I am a licensed Dentist/Hygienist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada licensee's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a licensee into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the licensee is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the licensee declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the licensee is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the licensee have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the licensees' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when licensees pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the licensee's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the licensee can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many licensees penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the licensee refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a licensee to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U.S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a licensee first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Licensee,

Signature

Printed Name

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada dentist's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a dentist into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the dentist is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the dentist declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the dentist is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the dentist have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the dentists' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when dentists pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the dentist's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the dentist can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many dentists penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the dentist refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a dentist to receive a fair hearing.

L. Scott BOZEDKSBY

4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a dentist first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Dentist,

Printed Name

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist/Hygienist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada licensee's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a licensee into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the licensee is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the licensee declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the licensee is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the licensee have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the licensees' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when licensees pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the licensee's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the licensee can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many licensees penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the licensee refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a licensee to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a licensee first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Licensee,

Signature

(full)

Printed Name

THIEN TANG DOS

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada dentist's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a dentist into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the dentist is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the dentist declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the dentist is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the dentist have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the dentists' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when dentists pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the dentist's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the dentist can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many dentists penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the dentist refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a dentist to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a dentist first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Dentist,

Signature

Printed Name

ADRIAN PULL DOS

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist/Hygienist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada licensee's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a licensee into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the licensee is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the licensee declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the licensee is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the licensee have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the licensees' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when licensees pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the licensee's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the licensee can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many licensees penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the licensee refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a licensee to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a licensee first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Licensee,

Signature

Printed Name

Erin M. Wilson

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada dentist's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a dentist into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the dentist is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the dentist declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the dentist is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the dentist have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the dentists' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when dentists pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the dentist's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the dentist can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many dentists penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the dentist refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a dentist to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U.S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a dentist first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Dentist,

Signature

Printed Name

<u>~~~</u>

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

coming investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a dentist into paying on average \$4000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the dentist is at fault when their treatment is within the stundard of care. When the identist declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts actorney feet and receivery costs, the dentist is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will bost on a verage \$40,000.00 per day. What option poes the dentist, have when the person investigating fragment the members of the poard who decide their fate are the dentists' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his return with the poard when dentists pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation; the dentist's majoractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the dentist, can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an afready strapped health care system.

Areas of concern

- 1 moving that many dentists penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000,00 and when the dentist refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000,007.
- It may can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a dential to receive a fair hearing
- 4. When the U.S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until provengoing how is it that a dentist first learns of his "guite" upon receiving notification of his line?

Nevada Licensea Dentist

Sanator

Printed Name

If this above issue has happened to you please initial. (10 fam. 14 maries)

Editorion de Mariada de de la Costa de la Constitución de la Constitución de la Constitución de la Constitución

Thing the state of the property of the state of the property of the property of the state of the st

William of the state of the sta

AREAST COURSE

- P. Telmination and accommission of a proposition of the proposition of
- 2. How does the board justily levying a the attack \$200,00 and when the district refuses, you syddenty band film a bill far \$100,000,000.
- a. Howevertheliging pictic contemporary property and prignitive makes responsibilities a decision republication in the property of the proper
- Objected to be a constitution of the constitution of

Review domination

Senature

Printed Name

. Heana

I am a licensed Dentist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada dentist's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a dentist into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the dentist is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the dentist declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the dentist is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the dentist have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the dentists' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when dentists pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the dentist's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the dentist can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many dentists penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the dentist refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a dentist to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a dentist first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Dentist.

Signature

Printed Name

Erika J Smith

E)

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist/Hygienist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada licensee's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a licensee into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the licensee is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the licensee declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the licensee is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the licensee have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the licensees' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when licensees pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the licensee's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the licensee can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this translates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many licensees penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the licensee refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a licensee to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a licensee first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Licensee,

Signature

Printed Name

Natid Notrama di

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.

I am a licensed Dentist/Hygienist in the state of Nevada. I believe Nevada licensee's rights are being violated during investigations when John Hunt threatens and pressures a licensee into paying on average \$5000.00 and signing a stipulation affirming the licensee is at fault when their treatment is within the standard of care. When the licensee declines to sign the stipulation and pay John Hunts' attorney fees and recovery costs, the licensee is told their only option is to go to a formal hearing that will cost on average \$40,000.00 per day. What option does the licensee have when the person investigating them and the members of the board who decide their fate are the licensees' direct competitors, and the prosecuting executive director benefits with \$10,000 bonuses while John Hunt makes millions over his tenure with the board when licensees pay more recovery fees.

Moreover, if they sign this stipulation: the licensee's malpractice insurance will go up on average over 400%, and the licensee can be dropped from insurance carriers resulting in less affordable care. All this attanslates into higher fees for patients in an already strapped health care system.

Areas of concern:

- 1. How is it that many licensees penalized with the board just happen to be in competition with the board members and investigators?
- 2. How does the board justify levying a fine of say, \$5000.00 and when the licensee refuses, you suddenly hand him a bill for \$100,000.00?
- 3. How can the board justify serving as accuser, prosecutor, and judge? That makes it impossible for a licensee to receive a fair hearing.
- 4. When the U. S. Constitution says that everyone accused of wrongdoing is innocent until proven guilty, how is it that a licensee first learns of his "guilt" upon receiving notification of his fine?

Nevada Licensed Licensee,				
		OCSSICIA Printed Name	DILEY	RDF
Signature	<i>\$</i> .	Little Hanne		

If this above issue has happened to you please initial.