

Statement pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 (3)

The Board of Psychological Examiners' determination is that there is no direct or significant burden upon a small business or the formation of a small business.

Reasons for that are, a workshop and a public hearing were held. August 15, 2016, and December 2, 2016. Attendance at the workshop was 8 public members, 3 Board members, and Board office staff. At the Public Workshop the Board received no public comment in support or against the regulation.

The Public hearing was 8 public members, 10 Board members and Board office staff. At the public hearing the Board received no public comment in support or against the regulation.

As the regulation was provided to all impacted parties through public workshop and hearing notices, and no negative impact was stated by any small business owners present or through written comment at the public hearing, the Board concluded that there will be no negative impact on small businesses.

The regulation notice and workshop notice were published at the below mentioned locations:

Board of Psychological Examiners
4600 Kietzke Lane B-116
Reno, Nevada 89502


Office of the Attorney General
100 N. Carson St.
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Office of the Attorney General
Grant Sawyer Building
555 E. Washington Ave., Suite 3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Carson City City Manager
Carson City City Hall
201 N. Carson St., Suite 2
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Including all County libraries within the state of Nevada and sent to the licensing public for the above mention Board and all persons who had requested notice. No small business concerns were registered with the Board.

I, Morgan Alldredge, The Executive Director of the Board of Psychological Examiners sign this statement certifying that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in the statement is accurate and prepared properly.



Morgan Alldredge
Executive Director

Statement Pursuant to NRS 233B.609 (1)

1. A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Notices of workshop and notices of intent to act upon the regulation were sent by US. Mail and email to persons who were known to have interest in the licensure requirements of Psychologists and Behavior Analysts in the state of Nevada, as well as any specific person who requested notification regarding regulation changes. Each mailing included further information on how to receive a free copy of the proposed regulation. These documents were also made available through the website of the Board of Psychological Examiners, psyexam.nv.gov or by directly emailing the Board office NBOP@govmail.state.nv.us, mailed to all county libraries in Nevada and posted at the following locations:

2. The manner in which the analysis was conducted.

Board of Psychological Examiners	Office of the Attorney General
4600 Kietzke Lane B-116 (E141)	100 N. Carson St.
Reno, Nevada 89502	Carson City, Nevada 89701
Office of the Attorney General	Carson City City Manager
Grant Sawyer Building	Carson City City Hall
555 E. Washington Ave., Suite 3900	201 N. Carson St., Suite 2
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Carson City, Nevada 89701

A workshop was held to discuss language changes after they had been reviewed and approved by the Board to the current NAC 641 on August 15, 2016, a summary of the discussion held regarding the proposed language change is below. Thereafter, on or about November 9, 2016, the Board of Psychological Examiners issued a Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation which incorporated in the proposed amendment that was discussed at the above workshop. On December 9, 2016, a public hearing was held, where the Board of psychological Examiners received public testimony opposed to the use of the word “substantially online” in section 4. The Board of Psychological Examiners approved to adopt proposed regulations R131-16 with changes to section 4, attached. Public spoke for and against the language as proposed at both of the above dates.

3. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, including, without limitation:

***Economic effects on regulated businesses:**

a) Adverse, immediate: There are no intended adverse effects from this regulation.

Adverse, long-term: There are no intended adverse effects from this regulation.

b) Beneficial, immediate: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

Beneficial, long-term: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

***Economic effects on public:**

a) Adverse, immediate: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

Adverse, long-term: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

b) Beneficial, immediate: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

Beneficial, long-term: There are no intended economic effects in this regulation.

4. A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

It was determined by the Board that there would be no impact, and therefore no impact methods need to be considered.

5. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

There will be no cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

6. If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

There will be no imposed fee.


7. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The Board is not aware of any overlapping or duplicating of federal or state regulations.

8. The reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The Board received no public comment in regards to this proposed regulation. Due to no public comment being received it was determined by the Board that there will be no effect on small businesses.

I, Morgan Alldredge, The Executive Director of the Board of Psychological Examiners sign this statement certifying that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in the statement is accurate and prepared properly.



Morgan Alldredge
Executive Director