

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE
LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS
AS REQUIRED BY NRS 233B.066**

**LCB FILE NO. R112-24
Commission General Regulation 523**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapters 278.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

This regulation change is a procedural change to the Wildlife Heritage Program, whereby we are proposing to extend Heritage project awards from one to two years. Currently, the project awardees have one year to complete a project. However, typically more than 75 percent of projects have not been completed, necessitating an extension request. Extension requests place an additional burden on NDOW staff and commissioners.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

How comments were solicited:

Public comment was solicited during one regulation workshop with the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC) on August 17, 2024.

There was one public comment from the Clark County Advisory Board.

Public comment was solicited during a regulation adoption hearing with the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC) on November 16, 2024.

There was no public comment.

Summary:

Public comment received was positive feedback of having this option and the importance of moving it forward so that it did not interfere with funding. There was also a recommendation of suggesting changes to the regulation language for Section 2. The Department worked to answer their questions through public workshops at Commission Meetings

Copies:

Meeting minutes from the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' meetings include all public comment and can be found here when posted: <https://nvboardofwildlife.org/#meetings>

3. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing:

November 16, 2024: 20 Attendees

August 17, 2024: 12 Attendees

(b) Testified at each hearing:

November 16, 2022: 0

August 17, 2024: 1

(c) Submitted written comments:

November 16, 2024: 0

August 17, 2024: 0

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

(a) Name: Dan Gilbert

(b) Telephone number:

(c) Business address:

(d) Business telephone number:

(e) Electronic mail address: dangilbertcab@gmail.com

(f) Name of entity or organization represented: Clark CABMW

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

Comment was not solicited from small businesses. This regulation simply would extend the Wildlife Heritage Grant Awards for projects from one to two years. No negative impacts to small businesses are anticipated.

A copy of the Small Business Impact Statement can be found attached to the Notice of Intent:

<https://nvboardofwildlife.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/CGR-523.pdf>

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

N/A

7. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and

It has been determined that there are neither significant adverse nor beneficial effects on businesses.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:

It has been determined that there are neither immediate nor long-term effects on businesses.

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and

It has been determined that there are no adverse effects on the public.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:

It has been determined that there are neither immediate nor long-term effects on the public.

8. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:**
There will be no additional cost to the Department for the enforcement of this regulation.
9. **A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:**
This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any local, state, or federal regulation.
10. **If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:**
This regulation does not include provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity.
11. **If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**
The proposed regulation does not provide new or increased fees.