



NEVADA LEGISLATURE SUNSET SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION (*Nevada Revised Statutes* [NRS] [232B.210](#))

SUMMARY MINUTES May 4, 2020

The third meeting of the Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission for the 2019-2020 Interim was held on Monday, May 4, 2020, at 9 a.m. Pursuant to Section 1 of Governor Steve Sisolak's [Emergency Directive 006](#), there was no physical location for this meeting.

The agenda, minutes, meeting materials, and audio or video recording of the meeting are available on the Subcommittee's [meeting page](#). The audio or video recording may also be found at <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Granicus/>. Copies of the audio or video record can be obtained through the Publications Office of the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) (publications@lcb.state.nv.us or 775/684-6835).

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Senator Patricia (Pat) Spearman, Chair
Assemblywoman Sandra Jauregui, Vice Chair
Senator Chris Brooks
Senator Keith F. Pickard
Assemblyman Richard (Skip) Daly
Assemblyman John C. Ellison
Hannah Brown
Donald Chaney
Teresa P. Froncek Rankin

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:

Jennifer Ruedy, Chief Principal Policy Analyst, Research Division
Cesar O. Melgarejo, Senior Policy Analyst, Research Division
Janet Coons, Manager of Research Policy Assistants, Research Division
Eileen G. O'Grady, Chief Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Karly R. O'Krent, Senior Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Taylor P. Gardner, Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division

Items taken out of sequence during the meeting have been placed in agenda order.

AGENDA ITEM I—CALL TO ORDER

Chair Spearman called the meeting to order and reviewed meeting protocol for the new “virtual” format.

AGENDA ITEM II—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Spearman called for public comment; however, no testimony was presented.

AGENDA ITEM III—APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2020

MOTION: Vice Chair Jauregui moved to approve the minutes of the meeting held on February 21, 2020. The motion was seconded by Assemblyman Daly and passed. Senator Brooks abstained from voting since he was not present at the February 21 meeting.

AGENDA ITEM IV—DISCUSSION OF SPECIAL SURVEY TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE BY CERTAIN REGULATORY BODIES RELATED TO THEIR OPERATIONS

Cesar O. Melgarejo, previously identified, reminded the members of the Subcommittee that he presented the survey ([Agenda Item IV](#)) at the February 21, 2020, meeting. He explained that [Senate Concurrent Resolution 6](#) (2019) directs the Subcommittee to conduct an interim study of certain professional and occupational licensing boards in Nevada to determine, among other things, whether restrictions on the criminal history of an applicant are appropriate, pursuant to [Assembly Bill 319](#) (2019).

Mr. Melgarejo stated the survey includes questions concerning military spouses that Chair Spearman requested at the Subcommittee’s February meeting. He said the survey also contains questions addressing licensure by endorsement and reciprocal licensure for all applicants from another state, not just military spouses, requested by Senator Pickard at the February meeting.

Responding to a question from Chair Spearman, Mr. Melgarejo explained the survey pertains to licensing practices within the last five years; it does not include questions regarding temporary licensure during an emergency, such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

MOTION: Vice Chair Jauregui moved to approve that staff submit the special survey to certain regulatory bodies regarding their operations and report the findings to the Subcommittee. The motion was seconded by Senator Brooks and passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM V—PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE TERMINATION, MODIFICATION, CONSOLIDATION, OR CONTINUED OPERATION OF CERTAIN ENTITIES PURSUANT TO NRS [232B.240](#)

Chair Spearman reminded members and the public that, pursuant to statute, an entity under review is required to submit information about its membership, powers and duties, budget process, meeting history, and effectiveness in serving the people of Nevada. She emphasized that a board or commission has the burden of proving there is a public need for its continued existence.

**A. STATE APPRENTICESHIP COUNCIL ([NRS 610.030](#)) ([AGENDA ITEM V A-1](#))
([AGENDA ITEM V A-2](#))**

Chair Spearman said the Sunset Subcommittee last reviewed the Council on May 6, 2014.

Craig von Collenberg, Executive Director, Office of Workforce Innovation (OWINN), Office of the Governor, introduced Richard J. Williams, State Apprenticeship Director, OWINN, and Archie Walden, Chair, State Apprenticeship Council.

Assemblyman Daly acknowledged that he requested the review of the Council.

Responding to questions from Assemblyman Daly, Mr. Williams verified the following:

- The United States Department of Labor (DOL) recognizes that Nevada currently meets the requirements for state recognition of apprenticeship—which was true prior to the reconfiguration of the Council in 2019 as well;
- The State Apprenticeship Council, which is part of the state's Executive Branch, is the regulatory body that oversees the administration of apprenticeships in Nevada;
- The state apprenticeship director carries out the policies of the state as directed or authorized by the Council; and
- OWINN is the liaison between the state and the DOL regarding apprenticeship matters.

Assemblyman Daly expressed concern with interpretations of *Nevada Administrative Code* (NAC) [610.314](#), which states, "If a program of apprenticeship registered with the Council submits standards to the Council for its review or revision, the program must submit the standards in their entirety."

Mr. Williams said the deputy attorney general (DAG) interpreted NAC 610.314 to mean that occupations were not part of standards. However, due to concerns expressed by the Council, Mr. Williams noted standards will be included along with occupations.

Assemblyman Daly suggested that either the statutes or regulations need clarification to reflect the DAG's interpretation. He mentioned NAC [610.240](#), which states ". . . one master agreement for the standards of apprenticeship may be entered into with one master joint committee" and "Separate standards for each affected trade will be incorporated into the master standards." Assemblyman Daly voiced concerns of compliance with the Open Meeting Law (OML), noting, for example, that he has observed the Council place only one division of a specific portion of the standards on agendas without including the master standards under one joint committee.

Acknowledging there has been confusion between NAC 610.240 and 610.314, Mr. Williams indicated the archaic language in the regulations will be cleaned up. He clarified for Assemblyman Daly that he understands the concerns of the Council, and he intends to move forward with standards including occupations.

Mr. Walden commented that—in order to avoid further confusion or consternation—the Council has decided when it brings a standard forward for review, regardless of the case, it will review the full standards, not just the occupations.

Assemblyman Ellison announced that suggested changes to existing law would be forthcoming for the 2021 Session in order to fix problems with apprenticeship in the rural areas of the state.

Assemblyman Daly stated that in 2017, the configuration of the Council changed from seven to nine members, and OWINN assumed the responsibility as the registration agency for apprenticeships in Nevada, which the labor commissioner previously held. He is of the opinion, that under this structure, the new regime ran “fast and loose” with the rules and probably approved some programs that did not completely meet the proper criteria for apprenticeships. Assemblyman Daly stated that legislation from the 2019 Session named the Council as the regulatory authority in Nevada and changed its membership from nine to seven voting members with four nonvoting members. He opined that the Legislature intended for the Council to meet a threshold of excellence by administering apprenticeship to the highest standard possible and complying with federal rules. Assemblyman Daly asked whether OWINN and the Council are working to correct any errors resulting from the lack of regard for the rules by the previous configuration of the Council.

Mr. Williams assured Assemblyman Daly that it has been and always will be the intent of OWINN and the Council to follow NRS and ensure that all programs and occupations comply with statute. He added that before the Council reviews or approves a program or occupation, he seeks the DAG’s opinion regarding any legal questions.

Mr. von Collenberg shared that he and Mr. Williams are products of apprenticeship, and he stated they will defend and maintain the standards and the integrity of the apprenticeship system in Nevada. He acknowledged that some of the problems in the past occurred when work-based learning and apprenticeship programs were combined.

Assemblyman Daly disclosed that he is a trustee of an apprenticeship program for his local union. He questioned how community colleges meet the standards to be actual apprenticeship programs.

Mr. von Collenberg said Mr. Williams will review all apprenticeship programs to see whether they meet the minimum standards. He noted a key element of an apprenticeship program is the employer partner, and if a community college apprenticeship program does not have one, the program will not continue.

Mr. Walden, also the product of an apprenticeship program, admitted that the last regime placed the Council in the hands of educators who did not understand apprenticeship and looked at quantity over quality. He assured the Subcommittee that Mr. von Collenberg and Mr. Williams are doing everything they can to correct the situation.

Mr. Williams emphasized the goal of the Council is to provide quality apprenticeship programs and ensure they follow the law. He commented the COVID-19 pandemic has slowed down his review of the programs, but when they resume, he will bring any questionable practices before the Council.

Referring to the Council’s responses to Sunset Subcommittee Review Form #1 ([Agenda Item V A-2](#)), Chair Spearman questioned the vacancy on the Council and why three public forms are not available on the Council’s website.

Mr. Williams replied that the employer representative from the north resigned in December, and OWINN’s recommendation to the governor for a replacement is pending.

Mr. von Collenberg explained the forms that currently are not on the Council's website are for entities interested in starting an apprenticeship program. He assured Chair Spearman the forms will be on the website by the end of the week, and a future overhaul of the website will greatly improve their quality.

Mr. von Collenberg confirmed the Council is not requesting any statutory changes at this time, but it will clean up its regulations.

B. COMMISSION TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL PURCHASING ([NRS 332.215](#)) ([AGENDA ITEM V B-1](#))

Chair Spearman reported that the Sunset Subcommittee has never reviewed the Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing.

Jim Haining, Co-chair, Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing, and Purchasing Manager, Las Vegas Valley Water District, shared a presentation regarding the Commission's membership, leadership, duties and objectives, and effectiveness in proposing changes to NRS ([Agenda Item V B-2](#)). He suggested the Commission should have the authority to submit up to two bill draft requests (BDRs) to propose changes to Chapters [332](#) ("Purchasing: Local Governments") and [338](#) ("Public Works") of NRS. Mr. Haining submitted responses to the Sunset Subcommittee Review Form #1 ([Agenda Item V B-3](#)).

Responding to questions from Subcommittee members, Mr. Haining clarified the following:

- The Commission's membership consists of purchasing agents of local governments, and the Commission has no control over the diversity of its body; however, many of the members are minorities and/or women;
- The only purpose of the Commission is to review statutes and propose changes for each legislative session, which is why it has no staff, budget, or website;
- Commission members can be contacted directly; and
- Clark County purchasing agents submit purchasing demographics and statistics to the Regional Business Development Advisory Council.

Mr. Haining emphasized the Commission does not have jurisdiction over individual entities or the ability to tell them how they should conduct their business related to purchasing.

Chair Spearman shared her concern that many of Nevada's small businesses are barely staying alive, especially during this time of the pandemic. She noted if they do not know how to contact the Commission about making their products and/or services available, they will instead apply for loans rather than purchasing contracts.

Mr. Haining said the Commission works directly with the Procurement Technical Assistance Committee (PTAC), Office of Economic Development, Office of the Governor, which points small businesses to each of the entities represented by members of the Commission. He noted the purchasing entities in Clark County have numerous outreach events each year, which many of the small businesses attend.

Ms. Rankin pointed out that the state's Purchasing Division within the Department of Administration falls under Chapter [333](#) ("Purchasing: State") of NRS. She said the Division places its bid notices online, and [NRS 333.370](#) addresses the appeal process. Ms. Rankin

commented the Purchasing Division can work with local governments regarding their purchasing needs.

Assemblyman Daly acknowledged that he asked for the Commission's review by the Subcommittee. He clarified that state purchasing—whether the entity is a school district in Douglas County or a water district in Las Vegas—falls under [Chapter 332](#) or [338](#) of NRS, and that these statutes address advertising, bidding qualifications, minority participation, and outreach for all purchasing agencies. Assemblyman Daly stated his understanding that members of the Commission—already hired as purchasing agents by their employers—meet to improve the current statutes. He questioned how much the rural counties participate with the Commission.

Mr. Haining explained the Commission holds its meetings by teleconference with an onsite location available in Clark County, and it posts all agendas according to the OML. He stated the smaller rural counties rarely participate, but occasionally they will contact the Commission during a legislative session. Mr. Haining mentioned that most of the larger local governments have dedicated purchasing divisions, but smaller counties generally designate purchasing responsibilities to staff members within their finance departments. Mr. Haining shared the Commission does not have a formal process nor has it developed best practices for purchasing procedures; however, all the members of the Commission are familiar with one another and informally ask questions about best practices and procedures.

Assemblyman Daly stated he has observed many inconsistencies among purchasing entities, primarily in the rural areas, regarding the interpretation and application of statutory and/or regulatory language. He suggested a set of best practices determined by the Commission would help establish consistency between purchasing agencies and the state. Assemblyman Daly commented that public purchasing is unique and it needs to be fair, open to competitive bidding, and transparent.

Mr. Haining agreed with Assemblyman Daly's assessment. He stated that even the legal departments of the larger entities can be inconsistent in their interpretation of the statutes, and he admitted that more clarity in the statutes would address this problem. Mr. Haining noted that some of the statutory ambiguities in Chapter 332 of NRS were cleaned up during the 2019 Session. He stressed it would be beneficial to develop best practices and provide more clarity in Chapter 338 of NRS. Mr. Haining added the lack of staff in the rural counties is a significant purchasing problem.

Responding to Assemblyman Daly's question regarding whether the Commission has studied the structure and flaws of construction management, Mr. Haining replied he does not have much personal experience with the Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) delivery method, and the Commission itself has not paid it much attention. He assured Assemblyman Daly the Commission will discuss CMAR at its next meeting.

Responding to Senator Brooks' question regarding whether the Commission members belong to professional associations, Mr. Haining explained that many of the purchasing managers are members of the Institute for Supply Management Nevada, which does not participate in legislative outreach or any kind of political process. He confirmed that even though there is nothing that keeps members of the Commission from soliciting legislators for requests, the Commission has not been able to get any of its proposed requests in front of the Legislature for the past ten years. However, when the Purchasing Division put forth a BDR last session to revise Chapter 333 of NRS, it included the Commission's requested changes for Chapter 332.

Senator Brooks wondered whether the Commission is still necessary and whether it should be defined and regulated by statute.

Mr. Haining stated his personal opinion that if the Commission was deleted from statute, the group of purchasing managers would still continue to meet, particularly prior to a legislative session to address issues in Chapters 332 and 338 of NRS. He opined the Commission is valuable because it attempts to make the statutes as efficient as possible.

Assemblyman Ellison questioned whether the Commission is exempt from the OML, and he wondered how it posts its minutes if it does not have a website.

Mr. Haining confirmed the Commission runs its meetings according to the OML, but it does not post its minutes anywhere since it does not have a website. He added that anyone may request a copy of the minutes by contacting Commission members via email.

Eileen O'Grady, previously identified, suggested that since the Commission is created by statute, it is subject to the OML. She said she would need to investigate further the posting of the Commission's minutes.

Ms. Rankin suggested the Commission could possibly post its minutes on the Purchasing Division's website.

Chair Spearman echoed Senator Brooks' comment regarding the Commission's existence. She questioned how it could help small businesses that are hurting now because of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Mr. Haining acknowledged the Commission is not the right group to help small businesses. He said the Regional Business Development Advisory Council is equipped to address the issues of small and diverse businesses. Mr. Haining said PTAC introduces small businesses to various outreach events. He also mentioned the Emerging Small Business program as a beneficial resource.

Ms. Brown said she sees no harm in the Commission disappearing.

Chair Spearman mentioned [AB 86](#) from the 2019 Session, which the Purchasing Division requested. She said Section 42 included a provision that authorized the Commission to submit up to two BDRs each session, but that provision was deleted before final passage. Chair Spearman noted the only statutory change the Commission is requesting from the Subcommittee is to have the authority to request a BDR. Chair Spearman asked Mr. Haining to identify any legislative changes considered by the Commission in recent years that a legislator or entity did not sponsor.

Mr. Haining estimated that 95 percent of the changes the Commission has proposed over the last ten years regarding Chapter 332 of NRS are contained in Sections 1 through 42 of AB 86.

AGENDA ITEM VI—REPORT FROM THE NEVADA PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD ([NRS 640.030](#)) RELATED TO ITS TRAINING FOR BOARD MEMBERS, BUDGETING PROCESS, AUDIT SCHEDULE, AND INVESTIGATION INTO THE CONDUCT OF THE PREVIOUS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Charles D. Harvey, M.P.A., Executive Director, Nevada Physical Therapy Board, presented a report that addressed the following: (1) use of the Office of the Attorney General (AG) for

legal support; (2) an improved training process for Board members; (3) improvements to the budgeting process and the maintenance of records; (4) establishment of an annual audit cycle; and (5) the investigation into the conduct of the previous executive director ([Agenda Item VI](#)). He stated he has no requests from the Subcommittee this interim.

Responding to questions from Subcommittee members, Mr. Harvey discussed the following:

- The AG's conclusion that "many of the allegations" against the previous director "cannot be substantiated";
- The approval of applications for licensure by endorsement in two to three days if all requirements are met;
- Actions the Board has taken to provide information and guidance to licensees regarding COVID-19 and whether any actions are necessary to expedite licensing needs due to the pandemic;
- The timeline of the training process for new Board members;
- The implementation of a new system of checks and balances, the segregation of duties, and ongoing monthly reviews of financial data to prevent further instances of fraud;
- The reason why the Subcommittee was listed as a threat in the Board's strategic planning process; and
- Regulations pertaining to the practice of dry needling, pursuant to [SB 186](#) (2019), which are waiting to be reviewed and approved by the Legislative Commission.

AGENDA ITEM VII—REPORT FROM THE CHIROPRACTIC PHYSICIANS' BOARD OF NEVADA ([NRS 634.020](#)) RELATED TO IS FINANCIAL INFORMATION, RESERVE POLICY, AND LICENSING FEES

Julie Strandberg, Executive Director, Chiropractic Physicians' Board of Nevada, presented a follow-up report regarding the Board's divestiture of liquidated marketable securities, reserve policy, and improved budgeting process ([Agenda Item VII](#)).

Discussion ensued regarding the following:

- The Board's 18 months of reserve in a money market account, which will be saved for possible investigations;
- The Board's lack of laws that allow reciprocity; it offers only a regular licensure process, which can be delayed by a lengthy background check process;
- The requirements of [SB 69](#) (2017) regarding licensure by endorsement; and
- Ways to expedite the background check process without compromising accuracy.

The Subcommittee discussed the possibility of: (1) asking boards with excess reserves to figure out a plan to either return the money to their licensees or properly reduce the amount of the reserve; and (2) asking all boards to set aside an emergency fund for licensees negatively impacted by an emergency, such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

AGENDA ITEM VIII—REPORT FROM THE BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ([NRS 640A.080](#)) RELATED TO ITS RESERVE POLICY

Loretta L. Ponton, Executive Director, Board of Occupational Therapy, discussed the following key points from the written report she submitted to the Subcommittee ([Agenda Item VIII](#)):

- The change to a two-year licensure term with no increase in fees, which reduced the reserve fund account;
- Emergency provisions related to COVID-19;
- The licensure by endorsement policy passed during the 2015 Session and the success of the temporary licensure by endorsement policy;
- The length of the online licensure process with required documentation; and
- The Board's strategic direction for Fiscal Years 2020–2022.

Responding to Senator Pickard's questions regarding the licensure process, Ms. Ponton clarified the following:

- A regular license is good for two years and a temporary license is good for six months;
- A temporary license can be renewed one time for a total of one year;
- A temporary license can be converted to a two-year license at any time by paying a conversion fee;
- A license is renewed two years from the date it was issued; and.
- The Board waived the Nevada jurisprudence exam for the emergency temporary license by endorsement. If licensees wish to remain in the state longer than six months, they must take the exam—which is online, open book, and free—and score 100 percent.

Senator Pickard suggested the Subcommittee should determine whether there is a statutory requirement regarding how much money boards must keep in their reserves. He questioned whether boards have the statutory authority to create a recovery fund for licensees who are experiencing economic difficulties. Senator Pickard suggested that if the boards do not have such authority, the Subcommittee might want to consider the idea.

AGENDA ITEM IX—REPORT FROM THE BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPY ([NRS 640C.150](#)) RELATED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF [ASSEMBLY BILL 179](#) (2017)

Sandy Anderson, L.M.T., Executive Director, Board of Massage Therapy, provided background information on AB 179, which addressed some of the illicit activity that was occurring in the industry and added the reflexology license. She discussed the Board's regulatory process to implement the changes provided in AB 179, and she addressed the following points from the Board's report ([Agenda Item IX](#)):

- The Board uses the International Therapy Examination Council's (ITEC) examinations to guarantee entry-level competency in the industry (Nevada has three ITEC-certified schools);
- Since 2017, the number of licensed reflexologists has declined but the number of licensed massage therapists has increased by 700 licensees;
- The Board has a one-year reserve account, which is kept separate from the Board's daily checking account;
- The Board offers licensure by endorsement;
- Problems with human trafficking and prostitution are present in the industry;
- The Department of Public Safety performs LiveScan finger rolls and background checks, which take about two to three weeks to complete; and
- Additional background checks may be necessary if a licensee has a history of prostitution or a violent crime.

Chair Spearman mentioned [SB 488](#) from the 2017 Session that included enhanced penalties for human traffickers. As one of the primary sponsors of the bill, she said she partnered with several airlines and McCarran International Airport to address human trafficking issues. Chair Spearman suggested the Board consider using SB 488 as part of its training to deter illicit behavior in the industry.

Ms. Anderson said she would look into SB 488. She stated the Board has a regulation pending review in the AG's office that includes training regarding human trafficking as part of its continuing education for licensees. Ms. Anderson said the Board works with local and federal law enforcement to address the issue, and some prostitution cases have gone to trial. She mentioned that she works with the human trafficking task forces in both Las Vegas and Reno, and one of the members is affiliated with the Transportation Security Administration, whom she will contact.

Senator Pickard praised the Board's handling of human trafficking as the model for the state, and he expressed his appreciation for the Board's efforts.

Chair Spearman asked whether the Board is seeking any legislation for the 2021 Session to address any issues uncovered during the implementation of AB 179, to which Ms. Anderson replied the topic has not come before the Board for discussion.

Ms. Anderson then discussed the transgressions of the previous executive director.

Assemblyman Ellison inquired about the statistics for human trafficking cases.

Ms. Anderson shared that multiple investigations have resulted in increased prosecution and penalties for individuals participating in human trafficking. She noted the following statistics: 47 percent of human traffickers are female, 118 illicit locations have been identified in Clark County, and 26 illicit locations have been identified in Washoe County. Ms. Anderson added that federal and local law enforcement are addressing the issues at these locations, and the Board supports their efforts by providing information about licensees and the industry.

AGENDA ITEM X—REPORT FROM THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY ([NRS 628.035](#)) RELATED TO ITS RESERVE POLICY

Viki A. Windfeldt, Executive Director, Nevada State Board of Accountancy, submitted information pertaining to the Board's reserve policy ([Agenda Item X](#)). She reported the Board has made no changes to the policy since its adoption on July 18, 2018. Ms. Windfeldt discussed the following points:

- The Board has had legislative approval since 2009 for “mobility for cross-border practice,” which allows certified public accountants to enter the state and perform services as long as they are licensed in good standing with their home state;
- The Board requires registration if a firm is going to provide an audit service as cross-border practice; the registration is done online and approved the same day;
- The Board provides reciprocity only if a licensee is going to have a physical presence in Nevada; and
- The Board provides immediate endorsement for military members and their spouses.

Responding to Chair Spearman's question regarding the impact of [AB 454](#) (2017) on the number of licensees, Ms. Windfeldt replied that after “practice privilege” went into effect, the initial numbers declined a bit, but they have now flattened. She added the numbers are now consistent for full licensure, either by reciprocity or exam, but she noted a decrease in the licensing population due to the retirement of many licensees.

Chair Spearman suggested an assessment by each professional licensing board of the average age of licensees and those retiring may help the state understand its ability to provide services in the next five to ten years.

AGENDA ITEM XI—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Spearman called for public comment.

Jeanette Belz, Lobbyist, representing the State Board of Cosmetology, reported that per Section 3 of Governor Sisolak's [Emergency Directive 009](#), the Board has provided resource links to its licensees and the public on its social media platforms. She said the Board has prepared and submitted enhanced sanitation guidelines and a video—in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese—to OWINN.

Speaking as a representative for the Nevada Chapter of the Associated General Contractors (AGC) of America, Ms. Belz agreed there are ways to make the Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing more available to the public. She stated the Nevada Chapter of the AGC encourages the Subcommittee to think of ways to enhance the Commission rather than eliminate it altogether.

Chair Spearman reported the remaining entities yet to be reviewed—except for the Nevada Board of Homeopathic Medical Examiners, which she noted has not been fully reappointed per [SB 98](#) (2019)—have submitted the information requested by the Subcommittee. She stated the material is now available on the [Subcommittee's Overview Page](#).

Chair Spearman announced the next virtual meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, June 23, 2020.

AGENDA ITEM XII—ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Subcommittee, the meeting was adjourned at 12:34 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Janet Coons

Manager of Research Policy Assistants

Jennifer Ruedy

Chief Principal Policy Analyst

APPROVED BY:

Senator Patricia (Pat) Spearman, Chair

Date: _____

MEETING MATERIALS

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER/ENTITY	DESCRIPTION
Agenda Item IV	Cesar O. Melgarejo, Senior Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB)	Survey
Agenda Item V A-1	Jennifer Ruedy, Chief Principal Policy Analyst, Research Division, LCB	Background information on the State Apprenticeship Council
Agenda Item V A-2	Craig von Collenberg, Executive Director, Office of Workforce Innovation, Office of the Governor	Responses by the State Apprenticeship Council to Sunset Subcommittee Review Form #1
Agenda Item V B-1	Jennifer Ruedy, Chief Principal Policy Analyst, Research Division, LCB	Background information on the Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing
Agenda Item V B-2	Jim Haining, Co-chair, Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing, and Purchasing Manager, Las Vegas Valley Water District	PowerPoint presentation
Agenda Item V B-3	Jim Haining, Co-chair, Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing, and Purchasing Manager, Las Vegas Valley Water District	Responses by the Commission to Study Governmental Purchasing to Sunset Subcommittee Review Form #1
Agenda Item VI	Charles D. Harvey, M.P.A., Executive Director, Nevada Physical Therapy Board	Report
Agenda Item VII	Julie Strandberg, Executive Director, Chiropractic Physicians' Board of Nevada	Report
Agenda Item VIII	Loretta L. Ponton, Executive Director, Board of Occupational Therapy	Report
Agenda Item IX	Sandy Anderson, L.M.T., Executive Director, Board of Massage Therapy	Report

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER/ENTITY	DESCRIPTION
Agenda Item X	Viki A. Windfeldt, Executive Director, Nevada State Board of Accountancy	Report

The Summary Minutes are supplied as an informational service. All meeting materials are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. For copies, contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Library/About/Contact/feedbackmail.cfm>.