doing a good job and the NAC deserves to be highly commended as a national model.

Responding to Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams regarding how the boxers were represented by the Commission, Chair Avansino said that boxers have several avenues to address their concerns, including speaking with the Executive Director of the NAC or by attending meetings held by the NAC.

- Commissioner Aguilar added that all of NAC's commissioners are responsible for representing boxers and to recognize their needs. The commissioners visit the gyms to watch a boxer train and spar to determine the boxer's physical ability has and the progress he is making in training.
- Responding to Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams regarding if it has been considered to change the name of the NAC to better reflect what it stands for, Mr. Kizer responded that it would require action by the Legislature. He further noted that it was discussed approximately four years ago and mentioned that some states have changed the name to the "Unarmed Combat Commission," which could be considered.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.
- Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams informed the Subcommittee that Agenda Item III would be further discussed at this time.
- The Subcommittee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

SENATOR SETTELMAYER MOVED TO ADD THE STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY TO THE LIST OF ENTITIES SCHEDULED FOR REVIEW AT THE APRIL 2012 MEETING OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MR. PARTLOW AND PASSED (ASSEMBLYWOMAN CARLTON VOTED NO).

- Assemblywoman Carlton pointed out that the State Board of Cosmetology was not chosen by the Subcommittee to be reviewed during the 2011-2012 Interim at its November 17, 2011, meeting. In her opinion, the entities chosen should be the focus of the Subcommittee.
- Ms. Smith Campbell added that at the November 17, 2011, meeting the reason many of the entities were selected for review was because they did not respond back to the LCB when they were solicited. In her opinion, if there is an opportunity for the Subcommittee to bring similar agencies to the same meeting it may help to facilitate discussions during the work sessions.

## **ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR**

- Mr. Malkiewich indicated that former Senator Sheila Leslie resigned her seat in the Senate creating a vacancy on this Subcommittee. He thanked Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams for her help during this meeting. Mr. Malkiewich informed the Subcommittee that statute provides for the members to elect the Chair and Vice Chair.
- Assemblywoman Carlton questioned if the Chair could be voted on today and the vice chair voted for at the next meeting when more members are present.
- Mr. Malkiewich responded that if the Subcommittee preferred, both the chair and vice chair could be voted on at its next meeting.

- The Subcommittee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

MR. PARTLOW NOMINATED ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUSTAMANTE ADAMS TO CHAIR THE SUBCOMMITTEE. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYWOMAN CARLTON AND PASSED.

- Senator Settlemeyer concurred that the election for vice chair be moved to the next meeting of the Subcommittee.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- No one came forward under this item.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

- There being no further business to come before the Subcommittee, the meeting was adjourned at 11:12 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Jeanne Peyton  
Secretary

---

Lorne J. Malkiewich  
Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau

APPROVED BY:

---

Assemblywoman Irene Bustamante Adams, Chair

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit A is the “Meeting Notice and Agenda” provided by Lorne J. Malkiewich, Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB).

Exhibit B is list titled “Nevada State Government Boards, Commissions, and Similar Entities,” dated February 16, 2012, prepared by Marji Paslov Thomas, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, LCB.

Exhibit C is a list titled “Nevada State Government Boards, Commissions, and Similar Entities for Review 2011-2012 Interim,” dated February 16, 2012, prepared by Marji Paslov Thomas, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, LCB.

Exhibit D is a list titled “Approval of Schedule for Reviewing Entities,” prepared by Lorne J. Malkiewich, Director, LCB.

Exhibit E is a packet of information titled “Commission on Postsecondary Education,” submitted by David Perlman, Administrator, Commission on Postsecondary Education.

Exhibit F is a packet of information titled “Well Drillers’ Advisory Board,” submitted by Thomas K. Gallagher, P.E., Manager II, Section Chief, Adjudication and Drilling Regulation, Nevada Division of Water Resources.

Exhibit G is a packet of information titled “Gaming Policy Committee,” submitted by Stacey Woodbury, Chief, Administration Division, State Gaming Control Board.

Exhibit H is a packet of information titled “Nevada Athletic Commission,” submitted by Keith Kizer, Executive Director, Nevada Athletic Commission.

This set of “Summary Minutes and Action Report” is supplied as an informational service. Exhibits in electronic format may not be complete. Copies of the complete exhibits, other materials distributed at the meeting, and the audio record are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. You may contact the Library online at [www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm) or telephone: 775/684-6827.