STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE

LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS AS REQUIRED BY NRS 233B.066

LCB FILE NO. R058-20 Commission General Regulation 491

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 502.

- 1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:
 - This regulation would allow the department the use of new and emerging technologies to notify hunt applicants of their draw results while keeping the 48-hour post draw requirement.
- 2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

 Public comment was solicited during one regulation workshop of the Nevada Board of Wildlife

Commissioners (NBWC) June 2020 as well as during the adoption hearing in August 2020.

Public comment was made at the June meetings concerning the clarity of what changes were being proposed for the regulation.

A summary of public comment may be obtained by downloading the minutes from the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners June and August meetings at: http://www.ndow.org/Public Meetings/Com/Minutes/.

- 3. The number of persons who:
 - (a) Attended each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)
 - (b) Testified at each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)
 - (c) Submitted written comments: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)

June 26, 2020 NBWC Meeting

a. Attended: 28b. Testified: 1

c. Written Comment: 0

August 14, 2020 NBWC Meeting

d. Attended: 11 e. Testified: 0

f. Written Comment: 0

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

June 26, 2020 NBWC Meeting

- (a) Name: Jim Puryear
- (b) Telephone number:
- (c) Business address:
- (d) Business telephone number:
- (e) Electronic mail address:
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Nevada Bighorns Unlimited Reno Chapter

August 14, 2020 NBWC Meeting

No public comments.

- 5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary: Comment was not solicited from affected businesses. Comment was not solicited from small businesses. This regulation does not affect small businesses. The changes are associated with individual customers of the Nevada Department of Wildlife.
- 6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

The regulation was adopted as proposed without further changes because there were no major concerns with the proposed language that constituted a change.

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:
 - (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and

There are no adverse nor beneficial effects on businesses.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:

There are no immediate nor long-term effects on businesses.

(c) Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and

There is no adverse effect on the public. The beneficial effect will be that sportsmen and women could receive notification of their draw results in any form as technology advances.

(d) Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:

Once implemented, it would give sportsmen and women the benefit of receiving notification of their draw results in the form provided by the Department that is most convenient to them.

- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:
 - The enforcement of the regulation falls within current operations of the Department; therefore, there will be no additional cost to the agency above the current legislatively approved budget.
- 9. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or

overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:

This regulation does not include provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:

There are no federal regulations that regulate this same activity.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The regulation does not establish a new fee nor increase an existing fee.