

NEVADA LEGISLATURE SUNSET SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION

(Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 232B.210)

SUMMARY MINUTES AND ACTION REPORT

The third meeting of the Nevada Legislature's Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission was held on Tuesday, February 9, 2016, at 1 p.m. in Room 3137 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 4401 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. A copy of this set of "Summary Minutes and Action Report," including the "Meeting Notice and Agenda" (Exhibit A) and other substantive exhibits. is available on the Nevada Legislature's http://www.leg.state.nv.us/interim/78th2015/committee/. In addition, copies of the audio or video record are available through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Publications Office (e-mail: publications@ lcb.state.nv.us; telephone: 775/684-6835) and may be available online at http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Granicus/.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN CARSON CITY:

Senator James A. Settelmeyer, Chair Senator Donald G. Gustavson William "Buzz" Harris Teresa Rankin

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN LAS VEGAS:

Assemblyman Glenn E. Trowbridge, Vice Chair Senator David R. Parks Assemblywoman Irene Bustamante Adams Assemblyman Erven T. Nelson

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:

Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal Research Analyst, Research Division James W. Penrose, Senior Principal Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division Michael K. Morton, Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division Janet Coons, Principal Administrative Assistant, Research Division

Items taken out of sequence during the meeting have been placed in agenda order.

AGENDA ITEM I—OPENING REMARKS

Chair Settelmeyer called the meeting to order.

AGENDA ITEM II—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Settelmeyer called for public comment.

The following Nevada residents testified regarding the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (PUCN):

- Ann Bednarski, Carson City (Exhibit B);
- Linda Buckardt, Henderson (Exhibit C);
- Lynn Chapman, State Vice President, Nevada Families for Freedom, State Affiliate National Eagle Forum, Sparks (Exhibit D);
- Juanita Cox, Reno (Exhibit E);
- Angel De Fazio, Las Vegas (Exhibit F);
- Carole Fineberg, Reno (Exhibit G);
- Jonathan Friedrich, Las Vegas (Exhibit H);
- Bruce Kittess, Carson City (Exhibit I);
- A. Jane Lyon (Exhibit J);
- Joannah Schumacher (Exhibit K);
- Richard A. Schweickert, Ph.D., Emeritus Professor, University of Nevada, Reno (Exhibit L);
- Sheila Z. Stirling, Ph.D., Las Vegas;
- John Vettul, Carson City (Exhibit M); and
- Fred Voltz, Carson City (Exhibit N).

Public comments regarding the PUCN addressed the following topics: (1) net metering; (2) the appointment, terms, and qualifications of the Commissioners; (3) possible violations of the Open Meeting Law (OML); (4) the qualifications of the executive director; (5) public participation at meetings; (6) the number of attorneys; (7) suggested bias toward corporations; (8) the call for an investigation of administrative actions; (9) problems with smart meters; (10) the size of the budget and staff; (11) the need for an oversight board; (12) streaming meetings on the Internet; (13) notifications for disruption in service; (14) overcharging captive rate payers; (15) updating the Consumer's Bill of Rights; (16) NV Energy prepayment programs; and (17) the implementation of Senate Bill 151 (Chapter 59, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*).

The following Nevada residents testified regarding the Taxicab Authority (TA), Department of Business and Industry (DBI):

- Jason Awad, owner, Lucky Cab Company;
- Lisa A. Blair, employee, Vegas Western Cab Company, Frias Transportation Management;
- Carlos Pena Bracamontes, Western Cab Company;
- Donald L. Drake (Exhibit O);
- Richard Grey, shop steward, Industrial, Technical, and Professional Employees Union; and employee, Henderson Taxi;
- Sam Moffitt, chief shop steward, Yellow Checker Star Transportation (Exhibit P);
- Phillip Rodman, employee, Western Cab Company;
- Binyam Semereab, representing the Las Vegas Drivers Association;
- Danae Smith, employee, A-Cab Company; and
- An unidentifiable employee, Yellow Checker Star Transportation.

Public comments regarding the TA addressed the following topics: (1) allocations of permanent medallions; (2) the number of taxicabs; (3) fuel surcharges; (4) the \$3 credit card fee; (5) a committee to study the TA; (6) the decreasing number of rides and wages; (7) the audit of the TA by the Division of Internal Audits (DIA), Office of Finance (OF), Office of the Governor (OG); (8) competition with transportation network companies (TNCs); (9) unrestricted cabs; (10) the possibility of renting taxicabs; (11) corruption in the TA; (12) no reimbursement for gas charges; (13) forced shifts; (14) customer run-outs; (15) drivers not

protected from the TA; (16) credit card goals; (17) long-hauling; (18) labor strife; (19) kickbacks; and (20) diversion of customers.

Jeremy Aguero, Principal, Applied Analysis, informed the Subcommittee that the Livery Operators Association contacted him to review the audit of the TA by the DIA. He said it would take several months to complete his review.

Neil Tomlinson, Managing Partner, Hyperion Advisors, stated he would assist Mr. Aguero with his analysis of the internal audit. He said he found the audit report to be misleading regarding the fuel surcharges and the credit card fee. Mr. Tomlinson discussed the history of the fuel surcharge index.

Michael D. Hillerby, Director of Legislative Affairs, Kaempfer Crowell, representing Lyft, discussed Assembly Bill 175 (Chapter 278, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*) and A.B. 176 (Chapter 279, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*), which legalized TNCs. He also discussed the Nevada Transportation Authority's (NTA) regulation process as it pertains to the TNC industry.

John Griffin, testifying on behalf of Uber, stated the NTA has been tough, fair, and thorough in the regulation process of TNCs. He commended the NTA on the speed in which it adopted the regulations.

John Wagner, Chair, Independent American Party of Nevada, questioned the need for the Committee on Anatomical Dissection, Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE), and the New Energy Industry Task Force, Office of Energy (OE), OG. He suggested the TA should be combined with the NTA.

Tina W. Tsou, Secretary, Las Vegas Dental Association, presented a letter on behalf of Adrian Ruiz, D.D.S., regarding the Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada (Exhibit Q).

John A. Hunt, Esquire, Morris Polich & Purdy, LLP, and General Counsel, Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada, reminded the Subcommittee that he provided the Board's *Disciplinary Screening Officer Manual* at its December 15, 2015, meeting. He suggested that the individuals who have brought complaints before the Office of the Attorney General (AG) have compromised the public's safety, and they all had the opportunity to seek a full board hearing with the advice of counsel. Mr. Hunt stressed the Board's job is to protect the public and ensure the due process of licensees is enforced.

David W. Carter stated he will be running for District No. 2 of the State Board of Education in November (Exhibit R).

AGENDA ITEM III—APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 15, 2015, IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA

MOTION: Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams moved to approve the minutes of the meeting held on December 15, 2015, in Carson City. The motion was seconded by Senator Gustavson and passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM IV—SELECTION OF BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND SIMILAR ENTITIES FOR REVIEW

A. State Board of Education (NRS 385.021)

Carol M. Stonefield, previously identified, verified the membership of the State Board for Career and Technical Education, which is scheduled for review this interim, is comprised of the same members as the State Board of Education.

MOTION: Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams moved to approve the review of the State Board of Education during the 2015–2016 Interim. The motion was seconded by Senator Parks and passed unanimously.

B. Committee on Anatomical Dissection (NRS 451.360), Nevada System of Higher Education

Ms. Stonefield reminded Subcommittee members that the Committee on Anatomical Dissection was reviewed by the Sunset Subcommittee in 2012, and the Subcommittee recommended continuation with some modifications to clarify the scope of the Committee. She reported that the Committee's meetings and agendas are now posted on NSHE's website.

MOTION: Mr. Harris moved to withdraw his request to review the Committee on Anatomical Dissection during the 2015–2016 Interim. The motion was seconded by Senator Gustavson and passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM V—PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE TERMINATION, MODIFICATION, CONSOLIDATION, OR CONTINUED OPERATION OF CERTAIN ENTITIES PURSUANT TO NRS 232B.240

A. Taxicab Authority (NRS 232.510 and 706.8818), Department of Business and Industry (Exhibit S)

Bruce Breslow, Director, DBI, introduced Ronald Grogan, Administrator, TA, DBI. Mr. Breslow clarified the duties of the TA and the NTA and described the changing environment of the transportation industry.

Mr. Grogan discussed the organizational structure of the TA, which is comprised of the board and the staff.

Subcommittee members, Mr. Grogan, Mr. Breslow, and Ileana Drobkin, Chair, TA, DBI, discussed the following topics: (1) the number of taxicabs and drivers; (2) the number of vehicle inspectors; (3) the vehicle inspection process; (4) the number of accidents involving taxicabs; (5) the funding and expenses of the TA; (6) the vacancy on the board of directors; (7) the treatment of taxicab drivers; (8) the enforcement of regulations; (9) public surveys of drivers; (10) the Senior Ride Program; (11) the appointment and duties of the board and the chair; (12) modernization of technology; and (13) coordination with the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada.

Assemblyman Nelson questioned the finding in the DIA's audit that states field investigators are performing duties normally conducted by local law enforcement agencies. Mr. Grogan said the TA is taking steps to address those findings by rewriting the TA's enforcement policies. He suggested amending NRS is not necessary but rather more staff training is needed.

Chair Settelmeyer noted the Subcommittee would not schedule a work session of the TA until the audit by Jeremy Aguero, previously identified, is completed.

Discussion ensued regarding the \$3 credit card fee. Chair Settelmeyer questioned why the TA authorized the fee in January 2010 when the Nevada Legislature did not authorize the fee until June 2011. Mr. Breslow said the TA issued an order on April 6, 2010, making the \$3 fee standard. He stated the board developed regulations regarding the fee in 2011 after the law passed. Ms. Drobkin stated Neil Tomlinson, previously identified, could provide an explanation regarding why the fee was acted upon before the Governor signed the bill.

Bruce A. Aguilera, Esquire, Board Member, TA, DBI, discussed the relationship between the taxicab drivers and the casinos. He said other states look to Nevada's transportation industry.

Assemblyman Nelson questioned why the TA regulates only Clark County and the NTA regulates the rest of the State.

Mr. Breslow replied that no other states regulate taxicabs like Nevada. He said the DIA's audit recommended folding the TA into the NTA or allowing Clark County to run it, both of which will be discussed by the DBI. Mr. Breslow explained current law gives equal authority to the TA's board and the director of the DBI. He mentioned that neither NRS nor *Nevada Administrative Code* (NAC) provides provisions for the duties or the appointment of the chair and the board members.

Vice Chair Trowbridge requested data clarifying the number of hours worked and runs made by taxicab drivers.

B. Nevada Transportation Authority (NRS 232.510 and 706.1511), Department of Business and Industry (Exhibit T)

Ann Wilkinson, Chair, NTA, DBI, stated the mission of the NTA is to ensure the safety and convenience of the traveling public through the licensure and enforcement of passenger transportation under its jurisdiction, which includes limousines, charter buses, household goods movers, tow companies, taxicabs outside Clark County, and TNCs. She identified the staff divisions, provided a statistical update on the NTA since its review by the Subcommittee during the 2013–2014 Interim, and shared a status report on TNCs.

Discussion took place regarding whether the NTA has enough staff to oversee the TNCs in addition to its other duties. Ms. Wilkinson provided an update on eight new positions approved by the Interim Finance Committee (NRS 218E.400).

A conversation ensued regarding the potential consolidation of the TA with the NTA. Mr. Breslow said this would be a policy decision by the Governor's Office and the Legislature. He explained the funding that currently goes to the TA would have to cross over to the NTA, and discussions would be needed regarding the organizational structure and enforcement.

Mr. Breslow and Ms. Wilkinson addressed questions from the Subcommittee members regarding TNC drivers from other states.

C. Executive Branch Audit Committee (NRS 353A.038), Division of Internal Audits, Department of Administration* (Exhibit U)

Steve Weinberger, C.P.A., Administrator, DIA, OF, OG, explained the makeup and the objectives of the Executive Branch Audit Committee (EBAC). He discussed how audits are selected and approved, the number of audit recommendations implemented, and how the State benefits from audit recommendations. Mr. Weinberger noted the DIA moved from the Department of Administration to the OG in July 2015.*

Mr. Weinberger explained the Committee as a whole must approve the audits before they can be initiated. He requested the Subcommittee consider allowing the Governor, as Chair of the EBAC and Chief Executive of the State, to direct the DIA to perform an audit of an agency without having to obtain approval from the entire EBAC, and any audits requested by the Governor would be presented to the EBAC when completed.

Chair Settelmeyer questioned whether there should be limits to the number of audits the Governor could request.

Assemblyman Nelson asked whether the EBAC performed the audit on the TA, to which Mr. Weinberger replied it did.

Chair Settelmeyer, Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams, Ms. Rankin, and Mr. Weinberger discussed the implementation of recommendations. Mr. Weinberger said some of the audit recommendations require changing the laws, which explains why 10 percent of the recommendations are not implemented. He stated follow-up reports regarding the implementation of recommendations are presented at EBAC meetings and are available upon request; all audit reports are posted on the EBAC's website. Ms. Rankin shared that agencies forward recommendations for legislation during the budget process to the Budget Division, OF, OG. She said if recommendations are rejected, the agencies decide whether to pursue legislation via a legislator or the industry.

Ms. Rankin and Mr. Weinberger discussed NAC 353A.100 regarding required training for administration of budgetary accounts. Mr. Weinberger said a modification has been proposed for training to take place within 90 days and eliminating the five-year requirement.

D. Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (NRS 703.020) (Exhibit V)

This agenda item was taken out of order.

Stephanie D. Mullen, Executive Director, PUCN, introduced Anne-Marie Cuneo, Director of Regulatory Operations, PUCN, and Carolyn (Lina) Tanner, Commission General Counsel, PUCN.

Ms. Cuneo presented an overview of the PUCN, which highlighted: (1) the makeup of the PUCN; (2) the utilities regulated; (3) types of cases; and (4) public involvement at meetings (Exhibit W).

Chair Settelmeyer, Ms. Cuneo, and Ms. Tanner discussed proprietary, confidential information. Ms. Cuneo clarified that any information contained in the utilities' filings and public testimony is on the PUCN's website, but a staff investigation is not considered public information until a recommendation is made to the PUCN. Ms. Tanner stated that confidential information is stored according to law, normally with a five-year window, at which time the information can be released back to the individual or relayed to archives.

Ms. Tanner discussed the OML as it pertains to the PUCN. She explained that staff is recognized as a party, and general counsel represents the Commission. Ms. Tanner said she does not have access to staff information as general counsel; it is confidential because staff is considered a litigant. Once staff information is released to general counsel, Ms. Tanner stated it becomes a public record as long as it is not marked and stored as "Confidential" according to law.

Mr. Harris and Ms. Cuneo discussed whether the PUCN would regulate cases regarding battery storage. Ms. Tanner noted that an investigatory docket on battery storage opened last week.

Responding to Ms. Rankin's request for further explanation of contested cases versus rulemaking, Ms. Tanner explained that all proceedings are open to the public; rarely are they closed sessions. She suggested confusion lies with the OML's definition of "meeting," which essentially is a gathering of a quorum of the body to deliberate toward a decision or take action. In a contested proceeding before the PUCN, Ms. Tanner said there is always a presiding officer, who has the discretion on how to run that hearing; there is no quorum or deliberation. She stated only evidence is taken and there is no vote, which does not meet the OML's definition of "meeting." Even though the meeting is open to the public, Ms. Tanner said the requirements of the agency under the OML do not apply until an issue goes to an agenda for a vote. She said the OML is triggered when the evidence is taken, a draft order is presented to the PUCN as a whole, and a quorum is present. Ms. Tanner opined this is difficult for the consumer to understand. She added the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act applies to rulemaking.

Responding to a request from Assemblyman Nelson for an explanation of the attorney-client privilege of the PUCN, Ms. Tanner explained she represents the three commissioners individually and the interest of the Commission as a whole, and a presiding officer cannot talk to the other two commissioners during a case. If the commissioners need legal guidance, she said they could talk to her or her staff confidentially. Ms. Tanner stated the other commissioners are not allowed to see a draft order until the presiding officer has presented it to everyone. At that time, Ms. Tanner said the commissioners could seek advice from general counsel if necessary.

Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams asked how the PUCN publicizes its proceedings process for the general public's knowledge. She requested the PUCN clearly communicate its processes to the public.

Ms. Tanner explained the agenda notices clearly state that public comment is not evidence and will not be considered in a contested docket. She added the agendas are read aloud at each meeting, indicating as such. Ms. Tanner stressed that all notices of public hearings meet the requirements of law and clearly identify the role of public members. She stated the public is welcome to come and watch a contested hearing, but if public comment was allowed when the presiding officer is trying to collect evidence, the Commission would not be able to complete its business. She said there is a great amount of information on the PUCN's website, and her staff responds to requests within 24 hours.

Ms. Cuneo added that staff has a Consumer Complaint Resolution Division that takes comments and complaints from customers, which may be included as part of an investigation or funneled to the Bureau of Consumer Protection, Office of the AG.

Ms. Rankin commented that the normal public employee ethics code would cover any questions regarding the ethics of the PUCN staff.

E. New Energy Industry Task Force (NRS 701.500), Office of Energy, Office of the Governor (Exhibit X)

This agenda item was taken out of order.

Dale A.R. Erquiaga, Chief Strategy Officer, OG, introduced Angela Dykema, Director, OE, OG.

Ms. Dykema presented an overview of the New Energy Industry Task Force, which was assigned by Executive Order to look at specific initiatives. She said the Task Force convened in December 2011 and met on a monthly basis through March 2013, meeting its goals and objectives.

Mr. Erquiaga reported that Governor Sandoval has requested the Subcommittee continue the Task Force and no statutory changes are needed to reconvene the Task Force. He explained the meetings stopped in 2013 because the Task Force completed its discrete assignment. Mr. Erquiaga discussed the makeup of the Task Force, noting that a member of the Governor's Office of Economic Development and someone from the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources would be appointed to the next iteration of the Task Force.

AGENDA ITEM VI—WORK SESSION—DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTIONS ON RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO:

This agenda item was taken out of order.

Carol M. Stonefield, previously identified, explained the Work Session Document (Exhibit Y) provides assistance to members in determining whether a board or commission should be continued, modified, consolidated with another agency, or terminated.

A. Commission to Review the Compensation of Constitutional Officers, Legislators, Supreme Court Justices, Judges of the Court of Appeals, District Judges and Elected County Officers (NRS 281.1571)

Ms. Stonefield provided a brief history of the Commission. She stated the OG confirmed the Commission's inactivity (Exhibit Z).

Chair Settelmeyer added that Assembly Joint Resolution No. 10 (File No. 40, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*) sets forth an actual committee to determine the compensation of certain elected officers, which will go before the 2017 Nevada Legislature and then to the voters if it passes a second time.

MOTION: Assemblyman Nelson moved approval to terminate the Commission to Review the Compensation of Constitutional Officers, Legislators, Supreme Court Justices, Judges of the Court of Appeals, District Judges and Elected County Officers. The motion was seconded by Senator Gustavson and passed unanimously.

B. Nevada State Board of Optometry (NRS 636.030)

Ms. Stonefield provided a brief history of the Board and its duties. She added that Board representatives did not have any recommendations for revisions to statutes governing the operations of the Board, and it did not support consolidation with another board.

MOTION: Vice Chair Trowbridge moved to approve the continuation of the Nevada State Board of Optometry with no further recommendations. The motion was seconded by Senator Gustavson and passed unanimously.

C. Board of Dispensing Opticians (NRS 637.030)

Ms. Stonefield provided a brief history of the Board and its duties. She noted that only one individual in the State holds a dual license issued from the Nevada State Board of Optometry and the Board of Dispensing Opticians. The Board requested to maintain its independence, suggested consolidation with another agency would not be effective, and had no recommendations for revisions to statutes.

MOTION: Assemblyman Nelson moved to approve the continuation of the Board of Dispensing Opticians with no further recommendations. The motion was seconded by Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams and passed unanimously.

D. Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada (NRS 631.120)

Chair Settelmeyer commented that consistent concerns related to legal fees have led to a discussion of recommending to the Legislative Commission that the Legislative Auditor complete an audit regarding these fees.

Ms. Rankin commented that the *Nevada Constitution* prohibits the Board from keeping its own money, which she suggested should be reviewed as part of the audit.

Chair Settelmeyer said he would have the Subcommittee's legal staff look into this matter.

Ms. Stonefield explained the process to request a legislative audit. She noted the Legislative Auditor estimated an audit would take three to four months, and it would be submitted to the Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission before becoming available to the Subcommittee for its consideration.

MOTION: Vice Chair Trowbridge moved to approve submitting to the Legislative Commission a recommendation for an audit of the Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada to determine whether the Board has assessed reasonable costs to licensees of the Board for investigating and resolving complaints and disciplinary matters, providing that the audit should include an analysis of the Board's legal and investigative expenditures and related cost recoveries during Calendar Years 2014 and 2015. The motion was seconded by Assemblywoman Bustamante Adams and passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM VII—INFORMATIONAL ITEMS—STATUS REPORTS ON ENTITIES REVIEWED DURING THE 2013–2014 INTERIM

- A. Advisory Council on the State Program for Wellness and the Prevention of Chronic Disease (NRS 439.518), Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services (Exhibit AA)
- B. State Predatory Animal and Rodent Committee (NRS 567.020), State Department of Agriculture (Exhibit BB)
- C. Board for the Education and Counseling of Displaced Homemakers (NRS 388.615), Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (Exhibit CC)

Carol M. Stonefield, previously identified, said the status reports have been submitted per the request of the Sunset Subcommittee of the 2013–2014 Interim.

AGENDA ITEM VIII—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Settelmeyer called for public comment.

The following documents were submitted for the record:

- 1. Written testimony from A.R. Fairman regarding the transportation industry (Exhibit DD);
- 2. Written testimony from Mary M. Lahren, Ph.D., Reno, Nevada, regarding the PUCN (Exhibit EE);
- 3. Written testimony from Adrian Ruiz, D.D.S. Henderson, Nevada, regarding the Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada (Exhibit FF);
- 4. Settlement agreements from Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director, Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada (Exhibit GG);
- 5. Written testimony from Assemblywoman Shelly M. Shelton, Assembly District No. 10, regarding the PUCN (Exhibit HH); and

6. Written testimony from John W. Snatic, M.D., Reno, Nevada, regarding the PUCN (Exhibit II).

After the meeting, Anne-Marie Cuneo, previously identified, submitted information regarding the total miles of track inspected by the PUCN per the Subcommittee's request (Exhibit JJ).

After the meeting, Ronald Grogan, previously identified, submitted information in response to questions asked by Subcommittee members regarding the TA (Exhibit KK).

AGENDA ITEM IX—ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 5:30~p.m.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Janet Coons Principal Administrative Assistant
	Carol M. Stonefield Chief Principal Research Analyst
APPROVED BY:	
Senator James A. Settelmeyer, Chair	
Date:	

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	WITNESS/ENTITY	DESCRIPTION
Exhibit A	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal	Agenda
	Research Analyst, Research Division,	
	Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB)	
Exhibit B	Ann Bednarski, Carson City, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit C	Linda Buckardt, Henderson, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit D	Lynn Chapman, State Vice President,	Written testimony
	Nevada Families for Freedom, State	
	Affiliate National Eagle Forum,	
	Sparks, Nevada	
Exhibit E	Juanita Cox, Reno, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit F	Angel De Fazio, Las Vegas, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit G	Carole Fineberg, Reno, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit H	Jonathan Friedrich, Las Vegas, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit I	Bruce Kittess, Carson City, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit J	A. Jane Lyon	Written testimony
Exhibit K	Joannah Schumacher	Written testimony
Exhibit L	Richard A. Schweickert, Ph.D.,	Written testimony
	Emeritus Professor, University of	
	Nevada, Reno	
Exhibit M	John Vettul, Carson City, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit N	Fred Voltz, Carson City, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit O	Donald L. Drake	Written testimony
Exhibit P	Sam Moffitt, chief shop steward,	Written testimony
	Yellow Checker Star Transportation	
Exhibit Q	Tina W. Tsou, Secretary, Las Vegas	Written testimony and letter
	Dental Association	
Exhibit R	David W. Carter	Written testimony
Exhibit S	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal	Information regarding the
	Research Analyst, Research Division,	Taxicab Authority
	LCB	
Exhibit T	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal	Information regarding the Nevada
	Research Analyst, Research Division,	Transportation Authority
	LCB	
Exhibit U	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal	Information regarding the
	Research Analyst, Research Division,	Executive Branch Audit
T 1 11 11 T T	LCB	Committee
Exhibit V	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal	Information regarding the Public
	Research Analyst, Research Division,	Utilities Commission of Nevada
TO 1 11 1/ XX7	LCB	(PUCN)
Exhibit W	Anne Marie-Cuneo, Director of	Microsoft PowerPoint
	Regulatory Operations, PUCN	presentation

Exhibit X	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal Research Analyst, Research Division,	Information regarding the New Energy Industry Task Force
Exhibit Y	LCB Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, LCB	Work Session Document
Exhibit Z	Carol M. Stonefield, Chief Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, LCB	E-mail correspondence
Exhibit AA	Beth Handler, Chief, Bureau of Child, Family and Community Wellness, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services	Memorandum
Exhibit BB	James R. Barbee, Director, State Department of Agriculture	Status Report
Exhibit CC	Deborah Levy, Chair, Board for the Education and Counseling of Displaced Homemakers, Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation	Letter
Exhibit DD	A. R. Fairman	Written testimony
Exhibit EE	Mary M. Lahren, Ph.D., Reno, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit FF	Adrian Ruiz, D.D.S., Radiant Smiles, Henderson, Nevada	Letter
Exhibit GG	Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director, Board of Dental Examiners of Nevada	Settlement agreements
Exhibit HH	Assemblywoman Shelly M. Shelton, Assembly District No. 10	Letter
Exhibit II	John W. Snatic, M.D., Reno, Nevada	Written testimony
Exhibit JJ	Anne Marie-Cuneo, Director of Regulatory Operations, PUCN	Information regarding the PUCN
Exhibit KK	Ronald Grogan, Administrator, Taxicab Authority (TA), Department of Business and Industry	Information regarding the TA

This set of "Summary Minutes and Action Report" is supplied as an informational service. Exhibits in electronic format may not be complete. Copies of the complete exhibits and other materials distributed at the meeting are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. You may contact the Library online at www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm or telephone: (775) 684-6827.