IREC

REGULATOR'S MINI-GUIDEBOOK

Calculating the Benefits and Costs of Distributed Solar Generation

Valuations vary by utility, but valuation methodologies should not. IREC and Rábago Energy LLC suggest a standardized approach for calculating DSG benefits and costs in the white paper "A REGULATOR'S GUIDEBOOK: Calculating the Benefits and Costs of Distributed Solar Generation." We hope that this paper proves helpful to regulators as they embark on commissioning or reviewing valuation studies. Below is a high-level summary of the recommendations in the white paper. Please see the full report for more detail per section.

A. KEY QUESTIONS TO ASK AT THE ONSET OF A STUDY

Q1: WHAT DISCOUNT RATE WILL BE USED?

Recommendation: We recommend using a lower discount rate for DSG than a typical utility discount rate to account for differences in DSG economics.

Q2: WHAT IS BEING CONSIDERED – ALL GENERATION OR EXPORTS ONLY?

Recommendation: We recommend assessing only DSG exports to the grid.

Q3: OVER WHAT TIMEFRAME WILL THE STUDY EXAMINE THE BENEFITS AND COSTS OF DSG?

Recommendation: Expect DSG to last for thirty years, as that matches the life span of the technology given historical performance and product warranties. Interpolate between current market prices (or knowledge) and the most forward market price available or data that can accurately be estimated, just as planners do for fossil-fired generators that are expected to last for decades.

Q4: WHAT DOES UTILITY LOAD LOOK LIKE IN THE FUTURE?

Recommendation: Given that NEM resources are interconnected behind customer meters, and result in lower utility loads, the utility can plan for lower loads than it otherwise would have. In contrast, other DSG rate or program options involving sale of all output to the utility do not reduce utility loads, but rather the customer facilities contribute to the available capacity of utility resources.

Q5: WHAT LEVEL OF MARKET PENETRATION FOR DSG IS ASSUMED IN THE FUTURE?

Recommendation: The most important penetration level to consider for policy purposes is the next increment: what is likely to happen in the next three to five years. If a utility currently has 0.1% of its needs met by DSG, consideration of whether growth to 1% or even 5% is cost-effective is relevant, but consideration of whether higher penetrations are cost-effective can be considered at a future date.

EXHIBIT F – ENERGY
Document consists of 4 pages.
Entire Exhibit provided.
Meeting Date: 04-07-14

Q6: WHAT MODELS ARE USED TO PROVIDE ANALYTICAL INPUTS?
Recommendation: Transparent input models that all stakeholders can access will establish a foundation for greater confidence in the results of the DSG studies. When needed, the use of non-disclosure agreements can be used to overcome data sharing sensitivities.

Q7: WHAT GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES ARE ASSUMED IN THE ANALYSIS?
Recommendation: It is important to account for the range in local values that characterize the broader geographical area selected for the study. In some cases, quantification according to similar geographical sub-regions may be appropriate.

Q8: WHAT SYSTEM BOUNDARIES ARE ASSUMED?

Recommendation: It may also be appropriate to consider impacts associated with adjacent utility systems, especially at higher (above 10%) penetration levels of DSG. [82]

Q9: FROM WHOSE PERSPECTIVE ARE BENEFITS AND COSTS MEASURED?

Recommendation: We recommend that ratepayer and societal benefits and costs should be assessed.

Q10: ARE BENEFITS AND COSTS ESTIMATED ON AN ANNUALIZED OR LEVELIZED BASIS?

Recommendation: We recommend use of a levelized approach to estimating benefits and costs over the full assumed DSG life of 30 years. Levelization involves calculating the stream of benefits and costs over an extended period and discounting to a single present value. Such levelized estimates are routinely used by utilities in evaluating alternative and competing resource options.

B. DATA SETS NEEDED FROM UTILITIES

- ☑ The five or ten-year forward price of natural gas, the most likely fuel for marginal generation, along with longer-term projections in line with the life of the DSG
- ☑ Hourly load shapes, broken down by customer class to analyze the intra-class and inter-class impacts of NEM policy
- ☑ Hourly production profiles for NEM generators, including south-facing and west-facing arrays
- ☑ Line losses based on hourly load data, so that marginal avoided line losses due to DSG can be calculated
- ☑ Both the initial capital cost and the fixed and variable O&M costs for the utility's marginal generation unit

[82] Mills and Wiser point out that consideration of inter-system sales of capacity or renewable energy credits could mitigate reductions in incremental solar value that could accompany high penetration rates. *See* A. Mills & R. Wiser, *An Evaluation of Solar Valuation Methods Used in Utility Planning and Procurement Processes* (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), LBNL-5933E, at p. 23, December 2012 (nt Processes energy credits could *available at* http://emp.lbl.gov/publications/evaluation-solar-valuation-methods-used-utility-planning-and-procurement-processes.

- ☑ Distribution planning costs that identify the capital and O&M cost (fixed and variable) of constructing and operating distribution upgrades that are necessary to meet load growth
- ☑ Hourly load data for individual distribution circuits, particularly those with current or expected higher than average penetrations of DSG, in order to capture the potential for avoiding or deferring circuit upgrades

Note: where a utility or jurisdiction does not regularly collect some portion of this data, there may be methods to estimate a reasonable value to assign to DSG.

C. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ASSESSING BENEFITS

1. **The following benefits should be assessed:**
    1. Energy
    2. System Losses
    3. Generation Capacity
    4. Transmission and Distribution Capacity
    5. Grid Support Services
    6. Financial: Fuel Price Hedge
    7. Financial: Market Price Response
    8. Security: Reliability and Resiliency
    9. Environment: Carbon& Other Factors
    10. Social: Economic Development
2. **Energy benefits should be based on the utility not running a CT or a CCGT.** It is highly unlikely that DSG will offset coal or nuclear generation. Some combination of intermediate and peaking natural gas generation, with widely accepted natural gas price forecasts, should establish the energy value.
3. **Line losses should be based on marginal losses.** Losses are related to load and DSG lowers circuit loads, which in turn lowers losses for utility service to other customers. Average line losses do not capture all of the loss savings; any study needs to capture both the losses related to the energy not delivered to the customer and the reduced losses to serve customers who do not have DSG.
4. **Generation capacity benefits should be evaluated from day one.** DSG should be credited for capacity based on its Effective Load Carrying Capacity ("ELCC") from the day it is installed. If the utility has adequate capacity already, it may not have taken into account DSG penetration in its planning and overbuilt other generation; the DSG units that are actually operating during utility peaks should be credited with capacity value rather than a plant that is never deployed.
5. **T&D capacity benefits should be assessed.** If the utility has any transmission plans, then DSG is helping to defer a major expense and should be included. On distribution circuits, watch for a focus on circuits serving residential customers, which tend to peak in the early evening when solar energy is minimal. Circuits serving commercial customers tend to peak during the early afternoon on sunny days, and a capacity value should be recognized for them in the form of avoided or deferred investment costs.
6. **Ancillary services should be evaluated.** Inverters that can provide grid support are being mass-produced, and utility CEOs in the United States are calling for

their use; ancillary services will almost certainly be available in the near future. Modeling the benefits and costs of ancillary services can also inform policy decisions like those related to interconnection technology requirements.

7. **A fuel price hedge value should be included.** In the past, utilities regularly bought natural gas futures contracts or secured long-term contracts to avoid price volatility. The fact that this is rarely done now and that the customer is bearing the price volatility risk does not diminish the fact that adding solar generation reduces the reliance on fuels and provides a hedging benefit.
8. **A market price response should be included.** DSG reduces the utility's demand for energy and capacity from the marketplace, and reducing demand lowers market prices. That means that the utility can purchase these services for less, saving money.
9. **Grid reliability and resiliency benefits should be assessed.** Blackouts cause widespread economic losses that can be reduced or avoided in some situations with DSG. As well, customers who need more reliable service than average can be served with a combination of DSG, storage and generation that is less expensive than the otherwise necessary standby generator.
10. **The utility's avoided environmental compliance and residual environmental costs should be evaluated.** DSG leads to less utility generation, and lower emissions of NOx, SOx and particulates, lowering the utilities costs to capture or control those pollutants.
11. **Societal benefits should be assessed.** DSG policies were implemented on the basis of environmental, health and economic benefits, which should not be ignored and should be quantified.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ASSESSING COSTS

1. **Determine whether lost revenue or utility costs are the basis of the study.** For NEM studies, lost revenue is the standard (what the DSG customer would have otherwise paid the utility). For other studies and even some NEM studies, the cost to serve the DSG customer is addressed instead, which should lead to an inquiry in particular regarding allocation of capacity costs.
2. **Assumptions about administrative costs should reflect an industry-wide move towards automation.** With higher penetration, costs per DSG customer tend to decline, so administrative costs should assume automation of processes.
3. **Interconnection costs should not be included.** If the DSG customer pays for the interconnection, this should not be included as a cost to the utility. As well, the utility's interconnection costs should be compared to national averages to determine whether they are reasonable.
4. **Integration costs should not be based on unrealistic future penetration levels.** Studies tend to find minimal grid upgrade requirements at DSG penetrations below a few percent. Looking ahead to what the grid might need to accommodate 50% penetration unnecessarily adds costs that are not actually being incurred.