

**SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT AS REQUIRED BY NRS 223B.0608**

**LCB File No. R16-24**

**March 26, 2025**

- 1. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

The Comstock Historic District Commission (CHDC) and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) determined that the regulation amendments do not impose a direct or significant economic burden upon a small business, or directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business. Therefore, comments have not been solicited from small businesses, and no summary of their response is provided. Small businesses did have the opportunity to provide comment during the public meetings to discuss the amendments and during the period when the proposed amendments were posted publicly.

- 2. Describe the manner in which the analysis was conducted.**

The CHDC and SHPO did consider the potential impact of the regulation on small businesses. After careful examination, it was determined that the amendments to this regulation would not affect small businesses in any significant way. As a result, the CHDC logically concluded that no further analysis was necessary regarding the impact on small businesses.

- 3. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small business which it is to regulate including, without limitation both adverse and beneficial effects and both direct and indirect effects.**

The regulation repeal does not impose an adverse or beneficial effect, or directly or indirectly affect small businesses.

- 4. Provide a description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.**

The CHDC and the SHPO concluded that the proposed regulation does not impact small businesses, therefore, there was no need for the Commission to consider reducing the impact on small businesses.

- 5. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.**

There are no anticipated costs to the CHDC or the SHPO.

- 6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

The proposed regulation change does not create a new fee or increase an existing fee.

- 7. An explanation of why any duplicative or more stringent provisions than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity are necessary.**

Not applicable.

**8. Provide a summary of the reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.**

The CHDC and SHPO concluded that there was no impact on small businesses with the adoption of these regulations.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained within the statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

Respectfully,



Joseph Curtis, Chair  
Comstock Historic District Commission