



Joe Lombardo
Governor

Jeff Mullen
Executive Director

STATE OF NEVADA
ATHLETIC COMMISSION

Chairman: Dallas Haun

Members: Gregory Bortolin, Alexander G. Chen, Anthony A. Marnell III, Richard Reviglio

December 17, 2024

**SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
REGARDING LCB FILE NO. R104-24
(NRS 233B.0608)**

I, Jeff Mullen, being the duly appointed Executive Director of the Nevada State Athletic Commission ("Commission"), do hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, the proposed permanent regulation, Legislative Counsel Bureau ("LCB") File No. R104-24 will not: (a) impose a direct or significant economic burden upon small businesses; or (b) directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of small businesses.

I hereby further certify, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made by the Commission pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 to determine the impact of the proposed permanent regulation, LCB File No. R104-24, on small businesses. The following details those efforts:

- a. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested parties may obtain a copy of the summary.**

On August 31, 2024, and September 1, 2014, the Commission emailed a Small Business Impact Questionnaire to close to 129 licensees, promoters, and other parties on the Commission's interested parties list serves. Additionally, the Commission posted the Small Business Impact Questionnaire on its website. The Commission received no responses back regarding the questionnaire. Other

interested parties may obtain a copy of the summary by contacting the Commission at boxing@boxing.nv.gov.

b. The manner in which the analysis was conducted.

Because there were no responses to the Commission's Small Business Impact Questionnaire, the Commission's Executive Director and staff members performed their own analysis of the proposed permanent regulations to assess whether any provision contained therein was likely to impose a direct or significant economic burden upon small businesses or directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of small businesses.

c. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation: (1) both adverse and beneficial effects; and (2) both direct and indirect effects.

Based on the lack of any responses to the Small Business Impact Questionnaire, as well as the analysis performed by the Executive Director and Commission staff of the proposed permanent regulation, it was concluded that:

1. The proposed regulation amendments to NAC 467 will not impose adverse economic effects upon small businesses; and
2. The proposed amendments to NAC 467 will not directly or indirectly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

d. A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

As the Commission determined that the proposed regulation will not have an economic impact on small business, it did not consider or use any methods that may reduce the impact of the proposed regulation.

e. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

The Commission does not anticipate that the enforcement of the proposed regulation will cause any increase in the costs of regulating unarmed combat in this state, as the requirements imposed on the Commission by the regulation are substantially the same as they currently are under existing regulations.

f. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed regulation does not provide a new fee or an increase to an existing fee.

g. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The proposed regulation does not include provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards regulating the same activity.

h. The reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The Commission has concluded that the regulation will not have an adverse economic effect on small businesses based on the lack of any responses to the Small Business Impact Questionnaire and on the Executive Directors and Commission staff's analysis of the proposed permanent regulations.

I hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the information contained in this Small Business Impact Statement was prepared properly and is accurate.



Jeff Mullen
Executive Director, Nevada State Athletic Commission