

From: John Quandt [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:28 PM

To: Research Generic Mailbox <research@lcb.state.nv.us>

Subject: JLOE committee request to be placed on agenda

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

[Learn why this is important](#)

Hello Haley, Nicolas and Lisa

I have attached a letter requesting your support for including the Article V Convention of States resolution on the Joint Legislative Operations and Elections Committee agenda for 2022. Nevada COSAction team leaders including myself plan on attending the April 1 meeting tomorrow in both Las Vegas/Carson City and will be prepared to provide additional information.

I have also attached two documents which provide easy access to answers and rebuttals regarding the arguments made in opposition to calling an Article V Convention of States.

I have already sent these documents to each of the committee members. I look forward to future Article V discussions with yourselves and the committee members.

Best regards,

John D Quandt
Legislative Liaison
State of Nevada

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]





CONVENTION *of* STATES ACTION NEVADA

Dear Haley Proehl, Nicolas Anthony and Lisa Gardner

My name is John Quandt Legislative Liaison at Convention of States Action Nevada.

I am contacting members of the Joint Legislative Operations and Elections Committee (JLOE) to request that an Article V Convention of States resolution proposal be included on upcoming committee meeting agenda(s).

The Convention of States (COS) Project is a grassroots organization with over 5.2 million supporters working to save our country. It is driven by citizens whose goal is to call an Article V Convention for proposing amendments limited to three topics; fiscal restraints on federal spending, restricting federal power and jurisdiction, and federal term limits. A Convention of States Resolution does not call for a *Constitutional* Convention. It is to propose amendments germane only to these three topics.

The Nevada COS team is aware that in the 79th session SJR10 repealed all legislation supporting Article V. The team would like you and your colleagues to reconsider this position by including the [COS Resolution](#) on the JLOE 2022 agenda. The team is ready to provide new up-to-date documentation and testimony in support of the resolution.

Recently many state representatives have changed their position in favor of a COS resolution see [SC State Senator Garrett](#) explain why. 19 states have now passed a resolution. Over 23,000 Nevada voters have signed a petition supporting the Convention of States Project encouraging Nevada's legislature to pass an Article V resolution in the 2023 82nd General Session.

The founders understood federal government officials would be susceptible to corruption threatening state authority and people's rights. It is why they provided a means for the States to call an Article V Convention. It is the duty of US citizens and elected state representatives to secure our rights and stop a federal government determined to erode them. Convention of States Nevada encourages you to join in our efforts to fulfill that duty.

Click for here for more COS details [Legislator Resources](#) or contact me directly with any questions and to discuss the [COS Project](#) in greater detail 425 213-3386,

Thank you so much for your service to the people of Nevada.

Respectfully,
John D Quandt


www.cosaction.com



Why both the Liberal and Conservative Establishment of Nevada Oppose COS:

<p>They believe our current Constitution was “illegally adopted” as a result of a “runaway convention.” They are mistaken. (Video) →</p>	
	<p>Liberals have engaged in a disinformation campaign for decades, and the Conservative ← Establishment has swallowed it whole.</p>
<p>The sincere patriots in both these factions haven’t taken time to seek answers to the 21 most common questions about COS. →</p>	
	<p>Dark money sources with deep pockets keep persuading them that trusting and using the Constitution’s Article V <i>somehow</i> undermines ← & goes against the Constitution. (Video)</p>
<p>FACT: Almost every radical, progressive, Marxist group in the US opposes using an Article V Convention of States. →</p>	



[Sign the Petition](#)



[PDF Links](#)

14 Facts Debunking Liberal and Conservative Establishment Opposition to COS:

<p>The George Soros funded Common Cause has assembled 250 leftist organizations to oppose an Article V Convention of States for proposing amendments limiting federal spending, power, jurisdiction and terms of office. →</p>	 <p>1</p>
<p>2</p> 	<p>The liberal establishment, subsidized significantly by these groups, has engaged in a disinformation campaign about Article V's provisions for decades, which the ← conservative establishment has swallowed whole.</p>
<p>Dark money sources with deep pockets fuel the disinformation campaign, persuading them that trusting and using the Constitution's Article V <i>somehow</i> undermines & goes against the Constitution. (Video) →</p>	 <p>3</p>
<p>4</p> 	<p>← Establishment politicians say a Convention of States might go rogue, claiming as an example our Constitution was "illegally adopted" in 1787--a result of a "runaway convention." They're mistaken on both counts. (Video)</p>
<p>The above video, based on research presented in the peer-reviewed Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy, exposes the baseless contradiction of those claiming to defend a Constitution they say is "illegitimate." →</p>	 <p>5</p>
<p>6</p> 	<p>← David Horowitz, former leftist & premier scholar on their tactics, asserts Soros is laughing while misinformed conservatives claim they're "saving the Constitution from being rewritten" by opposing an Article V COS.</p>

<p>Both liberal and conservative establishment politicians claim the rules governing a convention of states to propose amendments are unknown at best, or would be controlled by Congress at worst. They are mistaken. →</p>		 <p>7</p>
<p>8</p> 	<p>← Some conservative groups have argued that the 10th Amendment allows an individual state to “nullify” any unconstitutional federal law, but the only real provision for nullification by the states is found in Article V.</p>	
<p>Some have suggested Congress might simply refuse to call an Article V Convention of the States, even if it receives 34 applications from state legislatures for a convention. However, this is a matter of settled law. →</p>		 <p>9</p>
<p>10</p> 	<p>← Charles J. Cooper, a long-time constitutional law attorney for the National Rifle Association, outlines why an Article V Convention of States poses no threat to our 2nd Amendment right to keep and bear arms.</p>	
<p>An Article V Convention of States is not a “constitutional convention.” It only has power to propose amendments to our current Constitution, which must fit the scope of the applications and be ratified by 38 states—by law. →</p>	 <p>11</p>	
<p>12</p> 	<p>Some establishment politicians and well-meaning patriots claim the Framers never intended amendments to be used to address problems with government. ← Again, they are mistaken. (Video)</p>	
<p>Some who oppose COS reason, “If DC doesn’t follow the Constitution now, why would they follow an Amendment?” This perspective ignores the historical effect of the clarifying language Amendments provide. →</p>	 <p>13</p>	
<p>14</p> 	<p>← Like Justice Scalia, decide whether it is better to trust the motives & intent of the Framers of our Constitution & what they established in Article V or the agendas & ambitions of today’s establishment politicians. (Video)</p>	