

NEVADA LEGISLATURE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON SENIOR CITIZENS, VETERANS AND ADULTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

(Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 218E.750)

SUMMARY MINUTES

The third meeting of the Legislative Committee on Senior Citizens, Veterans and Adults With Special Needs for the 2017–2018 Interim was held on Tuesday, June 19, 2018, at 1 p.m. in Room 4401, Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 3137, Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada.

The agenda, minutes, meeting materials, and audio or video recording of the meeting are available on the Committee's <u>meeting page</u>. The audio or video recording may also be found at https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Granicus/. Copies of the audio or video record can be obtained through the Publications Office of the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) (publications@lcb.state.nv.us or 775/684-6835).

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN LAS VEGAS:

Assemblywoman Lesley E. Cohen, Chair Senator Joseph (Joe) P. Hardy, M.D. Assemblywoman Shannon Bilbray-Axelrod

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN CARSON CITY:

Senator David R. Parks Assemblywoman Lisa Krasner

COMMITTEE MEMBER ATTENDING VIA TELECONFERENCE:

Senator Patricia (Pat) Spearman, Vice Chair

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:

Mindy Martini, Principal Policy Analyst, Research Division Eric Robbins, Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division Debbie Gleason, Research Policy Assistant, Research Division Jan Brase, Receptionist/Research Policy Assistant, Research Division Items taken out of sequence during the meeting have been placed in agenda order.

AGENDA ITEM I—OPENING REMARKS

Chair Cohen welcomed members, presenters, and the public to the third meeting of the Legislative Committee on Senior Citizens, Veterans and Adults With Special Needs. She provided testimony guidelines and indicated public comment would be provided after discussion of each action item on the agenda. In addition, Chair Cohen offered a reminder that the last meeting to be held on July 19, 2018, would include a work session and bill draft request (BDR) ideas should be submitted in writing to Committee staff by Wednesday, June 27.

AGENDA ITEM II—APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON APRIL 12, 2018

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item II.

Helen Foley, Principal and President of Public Affairs, Faiss Foley Warren Public Relations & Government Affairs, Las Vegas, Nevada, and lobbyist for the Nevada Assisted Living Association (NALA), testified in favor of legislation placing all supported living arrangement (SLA) and community-based living arrangement (CBLA) homes under Chapter 449 ("Medical Facilities and Other Related Entities") of NRS and discussed the estimated cost for doing so. She also recommended yearly inspections of the homes, at a minimum.

MOTION: Senator Hardy moved to approve the minutes of the April 12, 2018, meeting. The motion was seconded by Senator Parks and passed. Assemblywoman Bilbray-Axelrod was not present for the vote.

AGENDA ITEM III—PRESENTATION OF THE AMERICA'S HEALTH RANKINGS SENIOR REPORT 2018

Rutu Ezhuthachan, M.D., Medical Director, UnitedHealthcare Nevada, guided the Committee through a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation (<u>Agenda Item III A-1</u>) on America's health rankings. She also discussed Nevada's nationally improved health ranking as indicated in the overall ranking score card (<u>Agenda Item III A-2</u>). Dr. Ezhuthachan suggested studying subpopulations and their cause and effect in order to determine Nevada's health priorities. She offered to provide the Committee with information on other states' programs that have positively impacted seniors.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item III; however no testimony was presented.

AGENDA ITEM IV—AUDIT REPORT: ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, COMMUNITY-BASED LIVING ARRANGEMENT HOMES (LA18-13)

A. Follow-up Report From the February 27, 2018, Meeting of the Committee Concerning Actions Taken to Correct Deficiencies Outlined in the Audit

Julie Kotchevar, Ph.D., Administrator, Division of Public and Behavioral Health (DPBH), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), reported on corrective measures taken regarding:

- Closing CBLAs and relocating residents;
- Transferring and filling service coordinator positions;
- Reporting forms and complaints;
- Reaching out to residents;
- Reporting unlicensed CBLAs;
- Identifying areas of concern;
- Training on internal culture changes;
- Reconfiguring housing contracts;
- Monitoring CBLA procedures;
- Implementing statutory authority over CBLAs by the Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance (HCQC), DHHS;
- Withholding payments and imposing fines; and
- Improving cross-communication with other agencies.

Responding to concerns that the unlicensed homes described in the Audit Report—that have since been closed—could resurface, Dr. Kotcehevar said it was unlikely they would be able to reopen due to HCQC's quarterly inspections.

B. Report on the Impact of Assembly Bill 46 (Chapter 269, Statutes of Nevada 2017) on the Certification of Community-Based Living Arrangement Services

Dr. Kotcehevar indicated that changes to regulations could make AB 46 (Agenda Item IV B) more effective regarding imposing fines and withholding payments and that public workshops would be starting soon.

C. Presentation Concerning the Authority of the Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman to Review Community-Based Living Arrangement Homes

Jennifer Williams-Woods, State Long-Term Care Ombudsman, Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman, Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD), DHHS, provided background information on the Ombudsman program through a PowerPoint presentation

(Agenda Item IV C). Ms. Williams-Woods explained that because the program cannot use certain grants for expansion, it needs state funding. She clarified the role of the Office is to ensure residents in licensed homes are doing well, but it does not enforce regulations nor are ombudsmen mandatory reporters. However, ombudsmen, with residents' permission, may report concerns but only with the consent of residents, with the exception of incidents witnessed firsthand by ombudsmen. Ms. Williams-Woods said the Office has case managers who oversee CBLAs and SLAs, and forward complaints of these facilities to the proper authorities. She described alternative options, if expansion is approved, and she elaborated on the Office's outreach efforts.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item IV.

Helen Foley, previously identified, testified that NALA is of the opinion the Ombudsman program should oversee all group homes, and she advocated funding for its expansion, which would require \$4 million for each year of the biennium. To start, she suggested yearly inspections by the Office.

Jeffrey Duncan, Supportive Services Program Chief, ADSD, DHHS, provided background information on how ADSD ran its projections for the fiscal note on Senate Bill 97—a failed measure during the 2017 Session that would have expanded the Ombudsman program—which was based on quarterly inspections. He clarified the Ombudsman program receives minimal federal funding. Mr. Duncan said he is amiable to yearly inspections of CBLAs and SLAs; however, the benchmark should be quarterly, at a minimum.

AGENDA ITEM V—ASSEMBLY BILL 299 (CHAPTER 279, STATUTES OF NEVADA 2017)

A. Summary of Findings Concerning the Study of Standards of Training for Employees and Contractors Who Provide Care to Persons in Certain Health Care Settings

Mindy Martini, previously identified, shared a PowerPoint presentation (<u>Agenda Item V A</u>) that summarizes the findings of the Committee's meetings held on February 27 and April 12, 2018.

B. Review of Effective Training Models for Employees and Contractors Who Provide Care to Persons in Certain Health Care Settings

Responding to a variety of questions from Committee members related to the seven states highlighted in the *Leader States in Personal Care Aide Training Standards* research brief (Agenda Item V B), Ms. Martini offered to provide follow-up information on the following:

- Training costs for personal care aides (PCAs);
- Training requirements and costs of home health aides (HHAs);
- Whether PCAs and HHAs are required to be certified;

- Turnover and retention rates of PCAs and HHAs; and
- A population comparison of PCAs that is most like Nevada.

Vice Chair Spearman commented on the need to be proactive by anticipating senior health care needs, considering the projected significant growth of this population in Nevada.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item V.

Barry Gold, Director, Government Relations, AARP Nevada, suggested the Committee be mindful of the distinctions between titles of individuals working in homes (PCAs and HHAs) when it comes to requiring additional training, because there are a variety of titles for persons providing home care.

Connie McMullen, Lobbyist, Personal Care Association of Nevada (PCAN), raised concerns in her written testimony (<u>Agenda Item V C-1</u>) about the DPBH's proposed regulation (<u>Agenda Item V C-2</u>). She stated the regulation falls short of SB 388's (Chapter 298, *Statutes of Nevada 2017*) full intent regarding unlicensed, out-of-state Internet-based companies that place PCAs in Nevada homes. Several recommended changes to the regulation are also outlined in her testimony. In additional remarks regarding personal care (<u>Agenda Item V C-3</u>), Ms. McMullen proposed adding "employment agency" to NRS 449.0021 and raising the Medicaid rate for PCAs before the Committee considers setting new PCA training standards.

Helen Foley, previously identified, spoke about the need to evaluate the various types of specialized positions that do not provide health care but provide care to clients when considering training models for future legislation.

Allan Ward, owner, Home Instead Senior Care, Carson City, explained the differences between PCAs and HHAs and the training they receive in Nevada. He also commented on how mandating additional training may discourage persons from entering the PCA/HHA field, which already experiences high trainee losses. Mr. Ward also discussed Nevada's categorical violations and expressed concern that a broadband name is being used to address care both within and without facilities.

Vice Chair Spearman commented on the need to ensure Medicaid has the funds to make salaries competitive.

In response to Vice Chair Spearman's remarks, Dena Schmidt, Administrator, ADSD, DHHS, reported the ADSD participates in the national core indicators for aging. She stated when it collects the data for outcomes for individuals in community-based settings, ADSD will share that information with the Committee. In addition, Ms. Schmidt said ADSD has a data analytics unit with access to Medicaid claims data that can be matched to programs, which allows ADSD to look at trends in Nevada.

The following documents were submitted for the record:

- 1. A copy of AB 299 provided by Mindy Martini, previously identified (Agenda Item V D); and
- 2. News articles by Komonews.com and *Chicago Tribune* articles regarding Care.com provided by Ms. McMullen (<u>Agenda Item V E</u>).

Subsequent to the meeting, the following documents were provided:

- 1. A report titled *National Core Indicators Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey* 2016–2017 Nevada Results, provided by Ms. Schmidt (Agenda Item V F); and
- 2. A memorandum regarding home health aides and personal care aides, provided by Ms. Martini (Agenda Item V G).

AGENDA ITEM VI—PRESENTATION CONCERNING THE GUARDIANSHIP SYSTEM IN NEVADA FOR SENIOR CITIZENS

Homa S. Woodrum, Esq., Chief Advocacy Attorney, ADSD, DHHS, engaged in a question and answer session with Committee members after sharing a PowerPoint presentation on Nevada's current guardianship system (<u>Agenda Item VI A-1</u>). The discussion focused on the following topics:

- The declining number of professional guardians and increased requirements for personal guardians;
- Leaving certain decisions to protected persons;
- A study of supported decision-making, as a model for Nevada;
- Samples of a supported decision-making agreement (<u>Agenda Item VI A-2</u>) and a durable power of attorney for health care decisions (<u>Agenda Item VI A-3</u>);
- New rules regarding waiving the appearance of protected persons in the courts;
- Allowing protected persons to appear in court via videoconference;
- Guardianship contracts;
- Forced guardianships;
- Community support services;
- Regaining independence after being declared legally incapacitated;
- The differences between temporary and permanent incapacitation; and

• The effects of legislation regarding guardians' legal costs.

Vice Chair Spearman commented on peripheral issues related to seniors that should be considered, such as:

- Affordable housing;
- Lack of computer skills in elderly persons that affect access to information;
- Outreach to establish two-way communication, current models, and socialization;
- Transportation in rural communities; and
- Guardianships for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgendered, and queer/questioning seniors.

Chair Cohen asked Ms. Woodrum whether ADSD has legislative suggestions for the 2019 Session.

Ms. Woodrum encouraged the Committee to consider supported decision-making by creating a way for entities to honor those agreements in the community and to not force guardianship.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item VI; however, no testimony was presented.

AGENDA ITEM VII—PRESENTATIONS RELATING TO CAREGIVERS

A. Report on the Impact of Senate Bill 177 (Chapter 37, Statutes of Nevada 2015) Relating to Patient Caregivers

Barry Gold, previously identified, provided an overview of caregivers under the CARE Act and the overall impact of SB 177 (Agenda Item VII A-1). He reported that, according to Nevada's health ranking score card (Agenda Item III A-2), preventable hospitalizations decreased by 27 percent, in part because of the CARE Act. Mr. Gold explained that caregivers have the right to expect hospitals to inform them when patients are going home and to be provided with care instructions. He briefly went over the AARP Nevada CARE Act tips sheet (Agenda Item VII A-2) and the AB 394 (a failed measure from the 2017 Legislative Session) AARP Nevada fact sheet (Agenda Item VII A-3). Mr. Gold noted 39 states have adopted the CARE Act. He submitted a copy of a Nevada CARE Act mailer (Agenda Item VII A-4).

B. Report on Programs Implemented in Other States Relating to Caregivers

Mr. Gold indicated that AARP Nevada's legislative proposal is about allowing family caregivers who are still working to be able to use part of their sick leave to care for family members—a reconsideration of AB 394 (Agenda Item VII B)—the companion piece to the CARE Act. Mr. Gold reported that passage of legislation similar to AB 394 in other states is going well. He suggested allowing all sick leave to be used for family caregiving, if needed. Mr. Gold confirmed

the proposed legislation would apply only to companies that already have a sick leave policy, and he said he does not anticipate a fiscal note.

Vice Chair Spearman suggested exploring a family sick leave model.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item VII.

Barbara Paulsen, Nevadans for the Common Good (NCG), stated the NCG supports the CARE Act and the proposals set forth in AB 394 becoming a BDR for the 2019 Legislative Session.

Sam Lieberman, Government and Community Relations Coordinator, Easterseals Nevada, testified that Easterseals Nevada is supportive of Medicaid rate increases, particularly regarding independent living issues for people with visual and/or intellectual disabilities.

Brian Patchett, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Easterseals Nevada, expressed Easterseals Nevada's continued support of AB 394's concepts.

AGENDA ITEM VIII—PRESENTATIONS RELATING TO ADULTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

A. Report on the Nevada ABLE Savings Program

Homa S. Woodrum, previously identified, shared a PowerPoint presentation on the Nevada ABLE Savings Program (<u>Agenda Item VIII A</u>)—a program jointly administered by the Office of the State Treasurer and ADSD—designed to provide a special tax advantage savings account for persons with disabilities and their family members without being disqualified for other benefits.

Tara Hagan, Chief Deputy, Office of the State Treasurer, continued with the presentation. She noted the Nevada ABLE Savings Program has over \$1 million in assets, as of June 1, 2018.

Concluding the presentation, Grant Hewitt, Chief of Staff, Office of the State Treasurer, identified the Office's legislative recommendation is to create a more streamlined administrative process by removing the responsibilities of ADSD from NRS 427A.882 through 886. The changes would allow one entity to represent the state in all decisions regarding the National ABLE Alliance and ensure there is ultimate accountability for the growth and health of the Program. Mr. Hewitt clarified the Treasurer's Office would like to administer the entire Program.

Ms. Woodrum testified that ADSD supports the Office's changes. She stated ADSD would continue assisting the Program with education and outreach, including its promotion within ADSD's regional centers.

Mr. Hewitt reported Nevada has 237 accounts—of which 67 are within Nevada—and that many are purchased across state lines. He noted there is room for growth, but there is not a direct line item for education and growth.

B. Presentation Concerning State and Federal Funding for Vocational Rehabilitation Services in Nevada for Visually and/or Hearing Impaired Adults

Brian Patchett, previously identified, promoted the concept of a biennial budget on behalf of the Rehabilitation Division, Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR), in order to draw down all federal funds. He noted Nevada reverts more than \$8 million to the federal government each year, and with a budget, additional vocational rehabilitation services could be provided more consistently.

Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation Division, DETR, discussed the Division's budget and the federal formula for grants. She provided commentary on the following materials:

- 1. Financial and participant summary (Agenda Item VIII B-1);
- 2. 15-year overview of the Section 110 Federal Grant (Agenda Item VIII B-2);
- 3. Resources and expenditures of the Section 110 Federal Grant (Agenda Item VIII B-3);
- 4. Third-party cooperative arrangements (TCPA) (Agenda Item VIII B-4); and
- 5. General Fund reversion history for 2011 through 2017 (Agenda Item VIII B-5).

Responding to questions from Committee members, Ms. Hendren discussed: (1) reasons for not using apprenticeships in the financial and participant summary; (2) why DETR cannot prematch grants; (3) unmatched funding of increasingly larger grants; (4) unused state-matched funds going to the state; (5) alleviating the need to spend other fund sources before prematching federal grants; and (6) the reasons certain Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) institutions are not represented in the TCPA program. Noting that she would be meeting with NSHE in the near future, Ms. Hendren said she would be happy to discuss adding more participants to the TCPA program.

Ms. Hendren recommended the bill that authorizes expenditures by agencies of state government be revised each legislative session to allow the Rehabilitation Division to utilize nonfederal funds for matching funds in a timely fashion. This will increase the amount of federal funds the agency can draw from the federal government. Ms. Hendren said she will forward language for the members of the Committee to consider in its work session at the next meeting.

C. Presentation Concerning Rates for Vocational Services and Supported Living Arrangements Through the Regional Center Services for Persons With Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Mr. Patchett testified that the significant impact of insufficient rate increases since 2002 for people who provide jobs, day training, and supported living arrangements for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities has resulted in high turnover, and the rates are about 30 percent behind what they should be. He noted the Interim Finance Committee (IFC) (NRS 218E.400) would be meeting on June 20, at which time a proposal for a 5 percent increase

would be heard. He implored the Committee to consider the services being delivered in the long term.

Tracy Brown-May, Director of Advocacy, Board, and Government Relations, Opportunity Village (OV), echoed Mr. Patchett's comments. She talked about:

- The need to develop a long-term career path for individual providers of support services by increasing Medicaid reimbursement rates;
- OV's desire to be part of the solution in finding ways to draw down more federal dollars; and
- OV's goal of improving access to services.

Ms. Brown-May discussed OV's internal audit analysis that indicates it loses approximately \$11 per day per person served in its day rehabilitation programs, which equates to \$500,000 per year. She said OV would like to compete with wage rates that are comparative across its community. Ms. Brown-May stated OV estimates it saves Nevada \$36 million per year. She said only 20 percent of its revenue stream to support the organization comes from the fee-for-service revenue, and its remaining operating budget comes from contract revenue and fundraisers, which constitutes 20 percent of OV's operating budget. Ms. Brown-May offered to provide the Committee with a rate and data analysis of surrounding and comparable states and an estimated dollar figure that OV would need to make rates competitive.

Dena Schmidt, previously identified, remarked that ADSD is experiencing access to care concerns for services, noting direct support workforce is a national challenge. She reiterated ADSD will be requesting a 5 percent rate increase at the IFC meeting for the remainder of the fiscal year, and its goal is to see what it can do in its next budget request.

Kacy Curry, Nevada resident, self-advocate, and person with a disability, provided public comment. She advocated for aging persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities and special needs in rural communities and the need for adequate rates to attract service providers in those areas.

Mr. Patchett reiterated there are two major categories being discussed: (1) jobs and day training; and (2) supported living arrangements. He added that the goal is to see staff adequately compensated for their work.

D. Presentation Concerning Funding to Support Independent Living Needs of Visually Impaired Adults

Mr. Patchett explained, from personal experience, the difficulties faced when one becomes visually impaired or blind and the need for assistive technology. He discussed:

• SB 419 (Chapter 286, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*) and the authorization to conduct research relating to persons who are visually impaired or blind;

- Increasing independent living service dollars; and
- A recommendation to send a request for proposal to Nevada organizations about providing services to persons who are visually impaired or blind.

Jack Mayes, Executive Director, Nevada Disability Advocacy and Law Center (NDALC), shared a 2014 town hall meeting summary report by the NDALC (<u>Agenda Item VIII D</u>) regarding ongoing discussions on how to determine and address the needs of individuals who are blind or visually impaired.

A conversation between Chair Cohen, Vice Chair Spearman, Mr. Hayes, and Mr. Patchett focused on certain challenges and issues outlined in the report and how they are being addressed, such as:

- Transportation;
- Access to state-funded independent living programs for persons 22 to 55 years of age;
- Assistive technology and the learning curve for older persons; and
- Mobility (sidewalks).

Jeffrey Duncan, previously identified, shared there are other initiatives across Nevada that are reviewing issues relating to sidewalks and access to information for individuals and their families. He pointed out that transportation is the primary issue, and it is unknown how much money it would take to eliminate the problem. Mr. Duncan provided information about ADSD's funding and services for older adults who are visually impaired or blind, as follows: (1) social services grants and funding sources; and (2) the Assistive Technology for Independent Living Program and the Nevada Assistive Technology Collaborative, ADSD, DHHS, and their partners. Mr. Duncan reported some federal grants require matching funds, but there are no issues for ADSD.

Chair Cohen called for public comment on Agenda Item VIII.

Lisa Foster, Principal, Lisa Foster Consulting, and Contract Lobbyist, representing State of Nevada Association of Providers, spoke in opposition to moving SLA services from Chapter 435 ("Persons With Intellectual Disabilities and Developmental Disabilities") to Chapter 449 and putting the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman over the advocacy and complaint function of SLAs.

Marc Christsensen, President and CEO, Chrysalis, an SLA services provider, indicated the turnover rate for SLA services is 80 percent, and he expressed support of Medicaid reimbursement rate increases.

Chair Cohen offered a reminder that the next and final meeting of the Committee is a work session and is scheduled for July 19, 2018, and BDR ideas must be submitted in writing to Committee staff by the end of business on Wednesday, June 27.

AGENDA ITEM IX—ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at $5:25~\mathrm{p.m.}$

	Respectfully submitted,
	Debbie Gleason Research Policy Assistant
	Mindy Martini Principal Policy Analyst
APPROVED BY:	
Assemblywoman Lesley E. Cohen, Chair	
Date:	

MEETING MATERIALS

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER/ENTITY	DESCRIPTION
Agenda Item III A-1	Rutu Ezhuthachan, M.D.,	Microsoft PowerPoint
	Medical Director,	presentation
	UnitedHealthcare Nevada	
	(UHN)	
Agenda Item III A-2	Rutu Ezhuthachan, M.D.,	Report
	Medical Director, UHN	
Agenda Item IV B	Legal Division,	Assembly Bill 46
	Legislative Counsel Bureau	(Chapter 269, Statutes of
	(LCB)	Nevada 2017)
Agenda Item IV C	Jennifer Williams-Woods,	PowerPoint presentation
	State Long-Term Care	
	Ombudsman, Office of the	
	State Long-Term Care	
	Ombudsman, Aging and	
	Disabilities Division	
	(ADSD), Department of	
	Health and Human	
A 1 Y Y A	Services (DHHS)	7
Agenda Item V A	Mindy Martini,	PowerPoint presentation
	Principal Policy Analyst,	
A 1 7 Y/D	Research Division, LCB	D 11:0
Agenda Item V B	Mindy Martini,	Research brief
	Principal Policy Analyst,	
A 1 L VC 1	Research Division, LCB	W ://
Agenda Item V C-1	Connie McMullen, Lobbyist,	Written comments
	Personal Care Association of	(regulations)
Agenda Item V C-2	Nevada (PCAN) Connie McMullen, Lobbyist,	Proposed regulation
Agenda Item v C-2	PCAN	Proposed regulation
Agenda Item V C-3	Connie McMullen, Lobbyist,	Written comments
<u> </u>	PCAN	(personal care)
Agenda Item V D	Mindy Martini,	AB 299 (Chapter 279,
	Principal Policy Analyst,	Statutes of Nevada 2017)
	Research Division, LCB	
Agenda Item V E	Connie McMullen, Lobbyist,	News articles
	PCAN	
Agenda Item V F	Dena Schmidt, Administrator,	PowerPoint presentation
	ADSD, DHHS	1
Agenda Item V G	Mindy Martini, Principal	Memorandum
	Policy Analyst, Research	
	Division, LCB	

Agenda Item VI A-1	Homa S. Woodrum, Esq., Chief Advocacy Attorney, ADSD, DHHS	PowerPoint presentation
Agenda Item VI A-2	Homa S. Woodrum, Esq., Chief Advocacy Attorney, ADSD, DHHS	Texas supported decision-making agreement
Agenda Item VI A-3	Homa S. Woodrum, Esq., Chief Advocacy Attorney, ADSD, DHHS	Durable power of attorney for health care decisions
Agenda Item VII A-1	Mindy Martini, Principal Policy Analyst, Research Division, LCB	Senate Bill 177 (Chapter 37, Statutes of Nevada 2015)
Agenda Item VII A-2	Barry Gold, Director, Government Relations, AARP Nevada	CARE Act tips sheet
Agenda Item VII A-3	Barry Gold, Director, Government Relations, AARP Nevada	AB 394 (failed measure from the 2017 Legislative Session) fact sheet
Agenda Item VII A-4	Barry Gold, Director, Government Relations, AARP Nevada	CARE Act mailer
Agenda Item VII B	Barry Gold, Director, Government Relations, AARP Nevada	AB 394
Agenda Item VIII A	Grant Hewitt, Chief of Staff, Office of the State Treasurer; Tara Hagan, Chief Deputy, Office of the State Treasurer; and Homa S. Woodrum, Esq., Chief Advocacy Attorney, ADSD, DHHS	PowerPoint presentation
Agenda Item VIII B-1	Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation Division, Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR)	Financial and participant summary
Agenda Item VIII B-2	Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation Division, DETR	Federal grant 15-year overview of the Section 110 Federal Grant
Agenda Item VIII B-3	Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation Division, DETR	Resources and expenditures of the Section 110 Federal Grant
Agenda Item VIII B-4	Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation Division, DETR	Third-party cooperative arrangements

Agenda Item VIII B-5	Shelley Hendren, Administrator, Rehabilitation	General Fund reversion history
	Division, DETR	,
Agenda Item VIII D	Jack Mayes, Executive	Summary report
	Director, Nevada Disability	
	Advocacy and Law Center	

The Summary Minutes are supplied as an informational service. All meeting materials are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. For copies, contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Library/About/Contact/feedbackmail.cfm.