LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066 Informational Statement LCB File No. R-158-16

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

This regulation is proposed to assure that people attempting to remove diseased bees in a quarantine area are knowledgeable and insured. It is prompted by a fatality that occurred in Nevada.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Copies of the proposed regulations, notices of workshop and notices of intent to act upon the regulation were sent by U.S. mail and email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subject of Pesticide Registration Fees, as well as any persons who had specifically requested such notice. These documents were also made available at the website of the Nevada Department of Agriculture (NDA) www.agri.nv.gov, mailed to all county libraries in Nevada and posted at the following locations:

Nevada Department of Agriculture

405 South 21st Street Sparks, NV 89431-5566 Nevada Department of Agriculture

2150 Frazer Avenue Sparks, NV 89431

Nevada Department of Agriculture

2300 E. St Louis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89104-4314 Nevada Department of Agriculture

4780 E. Idaho Street Elko, NV 89801-4672

Interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary by contacting:

Nevada Department of Agriculture

Attn: Megan Zich (775) 353-3670 405 South 21st Street Sparks, NV 89431

- 3. The number of persons who:
 - (a) Attended each hearing:
 - (b) Testified at each hearing:
 - (c) Submitted written comments:

Workshop date: October 17, 2016

Number in attendance: 15

Number testifying: 0

Written statements submitted: 1

Hearing date: August 16, 2017

Number in attendance: 5 Number testifying: 0

Written statements submitted: 0

- 4. For each person identified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:
 - (a) Name;
 - (b) Telephone number;
 - (c) Business address;
 - (d) Business telephone number;
 - (e) Electronic mail address; and
 - (f) Name of entity or organization represented.
 - 1. Robert Little

Rlittle3232@yahoo.com

2. Lynn Hettrick

lhettrick@agri.nv.gov

3. Ashley Jeppson

Ajeppson@agri.nv.gov

4. Jeff Knight

Jknight@agri.nv.gov

5. Jim York

Jryork@agri.nv.gov

6. Scott Cichowlaz

Scottc@agri.nv.gov

7. Debbie Gilmore

Dgilmore88@yahoo.com

8. Andrew Joyner

ajoynerihgid@yahoo.com

9. Susan Anderson

sanderson@Roanderson.com

10. Del Barber

goldcoastapiary@gmail.com

11. Myrna Barber

buzzbuzzgbbn@gmail.com

12. Beckie Shudinis

Beckies@burnspectelimination.com

13. Lonnie Tucker
Ltucker@orkin.com

14. Jimmy Stephenson
Jimm@jspestcontrol.com

15. Brian Nakanuch Nhblasvegas@yahoo.com

16. Chris Bramley
Chrisb@clarkcountyNV.gov

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

All interested parties that we were aware of were notified of the regulation and invited to submit comments or suggestions regarding the proposed regulation. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary by contacting:

Nevada Department of Agriculture Attn: Megan Zich (775) 353-3670 405 South 21st Street Sparks, NV 89431

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

The only change required, per the Department of Agriculture's Entomologist, was the preferred spelling of Honeybee (as two words – Honey Bee).

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public.
 - (a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.

 These regulations will have minimal economic impact. The proposed fee for the permit is fifteen dollars.
 - (b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.

 A fifteen dollar annual fee for a permit will have virtually no impact on the public.

- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:
 No additional costs
- 9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

This regulation does not duplicate any other government regulations.

- 10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions. This regulation requires that the applicant demonstrate the knowledge to safely remove diseased bees and that the applicant has appropriate liability insurance coverage.
- 11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used. This fee could generate \$600 in revenue which will be used to cover the cost of issuing the permit.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge or belief a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of this proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in this statement is accurate.

Jim Barbee Director Nevada Department of Agriculture