

**LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066**  
**Informational Statement**  
**LCB File No. R031-19**

**1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.**

The regulation sets forth a simplified procedure by which those public utilities which only furnish liquefied petroleum gas (“LPG”) may set rates. The simplified procedure is intended to reduce the time and labor that might otherwise be required by LPG public utilities to prepare and litigate a general rate case pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) 704.110. NRS 704.097 allows the Commission to adopt regulations providing for simplified procedures for public utilities which only furnish LPG.

**2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

(a) Copies of the proposed regulation, notice of intent to act upon the regulation and notice of workshop and hearing were sent by U.S. mail and email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subjects of noticing and interventions. These documents were also made available at the website of the PUCN, <http://puc.nv.gov>, mailed to all county libraries in Nevada, published in the following newspapers:

Ely Times  
Las Vegas Review Journal  
Nevada Appeal  
Reno Gazette Journal  
Tonopah Times-Bonanza,

and posted at the following locations:

Public Utilities Commission 1150 East William Street Carson City, Nevada 89701	Public Utilities Commission 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
--	---

(b) AmeriGas Propane, L.P. and the Regulatory Operations Staff (“Staff”) of the Commission filed comments in the matter. The commenters supported the proposed regulation.

(c) Copies of the transcripts of the proceedings are available for review at the offices of the PUCN, 1150 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701 and 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148.

**3. The number of persons who:**

**(a) Attended each hearing: 1**

**(b) Testified at each hearing: 1**

**(c) Submitted written comments: 1**

4. **For each person identified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:**
- (a) **Name;**
  - (b) **Telephone number;**
  - (c) **Business address;**
  - (d) **Business telephone number;**
  - (e) **Electronic mail address; and**
  - (f) **Name of entity or organization represented.**

Lucas Foletta  
Counsel for AmeriGas Propane, L.P.  
McDonald Carano LLP  
100 W. Liberty Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 788-2000  
lfoletta@mcdonaldcarano.com

Shelly Cassity  
Staff  
9075 West Diablo Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148  
(702) 486-7218  
scassity@puc.nv.gov

5. **A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were solicited from affected businesses in the same manner as they were solicited from the public.

The summary may be obtained as instructed in the response to question 2(c).

6. **If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

Minor typographical corrections were made to the consensus proposed regulation drafted by Amerigas, L.P. and Staff. There was no objection to those corrections.

///

///

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and in each case must include: both adverse and beneficial effects, and both immediate and long-term effects.**

**(a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.**

The regulation does not impose any adverse economic effect on the businesses that the regulation is to regulate. The regulation provides a simplified rate changing procedure for public utilities furnishing only LPG relieving such entities from the costly and burdensome process of traditional rate base/rate or return ratemaking resulting in immediate and long-term benefits to such utilities.

**(b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.**

The regulation does not regulate the public; therefore, there is no direct immediate or long-term adverse or beneficial effect on the public. The public may indirectly experience an immediate and long-term benefit from reduced costs experienced by public utilities furnishing only LPG as a result of the regulation.

- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:**

Any costs associated with the regulation are considered incremental in nature.

- 9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.**

The regulation does not overlap any other State, governmental agency, or federal regulations.

- 10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.**

N/A

- 11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

N/A

- 12. If the proposed regulation is likely to impose a direct and significant burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business, what methods did the agency use in determining the impact of the regulation on a small business?**

Staff conducted a Delphi Method exercise to determine the impact of this proposed regulation on small businesses. The Delphi Method is a systematic, interactive, forecasting method based on independent inputs of selected experts. In this instance, the participants were members of Staff. Each participant in the exercise used his background and expertise to reflect upon and analyze the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses. Based upon Staff's analysis, Staff recommended to the Commission that the Commission find that the proposed regulation will not impose a direct and significant economic burden on small businesses or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business. The Commission accepted Staff's recommendation and found that the proposed regulation does not impose a direct or significant economic burden upon small businesses, nor does it directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business, and therefore, a small business impact statement pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(2) is not required. This finding was memorialized in an Order issued in Docket No. 18-12014 on October May 2, 2019.