## STATE OF NEVADA NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT PURSUANT TO NRS233B

## Commission General Regulation 523 LCB File No. RXXX-XX Heritage Revision

The purpose of this form is to provide a framework pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 to determine whether a small business impact statement is required for submittal of a proposed regulation before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners. Note: Small business is defined as a "business conducted for profit which employs fewer than 150 full-time or part-time employees" (NRS233B.0382).

- Describe the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:
  - ANSWER: Comment was not solicited from small businesses. This proposed regulation is simply extending Wildlife Heritage Grant Awards for projects from one to two years and no negative impacts to small businesses are anticipated. If anything, small business may benefit given increased timelines to complete a project.
- 2. Describe the manner in which the analysis was conducted:
  - ANSWER: Agency personnel concluded that there would be no small businesses impacted, this no need for an analysis to be conducted.
- 3. Describe the estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation:
  - a.) Both adverse and beneficial effects:
    - ANSWER: No impacts are anticipated due to this regulation.
  - b.) Both direct and indirect effects:
    - ANSWER: No indirect or direct effects from the proposed regulation are anticipated.
- 4. Describe the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods:
  - **ANSWER:** There will be no impact from the proposed regulation on small businesses, therefore no methods were considered or taken by the agency.
- 5. Describe the estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:
  - ANSWER: No additional cost are anticipated due to this regulation.
- 6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used:
  - ANSWER: No additional fees or increased fees will occur with this proposed regulation change.

7. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

ANSWER: This regulation does not include provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than, federal, state or local standards.

8. The reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses:

<u>ANSWER:</u> Due to the nature of the regulation, we concluded that the changes are associated with a program administered by the Nevada Department of Wildlife and do not impact small businesses.

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

Alan Jenne, Director Nevada Department of Wildlife