# Nevada Wildlife Action Plan

### What is a wildlife action plan?

Congress asked each state to develop a wildlife action plan, known technically as a comprehensive wildlife conservation strategy. These proactive plans examine the health of wildlife and prescribe actions to conserve wildlife and vital habitat before they become more rare and more costly to protect.

#### Nevada snapshot

Geography: Nevada's diversity of life is derived from its geography; the many mountain ranges are effectively isolated from one another by arid and treeless basins. Nevada's borders encompass about 71 million acres, making it the seventh largest state.

Landscape: The federal government administers 86% of the land base.

Wildlife: Among the 50 states, Nevada ranks eleventh in overall biological diversity and is ranked fifth in the number of species extinctions.

#### Nevada's planning approach

To develop the Nevada Wildlife Action Plan, the Nevada Department of Wildlife partnered with the Nature Conservancy's Nevada Chapter, the

Lahontan Audubon Society, and the Nevada Natural Heritage Program. A grant from the Nevada Division of State Land's Question One Conservation Bond program was awarded to assemble Nevada's Wildlife Action Plan.

With the help of experts from all taxonomic fields, the Plan Team identified a total of 263 Species of Conservation Priority, including 72 bird species, 49 mammal species, 40 fish species, 20 reptiles, 7 amphibians, 74 gastropods, and 1 bivalve. GIS and documented occurrences of wildlife species within Nevada's landscapes were used to identify key areas essential to the conservation of fish and wildlife species.

Using data derived from the Southwest Regional Gap Analysis Project, the Plan Team organized the various ecological systems of the state into 27 key habitat types. Multi-level strategies were devised for these 27 key habitats that integrate

> conservation needs for species categories, as well as for individual species. Each strategy describes the habitats, their values to wildlife, land uses within the habitat and problems facing the species and habitats. This information provides support to the goals, objectives and actions that follow. The Plan Team derived objectives and actions from existing conservation plans, where avail-

able, and supplemented with new strategies, where necessary, in consultation with species experts and conservation partners. The draft strategy sections also underwent extensive expert review. Each strategy includes a list of key conservation partners, programs, and projects likely to fulfill the objectives for each key habitat, and each identifies preliminary focal areas for action through an intuitive process involving coordination with partners and concurrent

planning processes.



Greater sage-grouse/USFWS



"Given Nevada's tremendous popu-

lation growth and

its resulting devel-

opment of open

spaces, this Wildlife Action Plan is

critical. The effort

is cost-effective

because it aims to

conserve wildlife

before species be-

come rare and more

costly to protect,

thereby inhibiting


Nevada's economic

progress."

EXHIBIT G-2 Treasures

Entire document provided.

A copy of the complete document is available through the Research Library (775/684-6827) or e-mail Meeting Date 3/10/06 library@lcb.state.nv.us).



Nevada is uniquely challenged in developing effective wildlife conservation programs in part because of its arid climate, geography and relative scarcity of water

resources, which has created a unique endemic biota easily subject to threats and stressors. Throughout Nevada, water is a scarce and valuable resource essential for both human needs and maintenance of wildlife and their habitats. Consequently,

the alteration of hydrologic resources is a significant source of stress to wildlife resources. Nevada is also one of the fastest growing states in the nation, with human population creating a need for additional development into open space, causing habitat loss. Invasive, exotic and feral species are one of the most critical problems facing both terrestrial and aquatic species and habitats in Nevada.

One of the most critical ecological processes threatening wildlife conservation

in Nevada today is the rapid conversion, due to wildfire, of sagebrush, Mojave, and shadscale shrub habitats to invasive annual grasses and forbs. The invasion of such aggressive species as cheatgrass, red brome, and medusa head converts rangelands to much more frequent fire cycles for which the exotic species are better adapted. Over time, these more frequent burn patterns select against native vegetation, eventually achieving permanent type conversion. Each year, if prudent, immediate action to re-seed with appropriate seed mixes is not taken, more native rangeland will be burned by wildfire and exposed to extreme risk of invasion by exotic grasses and forbs. Fire rehabilitation at this scale is expensive, however, and many of the techniques for success are still being formulated.

#### Working together for Nevada's wildlife

Public involvement and partnership development was facilitated throughout all development phases of the Plan. Open house meetings and focus group workshops were

| Wildlife   | Total number of species | Species of conservation priority* | Threatened/endangered  |  |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Mussels    | 5                       | 1                                 | i e de la companya d |  |
| Snails     | 95                      | 74                                | 0  |  |
| Crayfish   | 5                       | 0                                 | 0  |  |
| Fish       | 122                     | 40                                | 23   |  |
| Amphibians | 15                      | 7                                 | 0  |  |
| Reptiles   | 15                      | 7                                 | 0  |  |
| Birds      | 467                     | 72                                | 3  |  |
| Mammals    | 143                     | 49                                | 0  |  |


<sup>\*</sup> Species of Conservation Priority were determined through the implementation of three separate matrix evaluations — one each for nongame terrestrial animals, game animals, and aquatic animals — generally following standard species prioritization theory such as practiced by Partners In Flight. Consideration was given for state Natural Heritage Program rankings, as well as federal or state listing status. Each evaluation matrix process employed its own ranking criteria, but all considered the degree of threat facing a species, our current knowledge of the species' life history and conservation need, and some kind of evaluation of the opportunity to effect significant conservation given the Nevada conservation partnership's interest in the species. For birds, additional consideration was given to species that had already been identified as priorities in some other conservation planning process at either the state, regional, or continental level.

Wildlife highlights

| Highlight<br>habitats  | Wildlife (examples)      | Issue (examples)               | Action (examples)  |   |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Sagebrush  | Greater sage-grouse      | • Wildfire type conversion     | • In collaboration with the BLM  |   |
| Ownership:<br>Public 86%   | Brewer's sparrow         | Depletion of understory        | and Forest Service, update fire<br>response plans for all districts using<br>the latest information gathered from                              |   |
| Private 14%  | Pygmy rabbit             |                                | collaborative wildlife conservation planning efforts such as the   |   |
|  | Sagebrush vole           |                                | Governor's Sage Grouse Conservation Team. Prioritize areas for rapid fire  |   |
|  |                          |                                | response; set fire response objectives for all lands in a district.  | e de la companya de<br>La companya de la companya de l |
|  |                          |                                | Improve understory condition and<br>diversity of native forb communities<br>through progressive grazing<br>management. Design grazing          |   |
|  |                          |                                |  |   |
|  |                          |                                | management strategies that initiate range recovery while providing the   |   |
|  |                          |                                | compensations necessary to maintain livestock operation objectives.  |   |
| Rivers and<br>Streams<br>Ownership:<br>Public 43%<br>Private 57% | Lahontan cutthroat trout | * Interruption of natural      | Develop new and implement existing strategies to address and eliminate   |   |
|  | Willow flycatcher        | flow  * Degradation of habitat | potential movement barriers to reconnect fragmented stream habitat   |   |
|  | Northern leopard frog    | quality                        | complexes.   |   |
|  | Northern river otter     | • Invasive plants              | Develop riparian wildlife objectives<br>and best management practices;<br>incorporate into NRCS Nevada WHIP<br>Plan; in cooperation with NRCS, |   |
|  |                          |                                |  |   |
|  |                          |                                | develop wildlife consultation services that provide quantified wildlife outputs  |   |
|  |                          |                                | for NRCS project proposals.  |   |
|  |                          |                                | •Support actions by land management partners and local governments to  |   |
|  |                          |                                | control invasive and noxious plants and weeds.   |   |
| Aspen<br>Woodland  | Northern goshawk         | Stand regeneration             | • Develop aspen regeneration<br>strategies at the landscape scale with   | Samuel Sa    |
|  | - Columbia spotted frog  | Water table maintenance        | consideration for the preservation of active Northern Goshawk territories in   |   |
| Ownership:<br>Public 88%<br>Private 12%                          | • Mule deer              |                                | project design and implementation.   |   |
|  |                          |                                | • Avoid spring development in and directly above aspen woodlands that  |   |
|  |                          |                                | withdraws water beyond sustainable levels.   |   |
| commanded a  | ctions to conserve Neva  | data wildlifa                  |  |   |

"Our lands hold a wide and wonderful variety of wildlife and wildlife habitat. Threatened and endangered species serve as a red flag for the overall health of our environment. We need to save this habitat to benefit the health of both wildlife and people, and to preserve those things which are so important to many of our family traditions."

Terry Crawforth, Director,
 Nevada Department of Wildlife




held across the state in order to get input and advice from the broadest possible array of conservation partners, including federal and state resource agencies, county governments, tribes, sportsmen's groups, environmental groups, conservation organizations and others. In all, more than 150 individuals representing over 60 organizations attended the open houses and workshops. In May of 2005, a final partnership group including members from the Governor's Sage Grouse Conservation Team was convened. This group developed a set of guiding principles for the Action Plan writing team to consider while preparing the Draft Plan. Nevada's Wildlife Action Plan Team stayed in close contact, and coordinated,

with federal land management agencies and Tribal governments throughout the development of the Strategy.

"Nevada's Wildlife Action Plan stands as a model for what public and private interests can achieve when they put their differences aside and work in partnership. The action strategies presented in the plan provide opportunities for conservationists and resource managers to work from the same playbook, toward the same goal: the long-term conservation of Nevada's wildlife and their habitats."

Kathryn Landreth, State Director,
 The Nature Conservancy in Nevada



Yucca forest/USFWS

IAFWA
David Chadwick
Wildlife Diversity Associate
444 North Capitol St., NW
Suite 725
Washington D.C., 20001
Tel: 202.624.7890
chadwick@iafwa.org
www.teaming.com • www.iafwa.org

State Contact
Larry Neel, Staff Specialist
Nevada Department of Wildlife
1100 Valley Road
Reno, NV 89512
Tel: 775.688.1525
neel@ndow.org
www.ndow.org
www.ndow.org/wild/conservation/cwcs/





## Nevada State Wildlife Grant Success Stories

In the five years since its inception, the State Wildlife Grants Program has played an important role in the conservation of Nevada's wildlife. The following are some of the projects funded through State Wildlife Grants:

Restoration: Working with Partners to Bring Back Wildlife and Natural Areas Sustaining Agriculture's Benefits to the Long-billed Curlew

The long-billed curlew, the largest of all North American shorebirds, has been declining and is considered a highly imperiled species. State Wildlife Grants have been used to study how agriculture has benefited the long-billed curlew by providing good habitat. This research led to the recognition that Nevada's ranchers have played an important stewardship role in maintaining the long-billed curlew. Continued investment of State Wildlife Grant funds will help build a landowner incentive program to ensure the long-term health of the species and cement Nevada's significant stewardship role in management efforts.

Management: Proactive Measures that Benefit Wildlife and People
Amargosa Toad Partnership

Found only in the upper Amargosa River in Nevada, the Amargosa toad was petitioned for endangered species listing in the 1990's, in part from a lack of adequate information on its status and abundance. With the assistance of State Wildlife Grant funds, the Nevada Department of Wildlife has been able to gain a better understanding of where the Amargosa toad lives and how many are left. This information will aid efforts to work with local communities to develop a habitat protection plan that will accommodate local development needs. These cooperative actions are a model for how partnerships can benefit both wildlife and community development.

Research: Gathering Information to Take Action
Nevada Partners in Flight

Biologists and decision makers need up-to-date information in order to make the most cost-effective wildlife management decisions. Nevada Partners in Flight, a consortium of more than ten agencies and organizations, is working to provide that information through a long-term statewide bird monitoring program. Sharing ownership of the data from this study is one of the most important steps toward sharing the conservation vision for the Nevada landscape. The program is poised to grow into a comprehensive bird monitoring program that will help conserve Nevada's birds for future generations.

Long-billed Curlew, Photo Courtesy of Alex Hartman



Amargosa Toad, Photo Courtesy of Dr. C.R. Tracy



Bird Watcher, Photo Courtesy of Elisabeth Ammon

Congress has appropriated \$6 million in State Wildlife Grants to Nevada since 2001.
This has been matched with at least \$1.5 million state and partner dollars.