



## **NEVADA LEGISLATURE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE TO OVERSEE THE WESTERN REGIONAL WATER COMMISSION**

**(Senate Bill 487, Chapter 531, *Statutes of Nevada 2007*)**

### **SUMMARY MINUTES AND ACTION REPORT**

---

The third meeting of the Nevada Legislature's Legislative Committee to Oversee the Western Regional Water Commission was held on Monday, March 31, 2008, at 9:30 a.m. in the Washoe County Commission Chambers, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. A copy of this set of "Summary Minutes and Action Report," including the "Meeting Notice and Agenda" ([Exhibit A](#)) and other substantive exhibits, is available on the Nevada Legislature's website at [www.leg.state.nv.us/74th/Interim](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/74th/Interim). In addition, copies of the audio record may be purchased through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Publications Office (e-mail: [publications@lcb.state.nv.us](mailto:publications@lcb.state.nv.us); telephone: 775/684-6835).

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Senator Mark E. Amodei, Chair  
Assemblyman Bernie Anderson, Vice Chair  
Senator Bernice Mathews  
Senator Maurice E. Washington  
Assemblyman David P. Bobzien  
Assemblywoman Heidi S. Gansert

#### **LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:**

Linda J. Eissmann, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division  
J. Randall Stephenson, Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division  
Charity Fowler, Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division  
Janice McClure, Senior Administrative Assistant, Research Division  
Brian Van Geel, Technical Communications Systems Specialist, Broadcast and Production Services  
Aaron Riley, Technical Communications Systems Specialist, Broadcast and Production Services

## **WELCOME AND OPENING REMARKS**

Chair Amodei dispensed with opening remarks and called the meeting to order.

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 25, 2008, MEETING IN RENO**

- The Committee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

VICE CHAIR ANDERSON MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 25, 2008, MEETING HELD IN RENO, NEVADA. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BOBZIEN AND CARRIED. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GANSERT WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.

## **OVERVIEW OF EXISTING WATER SUPPLY FOR WESTERN NEVADA**

- Chair Amodei explained that the purpose of this agenda item was to discuss the water situation of the various jurisdictions surrounding the Truckee Meadows.
- Tracy Taylor, P.E., State Engineer, Division of Water Resources, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, provided a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation ([Exhibit B](#)) pointing out some of the perennial yields of the State's groundwater basins, and he explained the concept of perennial yield. Mr. Taylor also provided a map of hydrographic basins in Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, Lyon, Storey, and Washoe Counties ([Exhibit B-1](#)). He stated that the statistics on the map are estimates for planning purposes and can be updated as additional information is acquired.

In response to Chair Amodei's question whether the Office of the State Engineer had a bi-county listing of water rights that have been granted, Mr. Taylor offered that his office does have a database listing granted water rights.

- Vice Chair Anderson, referencing the hydrographic basins depicted in [Exhibit B-1](#), expressed concern regarding basins within Nevada that straddle county lines and the purview of the State Engineer relative to Nevada hydrographic basins that straddle the California state line.
- Mr. Taylor stated the State Engineer considers California as far as pumpage when making an allocation decision in an area where the hydrographic basin straddles the state line, and he offered to provide further information addressing Vice Chair Anderson's concern.

There was continued discussion between Vice Chair Anderson and Mr. Taylor with respect to various pumpage areas that straddle the California state line.

- Vice Chair Anderson requested information on the groundwater basins in Humboldt and Pershing Counties that parallel the basins depicted in [Exhibit B-1](#) in order to have a better understanding of what the available water is to the west and to the east, as well as in the basins that parallel California.
- Chair Amodei concurred with Vice Chair Anderson's request but clarified that the intent of the request is not based on obtaining water from Humboldt and Pershing Counties. Chair Amodei asked Mr. Taylor to provide the information to Linda J. Eissmann, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB).
- Senator Washington referred to a recent regional development summit that addressed the importation of water to some areas that have ongoing growth and inquired what the State Engineer's role is in working with developers regarding regional development plans, the importation of water, and the basins that cross state or county lines.
- Mr. Taylor responded that it is helpful to the Office of the State Engineer to be involved in such meetings as it enables the State Engineer to receive as much information as possible before making a decision, although the ultimate decision must comply with relevant State statutes.
- Edwin James, General Manager, Carson Water Subconservancy District (CWSD), discussed the following issues during his presentation: (1) the history and structure of the CWSD; (2) the Carson River watershed and its current water situation; (3) balancing water demands; (4) current programs; (5) regional programs, projects, and planning activities; (6) municipal water use; and (7) United States Geological Survey projects. (Please see [Exhibit C.](#))
- Chair Amodei asked what the existing balance is between master plans and water supplies in the counties within the jurisdictions of the CWSD.
- Mr. James said that although he reviews all of the master plans of the counties within the CWSD jurisdiction, each county representative will speak individually on the balance between its master plan and water supply.

There was discussion among Mr. James and Committee members regarding: (1) the policy of community systems interties; (2) what the percentage of water in the Lahontan reservoir is from the Carson River and Alpine County; (3) the water rights requirement when land moves from agricultural use to developmental use; (4) the feasibility of developing upstream storage to handle the projected population growth; (5) the use of effluent for agricultural purposes; (6) interlocal agreements for water treatment; and (7) the development of policies and procedures for water purveyors.

- Larry Werner, City Manager, Carson City, discussed Carson City's water planning efforts. Mr. Werner stated that Carson City does not require developers to bring water

rights as Carson City has the water rights for its population. He said Carson City has interties in place with Lyon County and for emergency supplies. Mr. Werner added that Carson City is currently working on interties with Douglas County. He stressed that there is no single groundwater source that is totally contained within Carson City and each of Carson City's five basins extends into another area.

- Senator Washington inquired whether the 6,700 acre-feet of water in the Eagle Valley basin would be sufficient to sustain the growth and development under way in Carson City.
- Mr. Werner replied that Carson City has water rights in the southern end of the Washoe Valley basin; water from the Marlette/Hobart system through an agreement with the State of Nevada; water rights in the Dayton Valley groundwater basin; and water rights in the Carson Valley groundwater basin. He declared that the cumulative effect provides Carson City with a sufficient water supply.

Responding to Senator Washington's question regarding the amount of water available to Carson City from these resources, Mr. Werner stated that there is approximately 18,000 acre-feet.

- Chair Amodei asked if it was an accurate reflection of Mr. Werner's testimony that Carson City has the water supply it needs to meet its present master plan projections.
- Mr. Werner replied that Carson City does not have the water supply but rather the rights to develop it. He said as Carson City's population increases, the needed water supply will then be developed.

Responding to Senator Amodei's question regarding whether Carson City has the water rights to enable it to supply a population up to 80,000 based on what is on file in the Office of the State Engineer, Mr. Werner affirmed that Carson City does have the water rights.

- Robin Williamson, Ward 1 Supervisor, Carson City Board of Supervisors, Carson City, discussed the Carson City and Lyon County interties. She stated that Carson City has an active open space program whereby one of its top priorities is to acquire property along the Carson River for recreation and other open space opportunities. She indicated that in approaching ranchers along the Carson River, the City found that the water rights were more valuable than the property. Therefore, in working with the Vidler Water Company, Carson City acquired the ranch land for its open space program and the Vidler Water Company acquired the water rights.
- Vice Chair Anderson asked if Carson City has an obligation, based upon the water supply coming into the system from the Vidler Water Company, to provide Douglas County with a certain amount of water even if there is a diminished supply of water in Carson City.

- Mr. Werner responded that there is no commitment on the part of Carson City to provide water to Douglas County. He said that it is up to the Vidler Water Company and Lyon County to provide the water as it is their water. Mr. Werner pointed out that Carson City receives the benefit of using the water during peak usage periods to reduce the impact on its system, but it is not necessary for Carson City's growth. Mr. Werner indicated that if there is a diminished water supply in Douglas County that it does not necessarily mean there is a diminished water supply in Carson City.

Discussion ensued between Senator Washington and Mr. Werner regarding water rights relative to agricultural balance. During the discussion, Mr. Werner pointed out that the demand for reclaimed water exceeds the supply and that Carson City uses its effluent primarily on its golf courses and parks, and other than sending some to the Nevada State Prison farm for agricultural use, very little effluent is exported out of Carson City.

There was discussion between Chair Amodei and Mr. Werner as to the relationship of Carson City with the State and the amount of water supplied to Carson City from the Marlette Lake Water System.

- Mr. Werner affirmed in response to Senator Mathews' query about Virginia City's water source that Marlette Lake is Virginia City's only water source.
- Eleanor Lockwood, Planning Director, Churchill County, advised that Churchill County has been involved in water resources and master planning for more than 30 years; has a team of people involved in the planning, protection, and implementation of water resources; and, although Churchill County has only recently started its own water system and wastewater treatment plant, it has substantial planning documents. (Please see [Exhibit D](#).)
- Bjorn "BJ" Selinder, retired county manager of Churchill County, provided a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation ([Exhibit E](#)) regarding the existing water supply for western Nevada that highlighted: (1) water resources planning; (2) existing water resources; (3) projected population and water demand; (4) water right dedication; (5) the existing municipal systems; and (6) conservation efforts.

Discussion ensued between Senator Mathews and Mr. Selinder regarding the water level at the Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge (SNWR) with Mr. Selinder sharing that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is acquiring water rights for application in the SNWR management area for water fowl yield.

- Chair Amodei asked for a summation of Churchill County's position on its master plan and water resource plan.
- Mr. Selinder replied that Churchill County is concerned about the availability of water resources versus build-out and is attempting to proactively address these issues.

He pointed out that as water diversions are reduced in the Lahontan Valley, Churchill County will lose groundwater recharge, which is a potential problem and why Churchill County has sought other water resources outside the Valley, yet within the County, for many years.

- Ms. Lockwood added that Churchill County is particularly concerned because of the desert climate and the necessity to carefully manage its water resources. She stated that with Churchill County developing a water system, it has the opportunity to learn from the mistakes as well as the best planning practices of the surrounding jurisdictions.
- Senator Washington asked if there was a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between Churchill County and the Naval Air Station (NAS) Fallon to collaborate in the development of the County's water system.
- Mr. Selinder replied that the agreement is with the City of Fallon and that NAS Fallon, in conjunction with the City of Fallon, developed the water treatment system to provide arsenic removal from the water source that is primarily used by the City of Fallon.
- Steve King, Assistant City Attorney, City of Fallon, discussed the City of Fallon's water system and the City's arsenic treatment plant, which was a plant developed specifically to remove arsenic from the water supply. Mr. King said that the arsenic treatment plant was a joint project with NAS Fallon, although the City of Fallon and NAS Fallon maintain their own separate systems and separate water rights.
- Vice Chair Anderson commented on the strategic location of NAS Fallon relative to the collection of groundwater and inquired how the City of Fallon perceives the impact the population growth in the City of Fallon, as well as the area between the City of Fallon and Fernley, will have on the water resources.
- Mr. King responded that the City of Fallon has no contractual relationship with NAS Fallon as to its water rights or its water system. However, the City of Fallon does have a relationship with NAS Fallon in treating water to remove arsenic from its system. Mr. King stated that the City of Fallon respects uses of existing water rights.

There was a discussion between Senator Washington and Mr. King regarding whether there is an MOU between the City of Fallon and NAS Fallon to develop and supply water and handle water treatment for the City of Fallon.

- Vice Chair Anderson asked if there was water developed from NAS Fallon controlled in Dixie Valley, would the City of Fallon object to it being brought into Lahontan Valley.
- Mr. King replied that to the extent there are surface water rights under the Alpine and Orr Ditch Decrees that are proposed to be transferred out of the Newlands Project, which have been the historic recharge areas for the groundwater rights the City of

Fallon uses to service water utility to move upstream either on the Carson River or on the Truckee River, it is a matter of policy that the City of Fallon might oppose.

Discussion ensued between Senator Washington and Mr. King regarding the City of Fallon's estimated acre-feet of water needs based on projected population growth and whether those estimates include water conservation, effluent, and reuse.

- Carl Ruschmeyer, P.E., Public Works Director, Douglas County, provided a general overview of the water resources in the Carson Valley ([Exhibit F](#)). Mr. Ruschmeyer discussed an inventory of the water rights and recharge studies that have been done in the past in terms of available "wet water" and how these relate to the Douglas County master plan.
- Vice Chair Anderson asked if Douglas County planning is linked to the availability of water or to the water that Douglas County controls and if the 2 percent growth factor is an arbitrary number selected by the Douglas County Commission.
- Mr. Ruschmeyer replied that the 26,828 parcels he referred to in his presentation are existing parcels based on current land use and zoning. Regarding the 2 percent growth factor, Mr. Ruschmeyer stated it is consistent with an initiative put into place by the voters, as well as the Douglas County master plan.

There was discussion between Mr. Ruschmeyer and Senator Washington with regard to the amount of acre-feet of water needed for Douglas County's projected growth; from where the additional water rights might be obtained; and the estimated acre-feet of recharged water.

- Dennis Stark, County Manager, Lyon County, stated that Lyon County is planning for a lot of development and anticipates having its master plan completed by the spring of 2009.
- Mike Workman, Utilities Director, Lyon County, pointed out that Lyon County's service area is mainly in the Dayton and Moundhouse vicinity. He added that Lyon County operates the Silver Springs General Improvement District wastewater treatment system and a couple of small water systems near Yerington. Mr. Workman went on to discuss Lyon County's interlocal agreement; drought management; the County's water and wastewater master plan; working with the CWSD in modifying the County's conservation plans; water audits; and testing meters. Mr. Workman stated that Lyon County requires developers to bring their water rights to the County for new construction.
- Bob Milz, Member, Board of County Commissioners, Lyon County, stated that the County has allowed for growth based on the availability of water and that the County has an existing water and sewer master plan that will be taken into consideration as it develops its new land use master plan.

- Vice Chair Anderson inquired if the water plans only pertain to Dayton and Moundhouse.
- Mr. Workman explained that the cities of Fernley, Silver Springs, Stagecoach, and Yerington have their own water systems that are not governed by the County.

There was discussion between Mr. Workman and Senator Washington regarding the water systems of Fernley and Yerington.

- Responding to Senator Mathews' concern that environmental issues are considered when discussing and studying water matters, Mr. Workman agreed and discussed new designs with regard to wetlands and trails.
- Responding to Vice Chair Anderson's inquiry whether Lyon County is considering the allocation of water relative to growth in the County, Mr. Stark responded that each region of the County contributes to the master planning process.
- Greg "Bum" Hess, Chairman, Board of Commissioners, Storey County, discussed: (1) the growth limitations within Storey County; (2) new pipelines; (3) improvement in the water treatment plant; (4) mining operations; and (5) the continuing growth of the Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center.
- Pat Whitten, Storey County Manager, Storey County, discussed: (1) the Marlette Lake Water System; (2) the County's general improvement districts; (3) Storey County's master plan and (4) the lack of available water for growth inside the county, particularly where it applies to density outside of the Marlette Lake Water System.

There was discussion among Vice Chair Anderson, Mr. Hess, and Mr. Whitten about water quality in the Rainbow Bend area; its relationship to the Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center development; and monitoring of the effluent into the Truckee River.

- Senator Washington questioned, with regard to continuing development of the Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center, if Storey County has entered into agreements with surrounding counties to assure that housing needs will be met with adequate water supply.
- Mr. Hess replied that Storey County does not have an MOU with any of the surrounding counties regarding residential housing. He said that Storey County approved a development for about 4,000 homes, which will five-fold the population of Storey County, and that development is being monitored closely as the County is not pro-growth relative to residential development.
- Jim Smitherman, Water Resources Program Manager, Washoe County Regional Water Planning Commission, provided a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation regarding



water resources in southern Washoe County ([Exhibit G](#)). Mr. Smitherman pointed out that the Tracy Segment basin is shared between Storey and Washoe Counties, so the 11,500 acre-foot per year yield estimate would be shared between the two counties. He added that the 11,500 acre-foot amount is currently under protest.

Responding to Senator Washington's question regarding who initiated the protest of the 11,500 acre-foot per year yield in the Tracy Segment basin, Mr. Smitherman was of the understanding that the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe initiated the protest.

Mr. Smitherman continued his presentation discussing: (1) shared basins; (2) the water flowing factor; (3) permit constraints for transferring water rights; (4) domestic wells; (5) undesirable effects of development of groundwater; (6) service water sources; and (7) tributary creeks.

Discussion ensued among Senator Mathews, Vice Chair Anderson, Senator Washington and Mr. Smitherman regarding the following matters: (1) an inventory of water rights purchased with a home; (2) whether sufficient water exists to continue the current rate of growth and what Washoe County bases its estimation of growth upon; and (3) reasons for the denial of the Aqua Trac project.

#### **DISCUSSION OF CONSERVATION PROGRAMS UNDERTAKEN BY THE SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY (SNWA) AND PROGRAMS CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THE TRUCKEE MEADOWS**

- Richard Holmes, Director of Environmental Resources, SNWA, provided a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation ([Exhibit H](#)) on water conservation by the SNWA and highlighted the following matters during his presentation: (1) SNWA's mission and member agencies; (2) current water resources for SNWA; and (3) conservation measures.
- Doug Bennett, Conservation Manager, SNWA, continued the presentation ([Exhibit H](#)) discussing SNWA's water conservation efforts. He pointed out that SNWA's conservation programs are relative to southern Nevada's desert environment.

Responding to Senator Mathews regarding a comparison of water use for residential swimming pools versus watering lawns, Mr. Bennett responded that properly managed pools are fairly efficient as decking and non-vegetative landscaping contribute to lower water use. He also noted that SNWA provides rebates for homeowners who use pool covers to reduce evaporation.

Mr. Bennett continued SNWA's presentation discussing: (1) conservation incentives; (2) regulatory development standards; (3) rate history; (4) water waste investigations; (5) education and outreach; (6) partnership programs; and (7) successful implementation and enforcement of water conservation programs.

There was a discussion among Vice Chair Anderson, Mr. Holmes, and Mr. Bennett regarding: (1) additional water being drawn from Lake Mead into the Las Vegas Valley; (2) the amount of SNWA's overall budget dedicated to enforcement and development of its standards; and (3) how well SNWA is able meet Clark County's development in terms of water delivery.

Discussion ensued among Senator Washington, Mr. Bennett, and Mr. Holmes regarding how northern Nevada can learn from and emulate the successes of southern Nevada's water conservation efforts.

- Due to time constraints, Vice Chair Anderson asked Mr. Erwin and Mr. Smitherman to defer their presentations on this agenda item to the Committee's June 9, 2008, meeting.

### **BRIEFING CONCERNING ALLOCATIONS OF THE TRUCKEE RIVER SYSTEM AND DROUGHT PLANNING IN THE TRUCKEE MEADOWS**

**(As directed by Vice Chair Anderson, this agenda item would be addressed at the Committee's June 9, 2008, meeting.)**

### **GENERAL OVERVIEW OF VOTER INITIATIVE TO TIE LAND USE PLANNING IN WASHOE COUNTY TO AVAILABILITY OF WATER RESOURCES**

**(As directed by Vice Chair Anderson, this agenda item was taken out of order.)**

- Bob Fulkerson, State Director, Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada (PLAN), said PLAN's petition proposes to correct the problem that anticipates more growth than available water resources. He stressed that wildlife habitat will remain threatened as growth continues. Mr. Fulkerson indicated that the goal of PLAN's ballot question is to preserve the quality of life by revising growth plans so water resource availability is not exceeded and thereby reduce the cost of unfunded public services and infrastructure and the threat to wildlife.

In response to Vice Chair Anderson's opinion that northern Nevada is not following conservation efforts as aggressively as southern Nevada, Mr. Fulkerson concurred and pointed out that the water saved in northern Nevada benefits Pyramid Lake and helps protect wildlife and riparian habitat.

Responding to Senator Mathews' question about an inventory of residential water usage, Mr. Fulkerson was of the opinion that private water companies or developers would have water usage data.

### **STATUS OF PROTESTS AFFECTING WATER APPLICATIONS IN WASHOE COUNTY**

- Vahid Behmaram, Water Rights Manager, Washoe County Department of Water Resources, provided a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation ([Exhibit I](#)) regarding:

(1) hydrographic basins in Washoe County; (2) the County's comprehensive plan and development code; (3) significant components of Nevada water law; and (4) the status of protests on recent Washoe County projects.

In response to Senator Mathews' question regarding the proximity of the Juniper Hill project to the Aqua Trac project, Mr. Behmaram was of the understanding that the Aqua Trac project was in Pershing County in the basin east of the Juniper Hill project.

Responding to Vice Chair Anderson regarding his question about the theory versus the reality of how much water is available through the interbasin transfers, Mr. Behmaram stated the accuracy of determining available resources has improved because of recent technology and data gathering such as was available with the Honey Lake project. Ultimately, Mr. Behmaram opined, long-term pumpage data will be instrumental to more accurately estimate available water resources.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- Upon call of the Vice Chair, there was no public comment.

Discussion ensued among Committee members and J. Randall Stephenson, Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division, LCB, regarding Senate Bill 487 and concerns about the status of the Western Regional Water Commission Joint Power's Agreement.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 2:08 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Janice McClure  
Senior Administrative Assistant

---

Linda J. Eissmann  
Principal Research Analyst

APPROVED BY:

---

Assemblyman Bernie Anderson, Vice Chair

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A](#) is the “Revised Meeting Notice and Agenda,” provided by Linda J. Eissmann, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB).

[Exhibit B](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Overview of Existing Water Supply Northern Nevada,” provided by Tracy Taylor, P.E., State Engineer, Division of Water Resources, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (SDCNR), and Kelvin Hickenbottom, P.E., Deputy State Engineer, Division of Water Resources, SDCNR.

[Exhibit B-1](#) is a document titled “Hydrographic Basins of Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, Storey, Lyon, and Washoe Counties,” provided by Tracy Taylor, P.E., State Engineer, Division of Water Resources, SDCNR.

[Exhibit C](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Carson Water Subconservancy District (CWSD),” provided by Edwin James, General Manager, CWSD.

[Exhibit D](#) is a document titled “Overview of Existing Water Supply for Western Nevada,” provided by Eleanor Lockwood, Planning Director, Churchill County.

[Exhibit E](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Overview of Existing Water Supply for Western Nevada,” provided by Bjorn “BJ” Selinder, retired county manager of Churchill County.

[Exhibit F](#) is a document titled “Overview of Water Resources in the Carson Valley,” provided by Carl Ruschmeyer, P.E., Public Works Director, Douglas County.

[Exhibit G](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Southern Washoe County Water Resources,” provided by Jim Smitherman, Water Resources Program Manager, Washoe County Regional Water Planning Commission.

[Exhibit H](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Southern Nevada Water Authority Water Conservation,” provided by Richard Holmes, Director of Environmental Resources, Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), and Doug Bennett, Conservation Manager, SNWA.

[Exhibit I](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint document titled “Status Report on protests,” provided by Vahid Behmaram, Water Rights Manager, Washoe County Department of Water Resources.

This set of “Summary Minutes and Action Report” is supplied as an informational service. Exhibits in electronic format may not be complete. Copies of the complete exhibits, other materials distributed at the meeting, and the audio record are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. You may contact the Library online at [www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm) or telephone: 775/684-6827.