## **Exhibit** G

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DOES 1-24, INDIVIDUALS, Petitioners,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS SMITH, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEVADA; JAMES WRIGHT, DIRECTOR OF THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY: BERNARD W. CURTIS, CHIEF OF THE PAROLE AND PROBATION DIVISION OF THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY; JULIE BUTLER, DIVISION ADMINISTRATOR OF THE RECORDS AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION OF THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY: DOUGLAS GILLESPIE, SHERIFF OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT: PATRICK E. MOERS, POLICE CHIEF OF THE HENDERSON POLICE DEPARTMENT: AND STEVEN WOLFSON, CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY. Real Parties in Interest.

No. 64890

FILED

IAN 3 0 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OR SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 4

## ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER, GRANTING TEMPORARY INJUNCTION, AND DIRECTING PETITIONERS TO OBTAIN A WRITTEN, FILE-STAMPED ORDER

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying petitioners' motion for a temporary restraining order.

Having reviewed the petition, it appears that petitioners have set forth issues of arguable merit and that they may have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. Therefore, real parties in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners shall have 15 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.

Also, in their petition, petitioners seek a writ directing the district court to enter a temporary restraining order prohibiting enforcement of the statutes enacted pursuant to AB579, which modified Nevada's sex offender registration and notification requirements. We conclude that a temporary injunction is warranted, pending receipt and consideration of any opposition. See NRAP 8(c). Accordingly, we temporarily enjoin the State from enforcing the provisions of AB579. Real parties in interest shall file and serve any opposition to an injunction within 11 days of the date of this order.

Finally, to facilitate this court's review of this writ petition, we direct petitioners to obtain a written, file-stamped order memorializing the

district court's ruling challenged in this petition. Petitioners shall have 15 days from the date of this order to obtain such an order and to file a copy of that order in this court.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty

Douglas

Cherry

cc: Hon. Douglas Smith, District Judge
Langford McLetchie LLC
Kimberly A. Buchanan, Attorney General/Transportation
Division/Las Vegas
Marquis Aurbach Coffing
Henderson City Attorney
Clark County District Attorney/Civil Division
Eighth District Court Clerk