



NEVADA LEGISLATURE
Legislative Committee for the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe
Regional Planning Agency and the Marlette Lake Water System
(Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 218E.555)

SUMMARY MINUTES AND ACTION REPORT

The third meeting of the Nevada Legislature's Legislative Committee for the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Marlette Lake Water System was held on Friday, May 2, 2014, at 1 p.m. in the Boardroom, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, 128 Market Street, Stateline, Nevada. A copy of this set of "Summary Minutes and Action Report," including the "Meeting Notice and Agenda" ([Exhibit A](#)) and other substantive exhibits, is available on the Nevada Legislature's website at <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/interim/77th2013/committee/>. In addition, copies of the audio record are available through the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Publications Office (e-mail: publications@lcb.state.nv.us; telephone: 775/684-6835).

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT IN STATELINE:

Assemblyman Michael Sprinkle, Chair
Senator David R. Parks
Senator James A. Settlemeyer
Assemblyman Randy Kirner
Assemblywoman Heidi Swank

COMMITTEE MEMBER ABSENT:

Senator Ben Kieckhefer, Vice Chair

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:

Jennifer Ruedy, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division
Eileen G. O'Grady, Chief Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Dan Reich, Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Wayne Thorley, Program Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division
Lisa Gardner, Senior Research Secretary, Research Division

OPENING REMARKS

- Chair Sprinkle welcomed members and the public to the third meeting of the Legislative Committee for the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) and the Marlette Lake Water System.

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Lisa Noonan, Ed.D., Superintendent, Douglas County School District, commented on zoning issues with the closed Kingsbury Middle School site in Douglas County. She voiced concerns from the public, stating the empty facility cannot contribute to the community if zoning changes are not made. Dr. Noonan outlined three project proposals from potential buyers: (1) a private school; (2) a training center or retreat location for a nonprofit group; and (3) a medical or health care enterprise location. (See [Exhibit B](#).)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON MARCH 20, 2014, IN CRYSTAL BAY, NEVADA

- The Committee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

SENATOR SETTELMAYER MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 20, 2014, MEETING HELD IN CRYSTAL BAY, NEVADA. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY SENATOR PARKS AND PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISCUSSION OF POSSIBLE COMMITTEE ACTION RELEVANT TO THE OUTSTANDING DEBT OF THE NEVADA FIRE SAFE COUNCIL

- Senator Settelmeyer noted that since the last Committee meeting, action has yet to be taken in regard to freeing up federal funds that were awarded to the Nevada Fire Safe Council (NFSC) for private contractors and fire districts to perform hazardous fuels treatment in the Lake Tahoe Basin.
- The Committee **APPROVED THE FOLLOWING ACTION:**

SENATOR SETTELMAYER MADE A MOTION FOR THE COMMITTEE TO SEND A LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WITH COPIES TO NEVADA'S CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION, REQUESTING THE IMMEDIATE RELEASE OF FEDERAL GRANT MONIES PREVIOUSLY AWARDED TO THE NFSC, SO THE PRIVATE CONTRACTORS AND FIRE DISTRICTS MAY BE PAID. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYWOMAN SWANK AND PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESENTATION ON THE REDEVELOPMENT POLICIES WITHIN THE LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL PLAN UPDATE ADOPTED BY THE TRPA GOVERNING BOARD ON DECEMBER 12, 2012

- Adam S. Lewandowski, Long Range Planning Manager, TRPA, gave a presentation on the redevelopment policies of the Lake Tahoe Regional Plan ([Exhibit C](#)). He commented on:
 - The 1987 Regional Plan;
 - Water quality;
 - Coverage threshold;
 - Transportation;
 - Scenic quality;
 - Socioeconomic conditions;
 - Plan update priorities;
 - Environmental redevelopment;
 - The redevelopment of existing town centers;
 - Transfers of development;
 - Permitting improvements;
 - Homeowner incentives;
 - The area plan framework;
 - Area plans in progress; and
 - The four-year adaptive planning process.

In response to Senator Settelmeyer's inquiry regarding how the TRPA deals with rejected permit and activity applications, Mr. Lewandowski reported that the TRPA tries to work with applicants through all levels of the process, providing assistance before applications are submitted and giving suggestions in the instance of rejection.

Responding to Assemblyman Kirner's inquiry regarding reversal of the approval of an individual project upon annual review, Mr. Lewandowski explained that an individual project approval could not be reversed; however, if a project were approved by a local jurisdiction under an area plan, then that approval could be appealed to the TRPA Governing Board where the decision could be reversed. He further explained the annual review is a mechanism to ensure the local jurisdiction is conforming to their adopted area plan. Mr. Lewandowski stated that specific project approvals that are not consistent with the area plan are identified through the review. He added that the TRPA can then work with the local jurisdiction to address those issues. Mr. Lewandowski remarked that the TRPA has a variety of options for working with the local jurisdictions.

In response to Assemblywoman Swank, Mr. Lewandowski replied there is a historic resource protection chapter in the TRPA *Code of Ordinances* with specific criteria that are

incorporated into the area plans, although the plans are not required to have a specific historic preservation section.

- Chair Sprinkle opined the area plans are effective in streamlining the planning process.

Discussion ensued between Chair Sprinkle and Mr. Lewandowski regarding the rapport between the TRPA and local jurisdictions. They talked about the process to provide the ability for the jurisdiction to review issues based on the TRPA's requirements. Mr. Lewandowski noted that two area plans have already been adopted and many others are close to completion.

Responding to Chair Sprinkle's query about permitting improvements, Mr. Lewandowski informed the Committee that some of the improvements are still in the process of completion and the TRPA is looking at a range of topics in the *Code of Ordinances* to find ways to make permitting more clear and efficient. He noted that the *Code* and Regional Plan were updated at the same time.

OVERVIEW OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY SOUTH SHORE AREA PLAN ADOPTED ON SEPTEMBER 25, 2013

- Brandy McMahon, Principal Planner, TRPA, provided a presentation on Douglas County's South Shore Area Plan (SSAP). (See [Exhibit D](#).) She commended the business community for their foresight to work with interested parties on the South Shore Vision Plan during the time the Regional Plan was being updated. Ms. McMahon noted the SSAP largely implements the South Shore Vision Plan. She discussed:
 - Objectives of the Area Plan;
 - The SSAP land use and zoning;
 - South Shore transportation and recreation;
 - South Shore existing conditions and conservation;
 - South Shore Best Management Practices (BMPs) compliance and water quality;
 - South Shore design standards and guidelines; and
 - The Highway 50 Vision.

Ms. McMahon testified in response to Lisa Noonan, previously identified, that Kingsbury Middle School was closed in 2008, and the Douglas County School District has been actively trying to sell the site since then. She noted that the site will be part of the County's next area plan. Continuing, Ms. McMahon reported three reasons for the delay in purchasing of the structure: (1) since it is a school site it would need rezoning and an area plan to be utilized as a commercial site; (2) it is designated as "public service use" and would need commercial floor area allocation (a TRPA commodity) to transition to "commercial use"; and (3) the County is subject to costly traffic mitigation

fees applicable to properties out of use for a minimum of five years. She assured she has been in communication with parties interested in purchasing the property.

In response to Assemblyman Kirner's query regarding whether the standards and guidelines adopted by the SSAP overlap into other areas around the Lake Tahoe Basin, Ms. McMahon responded the SSAP is specific to that area so there is no overlap to other areas.

There was discussion between Chair Sprinkle and Ms. McMahon regarding the Plan's requirements for conservation and watershed preservation compliance. Ms. McMahon explained that in 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency adopted the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), which requires each local jurisdiction to produce a load reduction plan. She said the Division of Environmental Protection, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, must complete Nevada's plan by August 2014. Ms. McMahon noted that, through the TMDL, certain targets were established to reduce the fine sediment particle load to Lake Tahoe. She pointed out three approaches implemented to reduce that load: (1) area-wide water quality projects; (2) installing and maintaining BMPs on individual parcels (a TRPA requirement); and (3) street sweeping to capture fine sediment on roads. She added that the SSAP had an area-wide system already established and Edgewood Companies had received approval for its improvement project.

Responding to Senator Settelmeyer's inquiry regarding the completion of both the commercial and residential aspects of Douglas County's area plan, Ms. McMahon explained the initial focus was on the commercial core in the south shore. She added now that the SSAP is implemented, an area plan for the rest of the County can be considered. Ms. McMahon pointed out that the rest of the County is mostly under the ownership of the U.S. Forest Service and Nevada's Division of State Lands and much of the residential neighborhoods are at full build-out. She stated the TRPA expects complete build-out within a decade and the Agency's next phase will consider the reuse of the Kingsbury Middle School.

OVERVIEW AND STATUS OF THE WASHOE COUNTY TAHOE AREA PLAN

- Eric Young, Ph.D., Planner, Planning and Development Division, Washoe County Community Services Department, testified regarding Washoe County's Tahoe Area Plan in conjunction with the TRPA's Lake Tahoe Regional Plan. He acknowledged "planning fatigue" in the community and he noted the paradox that environmental improvement will not happen without some growth and development. He provided three maps detailing: (1) Washoe County's community plans and plan area statements; (2) TRPA plan area statements for Incline Village and Crystal Bay; and (3) Washoe County's regional land use and town centers ([Exhibit E](#), [Exhibit E-1](#), and [Exhibit E-2](#)). He discussed :
 - Washoe County's support of the Lake Tahoe Regional Plan;
 - The desire for a balanced community with "multimodal" facilities;

- The need for growth and development; and
- Concerns with inconsistencies between the *Washoe County Code* and the *TRPA Code of Ordinances*, including the need to rationalize planning uses, so that a parcel zoned with a specific designation allows the same uses throughout the entire area.

In response to Chair Sprinkle's question regarding any difficulties Washoe County has encountered with regard to zoning regulations, Dr. Young responded that the most significant challenge has been maintaining a comprehensive understanding of the different steps involved in order to prevent mistakes that might cause setbacks.

Discussion ensued between Assemblyman Kirner and Dr. Young regarding the relationship between Washoe County and Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID). Dr. Young noted IVGID's desire to implement year-round uses for their ski area. He commented the district has not expressed any interest in gaining a larger role in the Tahoe Area Plan. Dr. Young acknowledged ongoing discussions about making Incline Village its own city or county. He emphasized while Washoe County will respect the outcome of those conversations, it will have to move forward with the Tahoe Area Plan in the meantime.

THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN PROSPERITY PLAN: AN UPDATE ON FOUNDATIONAL ASPECTS OF IMPLEMENTATION

- Thomas G. Greene, Ph.D., Executive Committee Member, Tahoe Prosperity Center (TPC), introduced Heidi Hill Drum, who was hired the week before to be the Executive Director of the TPC. They presented the evolution of the TPC and its efforts to implement the Lake Tahoe Basin Prosperity Plan ([Exhibit F](#)), including:
 - The who, what, and why of the TPC;
 - The focus of their efforts;
 - How the TPC is funded; and
 - The next steps for the TPC.

In response to Chair Sprinkle's query regarding the implementation of the TPC's current objectives, Dr. Greene discussed the process undertaken by the TPC's Board members. He noted that the members are volunteers who work together to advocate shared, regional interests. Dr. Greene illustrated the various steps of the TPC's implementation process, which are: (1) obtaining resources from different jurisdictions; (2) working with contractors to design grants; (3) utilizing the Center's resources to hire a project director; (4) cultivating additional support in different areas; and (5) hiring an executive director to provide leadership and facilitate community involvement.

Continuing, Dr. Greene noted that collaboration is a learned, not innate, skill, so the Center is modeling and teaching collaboration to entities in the Basin through specific

initiatives. He pointed out two different initiatives related to broadband and digital delivery: (1) wired or fiber; and (2) wireless. Dr. Greene testified that the TPC is currently working with the California Public Utilities Commission to secure a \$170,000 grant to conduct a comprehensive assessment broadband within the entire Basin. He added the grant should be awarded in late May 2014. Dr. Greene explained the assessment will identify the existing infrastructure and any gaps in service, then the TPC will prepare two to three infrastructure proposals, which should be available in about one year. He provided the example of the current Kingsbury Grade construction project as a missed opportunity to lay broadband cable concurrent with the roadway project to save time and money; the “dig once” concept. Dr. Greene discussed efforts by the TPC with the U.S. Forest Service and the TRPA to co-locate towers to reduce their environmental footprint and provide for a more efficient and timely process.

Dr. Greene talked about land use commodities and the importance of the redevelopment incentives included in the update of the Regional Plan. He pointed out there are abundant tourist accommodation units (TAUs) on the south shore and a shortage on the north shore, but there is no predictable market to transfer TAUs. Dr. Greene testified the TPC collaborated with the TRPA to form a steering committee comprised of agencies, developers, land banks, motel owners, and small business owners to work on the issue of land use commodities. He also mentioned other projects related to economic indicators, environmental innovation, and an investment campaign.

Responding to Chair Sprinkle’s inquiry regarding solicitation of community members to participate in the working groups, Dr. Greene cited as an example the TPC’s collaboration with the Lake Tahoe South Shore Chamber of Commerce (Tahoe Chamber) to host a series of economic forums. He further commented the TPC Board has representatives from South Lake Tahoe and all of the county jurisdictions who are members.

In response to Assemblyman Kirner’s question on how the TPC becomes involved in order not to miss opportunities as mentioned previously regarding laying broadband cable during the Kingsbury Grade construction, Dr. Greene explained that part of the TPC’s leadership responsibilities moving forward involves looking for opportunities for policy and planning and stated the Board is attempting to catch up with its processes.

OVERVIEW OF THE BUSINESS CLIMATE IN THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN

- Betty “B” Gorman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Tahoe Chamber, provided a history of the Chamber ([Exhibit G](#)). She said prior to 2006, there were two chambers of commerce on the south shore, one in California and one in Nevada, and many large organizations had to pay dues for both. They were consolidated into the existing Tahoe Chamber, and she was hired to lead the Chamber. She commented on:
 - The responsibilities and mission statement of the Tahoe Chamber;
 - The Tahoe Chamber’s five core competencies;

- Empowering the Lake Tahoe Basin's business community; and
 - Affecting policy with the Tahoe Future community vision.
- Chair Sprinkle inquired about the demographics of South Lake Tahoe's future business leaders.
- Ms. Gorman responded that South Lake Tahoe's future leaders were comprised of both locals and those who moved to the area who are concerned about the future of the south shore community. She said the Chamber is making a conscious effort to mentor young leaders within the business community. Ms. Gorman noted that 101 businesses participated in the Chamber's 2014 Business Expo. She said the Tahoe Chamber hosts a monthly roundtable for members' CEOs that has grown from 6 to as many as 25 attendees.
- Sandy Evans Hall, CEO and Executive Director, North Lake Tahoe Chamber/CVB/Resort Association (NLTRA), gave a brief explanation of the NLTRA and presented on the business climate in the Lake Tahoe Basin ([Exhibit H](#)). She said they plan to submit four area plans to the TRPA Governing Board for approval within the next year. Ms. Hall discussed:
 - Positive signals from the public and private sectors;
 - The increase of transient occupancy tax revenue;
 - Catalyst projects in Tahoe City;
 - Catalyst and opportunity projects in Kings Beach;
 - Lodging significance (she noted that North Lake Tahoe needs more TAUs and South Lake Tahoe needs more commercial floor area);
 - The case for focused county investment;
 - Lodging cost drivers;
 - The changing role of land use commodities;
 - Incentivizing private investment;
 - Future threats;
 - Future opportunities; and
 - Revenue, unemployment, and visitor information statistics.
- Chair Sprinkle wondered about the working relationship between the NLTRA and the Tahoe Chamber
- Ms. Hall responded the two entities work well together, referencing their ventures together in Park City, Utah, as an example of their close working relationship.

Clarifications were made between Senator Settelmeyer and Ms. Hall regarding information on the graphs that she provided ([Exhibit H-1](#)). Ms. Hall stated the graphs are specific to North Lake Tahoe, namely Placer County. She explained the sales tax is graphed by

calendar year and the transient occupancy tax is graphed by fiscal year. Ms. Hall commented they have approximately 15 economic indicators with a future goal to track on a basin-wide basis.

PRESENTATION ON WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS IN THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN

- Shannon Beets, Executive Vice President and Provost, Sierra Nevada College (SNC), provided a brief overview of SNC and presented information regarding workforce development in the Lake Tahoe Basin ([Exhibit I](#)), including:
 - Workforce development initiatives;
 - Entrepreneurial thinking;
 - The first-year experience course at SNC;
 - Service learning;
 - SNC graduates in the Basin community;
 - Teaching programs;
 - A summer program for the Teaching Education as a Second Language endorsement;
 - Preparation for the Nevada Tahoe Teacher STEM Institute;
 - SNC's partnership with the Foundation for an Independent Tomorrow; and
 - SNC's partnership with the North Lake Tahoe-Truckee Leadership Program.

There was discussion between Senator Settelmeyer and Ms. Beets regarding the origins of SNC students. Ms. Beets explained that many students were from the Basin and SNC hopes to recruit more local prospective students. She mentioned that SNC has recently begun partnering with local high schools and community colleges to increase the percentage of Nevada students at SNC. Senator Settelmeyer disclosed a discussion with California State Senator Ted Gaines about proposing legislation in each of their respective states to allow students who reside within the Lake Tahoe Basin to pay in-state tuition at any higher education institution located in the Basin. Ms. Beets commended the idea. She pointed out that SNC was a private school and had a flat tuition rate regardless of residency.

- Kindred I. Murillo, Ed.D., Superintendent and President, Lake Tahoe Community College (LTCC) District, commented that she has been working on this issue, commonly referred to as the Good Neighbor Policy, with Senator Gaines to pass legislation related to public institutions.

Discussion ensued between Chair Sprinkle and Ms. Beets regarding graduation rates and the percentage of graduates who stay in the Lake Tahoe Basin after graduation. Ms. Beets agreed with Chair Sprinkle's assertion that building good relationships between the schools and chambers of commerce is integral to keeping graduates in the area and assisting in the future growth of the community.

Dr. Murillo pointed out the LTCC is only three miles from the California/Nevada state line and eight miles from George Whittell High School in Zephyr Cove, Nevada. She discussed the frustration of local residents who live on the Nevada side that have to pay more than students who are California residents. She vowed to continue working with Nevada to remove this barrier to an affordable education in the Basin. Dr. Murillo mentioned that she has met recently with Western Nevada College in Carson City, Nevada, to explore ways to collaborate without duplicating programs. Ms. Murillo suggested the LTCC could send nursing students to the WNC nursing program, and WNC could send students to the LTCC fire program.

- James R. Tarwater, Ed.D., Superintendent, Lake Tahoe Unified School District (LTUSD), and Dr. Murillo presented a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation on workforce development programs in the Lake Tahoe Basin ([Exhibit J](#)). They discussed:
 - An LTCC overview;
 - Statistics for full-time equivalent students for the past 20 years;
 - Changing student demographics for students by location;
 - Changing student demographics for Distance Education students by location;
 - LTCC stakeholders;
 - LTCC's partnership with the LTUSD;
 - Career and technical programs; and
 - LTCC's projects, goals, and 2020 Vision.
- Dr. Murillo testified regarding a new soccer program that the LTCC just started. She remarked that many international students followed the new soccer coach to the campus, creating a need for campus housing. She mentioned the LTCC will celebrate 40 years as an institution on June 7, 2014. Dr. Murillo provided a brochure on career and technical education at LTCC ([Exhibit J-1](#)).
- Chair Sprinkle thanked Dr. Murillo and Dr. Tarwater for their presentation and stressed that educational development strongly affects the economy of the Basin and of the State in regard to producing an educated workforce and is of vital importance to Nevada.
- Dr. Tarwater provided a packet of supplemental information on various programs and reports within the LTUSD ([Exhibit K](#)).

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Senator Parks remarked the closed Kingsbury Middle School would be an ideal location for a community college or an extension of Western Nevada College for providing programs in the Lake Tahoe Basin.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 4:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maysha Watson
Senior Research Secretary

Jennifer Ruedy
Principal Research Analyst

APPROVED BY:

Assemblyman Michael Sprinkle, Chair

Date: _____

LIST OF EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A](#) is the “Meeting Notice and Agenda” provided by Jennifer Ruedy, Principal Research Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit B](#) is a packet of information furnished by Lisa Noonan, Ed.D., Superintendent, Douglas County School District, which included:

- Letters regarding the former Kingsbury Middle School property;
- A chart containing data on enrollment projections in Lake Tahoe schools;
- A document outlining the Douglas County Sewer Improvement District plan; and
- A floor plan and pictures of the Kingsbury Middle School building.

[Exhibit C](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “The Lake Tahoe Regional Plan Redevelopment Policies,” dated May 2, 2014, given by Adam S. Lewandowski, Long Range Planning Manager, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA).

[Exhibit D](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “South Shore Area Plan, Douglas County, Nevada,” dated May 2, 2014, offered by Mimi Moss, Director, Douglas County Community Development Department.

[Exhibit E](#) is a map of Washoe County Community Plans and Plan Area Statements, submitted by Eric Young, Ph.D., Planner, Planning and Development Division, Washoe County Community Services Department (WCCSD).

[Exhibit E-1](#) is a map of the TRPA Plan Area Statements, Incline Village/Crystal Bay Vicinity, provided by Eric Young, Ph.D., Planner, Planning and Development Division, WCCSD.

[Exhibit E-2](#) is a map of Washoe County Regional Land Use and Town Centers, furnished by Eric Young, Ph.D., Planner, Planning and Development Division, WCCSD.

[Exhibit F](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “The Lake Tahoe Basin Prosperity Plan: An Update on Foundational Aspects of Implementation,” dated May 2, 2014, given by Thomas G. Greene, Ph.D., Executive Committee Member, Tahoe Prosperity Center (TPC), and Heidi Hill Drum, Executive Director, TPC.

[Exhibit G](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “Looking towards the future,” offered by Betty “B” Gorman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Lake Tahoe South Shore Chamber of Commerce.

[Exhibit H](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “Overview of Business Climate in the Lake Tahoe Basin,” and submitted by Sandy Evans Hall, CEO and Executive Director, North Lake Tahoe Chamber/CVB/Resort Association.

[Exhibit H-1](#) is a document with data and statistics supplied by Sandy Evans Hall, CEO and Executive Director, North Lake Tahoe Chamber/CVB/Resort Association.

[Exhibit I](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “Workforce Development in the Tahoe Area,” provided by Shannon Beets, Executive Vice President and Provost, Sierra Nevada College.

[Exhibit J](#) is a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation titled “Work Force Development Programs in the Lake Tahoe Basin,” given by Kindred I. Murillo, Ed.D., Superintendent and President, Lake Tahoe Community College (LTCC) District.

[Exhibit J-1](#) is a brochure titled *Career & Technical Education at Lake Tahoe Community College*, submitted by Kindred I. Murillo, Ed.D., Superintendent and President, LTCC.

[Exhibit K](#) is a packet of information furnished by James R. Tarwater, Ed.D., Superintendent, Lake Tahoe Unified School District, including:

- Promotional material for academies and programs in the LTUSD;
- A review titled “Tahoe’s South Shore Education: Vision & Innovation Partnerships”; and
- Reports from the LTUSD Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee.

This set of “Summary Minutes and Action Report” is supplied as an informational service. Exhibits in electronic format may not be complete. Copies of the complete exhibits and other materials distributed at the meeting are on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada. You may contact the Library online at www.leg.state.nv.us/lcb/research/library/feedbackmail.cfm or telephone: 775/684-6827.