

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Senior Citizens, Veterans and Adults With Special Needs](#)
Subject: Fwd: Cameras for our loved ones
Date: Monday, February 23, 2026 8:34:38 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Dan & Janice Kemple

"Today will soon be yesterday, make memories for tomorrow"

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dan & Janice Kemple** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Feb 23, 2026 at 8:30 PM
Subject: Cameras for our loved ones
To: <SeniorVetaAwsN@state.nv.us>

Hello,

I am a guardian for my disabled sister who lives in her own apartment and uses Danville Services for 24/7 care. I have had nothing but push back and issues when it comes to having cameras in her apartment, mostly from DRC. I do not understand this. Any other lessee can have cameras. Why is this different?

Why is this so important to her well being and safety?

1. In the past she has had graveyard staff that invited friends to come in and visit after Kathleen went to bed. COMPLETELY unacceptable!
2. She has had staff sneak and hide in her bathroom to smoke when smoking is not allowed on property and Kathleen is held responsible for her 'guests' or staff and she was presented with a warning from her landlord.
3. Men's underwear and socks were found on the ground right outside of her patio door leading directly into her bedroom.
4. Staff have been observed taking bags of "groceries" out of the apartment with no explanation.
5. Staff had an extra key made and would come and go in and out of the apartment when Kathleen was not at home.
6. A "crazy" neighbor has numerous times pounded on her door screaming unreasonable complaints such as her dryer was too loud, etc and threatened Kathleen.
7. Kathleen herself does not know boundaries with a mental disability of an elementary age child and will allow anyone to enter in spite of continued reminders to not open her door to strangers.
8. Kathleen has been known to "pass out" or have a seizure and staff was in another room unaware and unable to explain.
9. Staff has been reported leaving Kathleen alone at night to go off property to have a smoke.
10. Former staff have returned to 'visit' Kathleen and "exchange" property with her which is clearly exploitation.

All of these situations would have been addressed without challenge if it was on camera. In the meantime we have been fortunate that witnesses have been able to confirm these violations. It may sound as if we have bad service with a support agency however, note that Kathleen has had FOUR different service providers in the past three years. You can guess why given the report card mentioned above. Currently Danville Services is her provider.

It is discriminatory to not allow cameras for Kathleen yet cameras are in child care facilities, school buses, classrooms, and her own neighbors to have cameras, those that are not supported with DRC funds. To clarify again, Kathleen lives in an apartment setting that was designed and built specifically for disabled adults. SHE is on the lease, it is NOT a provider controlled supported living arrangement.

Kathleen has said she wants the cameras. We, as her guardians and family, want the cameras. Even though a camera does not 100% prevent violations from happening it will offer a certain level of peace of mind, protection for staff from false accusations, explanations for medical emergencies and evidence that may be needed for law enforcement. Who can predict what issues will present themselves in the future as we are still unprotected without surveillance. How can this be okay?

I write this to implore your consideration to support families so desperately needing your help to allow cameras in the homes of these individuals. There should NOT be limitations that hinder the safety of our loved ones.

Best,

Dan & Janice Kemple

"Today will soon be yesterday, make memories for tomorrow"