

LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066
Informational Statement
LCB File No. R006-26

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

The regulation is needed to update certain provisions of the Nevada Administrative Code (“NAC”) to ensure that those provisions are consistent with the provisions of Assembly Bill 449 (2025) (“AB 449”).

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

(a) Copies of the proposed regulation, notice of intent to act upon the regulation and notice of workshop and hearing were sent by U.S. mail and email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subjects of noticing and interventions. These documents were also made available at the website of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (“Commission”), <https://puc.nv.gov>, mailed to all county libraries in Nevada, published in the following newspapers:

Elko Daily Free Press
Las Vegas Review Journal
Reno Gazette Journal
Tonopah Times-Bonanza,

and posted at the following locations:

Public Utilities Commission
1150 East William Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Public Utilities Commission
9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

(b) Prior to the commencement of proceedings under Chapter 233B of the Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”), Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy (collectively, “NV Energy”), Pahrump Utility Company, Inc. (“PUCI”), and the Regulatory Operations Staff (“Staff”) of the Commission filed comments. Following commencement of NRS Chapter 233B proceedings, PUCI filed comments. PUCI initially opposed the amendment to NAC 704.6344 but dropped the objection upon understanding that the purpose of the amendment was to be consistent with the regulations that did need to be changed under AB 449. PUCI otherwise supported the regulation. Staff supported the regulation. NV Energy noted that AB 449 raised the ceiling for which a utility could file a letter of advice from an increase in revenue no greater than \$15,000 to an increase in revenue no greater than \$100,000. NV Energy stated that it believed that no regulation was needed to implement that part of AB 449.

(c) Copies of the transcripts of the proceedings are available for review at the offices of the PUCN, 1150 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701 and 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148.

- 3. The number of persons who:**
- (a) Attended each hearing: 2**
 - (b) Testified at each hearing: 0**
 - (c) Submitted written comments: 3**
- 4. For each person identified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:**
- (a) Name;**
 - (b) Telephone number;**
 - (c) Business address;**
 - (d) Business telephone number;**
 - (e) Electronic mail address; and**
 - (f) Name of entity or organization represented.**

Tori Sundheim
Regulatory Operations Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
1150 E. William St.
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-6101
tnsundheim@puc.nv.gov

Karen Peterson
Allison MacKenzie, Ltd.
Counsel for Pahrump Utility Company, Inc.
402 N. Division St.
Carson City, NV 89703
(775) 687-0202
kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com

Michael Knox
Senior Attorney
Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy
6100 Neil Rd.
Reno, NV 89511
michael.knox@nvenergy.com

- 5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were solicited from affected businesses in the same manner as they were solicited from the public.

Prior to the commencement of proceedings under Chapter 233B of the NRS, NV Energy, PUCI, and Staff filed comments. Following commencement of NRS Chapter 233B proceedings, PUCI filed comments. PUCI initially opposed the amendment to NAC 704.6344 but dropped the objection upon understanding that the purpose of the amendment was to be consistent with the regulations that did need to be changed under AB 449. PUCI otherwise supported the regulation.

Comments may be accessed by searching the website of the Commission, <https://puc.nv.gov>, or by visiting the offices of the Commission, 1150 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701, and 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

The regulation was adopted without change because its language accomplished the purpose of the rulemaking.

7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and in each case must include: both adverse and beneficial effects, and both immediate and long-term effects.

(a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.

The regulation does not impose any economic effect on the businesses the regulation is to regulate.

(b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.

The regulation does not impose any economic effect upon the public.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:

Any costs associated with the regulation are considered incremental in nature.

9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

The regulation does not overlap any regulation of other State or governmental agencies.

- 10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.**

See the response to question 9 above.

- 11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

N/A

- 12. If the proposed regulation is likely to impose a direct and significant burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business, what methods did the agency use in determining the impact of the regulation on a small business?**

The Regulatory Operations Staff (“Staff”) of the Commission conducted a Delphi Method exercise to determine the impact of this proposed regulation on small businesses. The Delphi Method is a systematic, interactive, forecasting method based on independent inputs of selected experts. In this instance, the participants were members of Staff. Each participant in the exercise used his background and expertise to reflect upon and analyze the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses. Based upon Staff’s analysis, Staff recommended to the Commission that the Commission find that the proposed regulation will not impose a direct and significant economic burden on small businesses or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business. The Commission accepted Staff’s recommendation and found that the proposed regulation does not impose a direct or significant economic burden upon small businesses, nor does it directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business, and therefore a small business impact statement pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(2) is not required. This finding was memorialized in an Order issued in Docket No. 25-07002 on April 6, 2026.