

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE
LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS
AS REQUIRED BY NRS 233B.066**

**LCB FILE NO. R004-26
Commission General Regulation 529**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 502 and 503.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

The benefit of the regulation change to our customers would be reduced time and cost associated with physician visits and confirmation of disability by lessening the burden of doctor's visit from an annual basis to a five-year basis. Other changes would better align the equipment requirements with the intent of affected weapon class seasons. Additional specificity on the medical conditions qualifying for the special permits would reduce subjectivity for medical professionals signing the certificate and for Department staff approving the permit.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Public comment was solicited during both the regulation workshop of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC) in January 2026, March 2026, and May 2026 and the adoption hearing in August 2026. Public comment may also be submitted at any time by email to wildlifecommission@ndow.org.

Meeting minutes from the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' meetings include all public comment and can be found here when posted: <https://nvboardofwildlife.org/#meetings>

Concerns were raised about HIPAA issues related to verifying medical provider credentials and cautioned against overly restrictive disability criteria. It was recommended to allow applications for unlisted conditions with appropriate medical documentation and considering general mobility disabilities for archery permits. Some commenters objected to removing crossbows from the archery category, noting it would reduce accessibility for disabled hunters. Others described successful use of adaptive hunting systems and supported the proposed language. Additional comments recommended aligning the regulation's definition of eligible medical providers with Nevada law and emphasized maintaining hunting opportunities for individuals with disabilities.

3. The number of persons who:

- (a) Attended each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**
- (b) Testified at each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**
- (c) Submitted written comments: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**

January 23, 2026, NBWC Meeting

- a. Attended: 26
- b. Testified: 1
- c. Written Comment: 0

March 14, 2026, NBWC Meeting

- a. Attended: 49
- b. Testified: 2
- c. Written Comment: 0

May 08, 2026, NBWC Meeting

- d. Attended: 48
- e. Testified: 3
- f. Written Comment: 0

August 15, 2026, NBWC Meeting

- a. Attended: 13
- b. Testified: 1
- c. Written Comment: 0

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

- (a) **Name:** Jayme Martinez
- (b) **Telephone number:**
- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:**
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:** Private Citizen

- (a) **Name:** Thomas Horzog
- (b) **Telephone number:**
- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:** therzog51@gmail.com
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:** Private Citizen

- (a) **Name:** Brad Hazelbaker
- (b) **Telephone number:**
- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:** hazex4@gmail.com
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:** Private Citizen

- (a) **Name:** Larry Johnson
- (b) **Telephone number:**

- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:** konkowkid@gmail.com
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:** Nevada Outdoorsmen in Wheelchairs

- (a) **Name:** Senator Robin Titus
- (b) **Telephone number:**
- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:** robin.titus@sen.state.nv.us
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:**

- (a) **Name:** Paul Dixon
- (b) **Telephone number:** 505-699-1744
- (c) **Business address:**
- (d) **Business telephone number:**
- (e) **Electronic mail address:** noxid1960@gmail.com
- (f) **Name of entity or organization represented:** Clark CABMW

5. **A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comment was not solicited from small businesses. This regulation does not affect small businesses as the changes are associated with a program administered by the Nevada Department of Wildlife.

6. **If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

Not Applicable.

7. **The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:**

- (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and**

There will be no adverse or beneficial effects from the proposed regulation on businesses because it does not regulate the operation of any small business.

- (b) **Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:**

There will be no immediate and long-term effects from the proposed regulation on small businesses because it does not regulate the operation of any small business.

- (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and**

There will be no adverse or beneficial effects from the proposed regulation to the public.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:

There will be no immediate and long-term effects from the proposed regulation on the public.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

There are no anticipated direct or indirect costs to the Department for the enforcement of the regulation.

9. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

This regulation does not include provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

This regulation does not include provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The regulation does not provide new or increased fees.