

LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066
Informational Statement
LCB File No. R182-24

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

The regulation establishes requirements and procedures for the filing of an alternative rate-making application by an electric utility, as mandated by Senate Bill 300 (2019).

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

(a) Copies of the proposed regulation, notice of intent to act upon the regulation and notice of workshop and hearing were sent by U.S. mail and email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subjects of noticing and interventions. These documents were also made available at the website of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (“Commission”), <https://puc.nv.gov>, and published in the following newspapers:

Elko Daily Free Press
Las Vegas Review Journal
Reno Gazette Journal
Tonopah Times-Bonanza,

and posted at the following locations:

Public Utilities Commission
1150 East William Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Public Utilities Commission
9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

(b) The Regulatory Operations Staff (“Staff”) of the Commission filed comments in the matter. The comments generally supported the proposed regulation with some suggestions regarding potential modification based on the passage of SB 417 (2025), and if changes to the proposed regulation were necessitated by said passage. The Commission declined to adopt changes to Regulation R182-24 as outlined in the Commission order adopting the regulations, as a rulemaking regarding SB 417 (2025) is ongoing at the Commission (Docket No. 25-07006), and Staff’s suggested changes could be made in that forum.

(c) Copies of the transcripts of the proceedings are available for review at the offices of the Commission, 1150 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701 and 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148.

3. The number of persons who:

(a) **Attended each hearing:** 4 parties comprised of 5 individual persons

(b) **Testified at each hearing:** 4 parties comprised of 4 individual persons

(c) **Submitted written comments:** 1

4. For each person identified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:
- (a) Name;
 - (b) Telephone number;
 - (c) Business address;
 - (d) Business telephone number;
 - (e) Electronic mail address; and
 - (f) Name of entity or organization represented.

Michael Knox
NV Energy
6100 Neil Road
Reno, Nevada 89511
(775) 834-5793
mknox@nvenergy.com

Michael Saunders
Bureau of Consumer Protection
8945 West Russell Road
Suite 204
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
(702) 486-3793
Msaunders@ag.nv.gov

Traci Cunningham
Caesars Enterprise Services and MGM Resorts International
C/O Holland and Hart LLP
5441 Kietzke Lane, #100
Reno, NV 89511
(501) 278-9680
lkgranier@hollandhart.com

Jesse Panoff, Assistant Staff Counsel
Regulatory Operations Staff of the Commission
9075 W. Diablo Drive, Suite 250
Las Vegas, NV 89148
(702) 486-7069
jpanoff@puc.nv.gov

Shelly Cassity, Deputy Staff Counsel
Regulatory Operations Staff of the Commission
9075 W. Diablo Drive, Suite 250
Las Vegas, NV 89148

(702) 486-7218
scassity@puc.nv.gov

- 5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were solicited from affected businesses in the same manner as they were solicited from the public.

The summary may be obtained as instructed in the response to question 2(c).

- 6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

The regulation language, as returned from LCB, accomplished the purpose of the rulemaking.

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and in each case must include: both adverse and beneficial effects, and both immediate and long-term effects.**

(a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.

The regulation does not impose any economic effect on the businesses the regulation is to regulate as the regulation has a narrow scope that applies to “an electric utility,” and that moreover, under SB 300 (2019), electric utilities have discretion over whether to file an alternative rate-making application pursuant to NRS 704.7621(1), and that, in order for the Commission to approve an alternative rate-making application, the proposed plan must be in the public interest, result in just and reasonable rates, and protect customers interests; as discussed in ¶¶ 4-5 of the September 30, 2025, Commission order regarding the lack of impact on small business of Regulation R182-24.

(b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.

The regulation does not regulate the public, and as, pursuant to NRS 704.7621(1), in order for the Commission to approve an alternative rate-making application, the proposed plan must be in the public interest, result in just and reasonable rates, and protect customers interests, the regulations should have no economic effect on the public.

- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:**

Any costs associated with the regulation are considered incremental in nature.

- 9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.**

No regulations of other state or governmental agencies overlap or duplicate the regulation.

- 10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.**

N/A.

- 11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

N/A.

- 12. If the proposed regulation is likely to impose a direct and significant burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business, what methods did the agency use in determining the impact of the regulation on a small business?**

The Regulatory Operations Staff of the Commission (“Staff”) conducted a Delphi Method exercise to determine the impact of this proposed regulation on small businesses. The Delphi Method is a systematic, interactive, forecasting method based on independent inputs of selected experts. In this instance, the participants were members of Staff. Each participant in the exercise used their background and expertise to reflect upon and analyze the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses. Based upon Staff’s analysis, Staff recommended that the proposed regulation will not impose a direct and significant economic burden on small businesses or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business. The Commission accepted Staff’s recommendation and found that the proposed regulation does not impose a direct or significant economic burden upon small businesses, nor does it directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business, and therefore a small business impact statement pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(2) is not required. This finding was memorialized in an order issued in Docket No. 19-06008 on September 30, 2025.