LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS—NRS 233B.066 Informational Statement LCB File No. R031-16

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

In 2015, SSB 511 was proposed by Governor Sandoval and passed by the 79th Legislative Session to grow and support Nevada's teacher workforce. The new Teach Nevada Scholarship program was created to recruit and retain the best and brightest teachers to high quality educator preparation programs by providing tuition scholarships and retention incentive funds. Section 8 of the bill authorizes the State Board of Education to adopt any regulations necessary to carry out the scholarship program.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation for public hearing and adoption of Proposed Amendments was filed at the following locations on May 5, 2017: The offices of the Nevada Department of Education in Carson City and Las Vegas, at the 17 Nevada School District Superintendent's Offices, the 17 county main public libraries, the Nevada State Library and Archives, the Department of Education website and the Legislative Council Bureau website.

A summary may be obtained by contacting Karen Johansen, Administrative Assistant, Nevada Department of Education, 775-687-9225 or by writing to the Nevada Department of Education, 700 East Fifth Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096.

- 3. The number of persons who:
 - (a) Attended each hearing: Testified at each hearing; 1
 - (b) Submitted written comments: 0
- 4. For each person identified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the Hearing:
 - (a) Name: Doris Watson
 - (b) Telephone number;
 - (c) Business Address; 702-895-5085
 - (d) Business telephone number;
 - (e) Electronic mail address; doris.watson@UNLV.edu
 - (f) Name of entity or organization represented: College of Education at University of Las Vegas

Doris Watson, associate dean for academic professional programs, college of education, UNLV, said the staff at the college vetted this regulation. Regarding section 4, they would like to encourage the term *completer* to be vetted and clarified within the regulations. They have had multiple discussions about what that term means as it pertains to movement through ARL. They are appreciative of the exemption in section 5 because it allows for the situation when a student has an incomplete and is not able to continue forward. The second point is regarding section 7, repayment of the funds as noted in the regulations; it is based on a date as established or set by the NDE. As an institution and speaking on behalf of colleges, her hope for outcome would be to follow that date. Based on the size of their institution, degrees are typically conferred two to three weeks following the term. They do not have confirmation at the college level until that occurs with the registrar's; it is a time lag for an institution of their size. In addition they are working with their controller and financial offices about the repayment of unused monies.

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation for public hearing and adoption of Proposed Amendments was filed at the following locations on May 11, 2016: The offices of the Nevada Department of Education in Carson City and Las Vegas, at the 17 Nevada School District Superintendent's Offices, the 17 county main public libraries, the Nevada State Library and Archives, the Department of Education website and the Legislative Council Bureau website.

A summary may be obtained by contacting Karen Johansen, Administrative Assistant, Nevada Department of Education, 775-687-9225 or by writing to the Nevada Department of Education, 700 East fifth Street, Carson City Nevada, 89701-5096

- 6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.
- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public:
 - (a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate None.
 - (b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate None
- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation: None
- 9. A description of any regulations of other State of governmental agencies which the regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency. None.
- 10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions. None.
- 11. Of the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount of the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used. None.