

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE**

**LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS AS REQUIRED BY NRS
233B.066**

**LCB FILE NO. R194-18
Commission General Regulation 480**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 503.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

The proposed regulation was initially the result of a petition brought to the Commission by a representative of Federal Premium Ammunition to open up the hunting of wild turkey with a .410 shotgun, as Federal Premium Ammunition had developed a new high-density non-toxic shot, which they stated could be used to humanely hunt wild turkey. The Commission accepted this petition and initiated rulemaking. During the public workshop the Commission decided to reject the changes pertaining to the petition. The Commission chose to remove Paragraphs (a) and (b) of Subsection 2 because shot size is already discussed in Subsection 1, but they kept the requirement of using nontoxic shot.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

Public comment was solicited through a workshop held by the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners. The County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife (CABMW) and the public were involved. The regulation workshop occurred during the Commission's September 21, 2018, meeting. Mr. Bronson explained how the petition came about. The regulation was adopted at the Commission's November 3, 2018 meeting and there was no public comment. A summary of public response and minutes of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners meetings are available at: http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meetings/Commission/Archive/

3. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

20 attendees on September 21, 2018

10 attendees on November 3, 2018

(b) Testified at each hearing: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

1 attendee testified on September 21, 2018

0 attendees testified on November 3, 2018

(c) Submitted written comments: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

No written comments were submitted.

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

Name: Ryan Bronson

Organization: Vista Outdoor
Phone: 763-323-3827
Address: 1 Vista Way, Anoka, MN 55303

5. **A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:**
Comment was not solicited from businesses. This regulation does not affect businesses.
6. **If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:**
The regulation was adopted with changes to Subsection 1 of Section 1.
7. **The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:**
 - (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and**
There will be no adverse or beneficial effects on businesses from the proposed regulation because it does not regulate the operation of any business.
 - (b) **Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:**
There will be no immediate or long-term effects on businesses from the proposed regulation because it does not regulate the operation of any business
 - (c) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and**
There will be no adverse or beneficial effects on the public.
 - (d) **Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:**
There will be no immediate or long-term effects on the public.
8. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:**
The enforcement of the regulation falls within current operations of the Department; therefore, there will be no additional cost to the agency above the current legislatively approved budget.
9. **A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:**
This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any local, state, or federal regulation.
10. **If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:**
This regulation is not more stringent than federal regulation.
11. **If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**
The proposed regulation change does not require a new fee or increase to existing fees.