



**MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
OF THE  
LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO  
STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS  
(Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 2  
[File No. 6, *Statutes of Nevada 2001 Special Session*])  
June 14, 2002  
Carson City, Nevada**

---

The fourth meeting and Work Session of the Legislative Commission's Subcommittee to Study Categories of Misdemeanors (Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 2 [File No. 6, *Statutes of Nevada 2001 Special Session*]) for the 2001-2002 interim was held on Friday, June 14, 2002, commencing at 9 a.m., in Room 3137, of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, and videoconferenced to the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, Room 4401, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. Pages 2 and 3 contain the "Meeting Notice and Agenda."

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN CARSON CITY:**

Assemblyman Mark A. Manendo, Chairman  
Senator Terry Care  
Senator Mike McGinness  
Senator Maurice E. Washington  
Assemblyman Bernie Anderson  
Assemblyman John C. Carpenter

**LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT IN CARSON CITY:**

Michelle L. Van Geel, Senior Research Analyst  
Bradley A. Wilkinson, Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel  
Kelly E. Lee, Deputy Legislative Counsel  
Bonnie Borda Hoffecker, Senior Research Secretary

**MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA**

Name of Organization:	Legislative Commission's Subcommittee to Study Categories of Misdemeanors (Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 2 of the 17 <sup>th</sup> Special Session)
Date and Time of Meeting:	Friday, June 14, 2002 <b>9 a.m.</b>
Place of Meeting:	Legislative Building

Room 3137  
401 South Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada

Note: Some members of the Subcommittee may be attending the meeting and other persons may observe the meeting and provide testimony, through a simultaneous videoconference conducted at the following location:

Grant Sawyer State Office Building  
Room 4401  
555 East Washington Avenue  
Las Vegas, Nevada

*If you cannot attend the meeting, you can listen to it live over the Internet. The address for the legislative Web site is <http://www.leg.state.nv.us>. For audio broadcasts, click on the link "Listen to Meetings Live on the Internet."*

## A G E N D A

- I. Opening Remarks  
Assemblyman Mark A. Manendo, Chairman
- \*II. Approval of Minutes of the April 19, 2002, Meeting
- III. Public Comment
- \*IV. Work Session—Discussion and Action on Recommendations to the 72<sup>nd</sup> Session of the Nevada Legislature

*The Work Session Document is available on the Nevada Legislature Web site ([www.leg.state.nv.us](http://www.leg.state.nv.us)) at the Subcommittee [home page](#), or it may be obtained by contacting Michelle L. Van Geel, Senior Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, at (775) 684-6825.*

- V. Adjournment

\*Denotes items on which the Subcommittee may take action.

Note: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Research Division of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, in writing, at the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701-4747, or call Bonnie Borda Hoffecker at (775) 684-6825 as soon as possible.

Notice of this meeting was posted in the following Carson City, Nevada, locations: Blasdel Building, 209 East Musser Street; Capitol Press Corps, Basement, Capitol Building; City Hall, 201 North Carson Street; Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street; and Nevada State Library, 100 Stewart Street. Notice of this meeting was faxed for posting to the following Las Vegas, Nevada, locations: Clark County Office, 500 South Grand Central Parkway; and Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue. Notice of this meeting was posted on the Internet through the Nevada Legislature's Web site at [www.leg.state.nv.us](http://www.leg.state.nv.us).

## OPENING REMARKS

Chairman Manendo called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. At the direction of the Chairman, the Subcommittee's secretary called roll.

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE APRIL 19, 2002, MEETING**

Chairman Manendo asked for approval of the minutes of the April 19, 2002, meeting.

**SENATOR MCGINNESS MOVED FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS HELD ON APRIL 19, 2002, IN CARSON CITY. ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION, WHICH CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

*Mike Murphy*

Mike Murphy, Deputy Chief, City of Las Vegas, Department of Detention and Enforcement, Las Vegas, affirmed that the City of Las Vegas has approved the recommended changes concerning the graffiti issue.

### **WORK SESSION—DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE 72<sup>ND</sup> SESSION OF THE NEVADA LEGISLATURE**

Chairman Manendo referred to the Subcommittee's "Work Session Document" and the "Appendix to the Work Session Document" (Exhibits A and B). The recommendations contained in the "Work Session Document" are listed below in italics and precede the actions of the Subcommittee.

#### ***RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE MEASURES BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS***

- 1. Draft legislation to make any crime committed by a prisoner in a prison facility a felony. (Proposed by Bernie Romero, Sheriff, White Pine County)***

*Sheriff Romero indicated his jail has problems when ex-prisoners are sent to his jail to serve time for misdemeanor crimes committed in prison. For instance, if a prisoner commits a misdemeanor crime in prison, the prisoner will go to jail to serve the misdemeanor sentence once the prison sentence is completed. Sheriff Romero indicated his jail is full of "hardened criminals" who should be in prison, not jail.*

Assemblyman Anderson recommended the Subcommittee draft a letter to the Chairmen of the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, the Senate Committee on Finance, and the Senate and Assembly Committees on Judiciary. The letter would discuss the potential problem of ex-prisoners being sent to jail to serve time for misdemeanor crimes committed in prison. The letter would also bring to the committees' attention the potential financial impact of keeping offenders in prison.

Senator McGinness requested a copy of the letter also be sent to the Sheriff and the County Commissioners of White Pine County.

**ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE CHAIRMEN OF THE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS, THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE, THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY COMMITTEES ON JUDICIARY, AND THE SHERIFF AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WHITE PINE COUNTY, INFORMING THEM OF THE PROBLEM OF EX-PRISONERS SENT TO COUNTY JAILS TO SERVE TIME FOR**

**MISDEMEANOR CRIMES COMMITTED IN PRISON. SENATOR MCGINNESS SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL MEMBERS VOTING AYE EXCEPT SENATOR WASHINGTON WHO WAS ABSENT FROM THE ROOM AT THE TIME OF THE VOTE.**

**2. *Draft legislation to create an additional category of harm, such as “serious bodily harm” similar to the State of Utah’s law. (Proposed by Dick Hingson, Private Citizen, Utah)***

*In a letter dated January 30, 2002, Mr. Hingson informed the Subcommittee of a battery committed against him while traveling on an Amtrak train near Elko, Nevada. Mr. Hingson suggests that if Nevada’s law were similar to the State of Utah’s in its definition of substantial bodily harm or serious bodily harm, his assailant may not have been released and/or skipped bail.*

Assemblyman Carpenter stated the incident with Mr. Hingson was unfortunate; however, it does not appear to warrant the type of action Mr. Hingson requested.

Senator Care concurred with Assemblyman Carpenter and indicated that Nevada’s definition of “substantial bodily harm” is similar to Utah’s definition of “serious bodily harm.” Senator Care recognized the efforts of Mr. Hingson in bringing the issue to the Nevada Legislature.

There was no action taken on Recommendation 2.

**3. *Draft legislation to revise the definition of “bodily injury.” (Proposed by former State Senator Ernest E. Adler, Carson City)***

*Mr. Adler informed the Subcommittee of numerous situations where people slightly touched their spouse and were convicted of domestic violence. Mr. Adler recommends adopting language similar to the State of Utah’s definition of “bodily injury” where “physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition” is required.*

Assemblymen Anderson and Carpenter, and Senator Care agreed that the state domestic violence laws are adequate and should remain unchanged.

There was no action taken on Recommendation 3.

**4. *Draft legislation to remove mandatory jail time for first time domestic violence offenders. (Proposed by former State Senator Ernest E. Adler, Carson City)***

*The reasoning behind this recommendation is similar to that of Recommendation 3. Mr. Adler testified of numerous situations where clients slightly touched their spouse and they were convicted of domestic violence and had to serve time in jail. If the definition of bodily injury is not changed, another option to solve this problem would be to give judges discretion during sentencing.*

In response to a question by Senator McGinness, Assemblyman Anderson stated that the mandatory jail time statute was passed, in part, during the 1997 Legislative Session and amended in the 1999 Legislative Session. The judges, particularly municipal and justices of the peace, had indicated during the previous legislative session a desire to have greater judicial discretion, as discussed during the Subcommittee’s first hearing. Since that time, the judges have decided to wait to determine how the statute is working.

***Gerald Gardner***

Gerald Gardner, Chief Deputy of the Criminal Justice Division, Office of the Attorney General, Las Vegas, stated in his experience in prosecuting domestic violence cases with the Clark County District Attorney’s Office the 48-hour mandatory sentence and mandatory bail for first time offenders was instituted as a way to provide a “cooling off” period for the suspect and allow the victim to seek a safe haven. Typically, in the Clark County Justice Court, the

mandatory jail time for a first time offense domestic battery conviction is often substituted for 48 hours of community service after the offender has served the initial cooling off jail period. The cooling off period may consist of 12, 18, or 24 hours at which time the offender would be released on bail. The justice of the peace in Clark County, will frequently allow the defendants to serve the remaining hours as community service. Mr. Gardner indicated he was unsure if it is a formal policy to offer community service or an informal procedure in Clark County. He concluded that judges do have judicial discretion in domestic violence cases about which Senator McGinness inquired.

### ***Max Bunch***

Judge Max Bunch, President of the Nevada Judges Association, Battle Mountain, Nevada, explained that the law requires judges to sentence suspects of domestic violence to two days in jail, and in addition, to not less than 48 hours or more than 120 hours of community service. He opined that rural judges are seeking discretion in mandatory sentencing since rural areas have difficulty finding qualified treatment counselors for the mandatory certified classes in rural areas. Judge Bunch stated the cost of treatment was between \$300 to \$500. The rural areas have one certified program in Elko; the cost is approximately \$1500 to participate in the 26-week treatment program. In addition to the cost of the program, proximity also causes problems for individuals. Transportation from Battle Mountain to Elko consists of 146 miles round trip once a week for an hour and a half meeting. Judge Bunch explained that in the mining industry, employees work seven days on and seven days off; therefore, it is not possible for those individuals to attend the required treatment meetings each week. However, the statute is clear, declaring an individual must attend treatment once a week, for an hour and a half each week for 26 consecutive weeks.

### ***Nancy E. Hart***

Nancy E. Hart, Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, Reno, indicated that the Attorney General's Office is aware of the challenges the rural communities are having with the availability of treatment. She suggested that the Subcommittee convey to the Committee on Domestic Violence, a committee appointed by the Attorney General, its concerns on behalf of judges that the treatment services in rural areas are costly and only available at great distances in some cases. This would enable the Committee on Domestic Violence to have solid evidence of the needs to be addressed.

In response to a question posed by Senator McGinness, Ms. Hart indicated there are over 50 certified programs statewide, and the Committee on Domestic Violence is of the opinion that every part of the state is covered; however, it does require some travel by individuals in the rural communities. There has been tremendous growth in the treatment programs through allowing distance education via satellite locations in the rural communities.

Senator McGinness noted the addition of drug courts in Nevada has allowed individuals residing in and around Reno or Las Vegas with drug convictions to attend drug court while still residing in their homes and maintaining their jobs. However, in the rural communities that same individual would spend time in prison since no drug courts are available in the rural communities. It is evident there are disparities in the rural communities, and he suggested the Subcommittee consider relaxing the timetable for treatment.

Ms. Hart suggested the judges in the rural communities communicate their concerns with the Committee on Domestic Violence to see if the committee could provide an adequate solution.

Senator McGinness recommended the Subcommittee draft a letter to interested parties to initiate dialogue to improve the availability and flexibility of treatment in the rural areas.

Assemblyman Carpenter agreed with providing flexibility of treatment and indicated that once the Committee on Domestic Violence is aware of treatment problems encountered in the rural communities, the committee and judges may come to a solution on the issue.

Assemblyman Anderson suggested adding to the letter a provision stating that if the treatment program is located beyond a specific number of miles in distance from the first-time offender, the judge may have the discretion to sentence the offender to flexible hours of treatment.

Chairman Manendo clarified that flexibility in treatment would involve only first-time offenders.

**SENATOR MCGINNESS MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE COMMITTEE ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, SUGGESTING THEY IMPROVE THE AVAILABILITY AND FLEXIBILITY OF TREATMENT FOR FIRST-TIME OFFENDERS IN RURAL COMMUNITIES. ASSEMBLYMAN CARPENTER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL MEMBERS VOTING AYE EXCEPT SENATOR WASHINGTON WHO WAS ABSENT FROM THE ROOM AT THE TIME OF THE VOTE.**

- 5. *Draft legislation to provide the Attorney General's Office with prosecutorial responsibility for criminal violations of provisions of chapters of NRS pertaining to certain boards and commissions. (Proposed by Kimberly M. Rushton, Chief Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, Las Vegas)***

*Ms. Rushton recommended designating the Attorney General's Office as the primary prosecutor/investigator for cases concerning occupational and licensing boards, since the Attorney General's Office is most familiar with the topic. Ms. Rushton stated this would benefit the state as well as the state's occupational and licensing boards. She indicated this recommendation would not result in a "turf battle" with the municipalities since the municipalities currently only assess civil fines or institute disciplinary proceedings—they do not presently refer cases for prosecution.*

***Charlotte M. Bible***

Charlotte M. Bible, Assistant Chief, Civil Division, Office of the Attorney General, Las Vegas, in response to a question by Assemblyman Anderson, indicated this change would not cause problems with the legal counsel who currently sit on the boards since the boards are represented by a deputy attorney general.

Senator Care supported Recommendation 5 and asked for some assurance that the City of Las Vegas agrees with the recommendation. In response, Ms. Bible indicated the Las Vegas District Attorney's Office approved the recommendation.

***Ben Graham***

Ben Graham, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Clark County Office of the District Attorney, Las Vegas, noted that both Washoe and Clark Counties have discussed this issue and would encourage the Subcommittee to move forward with the recommendation.

**SENATOR CARE MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT LEGISLATION TO PROVIDE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE WITH PROSECUTORIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF PROVISIONS OF CHAPTERS OF NEVADA REVISED STATUTES PERTAINING TO CERTAIN BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS. ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

- 6. *Draft legislation to repeal certain outdated laws. (Proposed by Chairman Mark A. Manendo, Subcommittee to Study Categories of Misdemeanors)***

*At the direction of Chairman Manendo, staff reviewed the list of misdemeanors provided to the Subcommittee for antiquated statutes that may be repealed.*

**SENATOR CARE MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION'S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT LEGISLATION TO REPEAL OUTDATED LAWS NRS 207.163 (SECTION 1 AND PART OF SECTION 3), "REFUSAL TO RELINQUISH PARTY LINE OR PUBLIC**

**TELEPHONE FOR EMERGENCY CALL UNLAWFUL; FALSE DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY TO OBTAIN USE OF TELEPHONE UNLAWFUL” NRS 452.290, “PASTURING LIVESTOCK IN CEMETERY UNLAWFUL; PENALTY” AND NRS 575.030 “SHEARING SHEEP WITHIN CITY OR TOWN.” SENATOR MCGINNESS SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Senator McGinness noted that individuals have cited that NRS 644.473, “Unlawful acts relating to cutting of men’s hair” is an improper restraint of trade. Assemblyman Anderson stated there was a historical problem and he indicated it was a difficult topic involving licensing issues.

**SENATOR MCGINNESS MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION’S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT LEGISLATION TO REPEAL AN OUTDATED LAW RELATIVE TO NRS 644.473, “UNLAWFUL ACTS RELATING TO CUTTING OF MEN’S HAIR.” ASSEMBLYMAN CARPENTER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON VOTING NAY.**

7. *Draft legislation to increase the penalties for the crime of graffiti. (Proposed by Stan Olsen, Lieutenant/Government Liaison, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas)*

*Mr. Olsen testified that millions of dollars of private property is damaged as a result of graffiti in Clark County each year. Although many of the perpetrators are caught, Mr. Olsen indicated that when the cost of the damage is disseminated among the property owners, a felony charge would be reduced to a misdemeanor and then would not be worth prosecuting.*

Chairman Manendo stated that the graffiti in his district for both private and business properties has increased dramatically. He indicated there is a team of volunteers that clean up graffiti in the community and Clark County has recently hired a second person to remove graffiti. He noted that he would like to provide additional tools for law enforcement to deal with graffiti cases.

Assemblyman Carpenter suggested having the Subcommittee request a bill draft that would add “tougher” penalties for graffiti. Both Senator Care and Assemblyman Anderson indicated they would like additional information before supporting the bill; however, the topic is appropriate and worthwhile.

Assemblyman Carpenter indicated the bill draft could be drawn up and additional testimony could be provided during the legislative session.

**ASSEMBLYMAN CARPENTER MOVED THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION’S SUBCOMMITTEE TO STUDY CATEGORIES OF MISDEMEANORS ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION TO DRAFT LEGISLATION TO INCREASE THE PENALTIES FOR THE CRIME OF GRAFFITI. ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

#### ***RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OTHER POSSIBLE COMMITTEE ACTIONS***

8. *Draft a letter on behalf of the A.C.R. 2 Subcommittee to law enforcement officers and prosecutors in Nevada reminding them of the discretion they have in domestic violence cases.*

*Various people relayed stories to the Subcommittee of situations where people have been charged and convicted of domestic violence even though the events leading to the arrest and conviction were not a case of domestic violence.*

In response to a question by Senator Washington, Ms. Hart provided the Subcommittee with a copy of a memorandum from Sue Meuschke, Executive Director, Nevada Network Against Domestic Violence (Exhibit C). Ms. Hart offered the language from the memorandum to help draft a letter to law enforcement or prosecutors.

Senator Care noted that in only 52 percent of the incidences of domestic violence an arrest is made. The reason the other 48 percent were not arrested was because the perpetrator had left the area. Mitigating circumstances is the discretion used by law enforcement. The prosecutor has discretion since statutorily he is required to have a good faith basis for proceeding with the prosecution. Senator Care disagreed that the judge has discretion in the sense that he did not agree that “reasonable doubt” was a discretionary call. Either the evidence points to a conviction, or it does not. Senator Care inquired about how law enforcement officers and prosecutors are informed of changes to the laws.

Responding to Senator Care’s question, Ms. Hart stated the Attorney General’s Office has been involved in extensive training of law enforcement officers with regard to new laws and amended laws. The Attorney General’s Office is beginning to offer training to prosecutors, and they work closely with the prosecution advisory counsel, which is a statewide prosecution training effort.

### ***Jim Nadeau***

Jim Nadeau, representing Washoe County Sheriff’s Office, Reno, responded to Senator Care’s question pertaining to training offered to law enforcement with regard to new and amended laws, and he explained that significant training is provided for new recruits on domestic violence. Additionally, all law enforcement officers attend annual training addressing changes in domestic violence laws. He indicated that domestic violence training is one of the top issues followed by lethal force instruction.

In response to a question by Senator Washington, Mr. Nadeau noted that a verbal disagreement does not constitute domestic violence. He stated officers are trained that there must be some type of evidence that there was a physical altercation for an arrest to be made.

Ms. Hart indicated the law requires the officer have probable cause that an unlawful use of force or violence had occurred. Whether or not there is bodily injury evident to the officer is not required.

### ***Stan Olsen***

Stan Olsen, Lieutenant/Government Liaison, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, confirmed additional training is provided to law enforcement with regards to domestic violence, new laws, and amendments to the existing laws. Training is an ongoing process.

Ms. Hart explained that the Attorney General’s Office is also working closely with the Commission on Peace Officers’ Standards and Training (POST), and others involved in POST training to ensure training is broad based.

Senator Washington indicated he would like to hear testimony from a judge or public defender about discretion in the severity of the sentence for domestic violence.

### ***Max Bunch***

Judge Max Bunch, previously identified on page 7 of these minutes, responded to Senator Washington’s question, and stated the issue judges are faced with is the mandatory 12-hour hold when there is an arrest up to 12 months later. For example, if the perpetrator is arrested at 8 a.m. and the case is heard at noon, the only action the judge can take is to reduce the bail after the 12-hour hold is finished. Judge Bunch suggested the Subcommittee allow judges some leeway in the mandatory 12-hour hold if the perpetrator is arrested several months after the initial warrant is issued.

Senator Care explained that the people of Nevada, through their elected representatives, decided that once there is a conviction the courts would not have much discretion and other jurisdictions have imposed similar laws through their elected bodies, for example the “three strikes” ruling in other states. He opined that from the arrest until the conviction there is discretion with the law enforcement officers and the prosecutors. He stressed that any letter that is written should be educational not contentious. Senator Care recommended that the Subcommittee not take action on this issue.

There was no action taken on Recommendation 8.



## **ADJOURNMENT**

Chairman Manendo thanked the public for their participation. He also thanked the staff and Subcommittee members for their hard work. There being no further business to come before the Subcommittee, Chairman Manendo adjourned the meeting at 10:57 a.m.

Exhibit D is the “Attendance Record” for this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Bonnie Borda Hoffecker  
Senior Research Secretary

Michelle L. Van Geel  
Senior Research Analyst

APPROVED BY:

---

Mark A. Manendo, Chairman

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## **LIST OF EXHIBITS**

Exhibit A is a document dated June 14, 2002, submitted by Michelle L. Van Geel, Senior Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, titled “WORK SESSION DOCUMENT.”

Exhibit B is a document dated June 14, 2002, submitted by Michelle L. Van Geel, Senior Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, titled “APPENDIX TO THE WORK SESSION DOCUMENT.”

Exhibit C is a memorandum dated June 12, 2002, to Chairman Mark Manendo and Subcommittee Members, Subcommittee to Study Categories of Misdemeanors, from Sue Meuschke, Executive Director, Nevada Network Against Domestic Violence, titled “Nevada’s Domestic Violence Laws.”

Exhibit D is the “Attendance Record” for this meeting.

Copies of the materials distributed in the meeting are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. You may contact the library at (775) 684-6827.