

STATE OF NEVADA

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor



DR. KRISTOPHER SANCHEZ
Director

PERRY FAIGIN
NIKKI HAAG
MARCEL F. SCHAEERER
Deputy Directors

DEBBIE UEHARA
Executive Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
OFFICE OF NEVADA BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COUNCILS STANDARDS
NEVADA STATE CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS BOARD

April 12, 2026

**SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT AS REQUIRED BY
NRS 233B.0608/233B.0609**

1. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The passage of Senate Bill 191 (2025) allows the Board to adopt a fee structure for Nevada court reporters, court reporting firms and exam applicants through regulation. The Board discussed and crafted language that ultimately became R096-25RP1 at the following meetings:

- February 13, 2025 Open Meeting
- February 19, 2025 Open Meeting
- March 8, 2025 Open Meeting
- May 6, 2025 Open Meeting
- August 12, 2025 Open Meeting
- September 9, 2025 Legislative Regulations Committee Meeting
- November 17, 2025 Open Meeting
- February 17, 2026 Open Meeting

At each meeting, those in attendance were invited to comment on the proposed regulation language. No attendee in any of the discussions presented any evidence or argument that the proposed language would have a positive or negative impact on small businesses. A copy of the agenda and Senate Bill 191 was sent to each court reporter and court reporting firm to solicit their comments.

2. The manner in which the analysis was conducted, including the methods used to determine the impacts of the proposed regulation on small business.

The Board reviewed and discussed the proposed language at the meetings noted in the above response. The Board did not receive any verbal or written comments from the public that would reflect any positive or negative impact the proposed regulations would have on small businesses; the Board surmised that the proposed regulations would have no negative impact on small businesses.

3. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation:

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and**
- (b) Both direct and indirect effects.**

The proposed regulations will have neither adverse or beneficial effects, either direct or indirect.

4. A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

The Board did not identify any potential effects of the proposed regulations on small businesses and no verbal or written comments were provided by the certificate and license holders; consideration of methods to reduce such impact was not necessary.

5. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

The Board determined there will be no additional costs associated with the proposed language.

6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed language does not include any changes in fees.

7. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The proposed language does not duplicate and is not more stringent than any federal, state, or local standards regulating court reporting.

8. The reasons for the conclusion of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The Board is confident the proposed language will not have an impact on small businesses and did not identify any potential economic effects during its deliberations.

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge or belief a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that this statement was properly prepared and the information contained herein is accurate.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Debbie Uehara', with a stylized, cursive script.

Debbie Uehara – Executive Secretary
State of Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board

1. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The Board discussed and crafted the language that ultimately became R096-25 at the following meetings on xxx. At each meeting, those in attendance were invited to comment on the proposed regulation language. No attendee in any of the discussions presented any evidence or argument that the proposed language would have a positive or negative impact on small businesses. A copy of the agenda was sent to each court reporter and court reporting firm to solicit their comments.

2. The manner in which the analysis was conducted, including the methods used to determine the impacts of the proposed regulation on small business.

The Board reviewed and discussed the proposed language at the meetings noted in the above response. The Board did not receive any verbal or written comments from the public that would reflect any positive or negative impact the proposed regulations would have on small businesses; the Board surmised that the proposed regulations would have no negative impact on small businesses.

3. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation:

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and**
- (b) Both direct and indirect effects.**

The proposed regulations will have neither adverse or beneficial effects, either direct or indirect.

4. A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

The Board did not identify any potential effects of the proposed regulations on small businesses and no verbal or written comments were provided by the certificate and license holders; consideration of methods to reduce such impact was not necessary.

5. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

The Board determined there will be no additional costs associated with the proposed language.

6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed language does not include any changes in fees.

7. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The proposed language does not duplicate and is not more stringent than any federal, state, or local standards regulating court reporting.

8. The reasons for the conclusion of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The Board is confident the proposed language will not have an impact on small businesses and did not identify any potential economic effects during its deliberations.

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge or belief a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that this statement was properly prepared and the information contained herein is accurate.

Debbie Uehara – Executive Secretary
State of Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board

1. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The Board discussed and crafted the language that ultimately became R096-25 at the following meetings on xxx. At each meeting, those in attendance were invited to comment on the proposed regulation language. No attendee in any of the discussions presented any evidence or argument that the proposed language would have a positive or negative impact on small businesses. A copy of the agenda was sent to each court reporter and court reporting firm to solicit their comments.

2. The manner in which the analysis was conducted, including the methods used to determine the impacts of the proposed regulation on small business.

The Board reviewed and discussed the proposed language at the meetings noted in the above response. The Board did not receive any verbal or written comments from the public that would reflect any positive or negative impact the proposed regulations would have on small businesses; the Board surmised that the proposed regulations would have no negative impact on small businesses.

3. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation:

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and**
- (b) Both direct and indirect effects.**

The proposed regulations will have neither adverse or beneficial effects, either direct or indirect.

4. A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

The Board did not identify any potential effects of the proposed regulations on small businesses and no verbal or written comments were provided by the certificate and license holders; consideration of methods to reduce such impact was not necessary.

5. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

The Board determined there will be no additional costs associated with the proposed language.

6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed language does not include any changes in fees.

7. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The proposed language does not duplicate and is not more stringent than any federal, state, or local standards regulating court reporting.

8. The reasons for the conclusion of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The Board is confident the proposed language will not have an impact on small businesses and did not identify any potential economic effects during its deliberations.

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge or belief a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that this statement was properly prepared and the information contained herein is accurate.

Debbie Uehara – Executive Secretary
State of Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board