

From: tonjamasrod40@aol.com

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 9:06 PM

To: Hartzler, Angela

Subject: Angi please Sumbit this one. instead. Fwd: Please submit to the Commissioners and to be placed on the record for the April 17, 2012 meeting

Attachments: Deposition_Transcript_of_Dr._Gedney.pdf

-----Original Message-----

From: tonjamasrod40 <tonjamasrod40@aol.com>

To: ahartzler <ahartzler@lcb.state.nv.us>

Sent: Thu, Apr 5, 2012 8:39 pm

Subject: Please submit to the Commissioners and to be placed on the record for the April 17, 2012 meeting

Dear Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice.

Today, the Wrongful Death Settlement Agreement of Nolan Klein was officially finalized in Brown v Skolnik.

Judge Magistrate Cooke resolved the Slandorous/false information that was disseminated to state of federal agency's by my exoneration language. The language used was the letter written by the Attorney General's Office on December 2, 2005 that cleared Nolan Klein and I of any wrong doing. This is the document that was withheld from Mr. Klein by the Attorney General's Office during the Discovery proceedings and from IN CAMERA from the Magistrate Judge in Klein v Helling. This document now is a public record.

As an Advocate for the inmates and the Innocent, NDOC and other state agencies have continued to Slander, defame, retaliate, discriminate and violate our Constitutional Rights. Their actions have had a profound impact on my Advocacy for the inmates. I have no idea what the Commission over the years have been told about Nolan Klein and I and that is why this EXONERATION was to be a part of the Settlement Agreement so that we can have our names cleared. This slanderous/false information still continues today, not only against me, but, now it has effected the inmates and their families who come to me for help and I want it stopped. There is no other way then to for me to bring it to the forefront.

Not only was Nolan Klein innocent of the crime for which he was sent to prison for, he was also innocent of what he was being accused of in his NDOC I files, C files and in the Inspector General's files that were hidden by the Attorney General's Office in the Klein v Helling 05-390 federal case

The laws we now have on our books DO NOT work, because, if they did INNOCENT PEOPLE, like Nolan klein would not have gone to prison, and continued to be victimized by the system, a system that is suppose to protect us and not hurt us.

NDOC and the Parole Board does not allow you to see this certain information in the inmates files. So, how can someone who is truly innocent able to defend themselves? Such as what happened to Nolan Klein and I.

Advisory Commission Administration Justice
Exhibit Cpg 1 of 8 Date 4-17-12
Submitted by: T. Brown

Our laws need to be changed and I ask again that this Commission accept Commissioners Parks recommendation and bring back what was not passed during the last Advisory Commission recommendations from 2010. I would like my 2010 recommendations to be considered again and what I have brought to new to this Commission this year.

1. If the court denies the petitioner DNA testing then the Petitioner can have DNA testing conducted at his own expense..
2. When a Defendant is arrested and charged with a crime the law enforcement Agency MUST turn over the exculpatory evidence, simultaneously, to both the Prosecution and the Defense.
3. Posthumus Pardon, there are NO laws on our books and the Nevada Supreme Court has NO Jurisdiction. I refer you to the Nevada Supreme Court decision signed by Justice Hardesty that has already been submitted to you.
4. A maximum of 20 years on a life sentence with the possibility of parole.
5. The Parole Board must be quasi judicial so that the inmates can defend themselves from false information whether it comes from the victims or false information from NDOC files. Example, Computer glitch, or as what happened in Klein v Helling.
6. The Parole Board Must define what a threat to Society is.
7. Disband the Parole Board and conform to what other states are doing, a Mandatory Parole Release.
8. Inmates who are in segregated Units for no other reason than they are there for medical problems Must continue to receive their good Time Credit and work credit.

Along with Settlement Agreement that was Officially filed today, NDOC Dr. Karen Gedney deposition is now a public record. During the March 7, 2012 Advisory Commission I was asked questions about the inmates and the medical treatment. I gave a couple of names of the inmates. There are numerous inmates that were submitted in our Discovery that is a public record. However, I believe that the Deposition of NDOC Dr. Karen Gedney will enlighten this Commission on who and who does not get medical treatment and why. There is also a great concern to the public health and safety that might need to be considered. MRSA

Sometime, in the future, I will be submitting my deposition for the record. This will give this Commission a better understanding on why our laws need to be changed and, hopefully, my deposition will convince this board and the 2013 legislature as to why we need these laws on our books. My deposition goes into great details as to what exactly happened in Mr. Klein's life during his arrest through his incarceration. Who was involved, what they did or didn't do, or should have done but didn't.

Thank you,

Tonja Brown

From: tonjamasrod40@aol.com

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2012 8:07 PM

To: Hartzler, Angela; gdornan@nevadaappeal.com; cy@lasvegassun.com; evogel@reviewjournal.com; jhart@mynews4.com

Subject: Please submit to all of the Commissioners latest update on the NDOC Computer Glitch

Attachments:

Computer_glitch,_parole_board_and_what_inmates_actually_have_acc.jpg

Dear Ms. Hartzler,

Will you please distribute to all of the Commissioners. I have attached a letter from the Parole Board. Inmates do not have the opportunity to see their files that would include their Offender Information Summary file where the false felony charge was found in Mr. Klein's file.

This is reason why it is some important that the Parole Board proceedings must be QUASI JUDICIAL and Must DEFINE WHAT A THREAT TO SOCIETY IS. By doing so, it will allow the inmates to see what is presented to the Parole Board, be able to correct it. If Quasi Judicial proceedings had existed people like Nolan Klein would have been able to correct the computer glitch. Instead, the false felony charges were sent to the Parole Board and the Pardons.

Or at the very least, if we were to conform to what other states have been doing and using the mandatory parole release, it would result in a cost savings of millions of dollars to the taxpayers.

http://www.mynews4.com/news/story/FACT-FINDER-Prison-computer-errors-with-inmate/dA-L11adN0G9_3EZKP6mCA.csp

I believe the taxpayers and The Advisory Board need to have the answers to these questions.

1. How many since 2007 have been denied parole to their next consecutive sentences?
2. How many since 2007 have been denied parole to the streets?
3. How come some of the NDOC case workers did not know about the computer glitch until just recently?
4. If they were not aware of it how many inmates files went to the parole board as though the information was correct?
5. Since 2007 how many inmates false charges were corrected before and after they had a parole hearing?
6. How many inmates have been delayed a parole hearing because of the false information in their files?
7. How many inmates have had their work credits, good time credits taken away because of this false information and they do not know about it?

8. Or if they do why have they not been given back their credits that can be used for an early parole or pardon.

9. How much has this cost the taxpayer?

10. How many indeterminate sentences do inmates have who have not been convicted of a life sentences?

11. Out of these how many had false felony charges in their files that were seen by the Parole Board and what was the outcome of their parole?

12. What is the name of the Software Program?

13. Is the Software Program still being used by NDOC?

In one year (2009 - 2010) the Parole Board denied in excess of 3,000 inmates parole to their next consecutive sentence. These inmates were denied any where from a one year to a three year parole dump costing the taxpayers between 60 - 180 MILLION DOLLARS JUST FOR THOSE DENIALS TO THEIR NEXT CONSECUTIVE SENTENCE.

As a taxpayer, I, look forward to hearing the answers from NDOC, the Attorney General and the Parole Board of their findings from their examination that has been called to their attention by the ACAJ.

Sincerely,

Tonja Brown

CENTRAL OFFICE

1677 Old Hot Springs Rd., Ste. A
Carson City, Nevada 89706
<http://parole.nv.gov>
(775) 687-5049
Fax (775) 687-6736

CONNIE S. BISBEE, *Chairman*
TONY CORDA, *Member*
ADAM ENDEL, *Member*
SUSAN JACKSON, *Member*

KATHI BAKER, *Executive Secretary*

STATE OF NEVADA
BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor



LAS VEGAS OFFICE

4000 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 130
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
<http://parole.nv.gov>
(702) 486-4370
Fax (702) 486-4376

CONNIE S. BISBEE, *Chairman*
ED GRAY, JR., *Member*
MICHAEL KEELER, *Member*
MAURICE SILVA, *Member*

NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS

February 1, 2012

Inmate [REDACTED]
Lovelock Correctional Center
1200 Prison Road
Lovelock, NV 89419

Re: Your letters received on December 21, 2011 and January 3, 2012.

All documents in your file become the property of the Board. Requests for Orders & Risk Assessments can be copied. Pre-investigation (PSI) reports are the property of the Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) and must be obtained through P&P. Progress Reports are the property of the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC) and must be obtained through NDOC. Letters of support must be obtained from the supporter who wrote the letter. Judgments of Convictions (JOC) are the property of the sentencing court and must be obtained through the court. Other than your orders/risk assessments from your previous hearing; there are no documents the Board could send you.

Enclosed are copies of your Risk Assessments and Orders.
Signed,

Kathi Baker

Kathi Baker
Executive Secretary

KB:dd

O. RELATED STATEMENT CONCERNING INVESTIGATION

Defendants herein agree to make the following statement ("STATEMENT") concerning information that was contained in the CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS, without admitting liability or any culpable conduct—including for any acts or omissions—arising from or relating to events described in STATEMENT and for the making of the STATEMENT, itself. In a letter written by the Office of the Attorney General dated December 2, 2005:

Our office has concluded its investigation concerning Inmate Fred Huston's (#72877) monies entrusted to Tonja F. Brown. Our investigation revealed Inmate Fred Huston set up a trust and appointed Tonja Brown as a trustee of that account. Inmate Huston became concerned when no supporting documentation was submitted to him reflecting the source and distribution of his funds. Interviews conducted with the individuals in the trust and the reviewing of the bank documents revealed that no criminal activity existed. A conversation with an NDOC inmate-caseworker revealed that, at the time of that conversation, Inmate Huston had no further concerns regarding his monies.

P. EFFECTIVENESS

This AGREEMENT shall become effective upon the execution of this AGREEMENT by the Signatories to this AGREEMENT.

Q. COMPLETENESS OF DOCUMENT

This AGREEMENT contains the entire understanding between the parties with respect to the matters set forth herein. There are no representations, warranties, agreements, arrangements, or undertakings, oral or written, between or among the parties hereto relating to the subject matter of this AGREEMENT which are not fully expressed herein.

Hartzler, Angela

From: tonjamasrod40@aol.com

Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 2:45 PM

To: Hartzler, Angela

Subject: The Computer glitch issue is not listed on the Agenda

Dear Ms. Hartzler,

Thank you for sending me the Agenda. Would you please submit this to the Commissioners
I have a couple questions for them. Chairman Horne has asked for an examination into the computer glitch and does anybody know when the examination will be done? If it is done, could it please be placed on the Agenda for the 17th?

I believe the Board should be made aware of what this computer glitch has done to past inmates, present inmates and possible future inmates. How this has effected the work and good time credits of inmates, their paroles and their pardons.

Thank you,

Tonja Brown.

4/11/2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

STATE OF NEVADA

-oOo-

TONJA BROWN, administratrix of the
Estate of NOLAN KLEIN and Case No.3:10-cv-00679-HDM-VPC
TONJA BROWN, as an Individual;
Plaintiffs,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA ex rel. the
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, and
HOWARD SKOLNIK, DIRECTOR OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

KAREN GEDNEY, M.D.

September 2, 2011

Reno, Nevada

REPORTED BY: DEBORA L. CECERE NV CCR, #324, RPR

JOB NO.: 144230

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF

HAGER & HEARNE

BY: TREVA HEARNE, ESQ.

245 East Liberty Street, Suite 110

Reno, NV 89501

329-5800

parrlawoffices@sbcglobal.net

FOR THE DEFENDANT

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

WILLIAM J. GEDDES

Senior Deputy Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, NV 89701-4717

ALSO PRESENT

TONJA BROWN

I N D E X

EXAMINATION	PAGE
EXAMINATION BY MS. HEARNE	4
EXAMINATION BY MR. GEDDES	78
EXAMINATION BY MS. HEARNE	146

E X H I B I T S

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	Binder of Documents	100

Original Exhibits to Original and Copies to copies.

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Friday, the 2nd day of
2 September, 2011, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. of said day at
3 the offices of HAGER & HEARNE, 245 East Liberty Street,
4 Suite 110, Reno, Nevada, before me, DEBORA L. CECERE, a
5 certified court reporter, personally appeared KAREN GEDNEY,
6 M.D., who was by me first duly sworn, and was examined as a
7 witness in said cause.

8 -oOo-

9
10 KAREN GEDNEY, M.D.,
11 called as a witness in said case,
12 having been first duly sworn, was
13 examined and testified as follows:
14

15 EXAMINATION

16
17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 Q Could you please state your name and spell your
19 last name for the record?

20 A Karen Ann Gedney, G-E-D-N-E-Y.

21 Q And Dr. Gedney, have you ever had your
22 deposition taken previously?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And how often?

25 A I'm not sure. Maybe five or six times.

1 Q And what was the most recent time that you had
2 your deposition taken?

3 A I'm not sure. Maybe a few years ago, or a year.
4 I'm not sure.

5 Q Were those depositions -- were any of those
6 depositions taken because of your position as doctor at the
7 prison, Department of Corrections?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Were all of them taken for that purpose?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Then I don't need to, perhaps, review all of the
12 instructions about a deposition, but, I'll just briefly
13 state that you know we're making a record here today, just
14 as though -- and you've taken an oath just as though you
15 were testifying in a court of law.

16 Do you understand that?

17 A Yes, I do.

18 Q And once that deposition is completed, you will
19 have the right to review it and make any changes that are
20 appropriate. But I must caution you that if you change
21 substantive answers, that I might be able to comment on
22 that should we go to court in this matter.

23 Do you understand that?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Could you briefly state your education for the

1 **record?**

2 A I have a medical degree from the University of
3 Cincinnati; 1984. I have an internal medicine residency
4 specialty from University of Nevada Reno; 1987. I am Board
5 certified in internal medicine.

6 Q So if I were to ask you if there is any reason
7 that you could not go forward with your deposition today
8 because of any medical problem, you could state with
9 certainty whether there was or not; is that correct?

10 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

11 THE WITNESS: I'm unsure what you mean by
12 medical condition.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q Do you have any medical condition that would
15 cause you not to remember certain instances within the last
16 five years, or is there any other reason we shouldn't go
17 forward today?

18 A No.

19 Q In 1987, while you were still working -- or you
20 were getting your internal medicine -- I'm sorry, you were
21 getting something in internal medicine from the University
22 of Nevada at Reno?

23 A In 1987, I graduated from an internal medicine
24 residency.

25 Q Okay. And did you work during the time of your

1 **residency?**

2 A Yes.

3 **Q And where did you work?**

4 A The -- part of the residency program is that you
5 work at Washoe Medical Center, the V.A. Medical Center in
6 Reno, the indigent clinic that Washoe Medical Center had at
7 the time.

8 **Q And how long did you work at that residency at**
9 **those places?**

10 A Three years.

11 **Q And where were you employed after that?**

12 A I was then employed by the Nevada Department of
13 Corrections.

14 **Q Do you recall what your starting date was?**

15 A I do not know exactly, but July of 1987.

16 **Q And can you describe your employment**
17 **relationship with the State of Nevada, Department of**
18 **Corrections?**

19 A I'm not sure what you mean by describe.

20 **Q For example, are you a full-time employee?**

21 A I am a full-time physician for the Nevada
22 Department of Corrections.

23 **Q And do you have a contract with the Department**
24 **of Corrections?**

25 A I am a State employee.

1 Q Do you have any special agreements or -- that
2 affect your employment with the State of Nevada?

3 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to special
4 agreements, and affect your employment with the State of
5 Nevada.

6 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean. I'm a
7 State employee.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q And that's just like any other State employee?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. Have you ever entered into a separate
12 agreement with the State of Nevada affecting your
13 employment?

14 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

15 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by a
16 separate agreement.

17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 Q A separate agreement that affects your
19 employment, but is not the usual employment relationship
20 with the State?

21 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous. May call for
22 a legal conclusion.

23 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of any other
24 type of specific agreement, no.

25 ///

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q What is serum hepatitis?

3 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous; foundation.

4 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what specific
5 definition you are interested in.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q I just want your understanding of what that term
8 means, "serum hepatitis"?

9 A Basically serum is related to part of the blood.
10 Hepatitis is a term that means inflammation of the liver.

11 Q Have you ever heard that term used with regard
12 to identifying a type of hepatitis?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 Q And was there a time frame in which you recall
15 that that terminology was used to identify hepatitis?

16 A If you are referring to the first time I ever
17 heard the words themselves, that would have been training
18 in medical school.

19 Q Did the term "serum hepatitis" continue in use
20 to today? Do you still refer to hepatitis as serum
21 hepatitis?

22 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to whom with
23 respect to using or defining that term.

24 THE WITNESS: It depends who is using the term.
25 Lay people use the term. Medical people use the term. So

1 which would you like me to comment on?

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Medical people; persons who are, like you,
4 treating people with hepatitis.

5 MR. GEDDES: Lacks foundation with respect to
6 the testimony by counsel that this witness treats
7 hepatitis.

8 THE WITNESS: If you're asking for a medical
9 interpretation of serum hepatitis from an average medical
10 person, they will think that it's related to hepatitis that
11 can be passed through blood.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q So is the term "serum hepatitis" commonly used
14 today to describe any of the hepatitis maladies, by medical
15 people?

16 A I'm not sure what you mean by common usage, but
17 I have certainly heard many different medical people
18 explain serum hepatitis as one of the hepatitises
19 transmitted by parenteral blood exposure.

20 MR. GEDDES: Can you spell that.

21 THE WITNESS: Parenteral, P-A-R-E-N-T-E-R-A-L,
22 parenteral.

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 Q When you began work at the Nevada Department of
25 Corrections, what was your understanding of the effect of

1 the -- I'm sorry, what was your understanding of the
2 protocol for treatment of hepatitis that would have been in
3 1987 for inmates?

4 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to protocol
5 for treatment of hepatitis.

6 THE WITNESS: I do not remember what I thought
7 in 1987 specifically about that topic.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q Do you recall if there was any protocol at the
10 prison about treatment of hepatitis in 1987?

11 A I do not recall anything specific in 1987
12 regarding that issue.

13 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
14 treatment; and vague and ambiguous as to hepatitis with
15 respect to what particular type of hepatitis.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q Do you recall the first time that there was any
18 kind of discussion at the Department of Corrections about
19 treatment of inmate hepatitis?

20 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
21 to treatment, as to hepatitis; calls for speculation with
22 respect to discussion by others and by whom; and as well as
23 vague and ambiguous as to the same.

24 THE WITNESS: I do not remember any specific
25 dates regarding discussion about hepatitis. There were

1 discussions over the years by medical directors, but I do
2 not remember specific times or dates.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Do you recall -- I'm sorry.

5 Let me ask you this: If someone -- is there a
6 screening of inmates when -- a medical screening when they
7 come into the Nevada correctional system?

8 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to time
9 frame.

10 THE WITNESS: When I started in the prison
11 system in 1987, I do recall that, if my memory is correct,
12 that there was screening done for syphilis and HIV, as well
13 as tuberculosis with a PPD skin test.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 Q Were there any forms given to prisoners or
16 inmates to fill out to indicate what their medical history
17 was when they entered the Department of Corrections in
18 1987?

19 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous; foundation.

20 THE WITNESS: I actually don't remember
21 paperwork from 1987.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q Do you recall if there was any paperwork or any
24 kind of form that -- or anything that was filled out by an
25 inmate who entered the Nevada correctional system in 1988

1 that would give you the medical history of that inmate?

2 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous. May call for
3 speculation as to what other people are submitting to
4 inmates in the way of forms.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't remember specific forms.
6 I do remember that we did a physical history and physical
7 exams on inmates coming into the system.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q And then what time frame -- an inmate enters --
10 from the time an inmate enters the door until there is this
11 physical exam and medical history done in 1988 --

12 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q -- '89, the first date you recall?

15 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous, and lacks
16 foundation as to particular institution. May call for
17 speculation, and lacks foundation.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't remember time frames.
19 My recollection is that it was done probably within a few
20 weeks.

21 BY MS. HEARNE:

22 Q And do you recall which particular correctional
23 institution you were working at in 1987?

24 A Yes. I was working at Northern Nevada
25 Correctional Center.

1 Q Would the same be true of 1988?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Is that -- have you ever worked at any other
4 correctional facility since you've been working for the
5 Nevada Department of Corrections?

6 A That is the main institution I have worked at.

7 Q Have you worked at others?

8 A I have gone to other systems when I was on call,
9 for example, to sew someone up.

10 Q Where do the inmates who are coming into the --
11 the Nevada correctional system for the first time, where
12 are they given these physicals and asked for this medical
13 information or medical history?

14 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Foundation with respect
15 to other prisons; and may call for speculation; and vague
16 and ambiguous.

17 THE WITNESS: That depends on the particular
18 prison system. In Northern Nevada Correctional Center, it
19 also depends on the years. The intake process has been
20 done in different places over the years based on their
21 buildings.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q And do you recall what was occurring in 1988?

24 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

25 THE WITNESS: The area that I worked in was

1 considered their small medical infirmary. That area now,
2 for example, is used in administrative capacity.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q If someone -- if an inmate were diagnosed with
5 Hepatitis C today, would there be any standardized or
6 expected treatment for that inmate?

7 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Calls for speculation
8 concerning an unnamed inmate, unnamed prison system; and
9 vague and ambiguous as to diagnosis and treatment.

10 THE WITNESS: If someone is diagnosed with
11 Hep C, it depends on many different variables.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q And could you describe some of those variables
14 regarding the treatment of someone with Hep C?

15 MR. GEDDES: Same objection; especially talking
16 about an inmate in the NDOC system.

17 THE WITNESS: It depends on the particular case
18 that one would discuss.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q Is there any standardized treatment available
21 for inmates at the Nevada Department of Corrections for
22 Hepatitis C?

23 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to time
24 frame.

25 THE WITNESS: There has been, I believe, a

1 general policy that was written by Dr. D'Amico years ago
2 regarding Hepatitis C, but not specifically in regards to
3 treatment, because information changes continuously.

4 BY MS. HEARNE:

5 Q So what was -- if you could summarize that
6 standardized policy that you believe was written years ago,
7 what did it state?

8 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Misstates testimony
9 with regard to standardized policy; may call for
10 speculation, and -- and speculation.

11 **listen there just to be sure**

12 THE WITNESS: I would have to actually see that
13 policy from years ago, plus I believe it also has been
14 changed, but it does not dictate, from my recollection, a
15 particular standardized-type treatment.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q Would it be important for you to know whether or
18 not the inmate was serving a life sentence in determining
19 what treatment would be administered, if that inmate had
20 Hepatitis C?

21 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
22 treatment. Calls for speculation concerning a hypothetical
23 inmate. Calls for a hypothetical, and an incomplete
24 hypothetical.

25 THE WITNESS: Treatment -- we tend not to know

1 people's sentence structure. Medicine is interested in
2 medical care. If someone was under treatment -- excuse me,
3 not treatment -- but if someone had a medical condition
4 that was not urgent, and they informed me that they were
5 leaving the next day for prison, that would impact a
6 decision-making process. So I can only say that logistics
7 occasionally play into decisions.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q And I would understand if someone were -- were
10 being released the next day, but would it be important for
11 the doctor who is treating the inmate to notate in the file
12 life sentence?

13 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
14 to who is being referred to by the question; the doctor in
15 that regard; calls for speculation; vague and ambiguous as
16 to important. Again, we're dealing with a hypothetical
17 doctor and patient, so that calls for a hypothetical, and
18 an incomplete hypothetical.

19 THE WITNESS: If a doctor has information that
20 someone would be -- have a certain sentence structure, it
21 may be relevant if the treatment is, for example, a year,
22 then one would want to know if the person was leaving
23 before a year.

24 BY MS. HEARNE:

25 Q Have you ever notated in an inmate's file the

1 **length of their sentence?**

2 A I have no recollection of a specific time I did
3 that. But I've also been writing for 25 years, so I have
4 no idea.

5 Q **Did you treat an inmate named Nolan Klein?**

6 A Yes.

7 Q **Do you recall what his -- the terms of his**
8 **sentence were -- the term of his sentence was?**

9 A I remember that he had a lengthy sentence. The
10 reason I remember that is because when we were considering
11 a compassionate release, that came to my attention.

12 Q **And do you recall anything other than it was a**
13 **lengthy sentence?**

14 A No.

15 Q **Do you recall what the underlying charge was for**
16 **his lengthy sentence, his conviction?**

17 A I did not realize what his charge was. I'm not
18 sure of his charge. I have heard rumors, but that's
19 speculation, and it's irrelevant to me.

20 Q **And what was the rumors thing?**

21 MR. GEDDES: I'll just instruct the witness not
22 to answer the question if the source of the information
23 that you're referring to is from attorney/client
24 communications.

25 THE WITNESS: Since my information is from other

1 people, then, such as Mr. Geddes has said, then I would say
2 no.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q So the rumors you were referring to were things
5 that were told to you by your attorney?

6 MR. GEDDES: Again, I would interpose the
7 objection and admonish the witness not to disclose the
8 substance of communications between attorney and client.

9 BY MS. HEARNE:

10 Q In that substance. I'm just asking if you
11 received information that you were referring to as rumors
12 from the Attorney General's Office.

13 MR. GEDDES: My objection is, what you're asking
14 is did Mr. Geddes tell Dr. Gedney that Nolan Klein had --
15 what his sentence was for. And to the degree that you're
16 characterizing it as, you know, a fact, that fact would
17 implicate divulging what the communications are.

18 I think what the witness can say is, if there
19 are sources other than attorney/client communications from
20 which she's testifying, she can testify as to that.

21 THE WITNESS: And I will say that I'm confused
22 in my memory where I heard his potential charge, because I
23 had had information talked [sic] to me from different legal
24 sources, as well as other sources. So I'd be unable to say
25 where I heard it, because I don't pay attention to them.

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q And --

3 MS. HEARNE: Well, counsel, are you going to
4 restrict her from answering, since she can't recall?

5 MR. GEDDES: I would instruct the witness simply
6 not to divulge attorney/client communications.

7 THE WITNESS: And then I won't divulge, because
8 I don't know.

9 BY MS. HEARNE:

10 Q Do you recall the time frame within which you
11 received information about the underlying conviction for
12 which Mr. Klein was serving his term?

13 A I don't remember.

14 Q Was it during the time that you were treating
15 Mr. Klein?

16 A No.

17 Q Was it after the time you were treating
18 Mr. Klein?

19 A I believe so.

20 Q What -- what is your medical opinion of what
21 test you administer to determine if a patient has cirrhosis
22 of the liver?

23 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Foundation. May be
24 vague and ambiguous as to test for cirrhosis of the liver.
25 And may be vague and ambiguous as to time frame.

1 THE WITNESS: That depends on many factors. If
2 one is looking at cirrhosis, there are many different
3 reasons why a person has cirrhosis, and therefore, there
4 are different tests that can be done. So it depends on a
5 particular case.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q What if someone -- what if one of your patients
8 have cirrhosis of the liver, and you suspected that it was
9 caused by Hepatitis C.

10 What sort of testing would you do?

11 MR. GEDDES: Objection. We're dealing with a
12 hypothetical patient, so it calls for a hypothetical;
13 incomplete hypothetical.

14 THE WITNESS: So I would still say it depends on
15 the particular person, but if it was an individual who was
16 an IV drug addict who had underlying liver problems, then
17 one suspicion would be Hepatitis C, and then I would ask
18 for a Hepatitis C test.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q Would you also ask for any kind of test that
21 would confirm or not whether the person had -- the patient
22 had cirrhosis of the liver?

23 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

24 THE WITNESS: It depends on the patient, how
25 they present clinically.

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q And what sorts of symptomatology would you be
3 looking for in order to confirm by testing for cirrhosis of
4 the liver?

5 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
6 Again, we're dealing with a hypothetical patient, in that
7 it calls for speculation and incomplete -- calls for a
8 hypothetical, speculation, and incomplete hypothetical.

9 THE WITNESS: So if one generally looked at a
10 person and felt that the person had cirrhosis, there are
11 certain physical things that you possibly could see. And
12 those could include things like ascites, which is fluid in
13 the belly; you would potentially see easy bruising from low
14 platelets. You could see gynecomastia, which is breast
15 enlargement because of estrogen effect. You could see
16 spider angiomas, from increased portal hypertension.
17 There are many different things you could potentially see.

18 BY MS. HEARNE:

19 Q And would any of those symptoms cause you to
20 believe that they should be tested, or there should be some
21 kind of testing to confirm whether or not they had
22 cirrhosis of the liver?

23 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
24 to testing; dealing with an incomplete hypothetical;
25 speculation concerning a hypothetical patient. Also vague

1 and ambiguous.

2 THE WITNESS: The decision of what is done in
3 medicine is always based on the particular patient and
4 their presentation.

5 BY MS. HEARNE:

6 Q Do you test inmates who are going to be
7 administered Interferon and Ribavirin, which I can't say
8 very well, for cirrhosis of the liver?

9 A If someone considered for Hepatitis C treatment,
10 there are certain things that are assessed. They include
11 things like assessment of the blood work; if someone, for
12 example, has significant thrombocytopenia or significant
13 anemia, then that person would not be considered a
14 candidate.

15 If someone had significant coagulation problems,
16 which would be determined by PTs and INRs, they would be a
17 high-risk person. So there are different blood studies
18 where one can get a medical understanding of whether
19 someone has significant cirrhosis. There are multiple
20 stages of cirrhosis.

21 Q Was there any treatment available for
22 Hepatitis C when you began work at the Nevada corrections
23 system?

24 MR. GEDDES: Foundation. Assumes facts not in
25 evidence.

1 THE WITNESS: In 1987, when I started, there was
2 not a blood test specific yet for Hepatitis C.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q And because of that, was there any treatment
5 available?

6 A If --

7 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. Foundation as to
8 the first existence or knowledge of Hep C.

9 THE WITNESS: So I'm unclear what you're saying,
10 but from what I understand, if medically you do not have a
11 test for a particular entity, then there -- at that time,
12 there's no specific treatment for an entity that did not
13 yet have a name.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 Q Do you recall what year the term "Hepatitis C"
16 was identified so that it, in fact, had a name, as you
17 described?

18 A I don't know the exact year, but if memory
19 serves me correct, it's around the early 1990s that a blood
20 test actually was developed to identify it.

21 Q And do you recall when at the Nevada Department
22 of Corrections inmates were tested by blood test for the
23 Hepatitis C?

24 MR. GEDDES: Foundation.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not specifically remember a

1 date.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Okay. Could you state with any certainty a date
4 by which you knew that they were being tested by blood test
5 for Hepatitis C at the Nevada Department of Corrections?

6 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to test for
7 Hepatitis C. It's not clear whether you're referring to a
8 screening or testing at some later time based on factors.

9 THE WITNESS: If you are referring to a blood
10 test for Hep C, then my memory is that in the '90s and
11 after, of course, the test came out, I would think
12 somewhere in the early '90s, '93 onward.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q When is the first time you recall an inmate at
15 the -- in the Nevada Department of Corrections being given
16 a blood test, identified that they had a Hep C, and then
17 being treated?

18 MR. GEDDES: Compound..

19 THE WITNESS: So first of all, I don't
20 specifically remember when that would have been. And there
21 are two parts: One is the test itself, which I said was
22 somewhere in the early '90s.

23 In terms of treatment, treatment was developed
24 after that test. And the treatment was only straight
25 Interferon, and was very poor at impacting the virus at

1 all. And I have no recollection of the years, or the year
2 that we actually gave treatment to a person. I don't
3 remember.

4 BY MS. HEARNE:

5 Q When is the first time that you recall treatment
6 being administered to inmates at the Nevada Correctional --
7 in the Nevada Correctional Department for Hepatitis C; just
8 your first recollection of it occurring?

9 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
10 treatment.

11 THE WITNESS: So in terms of Hep C treatment, if
12 you mean giving a drug to make an attempt to reduce a
13 virus, with not knowing what ultimate effect you would
14 have, somewhere, I believe, in the '90s, I think the first
15 individual we tried the medication on, which was
16 Interferon, was a gentleman who had significant
17 lymphadenopathy and significant splenomegaly that an
18 oncologist looked at. We assessed that the individual did
19 not have cancer, which we first suspected, and because he
20 had underlying Hep C, the thought medically by the
21 oncologist was that we should attempt to reduce the Hep C.

22 There was no knowledge of whether it would make
23 an effect. I do remember, because he was one of the first
24 individuals, that his lymphadenopathy and his splenomegaly
25 decreased. And then when the treatment was stopped, the

1 virus returned. And then his adenopathy and his
2 splenomegaly returned again.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q And do you recall that was, you think, sometime
5 in the '90s?

6 A Somewhere in the '90s, I believe.

7 Q How is Hepatitis C treated in the inmates at the
8 Nevada correctional system today?

9 MR. GEDDES: Foundation; vague and ambiguous as
10 to treatment; and may call for speculation.

11 THE WITNESS: Hep C treatment is a difficult
12 topic. When individuals describe treatment, they actually
13 mean an attempt to reduce a virus. There are no clinical
14 trials giving information that we are actually curing a
15 process. That's unclear, because there have been no
16 randomized trials.

17 But when one looks at reducing a virus, the
18 Department looks at specific patients that are assessed to
19 have constitutional complaints.

20 Many individuals have no symptoms whatsoever,
21 and do not complain, nor is it clear that we should subject
22 them to treatment that may harm them.

23 There are occasionally patients that have
24 complaints that may or may not be related to Hep C, and
25 then those individuals are considered for potential

1 treatment in terms of making effect at reducing a virus.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q And is that treatment the same for all
4 inmates --

5 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q -- if you've chosen them to be treated?

8 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. And now because
9 there's an "if," speculation, hypothetical, incomplete
10 hypothetical.

11 THE WITNESS: So if I'm understanding the
12 question regarding treatment, treatment is reduction of
13 virus; that's all it means. And depending on variables
14 such as genotypes, and whether a person could go through a
15 treatment, it varies.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q So you would not expect that the treatment -- or
18 you could not state that the treatment is generally
19 Interferon, and the word that starts with an R, Riba --

20 A Ribavirin.

21 Q Ribavirin, yes.

22 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to what
23 treatment and whom we're talking about.

24 THE WITNESS: Treatment has varied over years,
25 and one would have to be specific about the years and what

1 specific patient one was talking about.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q How long has the Nevada Department of
4 Corrections treated inmates with -- who have Hepatitis C
5 with Interferon and Ribavirin?

6 A Interferon and Ribavirin --

7 MR. GEDDES: Counsel, I'm -- I'm sorry. Just a
8 point of -- vague -- are you talking about -- Counsel, are
9 you asking -- is the question the combination of Interferon
10 and Ribavirin, or one or the other?

11 MS. HEARNE: That combination.

12 MR. GEDDES: Thank you.

13 THE WITNESS: So the combination of Interferon
14 and Ribavirin was brought to the market, I would -- I don't
15 know the exact year it was brought to the market.
16 Somewhere in the 2000s, and I also don't remember when we
17 used those products, but I do know they had been used. I
18 just don't remember the year.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q Do you believe it's been more than five years?

21 A I believe so.

22 Q Do you, as a medical professional, have any
23 concern about administering Interferon and/or Interferon
24 and Ribavirin to an inmate patient who has cirrhosis?

25 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as

1 to concern. Also we're dealing with a hypothetical inmate,
2 so calls for a hypothetical, incomplete hypothetical, and
3 speculation.

4 THE WITNESS: That question is difficult,
5 because you'd have to describe what someone medically meant
6 by cirrhosis. There are different stages of cirrhosis.

7 BY MS. HEARNE:

8 Q Is there any stage of cirrhosis that would give
9 you concern about administering either Interferon or a
10 combination of Interferon and Ribavirin to an inmate
11 patient?

12 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

13 THE WITNESS: Medically, if an individual had
14 what is known as decompensated cirrhosis, that is someone
15 that medically I would have concern about giving a
16 treatment like Interferon and Ribavirin.

17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 Q Have you ever -- do you ever recall making the
19 notation or medical notation "spider on chest"?

20 MR. GEDDES: And foundation and vague and
21 ambiguous as to notation -- are you talking about medical
22 records?

23 MS. HEARNE: Medical records.

24 MR. GEDDES: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: So if we're talking about medical

1 records, then I would like to refresh my memory regarding
2 what specific note we are talking about.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Maybe I can make that easier by just asking,
5 does the term -- is the term "spider on chest" a medical
6 notation? As a medical notation, what does that mean to
7 you?

8 A To me, it only means spider angiomas.

9 Q What is that?

10 A Spider angiomas means dilated superficial
11 capillaries of the skin surface related to portal
12 hypertension.

13 Q Do you recall when the diagnosis of Hepatitis C
14 was able to determine what kind of Hep C; that is, Type 1,
15 Type 2? Do you recall when that was available to you?

16 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

17 THE WITNESS: The genotypes came out years ago.
18 I do not remembering the exact years.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q In your treatment of Nolan Klein, do you recall
21 what type of Hepatitis C that he had?

22 MR. GEDDES: Foundation; vague and ambiguous.

23 THE WITNESS: I --

24 MR. GEDDES: Do you --

25 THE WITNESS: I would have to refresh my memory.

1 I have thousands of patients.

2 MR. GEDDES: Let the record reflect that there's
3 a binder in front of Dr. Gedney containing medical records
4 of Nolan Klein.

5 MS. HEARNE: Okay.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q Is there any difference in the -- in 2007, was
8 there any difference in the kind of treatment for
9 Hepatitis C Type 1 or Type 2?

10 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
11 treatment.

12 THE WITNESS: So if we're referring to reduction
13 of virus in the blood, there is a difference between the
14 effect of Interferon and Ribavirin on Genotype 1 versus
15 Genotype 2.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q Is there a way to summarize what that difference
18 is?

19 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

20 THE WITNESS: If --

21 MR. GEDDES: And calls for a narrative, and also
22 speculation since we're dealing with hypothetical patients,
23 hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical.

24 THE WITNESS: So the only thing that I could
25 discuss is vague statistical information that is in the

1 literature, and that is that Genotype 2 is -- can have an
2 easier reduction in virus than Genotype 1.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Were there any guidelines adopted by the -- or
5 standards or protocol guidance adopted by the medical
6 department of the Department of Corrections of Nevada
7 between the years 2000 and today about the length of time
8 between diagnosis of Hepatitis C and the determination of
9 treatment?

10 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
11 guidelines, protocols, and things of the like. Also
12 compound, and may call for speculation concerning other
13 people. And the promulgation or adoption of such standards
14 or guidelines.

15 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at the
16 guidelines at that year, because I don't specifically
17 remember them.

18 BY MS. HEARNE:

19 Q Do you have any recollection if there are any
20 guidelines, protocol, directions, directives, or any
21 policies about treatment today, on the same -- you've
22 determined that someone has Hep C. How long before you
23 start treatment?

24 MR. GEDDES: Same objections, and then the
25 objection with respect to treatment, we're dealing with

1 hypotheticals, so incomplete hypothetical and speculation.

2 THE WITNESS: I do not remember a specific
3 policy, and medical care is assessed by a case-by-case
4 basis.

5 BY MS. HEARNE:

6 Q Are you familiar with the term hemochromatosis?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And how do you define that term medically?

9 A Medically, there is hereditary hemochromatosis.
10 It is an autosomal dominant genetic disorder where
11 individuals will have excessive iron absorption and
12 deposition in multiple organs. That's one definition.

13 Q Do you have another?

14 A People use the term "hemochromatosis"
15 occasionally inappropriately when they are talking about
16 iron overload syndromes as well.

17 Q Have you ever treated anyone who had hereditary
18 hemochromatosis?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Is that in the prison?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And how many persons have you treated with the
23 hemochromatosis?

24 MR. GEDDES: I'm sorry. Vague and ambiguous as
25 to which reference to hemochromatosis.

1 MS. HEARNE: The hereditary. I'm only using the
2 hereditary.

3 THE WITNESS: So I remember distinctly one case
4 of hereditary hemochromatosis in a young man who we did
5 treat. We did have a genetic test showing he was a
6 homozygote, meaning he had two genes for the disorder. He
7 also, I distinctly remember, did not have Hepatitis C. So
8 his case was treated with phlebotomy.

9 MR. GEDDES: Can you spell that for the court
10 reporter?

11 THE WITNESS: Phlebotomy? P-H-L-E-B-O-T-O-M-Y.

12 MR. GEDDES: Thank you.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q And when you say "we treated," did you mean the
15 Department of Corrections' medical personnel?

16 A When I use the term "we," I refer -- I was
17 referring to medical personnel in the Nevada Department of
18 Corrections.

19 Q And in the case that you referred to, was -- was
20 there any outside consultation regarding that hereditary
21 hemochromatosis?

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 MR. GEDDES: Foundation; vague and ambiguous
24 with respect to the term "outside consultation."

25 ///

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q By a medical.

3 A I do not remember any outside specialist
4 involved in the case.

5 Q Can Hepatitis C result in a patient showing an
6 iron overload?

7 MR. GEDDES: Incomplete hypothetical;
8 speculation as to the hypothetical patient; and vague and
9 ambiguous as to who was perceiving this.

10 THE WITNESS: So if one asks medically regarding
11 iron, iron is something that can be laid down from multiple
12 different inflammatory states. It can be related to
13 alcohol, poisons, such as nicotine, infectious disorders,
14 inflammatory things, such as the different hepatitis
15 viruses. So there are medically different things that can
16 lay down iron.

17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 Q Did you ever prescribe, if you recall, a
19 phlebotomy be administered to Nolan Klein?

20 A I do remember that, yes.

21 Q Do you recall when that occurred?

22 A I remember it was as a last-ditch effort, and
23 that when we attempted that, it was not because I felt that
24 he had hemochromatosis, because we had information that the
25 genetic studies were negative; it was more that it was late

1 in his disease, and every other option had been taken, and
2 it was something I thought -- there was nothing else to
3 try, so I attempted it.

4 Q And when you say "late in his disease," which
5 disease are you referring to?

6 A I am referring to his underlying liver disease.

7 MR. GEDDES: Counsel, she can refer to the
8 record and give you an exact date to answer your question
9 if you like. It's right in front of her.

10 MS. HEARNE: That's good.

11 BY MS. HEARNE:

12 Q Was there -- did you seek any consultation from
13 a doctor outside the Department of Corrections prior to
14 prescribing the phlebotomy for Nolan Klein?

15 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to the
16 reference to the consultation.

17 THE WITNESS: I do not remember involving an
18 outside consultant, though I do talk to outside consultants
19 frequently in my work.

20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q Can you describe to me what the term "viral
22 load" means to a medical professional?

23 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to the
24 person defining it.

25 THE WITNESS: So viral load, it would depend on

1 what specific person we're talking about. If we're talking
2 about, for example, a Hep C individual, viral load would be
3 the amount of the viral particles per milliliter of blood.

4 BY MS. HEARNE:

5 **Q Was -- is viral load something that can be**
6 **tested for, to determine what it is?**

7 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

8 THE WITNESS: So if you are asking a viral load
9 regarding a Hepatitis C patient, medically we only are
10 concerned with looking at a viral load if we are actually
11 going to consider truly treatment.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 **Q If an inmate has -- or if you were able to test**
14 **for viral load in an inmate who has Hepatitis C, would you**
15 **test for that viral load even if you were treating another**
16 **malady or disease?**

17 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to the
18 patient; as such, calls for a hypothetical; incomplete
19 hypothetical.

20 THE WITNESS: I'm actually confused by the
21 question.

22 Could you be more specific?

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 **Q Certainly. Let's say that an inmate has**
25 **underlying Hepatitis C, but they have contracted MRSA.**

1 **Would their viral load be a consideration, or**
2 **would you be able to test for it?**

3 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

4 BY MS. HEARNE:

5 **Q Or would you test for it because of treatment of**
6 **another illness?**

7 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. And also vague
8 and ambiguous as to MRSA and its stages.

9 THE WITNESS: So it's still a very confusing
10 question to me. If we broke it down into parts, the
11 two are actually unrelated.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 **Q Viral load and treatment?**

14 A Excuse me. When I said "unrelated," you are
15 asking a question with MRSA, and you are asking a question
16 with Hep C. So the way the question is asked, these are
17 unrelated items.

18 (Telephone ringing.)

19 **Q If -- I apologize for the phone.**

20 **Nolan Klein had underlying Hepatitis C?**

21 A Correct.

22 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to time
23 frame.

24 BY MS. HEARNE:

25 **Q Do you recall that he contracted MRSA while he**

1 was being treated at the Nevada Department of Corrections
2 by you?

3 A I remember that he did have MRSA.

4 MR. GEDDES: I'm sorry. The question was while
5 he was being treated for Hep C, and you said that you
6 recall that he had MRSA. So I would just --

7 MS. HEARNE: No, I was saying he was under her
8 treatment and care --

9 MR. GEDDES: Okay.

10 MS. HEARNE: -- when he --

11 MR. GEDDES: So it's a clear record, I want to
12 make sure that the question you asked was -- it appears to
13 be the question asked, was he treated simultaneously, so
14 however you want to ask the question or answer it, that's
15 fine, but I just want the record to be clear that that's
16 the likely interpretation of the transcript. So if you
17 want to clarify her question, or answer it, that's fine.

18 THE WITNESS: What I thought I heard you ask is
19 do I remember that he had MRSA.

20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q Correct.

22 A And that is yes, I remember that he had MRSA.

23 Q And were you aware at the time that he
24 contracted the MRSA, that he had been diagnosed with
25 Hepatitis C?

1 A The -- the -- he had Hepatitis C diagnosis. And
2 yes, he had MRSA.

3 Q So did you treat him for MRSA?

4 A Yes, I did.

5 Q Did you have any reason to determine what his
6 viral load was prior to treating him for the MRSA?

7 A Actually, medically if someone has MRSA, what is
8 important is treating the infection with IV antibiotics.
9 Medically, it actually is a moot point what the underlying
10 virus count for Hepatitis C is.

11 Q Okay. Prior to treat -- did you -- was there a
12 time in which you prescribed treatment for Nolan Klein's
13 Hepatitis C?

14 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
15 treatment.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q As you've defined it, reducing the --

18 A Right. But, I'm sorry, you have to repeat that.
19 I sort of was spaced or lost on what you were saying.

20 Q Okay.

21 A What did you say?

22 Q At any time during the time that you treated
23 Nolan Klein, did you prescribe any treatment to reduce the
24 virus due to Hepatitis C?

25 A Yes, I did.

1 Q Okay. Would it have been important, or did you
2 check, or do you -- was it a consideration of what his
3 viral load was prior to treatment for Hepatitis C?

4 A Medically, the reason we look at a viral load is
5 to determine whether the medication will reduce it, because
6 if it does not reduce it within a certain amount of time,
7 then the treatment is inefficacious, and then we stop.

8 Q So it's important for comparison purposes?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Do you recall if Nolan Klein was treated for
11 above-average blood pressure?

12 A I --

13 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to above
14 average.

15 THE WITNESS: If we are looking at hypertension,
16 where the standard is technically 140 over 90, since I have
17 reviewed his records recently, I did see that he was
18 treated for high blood pressure.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q And do you recall if he was treated for high
21 blood pressure during the -- what I would consider the last
22 two years, 2007 to 2009?

23 A I have no specific recollection.

24 Q Okay. And do you know why treatment of blood
25 pressure, high blood pressure would have ceased at any time

1 **during the last five years --**

2 MR. GEDDES: Speculation --

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 **Q -- that he was treated?**

5 MR. GEDDES: -- as to what other people reasoned
6 for why that may have occurred, if it occurred.

7 THE WITNESS: So I would have to look at
8 records, but if we look at individuals with high blood
9 pressure, people who stop smoking or lose weight, for
10 example, their blood pressures can go into a normal range,
11 and they would not benefit from medications. But I do not
12 know specifically about Mr. Klein's particular issues
13 regarding that.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 **Q Can you describe what portal hypertension is?**

16 A Yes.

17 **Q Could you?**

18 A Portal hypertension is where you will see
19 fibrosis and scarring in the liver. The venous drainage
20 system into the liver, therefore, is under a higher
21 pressure system. Then what can occur is the splenic
22 circulation through the intestinal tract can have a higher
23 pressure, which can include leaking of fluid into the
24 abdominal cavity, which is known as ascites.

25 You have the venous drainage system from the

1 legs draining through the liver. Back pressure from that
2 can cause swelling in the legs.

3 You have the venous drainage system from the
4 esophagus draining through the liver. That can have back
5 pressure, so you can have dilated veins in the esophagus,
6 and they would be known as varices.

7 **Q Is there any treatment to relieve the symptoms**
8 **that you've just described for this?**

9 A There are different medications that are used to
10 help the process. And it is always dependent on which
11 specific patient we're talking about.

12 But in general, those medications would be in
13 the diuretic class, to reduce fluid and excess salt on the
14 body. It would also include trying to get an individual to
15 reduce salt in their diet. And those are the majority and
16 the most important.

17 **Q Do you recall when the MRSA diagnosis first**
18 **occurred in the Department of Corrections in Nevada when**
19 **you were working there?**

20 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean. If
22 you mean the first time I ever saw MRSA in the prison
23 itself, I have -- I do not remember the specific date, but
24 it is a very common infection, and so it would have been
25 possibly already in the '80s when I was working.

1 MR. GEDDES: I just -- at this point, I would
2 like to interject an admonition that was not given to you
3 at the beginning of your deposition. At no time in your
4 deposition are you to speculate. If you have an informed
5 opinion or estimate, you can give that, but if it's
6 speculation or sheer guesswork, you know, you are not to
7 offer those types of opinions, because that is unreliable
8 and inadmissible at trial.

9 BY MS. HEARNE:

10 Q Perhaps I should ask it this way: What was your
11 first instance of dealing with an inmate who was diagnosed
12 with MRSA, time frame?

13 A I -- I don't specifically remember a date. It
14 is a -- it's an infection, and if the medical department
15 saw an infection, then an infection would be treated with
16 antibiotics. But I have no recollection of specific dates.

17 Q By 2007, was there a particular protocol,
18 policy, guideline, directive, in the medical department of
19 the Department of Corrections about how to deal with
20 someone who -- an inmate who was diagnosed with MRSA?

21 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
22 guideline, protocol, and the like.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't remember anything specific
24 regarding a protocol.

25 ///

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q Was there any guidelines, protocol, directives
3 with regard to dealing with MRSA because of its infectious
4 nature at the Department of Corrections in Nevada?

5 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. And vague and
6 ambiguous as to dealing with.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't specifically remember any
8 written policies or directives.

9 BY MS. HEARNE:

10 Q How then did you, as a doctor at the Department
11 of Corrections, react to an inmate who was diagnosed with
12 MRSA, based upon the infectious nature of the MRSA?

13 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Dealing with a
14 hypothetical inmate; therefore, it calls for a
15 hypothetical, incomplete hypothetical, and speculation.
16 And vague and ambiguous as to time.

17 THE WITNESS: Medically, if a general individual
18 had MRSA, that's an infection, and it would be treated with
19 antibiotics.

20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q Was there anything done particularly to isolate
22 the inmate who had MRSA because of its infectious nature?

23 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to whom
24 you're referring to. If other people are referred to, then
25 that would be speculation. And if we're dealing a

1 hypothetical inmate, same objections. It's hypothetical;
2 incomplete hypothetical.

3 THE WITNESS: The treatment is always dependent
4 on the specific circumstance.

5 BY MS. HEARNE:

6 Q And I appreciate that with regard to the
7 treatment, but is there any precautions taken by either
8 medical personnel or other inmates because an inmate has
9 been diagnosed with MRSA?

10 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
11 precautions; vague and ambiguous as to who you're talking
12 about. And again, we're dealing with a hypothetical,
13 fictitious inmate. It's vague and ambiguous as to the
14 circumstances, the time frame, who is dealing with this
15 person; lacks foundation; hypothetical; incomplete
16 hypothetical, and speculation.

17 THE WITNESS: So I'd need a specific case, but
18 it is dependent. Medically if someone has medically --
19 there are universal precautions, which basically means that
20 infectious material is handled in a way where you minimize
21 exposure to other people. So my medical staff is -- for
22 example, wears gloves when they deal with blood or
23 secretions. But those are universal precautions.

24 BY MS. HEARNE:

25 Q And not particular to MRSA; is that what you're

1 saying?

2 A No, not particular, no. That's an infection.
3 There are many different infections.

4 Q Would you consider MRSA to be more contagious
5 just than the average staph infection that you treat?

6 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to average
7 staph -- average staph infection; vague and ambiguous as to
8 the circumstances; hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical;
9 speculation.

10 THE WITNESS: There are multiple different staph
11 infections, and you would have to determine staphylococcus
12 epidermidis, staphylococcus multifidus. It all depends
13 what you're talking about.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 Q Were you ever made aware that the Nevada
16 Department of Corrections was inadequate in its containment
17 of MRSA in that -- just were you ever made aware of that?

18 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Lacks foundation;
19 assumes facts not established.

20 THE WITNESS: What's the question?

21 BY MS. HEARNE:

22 Q Were you ever made aware that the Nevada
23 Department of Corrections was inadequate in containing the
24 MRSA infection?

25 A What do you --

1 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. Plus vague and
2 ambiguous as to inadequate; vague and ambiguous as to
3 containing, and also by whom.

4 THE WITNESS: Because I'm in -- I'm confused
5 what you mean by inadequate.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q For example, that MRSA was being -- that persons
8 were being exposed to it if they visited inmates at the
9 Nevada Department of Corrections?

10 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. Vague and
11 ambiguous.

12 THE WITNESS: And that is dependent on many
13 different variables.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 Q But you were never made aware that --

16 A I was --

17 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

18 THE WITNESS: I -- I'm confused on commenting,
19 but I feel medically that the medical department tries to
20 do universal precautions.

21 BY MS. HEARNE:

22 Q If Nolan Klein were diagnosed with MRSA, as he
23 was, and was not isolated from other inmates, was that
24 appropriate medically for the protection of other inmates
25 from being exposed to MRSA?

1 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Lacks foundation;
2 assumes facts not established; vague and ambiguous with
3 respect to what incident we're talking about; and given
4 that one is not specifically mentioned, may call for a
5 range of opinions; hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical;
6 incomplete facts.

7 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the
8 particulars you were actually talking about, because it's
9 based on variables that are not concrete. Diagnosis does
10 not necessarily imply that the person is infectious at the
11 time. It depends on whether the person is on treatment or
12 not treatment. It's dependent on whether a nurse is
13 wearing gloves or not. So it's not -- it's dependent on
14 multiple different variables.

15 BY MS. HEARNE:

16 Q Is there any time frame in your own mind that
17 you believe is important with regard to the date of
18 diagnosis and the date treatment begins, with someone who
19 has been -- with an inmate who has been diagnosed with
20 MRSA?

21 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. Hypothetical
22 inmate; calls for a hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical;
23 lacks foundation.

24 THE WITNESS: Treatment of MRSA is dependent
25 upon a particular case. And so I would need to know that

1 particular case to comment.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Would you describe generally that if an inmate
4 is diagnosed with MRSA, that treatment should begin
5 immediately?

6 MR. GEDDES: Exact same objections. And vague
7 and ambiguous as to immediately.

8 THE WITNESS: Medically, if someone has MRSA, it
9 is dependent on the case and what clinical symptoms the
10 person has. And then that constitutes what I would
11 consider how and when someone should be treated.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q Do you recall how long it was between the date
14 that Nolan Klein was diagnosed with MRSA and that his
15 treatment began?

16 A I have no recollection of the specific time
17 frames.

18 Q What does the term "rule out MRSA," M-R-S-A,
19 mean when it is given to a laboratory?

20 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to who is
21 making that statement to a laboratory; and if it's other
22 than this witness, calls for speculation.

23 THE WITNESS: If I sent in a specimen saying
24 "rule out MRSA," then that is a laboratory diagnosis done
25 by a bacteriology lab.

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q In your experience, in 2007, if you recall, how
3 long from the time frame that you referred a lab specimen
4 to the lab would it take to get it returned that ruled out
5 MRSA or did not?

6 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
7 to the entire question, and compound. Also incomplete
8 hypothetical. And speculation.

9 THE WITNESS: If a specimen went to a
10 bacteriology lab, on the average, it would take three to
11 four days for a bacteriology lab to identify an organism
12 and do an ultra-sensitivity report.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q Do you recall if you ever requested that
15 Inmate Nolan Klein remain at Northern Nevada Medical
16 Center -- what is it called? -- Northern Nevada
17 Correctional Center in the year 2005?

18 A I have no specific recollection of
19 classification issues for 2005.

20 Q Do you have any recollection of classification
21 issues regarding Nolan Klein remaining at NNCC in 2006?

22 A I don't remember information from years ago on
23 movements.

24 Q Do you remember at any time that you requested
25 that Inmate Nolan Klein remain at NNCC?

1 A I don't have a specific recollection.

2 Q Do you have a general recollection?

3 A Actually, I don't really remember what happened
4 years ago in that particular case regarding that particular
5 question.

6 Q Do you ever make a request that an inmate remain
7 at a particular institution for purposes of medical
8 treatment?

9 A Yes. For example, we have patients who are on
10 dialysis where the NNCC is the only facility that has
11 dialysis units, so then I will request that they stay at
12 NNCC, because they would have to be transported three times
13 a week.

14 Q And who do you make that request to?

15 A There is a classification form that I fill out.

16 Q And who does that go to?

17 A Classification.

18 Q Is that a committee? A department?

19 A Actually, I'm not sure.

20 Q Okay. Can you explain what hepatic
21 encephalopathy means? Pardon my pronunciation.

22 A Hepatic encephalopathy medically means that an
23 individual has an ammonia level that is usually high, and
24 that that ammonia affects the way the brain processes
25 information, so that they can become confused. And if the

1 level and the confusion is great enough, they can slip into
2 a coma.

3 Q What are the -- the signs or symptoms of -- of
4 that particular -- rather than pronouncing it again, the
5 same thing I just said before?

6 A So the signs of hepatic encephalopathy, there
7 are degrees. First, you would tend to see confusion. And
8 that confusion may progress to the point that the person
9 becomes truly comatose and unresponsive to stimuli.

10 Q Is Warm Springs Correctional Center equipped, in
11 your opinion, to treat patients with MRSA -- inmates with
12 MRSA?

13 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to the terms
14 "equipped" and "treat."

15 THE WITNESS: MRSA, since it is a diagnosis that
16 has a range of conditions, one would have to be specific
17 about the question. But Warm Springs does have nurses,
18 they have doctors, and they can take care of MRSA, unless
19 someone would need, for example, IV antibiotics, which the
20 regional medical facility does, which Warm Springs does
21 not.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q Have you ever heard the term -- or have you,
24 while you've been employed at the Nevada Department of
25 Corrections, heard of the term "compassionate release"?

1 A Yes.

2 **Q What does it mean to you?**

3 A To me, my understanding is that if an individual
4 has less than six months of life, then a physician that is
5 employed by the Nevada Department of Prisons, as well as an
6 outside physician, if both state that the person has less
7 than potentially six months of life, that information can
8 be sent to central office. And central office evaluates
9 the case, and they make a determination whether that
10 individual can be released on a compassionate basis.

11 **Q And who is central office?**

12 A Central office would include the -- well, many
13 people are in central office, but I believe the people
14 particularly involved in the compassionate release are
15 individuals such as medical director and the director of
16 prisons, and I am not sure who else is technically in that
17 decision-making capacity. They usually have other people
18 as well.

19 **Q Would central office then inquire of the**
20 **physician who referred the inmate for compassionate release**
21 **any further? Once the referral was made, do they call you**
22 **in to ask you questions?**

23 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous; may call for
24 speculation.

25 THE WITNESS: If you're asking me had anyone

1 asked me to come to central office, the answer would be no.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Have you ever referred an inmate for
4 compassionate release by sending that referral to the
5 central office?

6 A I have written medical summaries for
7 compassionate release on inmates in the past, though it is
8 rare.

9 Q How often have you done it during the time
10 you've been employed at the Department of Corrections in
11 Nevada?

12 A I don't have a specific recollection, though I
13 do remember the first case, because he left prison, and
14 six days later committed armed robbery.

15 Q And was that one that you had particularly
16 referred?

17 A He had AIDS, and I remember this case because
18 the Governor of Nevada was extremely unhappy that the
19 gentleman committed armed robbery six days later.

20 Q And who would have been the Governor at that
21 time?

22 A I believe that was Miller, though I must say
23 politicians don't necessarily stick in my mind
24 specifically.

25 Q Do you recall -- so you don't recall how many

1 that you have referred for a compassionate release?

2 A Not, not the exact number.

3 Q Would it be less be 10?

4 A I would say it's more than 10.

5 Q Okay. Less than 20?

6 A Close to 20.

7 Q Did you write such a report as a referral for
8 Nolan Klein?

9 A Yes.

10 Q At what time?

11 A I don't remember a specific date.

12 Q Was it while he was still living?

13 A Yes. At least -- that's the only way I would do
14 that.

15 Q I'm just trying to narrow the time frame.

16 A Okay. I got confused there.

17 Q I know sometimes attorneys ask questions that --
18 did you -- do you recall if it was within the year prior to
19 the time that he died?

20 MR. GEDDES: Objection. May misstate testimony
21 with respect to her testimony about six months' expectancy
22 of life.

23 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at the
24 records. I don't remember the dates.

25 ///

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q Were you ever asked -- were you -- did you ever ,
3 discuss this compassionate release with any member of his
4 family?

5 A I don't remember that.

6 Q Did you believe that the request for
7 compassionate release would have -- would be successful?

8 MR. GEDDES: Speculation with respect to other
9 people making decisions out of this witness's control.

10 THE WITNESS: I never know what central office's
11 decision will be.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q Did you -- did you ever have an inclination
14 prior to sending a case for compassionate release, what
15 they would approve and what they would not?

16 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 Q Did you ever get a sense of that?

19 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

20 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't have a sense of
21 what other people do.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q Was there another doctor, an outside doctor, who
24 also submitted a report with regard to Nolan Klein's
25 compassionate release?

1 A I believe so.

2 Q And who was that?

3 A I don't remember the specific name. I would
4 have to look at the records for the specific name.

5 Q Okay. Can you describe to me your
6 understanding, as a medical professional, of what the term
7 "second level testing for liver function" is?

8 A That is terminology that is not common in my
9 usage, and would be very dependent on what the person using
10 it meant. But that is not common.

11 Q Do you recall the basis upon which you sent the
12 refusal -- statement, or whatever you call it, for the
13 compassionate release for Nolan Klein?

14 MR. GEDDES: May call for a narrative.

15 THE WITNESS: I actually -- I don't remember why
16 I sent that. Generally, it can sometimes be asked from
17 inmates, families, so -- but I don't specifically remember
18 in his case.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q And do you recall the medical basis upon which
21 you sent the referral for a compassionate release?

22 A Since the compassionate release is expected
23 medical expectation of death in six to twelve months, it
24 would be a medical evaluation that would make me believe
25 that someone had less than six to twelve months, knowing

1 that medically that is always a hypothetical estimate.

2 Q And do you specifically recall today what your
3 reasoning was for believing that Nolan Klein would not
4 survive more than six months when you referred him for the
5 compassionate release?

6 MR. GEDDES: Asked and answered.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember why I, in that
8 particular case, sent it at that particular time.

9 BY MS. HEARNE:

10 Q Did -- did you have -- during the time frame
11 2005 to 2009, did you have regular meetings with any
12 official of the Department of Corrections, other than the
13 medical staff?

14 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to regular
15 meetings.

16 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean.
17 That's four years. And there are different individuals
18 that I may interact with, including AGs.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q Is there a regular staff meeting in which the,
21 for example, the warden of the NNCC, is involved with the
22 medical staff?

23 MR. GEDDES: Same objection. Vague and
24 ambiguous as to regular staff.

25 THE WITNESS: Regarding the warden, I do not

1 have any meetings with the warden.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Are there any administrative staff that you have
4 a regular meeting with --

5 MR. GEDDES: Same objection.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q -- during that time frame?

8 A You would have to ask me what particular staff.
9 I eat lunch with my other doctor every day.

10 Q But I meant persons outside the medical staff;
11 persons who are administration or not medical staff.

12 Is there anyone that sets up a regular meeting
13 with you as medical staff who is not a part of the medical
14 staff?

15 MR. GEDDES: Same objection as to regular
16 meeting.

17 THE WITNESS: And I don't know what you mean by
18 regular meeting. Is it regular, once a year? Once every
19 five years?

20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q Any time frame that you can describe.

22 Is there any kind of regular meeting that you
23 have with other than medical staff?

24 MR. GEDDES: Same objection.

25 THE WITNESS: A medical director may call for a

1 meeting. And that time sequence is up to them, and if the
2 word "regular" is used as a mathematical term, no.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Did you have any interaction with administration
5 or other than medical staff about treatment of inmates?

6 MR. GEDDES: Same objection. Vague and
7 ambiguous as to treatment and as to the particular inmates
8 in question.

9 THE WITNESS: What treatment are we talking
10 about?

11 BY MS. HEARNE:

12 Q Any treatment anyone would direct you -- would
13 discuss with you treatment of an inmate, other than medical
14 staff?

15 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

16 THE WITNESS: As I said, medical directors have
17 had discussions, and they can be about different things.
18 They tend not to dictate how something should be treated,
19 because every case is different. Physicians are instructed
20 to give medical care, but exact treatment is not something
21 that you are instructed on, because every single case is
22 different.

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 Q Were you ever given any monetary restrictions on
25 the treatment of inmates during the time frame 2005 to

1 **2009?**

2 MR. GEDDES: Objection with respect to the
3 phrase "monetary restrictions," and "given monetary
4 restrictions," by whom and under what circumstances, and
5 particular inmates in question; calls for speculation;
6 hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical.

7 THE WITNESS: I had not been told that a
8 treatment is too expensive to give if that treatment was
9 truly felt to be needed in a particular case.

10 BY MS. HEARNE:

11 **Q Have you ever been required to justify treatment**
12 **because of the expense, to either the medical director or**
13 **any other administrative person at the Department of**
14 **Corrections?**

15 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to justify,
16 and with respect to the expense and cost of treatment, the
17 individuals in question; speculation; incomplete
18 hypothetical.

19 THE WITNESS: The medical department makes
20 recommendations, and then those recommendations are
21 followed. There is a utilization review committee for
22 outside procedures, which I do not sit on.

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 **Q Have you ever requested treatment that was**
25 **refused? Requested treatment for an inmate that was then**

1 **refused?**

2 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to refused,
3 and by whom.

4 MS. HEARNE: Not by the inmate.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't have specific cases. But
6 there have been recommendations that I have made that the
7 utilization review committee has denied.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q And do they tell you the reasons for the denial?

10 A No.

11 Q Were there any recommendations that you made for
12 the treatment of Nolan Klein that you recall were denied by
13 the utilization committee?

14 A I have no recollection of anything specific, no.

15 MS. HEARNE: Let's just take a five-minute
16 break, and then I guess we should -- I'll try to get
17 organized so that it will only take me maybe just another
18 half an hour, and that way we can just leave.

19 MR. GEDDES: I have some questions after you're
20 done.

21 MS. HEARNE: Oh, but how long will yours take?

22 MR. GEDDES: I think anywhere from half an hour
23 to 45 minutes.

24 MS. HEARNE: Then we need to ask whether or not
25 we'd rather take -- have a lunch now then.

1 (Whereupon a lunch recess was taken.)

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q We are back on the record. And hopefully you
4 were able to get some lunch. And do you recall that you're
5 still under oath?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the -- I believe
8 it's called over-the-counter drug, ibuprofen?

9 A Yes, I am.

10 Q And do you recall from Nolan Klein's medical
11 records that he was prescribed ibuprofen?

12 A I would have to refer to the medical records.

13 Q I just had a general question.

14 Do you have any medical opinion as to how long
15 ibuprofen can be prescribed on a regular basis, taken for
16 whatever the required amount is per day, before liver
17 testing is required?

18 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
19 to liver testing, taken every day, by what hypothetical
20 inmate, under what hypothetical conditions; calls for
21 incomplete hypothetical; speculation. And according to
22 what standards.

23 THE WITNESS: Ibuprofen as a medication, it is
24 totally dependent on the specific patient whether you would
25 recommend it, whether you would recommend dose or

1 frequency; it's dependent on the particular case.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q Correct. And I would understand, depending on
4 what kind of dosage, depending on what -- what they're --

5 A The patient.

6 Q -- suffering from. But let's just assume that
7 they have been given the maximum or average dose for
8 several years.

9 Is there a time frame in which a doctor would be
10 concerned about whether or not liver damage had occurred
11 because of the administering of ibuprofen?

12 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
13 to the phrase "maximum" or "average dose" and "over years."
14 And vague and ambiguous as to which doctor is referred to,
15 which patient is referred to, what conditions that patient
16 has. In this regard, it's calling for speculation on an
17 hypothetical patient, and on that basis, I would object on
18 the grounds that it's a hypothetical; incomplete
19 hypothetical.

20 THE WITNESS: Dosing is totally dependent on the
21 particular case as well as the length of time.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q Correct. And all I'm asking is, is there a time
24 frame when you say that patient has been given ibuprofen
25 for five years, or seven years, or ten years, and then you

1 say, "We better check to make sure there hasn't been any
2 liver damage because of prescribing it for that length of
3 time"?

4 MR. GEDDES: Same objections. And also vague
5 and ambiguous as to liver damage and as to who "we" are
6 when "we better check" is asked about, and according to
7 whose standards. Also vague and ambiguous as to time frame
8 and development of literature for such warnings.

9 THE WITNESS: Medically, I see no protocol for
10 specific assessment, but it is always dependent on the
11 person's case.

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q Were you ever directly told by any employee of
14 the Nevada Department of Corrections to stop treating -- to
15 stop treating Nolan Klein because of expense?

16 A No.

17 Q Were you ever --

18 MR. GEDDES: I'm sorry, I just was going to
19 interpose an objection. Lacks foundation; assumes facts
20 not established; vague and ambiguous with respect to
21 treating.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 Q Were you ever told by anyone who was an employee
24 of the Nevada Department of Corrections to alter the
25 treatment of Nolan Klein?

1 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
2 to alter the treatment. And -- yeah, that's it.

3 THE WITNESS: No.

4 BY MS. HEARNE:

5 Q Were you ever informed of any litigation that
6 had been filed by Nolan Klein?

7 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to
8 litigation. Litigation question --
9 **check for drop at end there--can't hear him**

10 THE WITNESS: You would have to -- I know of
11 this litigation, because I'm sitting here. But I'm not --

12 BY MS. HEARNE:

13 Q I meant by Nolan Klein personally.

14 A No.

15 Q Were you ever aware that he had filed any
16 litigation?

17 MR. GEDDES: Same objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't know any specific
19 litigation that he filed.

20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q But did you -- were you just generally aware
22 that he had filed litigation?

23 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

24 THE WITNESS: Also, I have no recollection of
25 anything that he filed, no.

1 BY MS. HEARNE:

2 Q Were you aware of the religious affiliation of
3 Nolan Klein?

4 A No.

5 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to time
6 frame.

7 BY MS. HEARNE:

8 Q Did he ever state to you that he had any
9 religion or religious affiliation?

10 A I don't specifically remember any conversation
11 regarding religion.

12 Q Do you consider being a Wiccan having a
13 religion?

14 A I consider all people's belief systems to be
15 their own.

16 Q Had you ever heard of the Wiccan religion being
17 practiced by inmates at the Nevada Department of
18 Corrections?

19 A I am aware that they practice their belief
20 system in the prison. I have heard the term.

21 Q And what is your understanding of what it is
22 that they practice pursuant to this religion?

23 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to practice.

24 THE WITNESS: I have very little understanding.

25 The minimal understanding I have about the Wiccan

1 population is that they enjoyed herbs, and in the prison
2 system, some of them asked me about certain herbs.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Did you ever hear anyone who was an employee of
5 the Nevada Department of Corrections during the time that
6 you have been employed there, refer to Wiccans as a gang?

7 A No.

8 Q Were, did you -- oh, during the time that you
9 treated or were treating Nolan Klein, did you ever
10 prescribe or recommend an air mattress and heating pad as
11 part of his therapy?

12 A I would have to refresh my recollection with
13 records. I don't remember specific recommendations
14 requiring products for thousands of inmates.

15 Q So you don't recall ever there being any
16 controversy over the fact that he had an air mattress and
17 heating pad removed from his cell that you had recommended
18 he use?

19 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Foundation; assumes
20 facts not established; asked and answered.

21 THE WITNESS: I have no recollection of
22 specifics like that, unless I looked at the record.

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 Q Did -- were nurses -- are nurses at the
25 Department of Corrections in charge of administering

1 medication to the inmates?

2 A RNs have different medication dispensing than
3 LPNs. But both nurses can give oral medication. IV is
4 given by an RN.

5 MR. GEDDES: Belated objection to vague and
6 ambiguous as to the term "nurse," based on the witness's
7 testimony of there being more than one type of nurse.

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q Did -- at the time that you have worked for the
10 Department of Corrections, did you ever work with a nurse
11 that was named John Perry?

12 A There are two John Perrys. There is John Perry
13 who is currently now charge nurse in the RMF, and there is
14 John Perry who is a DON.

15 Q I'm sorry a what?

16 A A DON. A director of nursing. So I have one
17 that's a charge nurse, and one that's a director of
18 nursing. So you would need to tell me which John Perry.

19 Q How old is the John Perry who is the director of
20 nursing; if you know?

21 A I'm not aware -- I don't know ages of the staff.

22 Q Okay. Oh, I'm sorry. You said one was Perry
23 and one was Perry, right?

24 A Well, I don't know pronunciation. To me they're
25 both Perry.

1 Q Oh, okay.

2 A Though people may pronounce it differently.

3 Q Okay. Were you -- do you ever recall during the
4 time frame from -- during the time that you've been
5 employed as a doctor with the Department of Corrections,
6 that a nurse ever overrode your directions --

7 MR. GEDDES: Objection --

8 BY MS. HEARNE:

9 Q -- with regarding to treating an inmate?

10 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous as to overrode
11 the directions in treating an inmate. Lacks foundation.

12 THE WITNESS: I would need to know a specific
13 case. Medical orders, such as drugs, are not overrode by
14 nursing staff.

15 BY MS. HEARNE:

16 Q And what directives, policies, protocol, or
17 guidance documents are in place, or just policies, to
18 make -- ensure that nurses do not contradict or override,
19 or not do what a doctor prescribes at the Department of
20 Corrections?

21 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Misstates testimony
22 with respect to the phrase "ensure." Vague and ambiguous
23 as to the policies, protocols, and the like. Assumes facts
24 not established. And may call for speculation as to what
25 other people do.

1 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of the policy
2 that talks about doctors and nurses' interactions.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Is there any kind of follow-up by a treating
5 doctor, such as yourself, to ensure or to just double-check
6 to make certain that your instructions are carried out with
7 regard to treatment of an inmate?

8 A If -- I'm not sure how to -- if I order
9 something that I consider vital, such as an IV antibiotic,
10 and then, for example, we do not have it on our shelf, then
11 a nurse will alert me that we don't have it, and then we
12 have to use a different antibiotic. Something of that
13 sort.

14 Q Okay. During the time that you have been
15 employed by the Department of Corrections, has it ever come
16 to your attention that an inmate has been refused treatment
17 for Hepatitis C?

18 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
19 to the inmate question; lacks foundation; assumes facts not
20 established; vague and ambiguous as to refused and
21 treatment, and Hepatitis C as to other, symptomatic -- and
22 the stage.

23 THE WITNESS: If treatment is reduction of viral
24 load, there have been inmates who have asked for treatment,
25 and medical decisions have been made that they were not

1 medically a potential candidate, where the benefit
2 outweighed the risk at that particular moment in time.

3 BY MS. HEARNE:

4 Q Do you ever recall an instance when a State
5 assemblyman intervened and/or contacted the Department of
6 Corrections in order to argue or to change the decision of
7 the Department of Corrections regarding treatment for an
8 inmate?

9 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous; compound;
10 lacks foundation; assumes facts not established.

11 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of politicians
12 and their interactions with, directly with doctors, no.

13 BY MS. HEARNE:

14 Q Would they -- do you have any knowledge of a
15 State assemblyman or a politician or having an --
16 intervening or having an interaction with administration at
17 the Department of Corrections?

18 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

19 BY MS. HEARNE:

20 Q Regarding the treatment of an inmate.

21 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not, no.

23 BY MS. HEARNE:

24 Q And let me, beforehand here, apologize again
25 about my pronunciation, but I believe it is -- do you know

1 **what Lactulose is?**

2 A Lactulose?

3 **Q Lactulose.**

4 A Spelled L-A-C-T-U-L-O-S-E.

5 **Q Correct. That's it.**

6 A Lactulose is an oral medication used to actually
7 encourage nitrogenous waste from the body by increasing
8 diarrhea, and the rationale is to reduce the ammonia level.

9 **Q And why would -- why would you use that**
10 **particular therapy to reduce ammonia levels?**

11 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Speculation as to a
12 hypothetical situation. Calls for an incomplete
13 hypothetical.

14 THE WITNESS: Medically, if someone had high
15 ammonia levels, and it was truly mentally affecting them,
16 physicians would treat with a drug like Lactulose.

17 BY MS. HEARNE:

18 **Q And how is Lactulose administered?**

19 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

20 THE WITNESS: It is -- it can be administered
21 orally. And that is the predominant way.

22 BY MS. HEARNE:

23 **Q When you say "orally," if the --**

24 A Where they swallow it orally.

25 **Q Where they swallow it orally. Is it ever done**

1 by inserting a tube into the throat of the patient?

2 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

3 THE WITNESS: A nasogastric tube, in some cases,
4 that is still considered orally.

5 MS. HEARNE: Okay.

6 BY MS. HEARNE:

7 Q And why would a nasogastric tube be used as
8 opposed to administering it in another fashion orally?

9 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

10 THE WITNESS: Because medically, that's what
11 should be done. If someone cannot swallow on their own,
12 then that is another option.

13 MS. HEARNE: I understand.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 Q Do you recall an inmate by the name of
16 Kenneth James Meller, M-E-L-L-E-R?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And do you recall why he -- what was the
19 underlying conviction, and why he was serving his term --
20 for which he was serving his term?

21 A I remember that he had a life sentence. I
22 remember specifics because he took me hostage.

23 Q And do you recall the underlying conviction that
24 required him to serve a life sentence?

25 A I remember that I was told that he had killed a

1 police officer. And the highway leading to Tahoe,
2 Gary Gifford Memorial Highway, was named after the police
3 officer that he killed.

4 **Q Can you medically explain the term "end-stage**
5 **liver disease"?**

6 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous
7 with respect to its various forms and stages.

8 THE WITNESS: End-stage liver disease is a term
9 that has tremendous broad medical explanation. But in most
10 doctors, when they say "end stage," they mean that that
11 liver is not functioning in an appropriate manner, and that
12 individuals will have significant medical problems related
13 to that particular fact.

14 BY MS. HEARNE:

15 **Q Are there ways to determine if an -- a patient**
16 **has end-stage liver disease?**

17 MR. GEDDES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous;
18 hypothetical; incomplete hypothetical.

19 THE WITNESS: There are no specific ways. There
20 are different historical laboratory ways.

21 BY MS. HEARNE:

22 **Q What are those, or some of those?**

23 MR. GEDDES: Same objections.

24 THE WITNESS: So laboratory-wise, for example,
25 with an end-stage liver, you look at what the liver is

1 responsible for doing. For example, it's responsible for
2 producing coagulation factors. So your patient could have
3 excessive bleeding. It is responsible for cleaning the
4 toxins from the blood. So a person could have high ammonia
5 levels, for example. It is responsible for the blood
6 flowing through it. So if it is scarred, fibrotic, and not
7 functioning, that back pressure can give ascites,
8 peripheral edema, varices, splenomegaly, increased small
9 capillary distension.

10 But they are not in and of themselves a
11 diagnosis. It is the group of multiple different variables
12 that might allow a physician to think that someone had
13 end-stage liver disease. So it is a very nonspecific term
14 in medicine.

15 MS. HEARNE: I have nothing further.

16
17 EXAMINATION

18
19 BY MR. GEDDES:

20 Q Dr. Gedney, you were asked some questions about
21 Warm Springs Correctional Center generally in this
22 deposition.

23 Do you recall them generally?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And my question specifically to you is, how far

1 is Warm Springs Correctional Center from the NNCC/RMF
2 complex, that prison?

3 A I believe about three miles.

4 Q If an inmate needs to get from Warm Springs
5 Correctional Center to Northern Nevada Correctional Center
6 or the RMF, how long -- how quickly can that process take
7 of an inmate being produced to the RMF or NNCC from
8 Warm Springs Correctional Center?

9 A The same day. Could be the same hour.

10 Q And how many years have you worked as a medical
11 doctor for the NDOC?

12 A Twenty-five.

13 Q In your 25 years experience, have inmates been
14 transferred the same day from Warm Springs to NNCC/Regional
15 Medical Facility for purposes of receiving some form of
16 medical treatment at the RMF?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And if a situation arose where you wanted an
19 inmate produced for medical treatment from the regional
20 medical -- I'm sorry, to the Regional Medical Facility from
21 Warm Springs, is that something that you could directly
22 order?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Can you summarize what procedure you would use
25 to make it happen, and how quickly it could happen if you

1 **wanted it to be so?**

2 A Basically, I would contact the medical at Warm
3 Springs, or usually they contact me regarding someone they
4 want me to see that day. Then the nurse in charge at
5 Warm Springs coordinates with custody for the transfer of
6 the patient by van.

7 Q And how quickly, historically, have you been
8 able to get an inmate over there from the time of your
9 request?

10 A The same day.

11 Q There was some discussion regarding regularity
12 of the meetings, or the holding of regular meetings with
13 respect to the medical director and medical staff?

14 Do you generally recall that line of testimony?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. Can the medical director communicate to
17 you other -- by means other than holding a regular meeting?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Can you summarize that?

20 A They can call, they can e-mail, they can fax,
21 they can meet for lunch, their discussion, things like
22 that.

23 Q And the same question: If the director of the
24 NDOC wanted to communicate to you, but not through what's
25 been described as opposing counsel as a regular meeting, is

1 that channel of communication open?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Can you explain that a little bit more?

4 A The medical director knows where the physicians
5 work, so he can call, or he has our e-mail addresses. So
6 he can e-mail us his -- whatever he wants to communicate.

7 Q So if a director, not the medical director, but
8 the NDOC director, himself or herself, wanted to intervene
9 in a case involving the provision of medical care for an
10 inmate -- and intervene being calling something to your
11 attention to have you look at something or check something
12 out -- is that something that could occur?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Can you explain how?

15 A Usually, a director would e-mail currently.
16 Years ago, we had no computers, and they might send a
17 letter or call on the phone regarding an issue they wanted
18 us to look at, if it was a particular inmate.

19 Q Was there a particular situation when that would
20 commonly occur, an inciting incident that would cause a
21 director, based on your experience, to intervene, for lack
22 of a better word, in that manner?

23 A Predominantly, that would occur if family
24 members or political figures called central office and
25 wanted the director to do something out of the ordinary.

1 That's usually why they would contact us.

2 Q Have you ever been contacted in your tenure on
3 behalf of the director, either directly or indirectly, to
4 take a look at something, or to have a second look, or to
5 weigh in on a medical issue?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And can you summarize what you would ordinarily
8 do in such a situation?

9 A Well, if a director contacted us to either take
10 a look at a certain case, that might include bringing
11 someone up for a special history and physical, or if it was
12 a request that central office wanted us to look at the
13 person for a potential compassionate release, they would
14 contact us.

15 Q And if the director made such a communication by
16 communicating to the medical director, but not you
17 directly, and the medical director weighed in or
18 communicated to you, is it possible that you might not know
19 that the director -- the NDOC director was behind that, but
20 just presumed it was the medical director?

21 A I would have no way of knowing unless the
22 medical director actually told me.

23 Q Okay. Now, there was some questioning regarding
24 your knowledge about underlying -- the underlying sentences
25 or convictions of inmates.

1 Do you generally recall that line of
2 questioning?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And do you generally concern yourself
5 with the underlying crime or conviction of an inmate, other
6 than what you've testified to with respect to the practical
7 staging of treatment and whether the inmate will actually
8 be around to receive the completion of treatment?

9 A What they do is irrelevant to me medically.

10 Q When you say "what they do," what do you mean by
11 "what they do"?

12 A What their charge was, or even what they do on a
13 prison yard, such as fight, or cut themselves, all those
14 things are not relevant to the medical care.

15 What is important is whatever medical condition
16 they have. We treat it irregardless of whether they
17 self-induced a problem, or if they have any criminal
18 history. That's irrelevant to medicine.

19 Q So do you -- when you treat your inmates, do you
20 have general knowledge of their underlying crimes?

21 A Generally not, because it's of no importance.

22 Q Now, there was another deposition given in this
23 case, where a certain -- a certain statement or slur, if
24 you will, was attributed to you, and so I'll just ask it
25 this way:

1 If an underlying -- underlying crime for an
2 inmate, if you -- at some point in your life were made
3 known to you before treating an inmate, if that person was
4 convicted of a sexual offense, or was a sex offender, would
5 that in any way affect how you approach -- provide medical
6 treatment for that inmate?

7 A Absolutely not.

8 Q And if a person had stated that you made a slur
9 or negative statement concerning how sex offenders should
10 be treated medically, what would your response be to that
11 claim that you made such a statement?

12 A They are misinformed, and they do not know my
13 conduct for 25 years in the prison.

14 Q Well, let's talk a little bit about that.

15 Did you ever make a statement, words to the
16 effect that sex offenders either should not be treated, or
17 they should be harmed, or they should be killed? Anything
18 like that?

19 A Absolutely not.

20 Q And if a person attributed that statement to
21 you, would they be truthful?

22 A No.

23 Q And with respect to your reputation among the
24 inmates, do you know one way or another whether the inmates
25 generally like you or dislike you with respect to your

1 provision of treatment, or care and concern of inmates in
2 the NDOC system?

3 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Asks for speculation.

4 BY MR. GEDDES:

5 Q I'm asking you whether you know one way or
6 another whether you have a reputation with inmates.

7 Do you understand the question?

8 A Yes. And I know that I have had many inmates
9 over the years who come from different prison systems who
10 basically say: I am so happy to come to NNCC. I've heard
11 so many good things about you. I want you to figure out
12 what kind of medical problem I have.

13 Q Did you have a conversation with an inmate who
14 was a law clerk, who communicated to you actions he took
15 in -- in pursuit of allowing you to continue -- or
16 regarding your reputation as a doctor?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Can you summarize what occurred?

19 A I have had different inmates who are law clerks
20 over the years who have specifically told me that because
21 of --

22 Q I'm sorry, are these law clerks or inmates?

23 A These are inmates; these are inmate law clerks
24 who have specifically told me that when they have cases
25 come to them that are against me; they actually turn around

1 and discourage that, because they personally feel that I'm
2 one of the best physicians in the prison system.

3 Q Now, at any time in your treatment, or at any
4 time in your life, did you ever harbor any ill will or
5 malice towards Nolan Klein?

6 A No.

7 Q And if someone were to describe you as an
8 advocate for the inmates, someone who looks out for the
9 best interests of the inmates, would you agree with that
10 generally, or disagree with that?

11 A I would agree with that.

12 Q Can you summarize any advocacy you believe
13 you've done on behalf of the inmates, looking out for their
14 best interests?

15 A Yes, I have a number of things. Number one was,
16 over 20 years ago, I developed a life skills substance
17 abuse course, initially known as BADA, B-A-D-A. It's
18 currently known as Health Related Recovery. I teach this
19 class as a volunteer on my own time. For 20 years I've
20 taught at Northern Nevada Correctional Center and Stewart
21 Conservation Camp every single week, literally, for
22 20 years.

23 Recently, because of furlough status, and
24 reduction in custody, I currently only teach at the Stewart
25 Conservation Camp where they do not have the problem with

1 an officer in attendance.

2 This class, the inmates are given meritorious
3 credit; that means time off their sentence, if they attend
4 such an educational class.

5 For over maybe 10 to 15 years, I was the
6 volunteer and was the liaison for the Toastmasters Club.
7 That is a public-speaking club, a gavel club known in the
8 parlance of the prison. That was every other Sunday night,
9 which I did with my husband for 10, 15 years. Last year it
10 was ended, because custody did not have staff to be in
11 attendance because of furloughs.

12 I formed the first HIV support group in the
13 prison system. I was awarded the Nevada World's AIDS day
14 recognition, which I received from the U.S. Senate, filed
15 by -- signed by Harry Reid, for my care of HIV in the
16 prisons.

17 I am a National Health Corps -- Service Corps
18 doc. I was placed there in the prison system in 1987.

19 I received a tenure merit award from the
20 National Government, from the director, which is the
21 highest award in the United States, for my care to the
22 population in the prison system.

23 In 2002, I was in a correctional magazine, which
24 was entitled Best in the Business. And they did a feature
25 article on me. The article was entitled, "Insistence on

1 Persistence." And my name was put forth by Jackie
2 Crawford, who was the director at that time.

3 Those are just some of the things that could
4 show that I have been an advocate for the inmates.

5 Q Okay. And you -- you supported the
6 compassionate release of Nolan Klein; is that correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you've given some testimony as to the
9 expectation -- I think at one point you said six months,
10 and in another part of your testimony, you said six to
11 twelve months. The question being: If you are -- if you
12 supported Nolan Klein's compassionate release of
13 six to twelve months expectation that he might die, my
14 question to you is, does that mean you wrote him off as
15 someone who was certain to die?

16 A No. Medicine never knows what ultimately will
17 occur. That decision on compassionate release is based on
18 a medically reasonable estimation. For example, a few
19 weeks ago, we had technically an end-stage liver patient --
20 can I say his name or no?

21 Q Sure.

22 A His name was XXXXXXXX, or is XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX. I
23 felt that --

24 (Name blanked out per agreement of the
25 attorneys.)

1 MR. GEDDES: I'm sorry, I would just ask that if
2 confidentiality restrictions apply, we would ask that this
3 portion of the transcript be sealed, such that the parties
4 can see it, but that --

5 MS. HEARNE: We would agree.

6 THE WITNESS: Because it's HIPAA regulations.

7 MR. GEDDES: So what we'll do is we'll file this
8 portion of the transcript under seal to the Court. If the
9 court reporter could kindly mark this portion at the point
10 where she mentioned what his name was. Thank you.

11 THE WITNESS: But in essence, this particular
12 inmate I truly felt was end-stage liver. He had slipped
13 into a coma. And before he had slipped into a coma, I had
14 asked him did he have any family. He asked me to call his
15 mother. And when inmates ask me to call a family member,
16 and I have that ability --

17 MR. GEDDES: I'm sorry, on second thought, to
18 protect my client, if I could ask the court reporter to
19 strike the name of inmate from the record so that there's
20 no potential argument.

21 THE WITNESS: HIPAA violation, yeah. I didn't
22 know if you wanted from it a legal stance or not.
23 Medically, we don't do that.

24 MR. GEDDES: No, that's okay. Just strike the
25 name.

1 THE WITNESS: But in essence, I called the
2 family. The family came. Everyone expected that he would
3 die. And he turned around, and it was, to me, close to
4 miraculous. But it is an example in medicine that you
5 never give up hope.

6 He currently got to the point where he is stable
7 and I have -- as of -- what's today? As of yesterday, I
8 discharged him to a local camp right next to us.

9 So medically, patients can confound all doctors.
10 They can survive, and I've seen it many times.

11 MR. GEDDES: Okay.

12 BY MR. GEDDES:

13 Q Now, there was a line of questioning regarding
14 treatment and refusal of treatment for monetary reasons.

15 Do you generally recall that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q In your experience, has -- let me ask this:

18 The medical department, does the medical
19 department have a budget that is set forth by the
20 Legislature of Nevada?

21 A Yes, it does. I am not involved with any of
22 that.

23 Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge one way or
24 another whether or not at any time during your tenure with
25 the NDOC the budget was reached to its maximum, and they

1 had to go back and ask for more money, and if so, whether
2 they received it?

3 A Because I'm not involved with that, I don't
4 know.

5 Q Now, you gave some testimony earlier about how
6 there could be some involvement with the medical director,
7 or the medical director might communicate to have you or
8 the medical staff take a second look.

9 Do you recall generally that testimony?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Might that have occurred with respect to Nolan
12 Brown -- I'm sorry, Nolan Klein?

13 A Yes, it might have.

14 Q Have you ever communicated with Tonja Brown, who
15 is the Plaintiff in this particular action?

16 A Not I'm aware of. I don't recognize the lady.

17 Q If there were a claim that Ms. Brown sent a
18 communication and e-mail to you regarding Nolan Klein,
19 would you have an opinion one way or another whether that
20 was true?

21 A If it was true, and it may be, I get hundreds of
22 e-mails, and I don't remember all these different e-mails.

23 Q But with respect to the setup of your computer
24 in the system, are you able to receive e-mails from the
25 outside world?

1 A Actually, my computer is one of the computers
2 they designate as an institutional computer. So currently
3 I do not have Internet access. So for people to send to my
4 computer from the outside world doesn't currently exist.

5 I will add, I don't know when that changed.
6 That did change when they asked us -- I don't know when,
7 but maybe a year or two ago, what type of system we wanted.

8 Q But the outside world, are you still able to get
9 communications from the medical director, the director
10 and --

11 A That's institutional.

12 Q So the answer is yes?

13 A Yes, institutional.

14 Q Okay.

15 A Internet is when doctors want to look at things
16 on Google or whatever, that's --

17 Q Okay.

18 A That is not what I have, no.

19 Q All right. What -- what I'd like to do -- let
20 me ask you this: You were asked a lot of questions today
21 concerning the medical treatment of Nolan Klein.

22 Do you generally remember that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Were you ever asked once to look through the
25 medical records to refresh your recollection as to the

1 particular medical treatment of Nolan Klein in this case?

2 A No.

3 Q Have you brought, or were documents brought here
4 today that would enable you to go through the medical
5 records and determine the particular course of treatment
6 provided to Nolan Klein?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Can you describe what those documents are and
9 where they are with respect to where you are sitting?

10 A They are sitting in front of me. They're in a
11 black folder.

12 Q A binder?

13 A A black binder, excuse me. And they stand --
14 the white pages stand, I would guess, maybe five inches,
15 three -- I don't know, three to five inches tall. And it
16 includes a number --

17 Q Are they double-sided pages mainly?

18 A They are double-sided pages, and they include
19 the headings, Unusual Occurrence, Authorization and
20 Release, Kites, Medical Flow Sheets, Progress Notes, Med
21 Sheets, Miscellaneous Records, Outside Consultants, X-ray,
22 EKG, Labs, Mental Health Records, CTH, and others.

23 Q Okay. What I want to do -- and do these
24 documents have numbers, control or serial numbers at the
25 bottom with the preceding alpha prefix of NDOC?

1 A Yes, they do.

2 Q And then they have a dash and numbers?

3 A Correct.

4 Q And all of those pages do, don't they?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. So what I want to do is I want to go over
7 some of the treatment that you provided. But first, before
8 we get bogged down in that, there was testimony in another
9 deposition given, I'll represent to you, that Nolan Klein
10 lost trust in you or faith in you as someone looking out
11 for his best interest. I'm paraphrasing here, and I'll let
12 the record correct me where I'm inaccurate. But my general
13 recollection is that the testimony was given that Nolan
14 Klein lost faith in you, or started to distrust you, or
15 maybe thought you were conspiring to cause him harm by
16 delaying his hepatitis -- I'm sorry, delaying treatment for
17 his MRSA, or as you call it, M-R-S-A.

18 So what I'd like to ask you is, first of all, my
19 understanding of the basis of that claim had to do with the
20 timing of Nolan Klein looking at a medical record and
21 seeing a reference to a spider, or something in there with
22 the word "spider" or phrase, and presuming that meant MRSA,
23 and that MRSA had been treated much later, and therefore,
24 you had, in essence, neglected him or delayed treatment to
25 his serious medical needs.

1 So with that long setup involved here, my
2 question to you specifically is: You've given reference in
3 this testimony -- in your testimony today where the word
4 "spider" was used as part of a word; is that correct?

5 A Correct.

6 Q What word is that again?

7 A Spider angiomas.

8 Q Do you have an opinion one way or another
9 whether or not spider angiomas -- whatever you said that
10 word was, whether that implicates MRSA or not?

11 A It has no relationship to MRSA at all.

12 Q Okay. And you've given testimony already as to
13 what that term "spider angiomas" means.

14 But do you have an opinion one way or another as
15 to why someone might confuse spider angiomas with MRSA, or
16 causes of MRSA, or diagnoses of MRSA, in any way one way or
17 another?

18 A Yes, I do.

19 Q Can you summarize?

20 A Many inmates come in with a red bump, a small
21 abscess.

22 Q When you say "come in," you mean --

23 A Excuse me. Many inmates will present to the
24 medical department.

25 Q Thank you.

1 A And they will have a little red bump on their
2 skin, and they will say, Hey, I think I was bit by a
3 spider. And what they really have is a slight infection in
4 the tissue that can be from an abrasion where bacteria
5 entered the tissue. So you get a little erythematous lump
6 which can become an abscess. But the inmates mistake it as
7 spider bites.

8 Q And what's the connection between that and MRSA?

9 A The connection is that many inmates confuse
10 infections with bites from spiders.

11 Q MRSA infections with bites from spiders?

12 A They confuse skin infections with bites from
13 spiders. And in my record, when I used the word "spider,"
14 I mean spider angiomas. In fact, we rarely have anyone
15 who is truly bitten by a spider. That is very rare.

16 Q So are you saying that Nolan Klein, you believe,
17 might have mistaken your spider angiomas reference, or a
18 reference in the record to that, with a spider bite which
19 is somehow construed by some inmates as an infection which
20 might lead them to suspect they have MRSA?

21 A I'm saying that if he read the word "spider," he
22 would not have the medical knowledge to understand that it
23 was spider angiomas. And to a layperson, a spider means
24 an arachnid, or an insect with eight legs. But in
25 medicine, it means spider angiomas.

1 Q Well, let's -- we're going to go over the
2 medical treatment in just a second, but just so we finish
3 up on this topic, what was -- since he believed that, or
4 testimony was given that he stated that he believed that
5 you delayed in treating his MRSA from its initial
6 appearance, or the diagnosis of it, or its manifestation
7 when it should have been diagnosed, can you -- can you go
8 through the medical records and establish the time line of
9 when he was first diagnosed with MRSA, and when it was
10 treated?

11 A Yes, I can. And I have to pull up the correct
12 progress notes.

13 Here we go.

14 Q What page are you looking at? Can you identify
15 it by Bates number?

16 A I am looking at NDOC-00390.

17 Q Okay.

18 A And it's dated of November 26, 2007.

19 Q Okay.

20 A I have actually a fairly long note. What's
21 important is that in the note, it reflects that the patient
22 had a urinalysis, which means a test on the urine, and that
23 the urine had shown MRSA. And --

24 Q And what date was that, please?

25 A This was November -- this is the November 26

1 note I'm referring to.

2 Q Thank you.

3 A And that reference to the urine was related to
4 the page 391, where he had come in complaining of not
5 feeling well, and I looked at his urine and found that he
6 had white blood cells, which caused me to send out a
7 culture and sensitivity, and I placed him on a medication
8 called Bactrim.

9 Q Right there and then?

10 A Right there and then, for a suspected infection
11 based on the urinalysis. Now, that was -- that urinalysis
12 was sent for a culture and sensitivity, which means that
13 the bacteria, if it's present, if it grows, then you can
14 establish what bacteria it was. And that bacteria was
15 Methacillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus, MRSA.

16 And the treatment I had put him on for a
17 suspected infection on November 15th was Bactrim, and the
18 sensitivities did show that that bacteria was sensitive to
19 Bactrim, which means that it would be treated.

20 Q So let me just stop you right there. So your
21 original ordering of Bactrim, before you knew he had MRSA,
22 effectively treated MRSA before you knew what he had MRSA;
23 is that what you're saying?

24 A Absolutely, yes.

25 Q Okay. Please continue.

1 A So on November 26, 2007 -- this is the same
2 00390 note -- I also in that day saw that the patient had
3 cellulitis in the left leg. And that means infection in
4 the tissue in the left leg. And I questioned that could
5 this infection be MRSA. I questioned that because at that
6 day, I knew he had bacteria in the urine. So that day, I
7 treated him with IV Vancomycin and admitted him into the
8 RMF on that day.

9 Q So do you believe there's any factual basis to
10 support the allegation that you delayed -- knowingly
11 delayed the treatment of MRSA for Nolan Klein?

12 A No.

13 Q Okay. So what I'd like to do, so that the
14 record is clear, are you able to review these records and
15 pinpoint what your precise limited involvement was in the
16 treatment of Nolan Klein?

17 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Leading.

18 BY MR. GEDDES:

19 Q Are you able to do that with the records
20 supplied?

21 A I can look at medical records, and I can tell
22 you where my progress notes involving his care become
23 evident.

24 Q Okay. Could you do that? Could you identify
25 the progress notes that indicate your particular

1 involvement in the treatment of Nolan Klein?

2 A I have to find it.

3 Q Take your time.

4 A So I have records in front of me that are
5 actually dated from --

6 Q If you could identify it by Bates numbers.

7 A Okay. I will have to say these progress notes
8 are not exactly in order.

9 Q Take your time; however you'd like to organize
10 it to get it right, that's fine.

11 Let's do this --

12 MS. HEARNE: Go off the record just a second.

13 (Whereupon an off-the-record
14 discussion was had.)

15 MR. GEDDES: Back on the record. I'd like to go
16 ahead and, while she's looking, may we mark this as
17 Exhibit 1? Exhibit 1 is the entire binder that the witness
18 has identified. Thank you.

19 (Exhibit Number 1 was marked for
20 identification.)

21 THE WITNESS: So what I'm looking at is
22 NDOC-00400. And looking at this binder, this is where my
23 interaction with this inmate becomes evident. And it goes
24 from April 16th, 2007, until --

25 ///

1 BY MR. GEDDES:

2 Q 2007?

3 A 2007. 4/16/2007 until he expired.

4 Q On what day?

5 A He expired -- my last interaction, at least
6 looking at this binder, is on NDOC number 00485 page, and
7 it's 8/25/09. I -- well, let me see.

8 Excuse me, I see more. It's not exactly in
9 order.

10 Q Take your time.

11 A I see an order also from me on 9/3/09. And at
12 least from my looking at this chart, that's the last time
13 it looks like I had interaction.

14 Q That's at -- what NDOC Bates number is that?

15 A That is 00487.

16 Q Thank you. Okay.

17 A But I don't see any more after that by orders.

18 Q Okay.

19 A Looking at orders.

20 Q Given that you have good handwriting, some
21 people may claim that they know what you did, but could you
22 walk us through the treatments that you provided based on
23 the writings that you have identified in the medical
24 record?

25 A Regarding all treatment?

1 Q Yes.

2 A From the beginning?

3 Q Yes. That you provided.

4 A To summarize --

5 Q Well, if you could start with the first page
6 where you became involved, and then discuss what your
7 involvement was for each one. 4/16/07 being the first
8 date, what Bates page?

9 A NDOC-00400. So this is where I see my
10 interaction predominantly occurring.

11 Q Okay.

12 A So in essence, April 16, 2007, I see this
13 gentleman with a history of Hep C, increased iron stores, a
14 couple of years of right upper quadrant pain, heavy
15 alcohol, prior IV drug use. And when he presented, the
16 complaints really were related to right upper quadrant
17 pain. I ordered a HIDA scan to assess his gallbladder
18 function. And I also checked certain blood work,
19 ferritin, alpha-fetoprotein.

20 Q And that was the first time you ever treated
21 Nolan Klein; is that correct?

22 A This is the first time I treated him, looking at
23 this.

24 Q This being these records?

25 A At these records, that I can find.

1 **Q Okay.**

2 A April 30th, which is 00399, that number page, he
3 was negative for the hereditary hemochromatosis genetic
4 study. He had gallstones by X-ray, and I referred him to a
5 surgeon for a possible need of a colcystectomy. That
6 ultimately happened on -- then we have NDOC-00397. So in
7 June --

8 **Q What year?**

9 A Of 2007. June 27th, 2007, I saw him again.
10 This was after he had his colcystectomy, after a liver
11 biopsy, and he was feeling well. And --

12 **Q On what facts do you base that?**

13 A His -- it's my subjective note says:
14 Feels well, wants to leave.

15 And he meant leave from the RMF.

16 **Q What is a subjective note?**

17 A A subjective note is what I write, what the
18 patient tells me. So if they tell me they're feeling well,
19 or they feel sick, then I document it as a subjective
20 point.

21 **Q So Nolan Klein told you he was feeling well**
22 **according to that record?**

23 A Correct. And I discharged him from the Regional
24 Medical Facility, because he had had a colcystectomy and
25 was over it.

1 Q He requested to be discharged; is that what your
2 note says?

3 A Yes:

4 Wants to leave.

5 Q Okay. Thank you.

6 A On June 28th, there is a note where I write:

7 Patient is Hep C candidate. Aware of

8 risks and benefits of treatment, and

9 wants to undergo treatment. Patient

10 is a lifer.

11 That's in my notes.

12 Q What does that mean, and why is that in your
13 notes?

14 A It is in my note only for me to realize that he
15 is not leaving in less than a year, where he would not be
16 able to fulfill the full treatment.

17 Q Okay.

18 A Now, NDOC-00396, August 8th, 2007, he comes in.
19 He had a liver biopsy, which did show fibrosis, and with
20 deeper tissues less effected, according to the biopsy
21 report, and he actually signed a consent for treatment.
22 And at that point, I determined to do a genotype and viral
23 load.

24 My next note is on page 00395-NDOC. That's
25 October 4th, 2007.

1 Patient's case has gone through UR.
2 That means the utilization review committee.
3 Patient was approved for treatment.

4 **Q What treatment?**

5 A For treatment for Hepatitis C.

6 **Q What specific treatment are you referring to?**

7 A And the treatment would be to reduce the viral
8 count in a person, hoping for what is known as a sustained
9 viral response. And the treatment in 2007 would have been
10 Interferon and Ribavirin.

11 **Q Thank you.**

12 A And on page NDOC-00394, it is written that he
13 gave consent. I did an exam. That is where spiders are
14 noted on the chest, and as we had talked before, that is in
15 regards to spider angiomas.

16 **Q That is of the date what?**

17 A That is date October 4, 2007.

18 **Q Thank you. And just to be absolutely clear,**
19 **that does not implicate or reference MRSA medically?**

20 A It does not infer that at all.

21 **Q Okay. Thank you.**

22 A And in my note, I write his genotype, which was
23 Genotype 2, and his viral load which, was 8,930,000.

24 And in that note, I also write:

25 Orders written for treatment with

1 labs and psych follow-up.

2 Psychiatry follow-up is needed because one of
3 the side effects of treatment can be depression to the
4 point of suicidal depression, so we do ask for a
5 psychiatric clearance on the patients.

6 Q Do you have any history where that concern about
7 suicidal ideation from treatment has actually occurred?

8 A I have --

9 Q Without mentioning names of patients.

10 A Yes. I have had -- I remember one particular
11 inmate who tried very hard to get treatment.

12 Q What treatment?

13 A Who tried very hard to get Hepatitis C, the
14 medication to reduce his viral load --

15 Q And --

16 A -- in the hopes -- Interferon and Ribavirin.

17 Q Thank you.

18 A -- in the hopes for a sustained virologic
19 response. This particular inmate was on the treatment for
20 about three to four months. And he actually came back to
21 us --

22 Q Was he released?

23 A No, he actually -- he was at Nevada State
24 Prison. When I said "came back," he came back to see me
25 and said:

1 Take me off the treatment. This has
2 affected me so much that I am afraid
3 that I will do something.

4 And that means he meant I will do something
5 violent.

6 And it so has affected my mood, I
7 want to come off of it.

8 He actually refused treatment.

9 **Q Okay.**

10 A Refused the Interferon and Ribavirin.

11 So here on NDOC-00393, October 22, 2007, I write
12 in my note that we had started treatment October 15th,
13 2'07 [sic], and he --

14 **Q 2007?**

15 A 2007. And he -- in my note, it says that he
16 experienced -- these are his complaints --

17 **Q Him being?**

18 A Mr. Klein.

19 Patient experienced aches and pains,
20 chills.

21 He was feeling the effects of the Interferon and
22 Ribavirin which are typical. They feel -- many patients
23 feel as if they have the flu when they're on that type of
24 treatment. And in my note I reflect side effects are
25 typical.

1 November 5, 2007, I saw the patient, and at that
2 time, we had done laboratory work to make sure how his body
3 had reacted to it. And at that point in time, his white
4 blood cell count and his hemoglobin and hematocrit were
5 actually in a normal range. And that reflects after just
6 two weeks on the treatment. I write in that note that he
7 was to follow up with me in a month.

8 So in less than a month, actually 10 days later,
9 he presented to me, and this is on NDOC number 00391, date
10 11/15/2007. He presented to me feeling -- complaining of
11 feeling achy and dysuria, means he had problems --
12 irritation with urination, so I checked the urine. I found
13 that it did have white blood cells, which made me concerned
14 about infection. That is when I went sent that particular
15 culture out, and I put him at that point in time on Bactrim
16 for a week.

17 And I -- in light of his symptoms, I decided to
18 hold the treatment, that means the treatment for Hep C,
19 the -- the Interferon and Ribavirin, for seven days,
20 because I was concerned that he had an infection. That was
21 November 15th.

22 NDOC number 00390, so this is November 26, which
23 is less than two weeks, this is -- 11 days afterwards, he
24 came back to me. And he then, at that time, was
25 complaining of swelling in the legs that he said started

1 six days ago. And that when I examined him, my note
2 reflects that the legs were swollen, and they had heat and
3 redness. And in my note I write that I suspected he had
4 cellulitis in the leg.

5 By this time, the culture had come back from the
6 urine, and it showed that he had MRSA in the urine. Now,
7 the Bactrim he was on, this antibiotic is what is known as
8 sensitive -- the bacteria is sensitive to that particular
9 antibiotic.

10 Now, when I saw his leg, my note reflects:

11 Question mark MRSA.

12 That means I questioned, could he have infection
13 in the leg that was MRSA.

14 So that day that I saw him for the leg, I put
15 him on Vancomycin, which is an IV antibiotic specific for
16 MRSA and other bacteria. I also treated him with Neupogen,
17 which is an intra, subacute-type injection to increase the
18 white blood cell, or to help him fight the infection.

19 Then November 7, I saw -- and this is on
20 NDOC number 00389. I saw the patient, and my note reflects
21 that basically, he was a bit confused at that time. And I
22 did blood work that I was waiting on, that had not come
23 back yet. But blood work from the -- the day before that I
24 had seen him, had come back, and that showed that his
25 bilirubin, which is a liver test, was elevated. It showed

1 that white blood cell count had what's known as a left
2 shift, which just means that he had cells that reflected he
3 was fighting an infection.

4 I had also done blood cultures at that time to
5 establish did he have bacteria in the blood.

6 **Q What page and date and Bates range?**

7 A All right. We are looking at NDOC number 00388
8 and 387, because my note spans more pages than one.

9 So then we go on to -- there are other doctors
10 in the middle there, but my next note is December 3, 2007.
11 And my note reflects that these were labs that were
12 reviewed over the weekend.

13 **Q By whom?**

14 A The review -- by me.

15 **Q Okay.**

16 A So those labs showed his ammonia level was 107,
17 which actually is not that high in the range they look at.
18 But these notes reflect that I was looking at his
19 Vancomycin trough. That means does he have a blood level
20 sufficient for fighting infection. And the blood cultures
21 showed specifically that this particular staph was
22 sensitive to Vancomycin, which was the antibiotic he was
23 on, and I wrote -- my note reflects that he was feeling
24 better.

25 **Q Is that a subjective statement -- was that made**

1 **by him?**

2 A That's made by him.

3 **Q Okay.**

4 A My next note, NDOC-00381, is from December 4th,
5 2007. In the note, it says:

6 Patient claims pain meds helped him
7 get sleep last night. He still had
8 pain in the left leg.

9 And this note reflects that he was complaining
10 of cramping. I felt that it was possibly secondary to
11 maybe low potassium, which my labs reflected, and I wrote
12 that I was replacing potassium, which can be done with
13 pills; that the edema was now involving the testicles,
14 which just means that as edema increases over time, beyond
15 legs, other things can get swollen, and that his
16 bacteremia, meaning the bacteria in the blood, was
17 improving.

18 My next note is --

19 **Q Did you say what page that was?**

20 A Yeah, I did. The next one is NDOC-00380. There
21 my note is December 6, 2007. And it basically says:

22 Patient recuperating from MRSA in
23 blood and urine. Feels stronger.

24 And I reflect in my note that he had been on
25 10 days of Vancomycin at that time.

1 There are many things in my note, but I don't
2 want to say everything because we'd be here a long time.

3 NDOC-00378, there is a note, 12/10/2007.

4 Subjective:

5 Patient feels okay. Can get up and
6 down to the toilet.

7 And on NDOC number 00377, because my note was
8 long, I talk about a variety of things, but the MRSA, I
9 wrote:

10 Will continue IV treatment a few more
11 days. Has been on it two weeks.

12 When IDC IV antibiotics, I will
13 continue him on PO --

14 Meaning oral drugs.

15 For one month.

16 And NDOC-00376, there are actually two notes,
17 one from December 11.

18 **Q What year?**

19 A 2007. One from December 12th, 2007. Both of
20 these notes reflect that -- that his bacteremia was
21 improving in the amount of time I had him on, actually, the
22 antibiotic.

23 And then we have on NDOC number 00375, 12/12/07.
24 It basically says:

25 Patient feels okay. Requesting pain

1 meds at night.

2 And -- where's the other piece? Then where do I
3 go?

4 Then on 00372, I have a note from December 17th,
5 2007. This one says that he was complaining of discomfort
6 and firmness in the left thigh. This is typical after
7 someone recuperates from an infection in a limb. But I do
8 write also that his edema had significantly improved; in
9 fact, he had dropped 21 pounds of fluid.

10 Then NDOC --

11 **Q Is that 21 pounds of body weight?**

12 A Body weight in terms of body weight, fluid
13 weight.

14 **Q Thank you.**

15 A NDOC-00371, note 12/19/2007:

16 Patient feels pain back of left leg.

17 Is improving.

18 And then other things.

19 NDOC-00370, 12/20/2007, note talks about:

20 Patient feels better. Can fully

21 stretch left leg out.

22 And my note reflects that I continued him on
23 Bactrim, which is an oral antibiotic.

24 NDOC number 00368, 12/24/2007. Patient was
25 complaining of a rash at that time. And I treated it with

1 Benadryl. And because the person had been on Bactrim for a
2 while, and sometimes --

3 **Q That person is Nolan Klein?**

4 A Excuse me, yes. Nolan Klein had been on
5 Bactrim, I elected to stop the Bactrim at that time and
6 treat the rash with Benadryl. Actually, my note says I
7 changed back to Vancomycin. I was covering him with
8 antibiotics the entire time. So then --

9 **Q Was the rash a reappearance of MRSA?**

10 A No, not at all.

11 **Q How do you know that?**

12 A Because if it was, it is my standard practice to
13 write it a certain way --

14 **Q Did it look differently?**

15 A -- and to describe it. In fact, in my note, I
16 say:

17 Macular papular rash all over body,
18 especially arms and chest, even on
19 top of hands. No lesions in mouth.
20 And this is a drug rash by description.

21 **Q Okay. What is a drug rash?**

22 A A drug rash is a rash that people can develop to
23 certain drugs, and when they do, they get a macular papular
24 diffused sort of body rash. It has no appearance that MRSA
25 has.

1 Q Do you know what drug -- what drug caused the
2 rash? Did you write that in your note?

3 A I did. I said:

4 Probable drug rash to Bactrim.

5 Q Okay.

6 A And I said:

7 DC Bactrim, treat with Benadryl.

8 Change back to Vancomycin. Check
9 labs.

10 Q Okay.

11 A NDOC number 00367, 12/26/2'07. At that time, my
12 note reflects that he had one area in the back of the left
13 thigh that was fluctuant with micro abscesses present.
14 That means that he had an abscess, a small abscess back
15 there. And I, that day, did a procedure called an I and D.
16 Which is an incise and drain, where he gave consent, and I
17 did a minor surgical procedure where I numbed up the skin
18 and opened up the abscess so the abscess would drain, and I
19 packed it. And I left him oxycodone for pain.

20 Number 00366, 12/27/2007. So there is a note:

21 Patient feels okay. Noticed a lot of
22 drainage from leg this a.m.

23 And I wrote in my assessment that:

24 The left leg was slow to heal.

25 And I actually continued Vancomycin IV

1 antibiotics.

2 Q Now, that -- when you say IV, you're talking
3 about intravenous?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And he was in the infirmary at that time?

6 A Yes, he was.

7 Do you want me to keep going on? I'm getting --

8 Q Yes, it's important that we establish your
9 involvement for the record.

10 A Okay. Then I have to find --

11 Q Take your time.

12 A They bounced here.

13 So I hope I can get this in order, because the
14 chart from now on is in multiple different spots, but it
15 looks like the next one is NDOC-00427, 12/31/07.

16 Patient feels okay.

17 There's a note in my note. I said:

18 DC Vancomycin at that time.

19 Q Which means what?

20 A That I discontinued the IV antibiotics at that
21 time, because looking at my note, he felt fine. And my
22 clinical exam showed that the left leg, loss of erythema,
23 less edema, and I felt that he had basically resolved his
24 infection.

25 Q Did you say "felt fine"?

1 A It says:

2 Patient feels okay. 12/31.

3 Q Is that subjective?

4 A Yes, that's his statement.

5 Q Thank you.

6 A It appears my next note is 1/3/2008, and this is
7 just a reflection of labs that I wrote.

8 Q What does that mean?

9 A That means that when I see laboratory data that
10 I think is important, I will put that lab data in my note.

11 Q Does that also mean that you didn't necessarily
12 see the patient, but his paperwork came in and you were --

13 A Correct.

14 Q Okay. Thank you.

15 A There is a note January 9, 2008 --

16 Q Is there anything significant in those labs that
17 you wish to comment on?

18 A Only to medical students, not to court systems.

19 Q Okay.

20 A January 9th, this is NDOC-00425. January 9th,
21 2008:

22 Patient complaining of swollen left
23 foot.

24 It states that the left leg, the thigh had
25 significant improvement and was almost closed. This is in

1 reference to the incision and drainage part. But that he
2 had edema, swelling in the foot.

3 And my note says:

4 Peripheral edema secondary to reduced
5 albumin.

6 And I recommended decreasing the salt in the
7 diet, ped hose, and he was on diuretics, the right medicine
8 for that.

9 Then I have a NDOC-00421, January 14th, 2008.
10 That note says:

11 Patient felt a little ill last week
12 with some diarrhea, which has now
13 cleared. Still fatigues easily and
14 gets dyspnea on exertion. Rash
15 getting better.

16 And my -- the rest of my note was related to
17 pulling the PICC line, which was the IV line that I had in
18 him, that I had left in place, because I had the need for
19 it for other sorts of things, in terms of blood draws and
20 things.

21 So then we go January 23, 2008.

22 Patient feels significantly better
23 and is up to leaving RMF.

24 **Q That's in a subjective note?**

25 **A** That's his statement, yes.

1 **Q Thank you.**

2 **A Then:**

3 No swelling. Still itching.

4 And here I write in my assessment:

5 Resolved bacteremia. Resolved drug
6 rash. Number 3, cirrhosis. Advised
7 patient to not use salt; decrease in
8 salt in diet. No toxic liver drugs.

9 And I discharged him from the RMF, and I asked
10 him to follow up with me in two months.

11 **Q And what was the recommendation regarding the**
12 **salt? What's the significance of that?**

13 **A The significance is if people eat salty**
14 products -- and the inmates especially can buy food from
15 the canteen, such as potato chips and corn chips, Ramen
16 soup, which is their favorite, which has significant salt,
17 and salt is a problem for any individual with liver
18 problems with low albumin, and being at risk for edema. If
19 they have salt in their diets, they tend to swell. So they
20 are advised not to use salt, and to not buy salty things
21 the canteen.

22 Next note is NDOC-00418, and that note is
23 March 19th, 2008. And let's see here.

24 He complained of getting intermittent small
25 amount of swelling in the left leg. That's typical after

1 resolving infections. And that's about it.

2 **Q Why is that typical?**

3 A Anyone who has infections, it can impair veins
4 and lymphatic vessels that drain the leg, and then,
5 therefore, they are at higher risk for intermittent
6 swelling in that limb, if they've had a significant
7 infection.

8 NDOC-00417. April 30th, 2008. This note
9 reflects that he developed swelling in the back of the
10 right buttocks, and that he had intermittent swelling in
11 the ankles. He denied fevers. He had nosebleeds that were
12 sporadic. He was peeing at nighttime, nocturia. Problems
13 with memory. And so I at that time did not see any
14 evidence of open wounds or drainage sites, and I ordered
15 labs on him at that time, because I wanted to see what his
16 overlying -- underlying condition was.

17 June 23, 2008, which is on NDOC-00416, he comes
18 in for a follow-up. He had had another urinary tract
19 infection. He was on an oral antibiotic. And the labs at
20 that time reflected that his ammonia level was up, and I
21 educated him about the need for taking Lactulose.

22 NDOC-00415. August 20, 2008. He was
23 complaining of right hip pain. He had, according to my
24 note, multiple fractures in the past. And he needed a
25 cane, actually, since 2005.

1 He was complaining of cramping at that time in
2 his legs. I did a neurologic exam there, and --

3 **Q What does that mean?**

4 A That means -- an exam is where you go through
5 basic neurologic tests, in terms of motor strengths,
6 sensory strength, the deep-tendon reflexes. And that's all
7 in my note.

8 And at that time, I felt that his right hip pain
9 was such that I ordered an X-ray, and I actually referred
10 him to Dr. Long, who was our orthopedic specialist, for a
11 possible injection.

12 And that injection would have been something
13 known as a steroid injection into a joint to reduce
14 discomfort.

15 My next note is -- appears to be April 21, 2009.
16 That's NDOC-00411. And he was complaining of swelling in
17 the abdomen then, and dark urine, and there was labs done.

18 And looking at this note regarding his symptoms,
19 I write "Cirrhosis." I checked a variety of labs. I
20 ordered Aldactone to decrease the swelling, which is a
21 diuretic that is typically used in that.

22 And then looking at this, it looks like in the
23 interim of these notes, this is around the time I am
24 assuming he went to Warm Springs, because I see
25 Dr. Snider's signature, who is the doctor at Warm Springs.

1 So then my next note is 00408, July 9th 2009.

2 And it says:

3 Patient sent from Warm Springs to
4 RMF.

5 And then I write a whole note about he was
6 complaining of swelling again and discomfort in the liver,
7 and confusion. And there is an exam. There's all the labs
8 written. Orders for Lactulose, Aldactone. I started
9 Inderal, which is a medication to lower pressure in the
10 splenic system to put him at less risk for variceal
11 bleeding.

12 And -- so that's that note.

13 Then there's a note August 3, 2009. And it
14 says:

15 Patient feels okay today.

16 **Q Is that in the subjective portion?**

17 A Yes, that would be him tell me he feels okay.

18 No bleeding. Complaining again of
19 the swelling.

20 My note reflects that he had 3-plus edema. And
21 that -- we rate edema from basically 1 to 4. And that
22 means he had a lot of swelling on his body.

23 And between these two notes, he had -- from my
24 note, it reflects that he had ended up going out in the
25 interim, between the two notes, to Carson-Tahoe Hospital

1 where he had had transfusions, endoscopy, and colonoscopy.

2 And looking at the notes on NDOC -- I'm trying
3 to see when that happened, because I was not involved in
4 that piece.

5 So it looks like on -- and this is reflected on
6 NDOC-00405. It looks like on July 25, 2009, I was called
7 by an RN. This is probably on a weekend date or something.
8 Where it says:

9 Dr. Gedney called and order received
10 to do a stat blood work and send to
11 Carson-Tahoe Hospital.

12 **Q So you sent him to Carson-Tahoe Hospital?**

13 A No, actually, it looks like when they called me,
14 I did a stat blood work on him, because I wanted to know
15 information. And then it looks like -- where is this?

16 So then they did the blood work, and it looks
17 like one-and-a-half hours later, he was passing frank
18 blood. They called me, and at that point I sent them to
19 Carson-Tahoe Hospital.

20 **Q What does that mean?**

21 A If they -- passing bright red blood means that
22 he was pooping bright red blood.

23 **Q Okay. Because you said "frank blood."**

24 A Oh, frank blood, that just means it's red in
25 color.

1 **Q Okay.**

2 A In medicine, we have old blood in the stool,
3 which makes the stool look black, which we call melana.
4 Frank blood, to a medical person, means that it could have
5 been hemorrhoid bleeding; frank blood versus black, which
6 is from higher in the gastrointestinal system.

7 So then he went out to Carson-Tahoe Hospital.
8 So then we have NDOC-00402. I have notes from 8/11/2009.
9 These reflect that he was confused. This, of course, is
10 after he came back from the hospital.

11 August 12th, 2009. This is reporting that
12 nurses reported to me that he wasn't responding well to
13 verbal commands, though his vitals -- that means blood
14 pressure, temperature -- those things were normal.

15 And I thought that his ammonia level was going
16 up, so I asked for that.

17 **Q Asked for what?**

18 A I asked for an ammonia level to be done, blood
19 work to be done.

20 **Q Thank you.**

21 A That's on 00402. That page.
22 And then we're out of sequence again with this.

23 **Q With the pages?**

24 A The pages.

25 So here on NDOC-00443, August 13, 2009, my note

1 reflects that he was in a hepatic coma.

2 After increased Lactulose and some
3 fluids, he woke up last night, pulled
4 out the NG tube and IVs. This
5 morning awake; knew my name. Knew it
6 was 2009, but not month. Knew he was
7 at NNCC, but didn't know what it
8 stood for. Patient denied pain,
9 nausea, or diarrhea.

10 I had my exam there, and I wrote that his
11 hepatic coma had been resolved with Lactulose; through the
12 NG tube and IV fluid, and I increased his base-line
13 Lactulose. That means I increased the amount he was being
14 given every day.

15 Q So are you saying there that he was in a coma,
16 but came out of the coma?

17 A Correct. My note reflects that August 13, 2009.

18 Q And that was due to the medication that you
19 ordered for him?

20 A Correct.

21 Q Okay.

22 A NDOC-00442. We have August 19, 2009. It says:
23 Patient today is eating breakfast. A
24 little slow but oriented. New
25 appointed Supreme Court Justice.

1 Patient --

2 Here we have:

3 Patient requests me to call sister

4 Tonja.

5 And I have the phone number and the cell number.

6 And my note says:

7 Will try today.

8 And then I also write:

9 Will increase Lactulose.

10 Q You said something about a Supreme Court, what
11 was that?

12 A When we ask orientation, we ask for person,
13 place, and time. So I write, "Oriented times 3." That
14 means he's oriented person, place, and time. But he
15 also --

16 Q What does person, place, and time mean?

17 A That means he knew who he was, where he was, and
18 the date.

19 Q Okay.

20 A But in my note, I also wrote:

21 Knew the new appointed Supreme Court
22 Justice.

23 Which means that he told me that, and I put it
24 in my note --

25 Q Okay.

1 A -- to reflect that he was exceptionally more
2 oriented than most of my inmates.

3 Q Okay.

4 A So then we have --

5 Q Just quickly, do you have any recollection as to
6 whether you contacted Tonja Brown?

7 A I do not have recollection of that.

8 Q And does it say why he wanted you to contact
9 Tonja Brown?

10 A My next reflects that.

11 Q Okay. Thank you.

12 A So NDOC-00441. So here I have August 20, 2009.

13 Patient oriented.

14 And then:

15 Says he's having frequent bowel
16 movements.

17 But I write here:

18 Talked with sister yesterday, who was
19 aware of condition. She says she
20 will visit him. She asked me to put
21 him on compassionate release. I
22 would have done this already, but I
23 thought his charge would keep him
24 from consideration.

25 That's in my note.

1 She said courts overturned his
2 conviction. This doesn't make sense,
3 but will put in compassionate
4 release.

5 Q So can you -- does that refresh your
6 recollection as to what transpired in that communication,
7 or do you only -- does it not refresh your recollection
8 such that you have to rely on your notes?

9 A Well, what this refreshes my memory in, and this
10 is generally, and that is --

11 Q When you say "generally" --

12 A That means generally in regards to anyone who
13 asks me for compassionate release.

14 Q Okay.

15 A And the reason this refreshes my memory, is that
16 since I have put a number of people before with
17 compassionate release, I have been told by central office
18 that individuals that are considered a significant threat
19 to the community are not individuals that are likely to be
20 considered for compassionate release.

21 Q Is that along the lines of a characterization or
22 phrase known as "high risk to reoffend"?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. So --

25 A So that is a general-type of thing.

1 Q Okay. Now, just so we're absolutely clear, is
2 that a judgment by you as to whether someone is worthy of
3 medical treatment, or some other basis for making the
4 statement?

5 A Well, what happens is, compassionate releases
6 are predominantly related to, one, is the person having
7 less than six months of life.

8 Q You said six months to a year before.

9 A Yes, six months to a year.

10 Q Okay.

11 A But that's -- we're told six months to a year.

12 Q Okay.

13 A I don't know if the exact policy says
14 six months.

15 Q Okay.

16 A It's that time period.

17 Q Okay.

18 A And that if someone does not fit that criteria,
19 then medically I don't consider putting in a compassionate
20 release.

21 Now, looking at this date here, this is when my
22 note reflects that I talked with the sister; that she said
23 she was going to visit him, and then she asked me to put in
24 the release. And then at this time, this particular time,
25 August 20th, 2009, because he had had problems of going

1 into encephalopathy, even though he came out of it --

2 **Q That's a coma?**

3 A That's a coma. That at that time, there is a
4 medical reasonableness that his life span could have been
5 under a year. So my note reflects:

6 Will put in compassionate release.

7 **Q And the discussion concerning his underlying**
8 **crime, why is that in there?**

9 A That is only because since I've been involved
10 with compassionate releases in the past, I am told by
11 central office -- and this is generally told, this is how
12 they tell us -- that -- and also from experience, that if I
13 put in someone for a compassionate release, and central
14 office feels that they are a significant threat to society,
15 they look at the risk for society.

16 **Q Okay. So --**

17 A But that is not part of the medical. That is
18 just general knowledge.

19 **Q You were asked questions earlier about whether**
20 **or not you were aware of his underlying crime. And if I'm**
21 **not mistaken, I believe your testimony was that you were**
22 **not, you thought -- you weren't sure of the source of your**
23 **knowledge, and I believe your testimony was that you were**
24 **not aware during his treatment.**

25 Does that note refresh your recollection as to

1 whether or not this was your knowledge, or whether someone
2 else had given that to you, regarding his underlying crime?

3 A Well, the only thing I can say is I have a
4 sentence that says:

5 I would have done this already, but I
6 thought his charge would keep him
7 from consideration.

8 That means somewhere in the conversation before
9 this particular note, he probably informed me that his
10 sentence was a sentence that central office would have
11 problems with. And those sentences are, in general,
12 violence, and sexual offense.

13 Q So I just want to be clear that I'm
14 understanding.

15 A Yeah.

16 Q You're suggesting here that Nolan Klein had a
17 conversation with you regarding his compassionate release,
18 and Nolan Klein expressed to you his concern, volunteering
19 to you the nature of his underlying crime, and how he was
20 concerned that it might interfere with his compassionate
21 release.

22 Is that what you're testifying?

23 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Leading.

24 BY MR. GEDDES:

25 Q Do you understand the question?

1 A Well, I understand the question, but since I do
2 not specifically remember two years ago exactly what I was
3 told and by who, the only thing I can comment on was that
4 when the sister asked me to put in a compassionate release,
5 my note reflects that I would put in a compassionate
6 release.

7 Q Okay.

8 A But I can't answer what I don't remember, who
9 told me what.

10 Q Okay. But does that change your testimony
11 concerning whether or not you treat inmates differently
12 regarding what their underlying crimes were?

13 A No.

14 Q Okay. Now, did you ultimately put Nolan Klein
15 in for a compassionate release?

16 A I believe so. Since my note reflects that I
17 said I would do it.

18 Q Okay. Let me just ask you this: Is it possible
19 that the source of your knowledge as reflected in that note
20 came from Tonja Brown during the conversation, or is it
21 possible that the source of your knowledge came from Nolan
22 Klein in a conversation that I previously asked you about?

23 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Asked and answered.

24 THE WITNESS: And I -- I don't remember
25 specifically how that information occurred.

1 MR. GEDDES: Okay.

2 THE REPORTER: At a good point, can we take a
3 break?

4 MR. GEDDES: Did you want to take one? We're
5 almost done, right?

6 THE WITNESS: Well, the thing is, this is 8/20,
7 and looking at these notes, he expired shortly after that.

8 MR. GEDDES: So do you want to continue on
9 before we take a break, or did you want to take a break
10 now? I don't have that much more after you finish that, so
11 it's up to you.

12 THE WITNESS: So there is a note --

13 BY MR. GEDDES:

14 Q I'm sorry, let me just ask you this quick
15 question: Do you recall having conversations with Nolan
16 Klein regarding his compassionate release?

17 A My note implies that I did, because it is really
18 the -- if it is not an outside family member or an outside
19 person, like a director or a medical director, it is always
20 something that the patient requests. Because many inmates
21 don't consider compassionate release, because they have
22 nowhere to go. If they were let go, they have no one to
23 take care of them, no access to medical care.

24 So for the impetus for me to be involved in a
25 compassionate release, someone has to ask me. And that has

1 to be the patient; it has to be someone at central, like a
2 director or a medical director. And if it's family, then I
3 only look at family if the patient themselves wants to
4 leave. Because I am the patient's advocate. So usually
5 the request is coming from the patient.

6 Q Okay. Thank you.

7 (Whereupon a recess was taken.)

8 MR. GEDDES: We're back on the record.

9 BY MR. GEDDES:

10 Q Dr. Gedney, you understand you're still
11 testifying under oath?

12 A Yes.

13 Q If you could continue on in the medical records
14 as you were doing. Thank you.

15 A NDOC-00440, my note is August 24, 2009.

16 Patient, according to nurses, has
17 been in hepatic coma since last
18 night. Not responsive to voice.

19 Barely responsive to pain.

20 So my assessment after examination and labs was
21 hepatic coma. We replaced the NG, which is the nasogastric
22 tube. We increased the Lactulose. We gave the patient
23 fluids, but my note reflects that I felt that his prognosis
24 was dismal.

25 Q What does that mean?

1 A Well, when I write "dismal," that I feel, that
2 is a judgment feeling that he will not have ultimately a
3 good outcome. And when I say "dismal," I expect that there
4 is a high likelihood he could die.

5 **Q Okay.**

6 A But as I said before, patients many times
7 confuse doctors and respond again, which is what Mr. Nolan
8 Klein did. Because on NDOC-00439, one day later, my note
9 reflects that he was confused, but he's up and moving
10 about. And he was denying pain. He was a little bit slow
11 with date and other basic information. He was trying to
12 plug in his T.V. And this simple task he had problems
13 with. So in one day, with the treatment of Lactulose and
14 the fluids, he went from someone who was literally
15 comatose, to up and around but confused.

16 And that note also reflects that August 25,
17 2009, that same day, he was seen by Dr. Zollinger, who is
18 our gastroenterologist, and we had set up that meeting so
19 we would have an outside consultant who could write a
20 letter regarding compassionate release.

21 **Q Okay.**

22 A And then we have different notes.

23 **Q By different people?**

24 A By different people.

25 **Q Thank you.**

1 A From different nursing staff. And on
2 NDOC-00436, August 31, 2009:

3 Patient fell, according to his
4 roommate, a few days ago, and since
5 that time he was complaining of back
6 pain.

7 My assessment after the exam was he had some
8 back spasms, and I gave him some pain medications and some
9 fluids.

10 Then NDOC-00435, which is September 3, 2009, it
11 says here he was feeling better.

12 **Q Subjective? That's a subjective --**

13 A This is him. He says:

14 Feeling better and today he's slowly
15 sitting up in bed. He was still
16 complaining of low back pain, and he
17 claims that he could get to the
18 toilet in the wheelchair on his own.

19 My assessment, that his back pain secondary to
20 his fall was slowly resolving. I continued pain
21 medications for another 72 hours.

22 Then there are notes intervening from other
23 doctors. Dr. Johns works with me in the RMF.

24 **Q What's the first name?**

25 A Dr. Marsha Johns.

1 **Q Thank you.**

2 A She works with me, and I am not always in the
3 institution, because I could potentially be on vacations or
4 somewhere else.

5 And these notes reflect that Dr. Johns saw him
6 September 11th, 2009. That's written on NDOC-00433. And
7 she was continuing Lactulose.

8 Then I have a note, September 18th, 2009, from
9 Dr. Marsha Johns as well. That's NDOC-00432. And looking
10 at this, Dr. Johns was involved in his case until he passed
11 away. And looking at this note on 9/20/2009, and that's
12 NDOC number 00430, it says: "Coroner arrived." And that's
13 my --

14 **Q Did you have any involvement in a death**
15 **certificate or any involvement with the Coroner after that?**

16 A I don't have involvement with Coroners. I many
17 times fill out death certificates.

18 **Q And you may have done so here?**

19 A And I may have done so here.

20 **Q Did you have any communications after that with**
21 **Tonja Brown concerning the matter; that you recall?**

22 A I don't recall, but if I don't write it, then I
23 have no ability to refresh any memory --

24 **Q Okay.**

25 A -- or remember anything.

1 Q Now, I'd like to get a perspective, because, you
2 know, what you went over just now, this long period of
3 time, reading into the record all of your involvement in
4 this case, at least according to the documents presented to
5 you here, that's quite a bit, but you weren't given an
6 opportunity to do that when opposing counsel was asking you
7 a question. And she asked you if you had any particular
8 recollection, and I believe that your recollection was that
9 you generally did not recall the specifics of his
10 treatment.

11 So I want this to be -- your deposition to be a
12 fair reflection of you, and not that there be some
13 suggestion that, you know, you don't know or care about
14 your inmates. How many --

15 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Characterization
16 testimony by counsel going on at great length.

17 BY MR. GEDDES:

18 Q How many patients do you see a day?

19 A I see -- I have -- I see about 40 patients a
20 day, including other activities I have to do.

21 Q Okay. And you can see -- how many days a week
22 do you work?

23 A I have four 10-hour shifts, so that would be
24 around 160 patients a week -- patient visits a week.

25 Q Okay. And you work how many weeks a year?

1 A About 50 or so.

2 Q So that's about -- if I did my math correctly,
3 and I'll let you actually take a pen to paper if I'm wrong.
4 That's about 8,000 patients a year; is that correct? Let's
5 do the math on that.

6 How many per day did you say?

7 A About 40.

8 Q Time four days?

9 A Time 4, times 50.

10 Q No --

11 A Times --

12 Q Times 50.

13 A Times 50, yeah.

14 Q That's 8,000. And you've worked how many years?

15 A Twenty-five.

16 Q So if that math is right, you've seen about --
17 you've had 200,000 patients --

18 A Patient --

19 Q -- roughly. Patient visits --

20 A Patient visits.

21 Q -- in your tenure if that all holds up?

22 A Yes.

23 Q So do you believe it unusual that you can't
24 remember the particulars of a given NDOC patient without
25 referring to the record?

1 MS. HEARNE: Objection. Leading.

2 THE WITNESS: I can say that I would be
3 astounded by anyone who could remember particulars for
4 200,000 different patient visits.

5 BY MR. GEDDES:

6 Q And the records that you read span roughly the
7 period of April of '07 to roughly September of '09; is that
8 right?

9 A According to these records in front of me, yes.

10 Q Okay. All right. So you -- you went through
11 the records, and there wasn't a real clear exposition
12 regarding if, how, when, and why Mr. Klein's Hep C
13 treatment was discontinued.

14 Are you able to gather from the records, or what
15 you've testified to so far, was Nolan Klein's Hepatitis C
16 treatment, the Interferon and Ribavirin, was it
17 discontinued?

18 MS. HEARNE: Objection. I'd like to strike all
19 of the things that counsel testified to, but I would like
20 to have your response.

21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So regarding Mr. Klein's
22 treatment with Interferon and Ribavirin, looking at these
23 records in sequence, now that I've seen it, Mr. Klein was
24 an individual who we gave treatment, who very quickly,
25 literally within a month or so, developed significant

1 side-effects from treatment. The medication -- the
2 treatment was stopped appropriately, because further
3 treatment with those medications can truly affect the bone
4 marrow worse, and that the side-effects of the particular
5 treatment, which caused a low white blood cell count, which
6 is one of the side-effects of the treatment, probably was
7 really what put him at risk for an underlying infection.
8 And that infection was treated appropriately and timely.

9 And when someone dramatically fails a treatment
10 where they get bacteremia, true bacteria in the blood,
11 doctors who are concerned about their patients' welfare,
12 would not restart a treatment like that. The risk would
13 far outweigh the benefit.

14 MR. GEDDES: Okay. So continuing on with my
15 examination -- I obviously object to the notion that
16 opposing counsel is going to strike my question, but we'll
17 leave that for a Judge for another time.

18 BY MR. GEDDES:

19 Q All right. So the long and short of it is, you
20 discontinued his Hep C treatment why?

21 A Because -- I discontinued it after about a
22 month, because I felt that the risk far outweighed the
23 benefit.

24 Q And after you discontinued the treatment -- when
25 was that.

1 When was the Interferon treatment discontinued?

2 What date was that?

3 A I have to look.

4 Q That might be around October 4, if you look
5 around that date. I'm not sure, though.

6 A All right. So the orders are October 4, 2007,
7 which is 00474. That's when it says it starts --

8 Q Okay.

9 A -- the treatment.

10 Q Okay. All right.

11 A And then we have November 20th, 2007, which is
12 on NDOC-00471, where my note says:

13 Do not give Pegasus this week, and do
14 not give Ribavirin this week.

15 Q Okay. And so the -- you described it as a halt.
16 Is that the halt that you put in with respect to the MRSA
17 or the -- the infection that you were talking about
18 earlier?

19 A Basically, we have October 4, 2007, a start of
20 treatment, and then in a short amount of time, we have
21 infections, and that puts people at risk, and therefore, I
22 discontinued it November 20th, 2007. And my notes reflect
23 that I did not restart it after that period of time.

24 Q Okay. Now, just -- and I know that you keep
25 using the word "infection," but is the -- was the

1 **Hepatitis C treatment correlated with Mr. Klein's MRSA in**
2 **any way, to your knowledge?**

3 A The treatment that caused a reduction in white
4 blood cell count, which was reflected in his urinary tract
5 infection with MRSA and his bacteremia, which means
6 bacteria in the blood, that is a consequence of a
7 side-effect of the two drugs, Interferon and Ribavirin.

8 Q Okay. So after Nolan Klein was taken off the
9 Interferon and -- the Interferon and the Ribavirin, what
10 was -- what was -- what was his medical plan as far as
11 following up with him?

12 A Well, after he was taken off that Pegasus and
13 Interferon, he, at that time, had the cellulitis in the leg
14 and the bacteria in the blood. So his treatment included
15 the IV antibiotic, Vancomycin, the minor incision and
16 drainage procedure I described, the pain medications,
17 Lactulose, and meds that are used for the symptoms he was
18 having.

19 Q And he was sent to Warm Springs sometime after
20 that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Was there a problem with sending him to Warm
23 Springs with respect to the course of his continuing
24 medical treatment?

25 A When Mr. Klein went to Warm Springs, he was

1 medically stable in terms of not needing the Regional
2 Medical Facility, which is a hospital setting, and Warm
3 Springs has a doctor and nursing. And if they have a
4 patient who in any way would need readmission into the
5 Regional Medical Facility, that is a few miles away; they
6 could call, and the person could be sent back.

7 **Q If someone were to allege that Nolan Klein were**
8 **sent off to Warms Springs as a form of retaliation, or a**
9 **punishment, how would you respond to that?**

10 A I would have no knowledge of it, and when
11 individuals are sent from one institution, from another,
12 watching after 25 years, it is mostly related to protect
13 them. And that is why they tend to move inmates, from
14 watching for 25 years.

15 **Q When he was sent to Warm Springs, did you write**
16 **him off as doomed?**

17 A No.

18 **Q Can you explain that?**

19 A Well, when he left and went to Warm Springs, he
20 was stable in regards to no infection, normal vital signs.
21 He did have a bad liver. But people with cirrhosis
22 statistically, if you look at general people with
23 cirrhosis, 50 percent are still alive five years out from
24 diagnosis of cirrhosis. And that, of course, is a broad
25 term which people use all sorts of different ways. But

1 when he left, he could have lived years.

2 **Q Is there any expectation on the progress of**
3 **medical science with respect to what you just testified?**

4 A You'd have to be specific. I'm not sure what
5 you mean.

6 **Q Can -- can an inmate who is sent to a different**
7 **prison live long enough, based on what you testified, to --**
8 **to experience the benefit of some future medical**
9 **breakthrough?**

10 A I think there is always that possibility,
11 especially in light of the changes in medicine that I've
12 seen in the last 25 years, which is accelerated.

13 **Q From a medical perspective, was it inappropriate**
14 **to send Nolan Klein to Warm Springs Correctional Center**
15 **when he was sent?**

16 A No, not from what I can see from my going
17 through these records.

18 **Q Can you summarize why?**

19 MS. HEARNE: Asked and answered.

20 THE WITNESS: So looking at these records, when
21 he went to Warm Springs, he had been discharged from the
22 Regional Medical Facility and was stable to live on a yard
23 setting. And whether it's a bed on a yard at NNCC or a bed
24 on a yard in Warm Springs, there is no real difference from
25 a medical perspective.

1 BY MR. GEDDES:

2 Q Do you feel from a medical perspective that an
3 inmate can be sent from Lovelock Correctional Center to the
4 RMF to receive treatment? That question really involves do
5 you believe that the travel itself defeats the ability to
6 treat the inmate effectively, if the inmate resides at
7 Lovelock and gets treatment at Regional Medical Facility?

8 A No, we have many inmates at Lovelock, which is
9 about two hours from the Regional Medical Facility, who are
10 transport by van for different specialty consultations,
11 internal medicine consultations with me, different
12 treatment. And when they're deemed stable, many are sent
13 back to Lovelock, or any of the prisons in the entire State
14 that are sent to us.

15 MR. GEDDES: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to
16 pass the witness.

17
18 EXAMINATION

19
20 BY MS. HEARNE:

21 Q I just have a couple of questions.
22 Are you the only doctor in the Nevada
23 Correctional Department that can prescribe the treatment to
24 reduce a viral load because of Hepatitis C?

25 MR. GEDDES: Vague and ambiguous.

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 BY MS. HEARNE:

3 Q And are there doctors present in the various
4 facilities who can also make that diagnosis and treatment?

5 MR. GEDDES: Same objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Doctors can make a diagnosis by
7 blood tests. And if you have a medical license, you can
8 prescribe medical treatment. At least at the present time,
9 because the side-effects of those treatments are high, we
10 have, as a medical department -- and the means the
11 doctors -- we have decided that I should be involved
12 predominantly in that care, because I have the training.
13 So when we evaluate patients, I am part of that evaluation
14 process. And for consistency of care, I am giving the
15 treatment at NNCC.

16 BY MS. HEARNE:

17 Q And you are not certainly aware of the medical
18 records of each and every inmate in the Nevada Department
19 of Corrections; is that correct?

20 A That's correct.

21 Q So you, to a great extent, rely upon doctors at
22 the various facilities to refer patients to you who need
23 treatment?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Okay. And you're not suggesting, when you say

1 you see 8,000 patients per year, that those are all
2 different patients?

3 A Those are patient visits.

4 MS. HEARNE: Right. Thank you. I have nothing
5 further.

6 MR. GEDDES: Thank you.

7 Do you want to explain on the record about the
8 signing of the transcript and all that?

9 MS. HEARNE: We did that at the beginning.

10 MR. GEDDES: Did we do that at the beginning,
11 30 days?

12 MS. HEARNE: Yeah, maybe I forgot.

13 MR. GEDDES: I think you said that the
14 deposition comes to me, and then I can --

15 MS. HEARNE: If I didn't tell you, you only have
16 30 days.

17 THE WITNESS: Right. That's -- yeah.

18 MR. GEDDES: Okay. Perfect. All right. Thank
19 you.

20 (Whereupon the deposition was
21 concluded at 3:37 p.m.)

22 -oOo-

-oOo-

I, KAREN GEDNEY, M.D., hereby declare under
penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
transcript of my testimony under oath; that any changes
made herein were made and initialed by me; that I hereby
subscribe my name this _____ day of
_____, 2011.

KAREN GEDNEY, M.D.

1 STATE OF NEVADA)
2) ss.
3 WASHOE COUNTY)

4 I, DEBORA L. CECERE, a Certified Court Reporter, State
5 of Nevada, do hereby certify:

6 That on Friday, the 2nd day of September, 2011, at the
7 hour of 10:00 a.m. of said day, at 245 East Liberty Street,
8 Suite 110, Reno, Nevada, personally appeared KAREN GEDNEY,
9 M.D., who was duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the
10 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and thereupon was
11 deposed in the matter entitled herein;

12 That I am not a relative, employee or independent
13 contractor of counsel to any of the parties; or a relative,
14 employee or independent contractor of the parties involved
15 in the proceeding, or a person financially interested in
16 the proceeding;

17 That said deposition was taken in verbatim stenotype
18 notes by me, a Certified Court Reporter, and thereafter
19 transcribed into typewriting as herein appears;

20 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
21 through 150 is a full, true and correct transcription of my
22 stenotype notes of said deposition.

23 DATED: At Reno, Nevada this 13th day of September,
24 2011.

25 DEBORA L. CECERE, NV CCR #324, CA CSR #8821

A	26:6 36:19	ahead 100:16	63:15 64:2	appearance
abdomen	75:18,20	AIDS 56:17	65:18 66:12,14	97:6 114:24
121:17	administering	87:13	67:5,7,20 68:1	appeared 4:5
abdominal	29:23 30:9	air 70:10,16	68:7 69:5,23	150:7
43:24	66:11 70:25	albumin 118:5	71:6 72:10,22	appears 40:12
ability 89:16	76:8	119:18	73:18,20 74:9	117:6 121:15
137:23 146:5	administration	alcohol 36:13	77:6,17 146:25	150:18
able 5:21 31:14	61:11 62:4	102:15	ammonia 53:23	apply 89:2
38:13 39:2	74:16	Aldactone	53:24 75:8,10	appointed
65:4 80:8	administrative	121:20 122:8	75:15 78:4	125:25 126:21
91:24 92:8	15:2 61:3	alert 73:11	110:16 120:20	appreciate 47:6
99:14,19	63:13	alive 144:23	124:15,18	approach 84:5
104:16 140:14	administratix	allegation 99:10	amount 38:3	appropriate
above-average	1:9	allege 144:7	42:6 65:16	5:20 49:24
42:11	admitted 99:7	allow 78:12	112:21 119:25	77:11
abrasion 96:4	admonish 19:7	allowing 85:15	125:13 142:20	appropriately
abscess 95:21	admonition	alpha 93:25	and/or 29:23	141:2,8
96:6 115:14,14	45:2	alpha-fetopro...	74:5	approve 58:15
115:18,18	adopted 33:4,5	102:19	anemia 23:13	approved 105:3
abscesses	adoption 33:13	alter 67:24 68:2	angiomata	April 100:24
115:13	advised 119:6	ambiguous 6:10	22:16 31:8,10	102:12 103:2
absolutely 84:7	119:20	8:3,21 9:3,22	95:7,9,13,15	120:8 121:15
84:19 98:24	advocacy 86:12	11:4,13,14,20	96:14,17,23,25	140:7
105:18 129:1	advocate 86:8	11:23 12:8,19	105:15	arachnid 96:24
absorption	88:4 134:4	13:2,12,15	ankles 120:11	area 14:25 15:1
34:11	affect 8:2,4 84:5	14:16 15:9,23	Ann 4:20	115:12
abuse 86:17	141:3	16:21 17:13,15	answer 18:22	argue 74:6
accelerated	affiliation 69:2	20:24,25 22:5	37:8 40:14,17	argument 89:20
145:12	69:9	22:23 23:1	56:1 92:12	armed 56:14,19
access 92:3	afraid 107:2	25:6 26:9 27:9	132:8	arms 114:18
133:23	ages 71:21	28:22 29:25	answered 60:6	arose 79:18
aches 107:19	ago 5:3 16:1,6	30:21 31:16,22	70:20 132:23	arrived 137:12
achy 108:11	16:13 31:17	32:10 33:10	145:19	article 87:25,25
action 91:15	52:22 53:4	34:24 35:23	answering 20:4	ascites 22:12
actions 85:14	81:16 86:16	36:9 37:15,23	answers 5:21	43:24 78:7
activities 138:20	88:19 92:7	38:7,17 39:8	antibiotic 73:9	asked 14:12
add 92:5	109:1 132:2	39:22 41:14	73:12 109:7,9	39:16 40:12,13
addict 21:16	136:4	42:13 44:20	109:15 110:22	56:1 58:2
addresses 81:5	agree 86:9,11	45:21 46:6,16	112:22 113:23	59:16 60:6
adenopathy	89:5	46:23 47:10,11	120:19 143:15	67:6 70:2,20
27:1	agreement 8:12	47:13 48:6,7	antibiotics 41:8	73:24 78:20
administer	8:16,18,24	49:2,2,11 50:2	45:16 46:19	89:14,14 92:6
20:21	88:24	51:7,20 52:6	54:19 112:12	92:20,24 119:9
administered	agreements 8:1	54:13 55:23	114:8 116:1,20	124:16,17,18
16:19 23:7	8:4	58:16,19 60:14	apologize 39:19	127:20 129:23
	AGs 60:18	60:24 62:7	74:24	130:19 132:4

132:22,23	18:23 19:19	134:8 136:5,8	bed 136:15	104:19,20
138:7 145:19	20:6	136:16,19	145:23,23	bit 81:3 84:14
asking 10:8	attributed 83:24	144:6 146:13	began 10:24	96:2 109:21
19:10,13 29:9	84:20	bacteremia	23:22 51:15	135:10 138:5
31:4 38:8	August 104:18	111:16 112:20	beginning 45:3	bite 96:18
39:15,15 55:25	120:22 122:13	119:5 141:10	102:2 148:9,10	bites 96:7,10,11
66:23 85:5	124:11,25	143:5	begins 50:18	96:12
138:6	125:17,22	bacteria 96:4	behalf 82:3	bitten 96:15
asks 36:10 85:3	127:12 129:25	98:13,14,14,18	86:13	black 93:11,13
128:13	134:15 135:16	99:6 109:8,16	Belated 71:5	124:3,5
assemblyman	136:2	110:5 111:16	belief 69:14,19	blanked 88:24
74:5,15	Aureus 98:15	141:10 143:6	believe 15:25	bleeding 78:3
assess 102:17	Authorization	143:14	16:6,13 20:19	122:11,18
assessed 23:10	93:19	bacteriology	22:20 26:14	124:5
26:18 27:18	autosomal	51:25 52:10,11	27:6 29:20,21	blood 9:9 10:11
34:3	34:10	Bactrim 98:8,17	50:17 55:13	10:19 23:11,17
assessment	available 15:20	98:19,21	56:22 58:6	24:2,19,22
23:11 67:10	23:21 24:5	108:15 109:7	59:1,24 65:7	25:4,9,16
115:23 119:4	31:15	113:23 114:1,5	74:25 79:3	32:13 38:3
134:20 136:7	average 10:9	114:5 115:4,7	86:12 96:16	42:11,18,21,24
136:19	42:14 48:5,6,7	bad 144:21	99:9 130:21,23	42:25 43:8,10
assume 66:6	52:10 66:7,13	BADA 86:17	132:16 138:8	47:22 78:4,5
assumes 23:24	awake 125:5	Barely 134:19	139:23 146:5	98:6 102:18
48:19 50:2	award 87:19,21	base 103:12	believed 97:3,4	108:4,13
67:19 70:19	awarded 87:13	based 14:20	believing 60:3	109:18,22,23
72:23 73:19	aware 40:23	23:3 25:8	belly 22:13	110:1,4,5,19
74:10	48:15,17,22	46:12 50:9	Benadryl 114:1	110:20 111:16
assuming	49:15 68:15,21	71:6 81:21	114:6 115:7	111:23 118:19
121:24	69:2,19 71:21	88:17 98:11	benefit 43:11	123:10,14,16
astounded	91:16 104:7	101:22 145:7	74:1 141:13,23	123:18,21,22
140:3	127:19 130:20	base-line 125:12	145:8	123:23,24
attempt 26:12	130:24 147:17	basic 121:5	benefits 104:8	124:2,4,5,13
26:21 27:13	a.m 4:2 115:22	135:11	best 86:2,9,14	124:18 141:5
attempted 36:23	150:6	basically 9:9	87:24 94:11	141:10 143:4,6
37:3		47:19 80:2	better 67:1,6	143:14 147:7
attend 87:3	B	85:10 109:21	81:22 110:24	Board 6:4
attendance 87:1	B 3:11	111:21 112:24	113:20 118:15	body 44:14 75:7
87:11	back 44:1,4 65:3	116:23 122:21	118:22 136:11	108:2 113:11
attention 18:11	78:7 91:1	142:19	136:14	113:12,12
19:25 73:16	100:15 106:20	basis 34:4 55:10	beyond 111:14	114:17,24
81:11	106:24,24	59:11,20 65:15	bilirubin 109:25	122:22
attorney 2:11,12	108:24 109:5	66:17 94:19	binder 3:14 32:3	bogged 94:8
19:5,8,12	109:23,24	99:9 129:3	93:12,13	bone 141:3
attorneys 57:17	113:16 114:7	Bates 97:15	100:17,22	bottom 93:25
88:25	115:8,12,14	100:6 101:14	101:6	bounced 116:12
attorney/client	120:9 124:10	102:8 110:6	biopsy 103:11	bowel 127:15

brain 53:24	41:10,13,24	care 17:2 34:3	cell 70:17 108:4	149:5
break 64:16	42:3 73:17,21	40:8 54:18	109:18 110:1	channel 81:1
133:3,9,9	102:13 104:7	62:20 81:9	126:5 141:5	characterizati...
breakfast	105:5 106:13	83:14 85:1	143:4	128:21 138:15
125:23	108:18 140:12	87:15,21 99:22	cells 98:6	characterizing
breakthrough	140:15 141:20	133:23,23	108:13 110:2	19:16
145:9	143:1 146:24	138:13 147:12	cellulitis 99:3	charge 18:15,17
breast 22:14	CA 150:24	147:14	109:4 143:13	18:18 19:22
briefly 5:12,25	call 8:21 13:2,16	carried 73:6	Center 7:5,5,6	70:25 71:13,17
bright 123:21	14:8,15 16:9	Carson 2:13,13	13:25 14:18	80:4 83:12
123:22	27:10 33:12	Carson-Tahoe	52:16,17 54:10	127:23 131:6
bringing 82:10	50:4 55:21,23	122:25 123:11	78:21 79:1,5,5	chart 101:12
broad 77:9	59:12,14 61:25	123:12,19	79:8 86:20	116:14
144:24	72:24 80:20	124:7	145:14 146:3	check 42:2 67:1
broke 39:10	81:5,17 89:14	case 1:9 4:11	central 55:8,8	67:6 68:9
brought 29:14	89:15 94:17	15:17 21:5	55:11,12,13,19	81:11 115:8
29:15 93:3,3	124:3 126:3	35:3,8,19 36:4	56:1,5 58:10	checked 102:18
Brown 1:9,10	144:6	47:17 50:25	81:24 82:12	108:12 121:19
2:16 91:12,14	called 4:11	51:1,9 53:4	128:17 130:11	chest 30:19 31:5
91:17 127:6,9	52:16 65:8	55:9 56:13,17	130:13 131:10	105:14 114:18
132:20 137:21	81:24 90:1	58:14 59:18	134:1	chills 107:20
bruising 22:13	98:8 115:15	60:8 62:19,21	certain 6:15	chips 119:15,15
budget 90:19,25	123:6,9,13,18	63:9 66:1,21	17:20 22:11	chosen 28:7
buildings 14:21	calling 66:16	67:11 72:13	23:10 42:6	Cincinnati 6:3
bump 95:20	81:10	81:9 82:10	70:2 73:6	circulation
96:1	calls 11:21 15:7	83:23 93:1	82:10 83:23,23	43:22
Business 87:24	16:22,23 17:15	105:1 137:10	88:15 102:18	circumstance
buttocks 120:10	17:17 21:12	138:4	114:13,23	47:4
buy 119:14,20	22:7,7 30:2	cases 64:5 76:3	certainly 10:17	circumstances
B-A-D-A 86:17	32:21 38:18	85:24	38:24 147:17	47:14 48:8
	46:14 50:22	case-by-case	certainty 6:9	63:4
C	51:22 63:5	34:3	25:3	cirrhosis 20:21
C 2:3 15:5,11,14	65:20 75:12	cause 4:7 6:15	certificate	20:24 21:2,3,8
15:22 16:2,20	camp 86:21,25	22:19 44:2	137:15	21:22 22:3,10
21:9,17,18	90:8	81:20 94:15	certificates	22:22 23:8,19
23:9,22 24:2,8	cancer 26:19	caused 21:9	137:17	23:20 29:24
24:15,23 25:5	candidate 23:14	98:6 115:1	certified 4:5 6:5	30:6,6,8,14
25:7,10,16	74:1 104:7	141:5 143:3	150:3,17	119:6 121:19
26:7,11,20,21	cane 120:25	causes 95:16	certify 150:4	144:21,23,24
27:7,11,24	canteen 119:15	caution 5:20	change 5:20	City 2:13
29:4 31:13,14	119:21	cavity 43:24	74:6 92:6	claim 84:11
31:21 32:9	capacity 15:2	CCR 1:24	115:8 132:10	91:17 94:19
33:8,22 35:7	55:17	150:24	changed 16:14	101:21
36:5 38:2,9,14	capillaries	ceased 42:25	92:5 114:7	claims 111:6
38:25 39:16,20	31:11	CECERE 1:24	changes 5:19	136:17
40:5,25 41:1	capillary 78:9	4:4 150:3,24	16:3 145:11	clarify 40:17

class 44:13 86:19 87:2,4	comes 104:18 120:17 148:14	88:6,12,17 127:21 128:3	148:21	18:10
classification 52:19,20 53:15 53:17	coming 13:7 14:10 134:5	128:13,17,20 129:5,19 130:6	conclusion 8:22	consistency 147:14
cleaning 78:3	commands 124:13	130:10,13 131:17,20	concrete 50:9	consisting 150:19
clear 25:7 27:21 40:11,15 99:14 105:18 129:1 131:13 140:11	comment 5:21 10:1 51:1 117:17 132:3	132:4,5,15 133:16,21,25 135:20	condition 6:12 6:14 17:3 83:15 120:16 127:19	conspiring 94:15
clearance 106:5	commenting 49:18	complain 27:21	conditions 54:16 65:20 66:15	constitutes 51:10
cleared 118:13	committed 56:14,19	complained 119:24	conduct 84:13	constitutional 27:19
clerk 85:14	committee 53:18 63:21 64:7,13 105:2	complaining 98:4 108:10,25 111:9 113:5,25 117:22 120:23 121:1,16 122:6 122:18 136:5 136:16	confidentiality 89:2	construed 96:19
clerks 85:19,22 85:23	common 10:16 44:24 59:8,10	complaints 27:19,24 102:16 107:16	confirm 21:21 22:3,21	consultant 37:18 135:19
client 19:8 89:18	commonly 10:13 81:20	completion 83:8	confound 90:9	consultants 37:18 93:21
clinic 7:6	communicate 80:16,24 81:6 91:7	complex 79:2	confuse 95:15 96:9,12 135:7	consultation 35:20,24 37:12 37:16
clinical 27:13 51:9 116:22	communicated 82:18 85:14 91:14	compound 25:18 33:12 52:7 74:9	confused 19:21 38:20 49:4,18 53:25 57:16 109:21 124:9 135:9,15	consultations 146:10,11
clinically 21:25	communicating 82:16	computer 91:23 92:1,2,4	confusing 39:9	contact 80:2,3 82:1,14 127:8
close 57:6 90:3	communication 81:1 82:15 91:18 128:6	computers 81:16 92:1	confusion 54:1,7 54:8 122:7	contacted 74:5 82:2,9 127:6
closed 117:25	communicatio... 18:24 19:8,17 19:19 20:6 92:9 137:20	concern 29:23 30:1,9,15 83:4 85:1 106:6 131:18	connection 96:8 96:9	contagious 48:4
club 87:6,7,7	community 128:19	concerned 38:10 66:10 108:13,20 131:20 141:11	consent 104:21 105:13 115:16	containing 32:3 48:23 49:3
coagulation 23:15 78:2	comparison 42:8	concerning 15:8 16:22 22:25 33:12 84:9 92:21 130:7 132:11 137:21	conservation 86:21,25	containment 48:16
colocystectomy 103:5,10,24	compassionate 18:11 54:25 55:10,14,20 56:4,7 57:1 58:3,7,14,25 59:13,21,22 60:5 82:13	concluded	consider 38:11 42:21 48:4 51:11 69:12,14 73:9 129:19 133:21	continue 9:19 85:15 98:25 112:10,13 133:8 134:13
colonoscopy 123:1			consideration 39:1 42:2 127:24 131:7	continued 113:22 115:25 136:20
color 123:25			considered 15:1 23:9,13 27:25 76:4 128:18,20	continuing 137:7 141:14 143:23
coma 54:2 89:13 89:13 125:1,11 125:15,16 130:2,3 134:17 134:21			considering	continuously 16:3
comatose 54:9 135:15				contract 7:23
combination 29:9,11,13 30:10				contracted 38:25 39:25 40:24
come 12:7 56:1 73:15 85:9,10 85:25 95:20,22 98:4 107:7 109:5,22,24				

contractor 150:12,13	27:8 52:17 54:10 78:21	court 1:6 4:5 5:15,22 35:9	105:17 108:9 110:6 123:7	decision 23:2 58:11 74:6
contradict 72:18	79:1,5,5,8 86:20 87:23	89:8,9,18 117:18 125:25	126:18 129:21 135:11 142:2,5	88:17 decisions 17:7
control 58:9 93:24	145:14 146:3 146:23	126:10,21 150:3,17	dated 97:18 100:5 150:22	58:9 73:25 decision-maki...
controversy 70:16	corrections 1:13 1:14 5:7 7:13	courts 128:1 covering 114:7	dates 11:25 12:2 45:16 57:24	17:6 55:17 declare 149:3
conversation 69:10 85:13	7:18,22,24 10:25 11:18	cramping 111:10 121:1	day 4:1,2 17:5 17:10 61:9	decompensated 30:14
131:8,17 132:20,22	12:17 14:5 15:21 23:22	Crawford 88:2 credit 87:3	65:16,19 79:9 79:14 80:4,10	decrease 119:7 121:20
conversations 133:15	24:22 25:5,15 29:4 33:6	crime 83:5 84:1 130:8,20 131:2	87:13 99:2,6,6 99:8 101:4	decreased 26:25
convicted 84:4 conviction	35:15,18 37:13 40:1 44:18	131:19 crimes 83:20	109:14,23 115:15 125:14	decreasing 118:6
18:16 20:11 76:19,23 83:5	45:19 46:4,11 48:16,23 49:9	132:12 criminal 83:17	135:8,13,17 138:18,20	deemed 146:12
128:2 convictions	54:25 56:10 60:12 63:14	criteria 129:18 CSR 150:24	139:6 149:7 150:5,6,22	deeper 104:20
82:25 coordinates	67:14,24 69:18 70:5,25 71:10	CTH 93:22 culture 98:7,12	days 52:11 56:14,19 108:8	deep-tendon 121:6
80:5 copies 3:20,20	72:5,20 73:15 74:6,7,17	108:15 109:5 cultures 110:4	108:19,23 109:1 111:25	defeats 146:5
corn 119:15 Coroner 137:12	147:19 correctly 139:2	110:20 curing 27:14	112:11 136:4 138:21 139:8	DEFENDANT 2:10
137:15 Coroners	correlated 143:1	currently 71:13 81:15 86:18,24	148:11,16 DC 115:7	Defendants 1:15
137:16 Corps 87:17,17	cost 63:16 counsel 10:6	90:6 92:2,4 custody 80:5	116:18 deal 45:19 47:22	define 34:8
correct 6:9 12:11 24:19	20:3 29:7,8 37:7 80:25	86:24 87:10 cut 83:13	dealing 17:16 21:11 22:6,24	defined 41:17
39:21 40:21 42:9 66:3,23	138:6,16 140:19 141:16	<hr/> D D 3:2 115:15	30:1 32:22 33:25 45:11	defining 9:23
75:5 88:6 94:3 94:12 95:4,5	150:12 count 41:10	damage 66:10 67:2,5	46:3,6,13,25 47:12,14	37:24 definition 9:5
97:11 102:21 103:23 116:4	105:8 108:4 110:1 141:5	dark 121:17 dash 94:2	death 59:23 137:14,17	34:12 degree 6:2 19:15
117:13 125:17 125:20 139:4	143:4 COUNTY 150:2	data 117:9,10 date 7:14 13:14	DEBORA 1:24 4:4 150:3,24	degrees 54:7
147:19,20,24 150:20	couple 102:14 146:21	25:1,3 37:8 44:23 45:13	December 110:10 111:4	delayed 94:24
correctional 12:7,25 13:22	course 25:11 86:17 93:5	50:17,18 51:13 57:11 97:24	111:21 112:17 112:19 113:4	97:5 99:10,11
13:25 14:4,11 14:18 26:6,7	124:9 143:23 144:24	102:8 105:16	decided 108:17 147:11	delaying 94:16
				94:16 denial 64:9
				denied 64:7,12 120:11 125:8
				denying 135:10 department
				1:13,14 5:7 7:12,17,22,23
				10:24 11:18 12:17 14:5
				15:21 24:21 25:5,15 26:7

27:18 29:3	10:14 15:13	diarrhea 75:8	82:3,17	35:6
33:6,6 35:15	27:12 30:5	118:12 125:9	director 1:13	disorders 36:13
35:17 37:13	37:21 43:15	dictate 16:14	55:15,15 61:25	dispensing 71:2
40:1 44:18	51:3 59:5	62:18	63:12 71:16,17	distension 78:9
45:14,18,19	61:21 86:7	die 88:13,15	71:19 80:13,16	distinctly 35:3,7
46:4,10 48:16	93:8 114:15	90:3 135:4	80:23 81:4,7,7	DISTRICT 1:6
48:23 49:9,19	described 24:17	died 57:19	81:8,15,21,25	distrust 94:14
53:18 54:24	44:8 80:25	diet 44:15 118:7	82:3,9,15,16	diuretic 44:13
55:5 56:10	142:15 143:16	119:8	82:17,19,19,20	121:21
60:12 63:13,19	description 3:13	diets 119:19	82:22 87:20	diuretics 118:7
67:14,24 69:17	114:20	difference 32:7	88:2 91:6,7	divulge 20:6,7
70:5,25 71:10	designate 92:2	32:8,13,17	92:9,9 133:19	divulging 19:17
72:5,19 73:15	determination	145:24	133:19 134:2,2	doc 87:18
74:5,7,17	33:8 55:9	different 10:17	directors 12:1	doctor 5:6 17:11
90:18,19 95:24	determine 20:21	14:20 15:11	62:16	17:14,17,19
146:23 147:10	31:14 38:6	19:23 21:2,4	disagree 86:10	37:13 46:10
147:18	41:5 42:5	22:17 23:17	discharged 90:8	58:23,23 61:9
depend 37:25	48:11 77:15	30:6 36:12,14	103:23 104:1	66:9,14 72:5
dependent	93:5	36:15 44:9	119:9 145:21	72:19 73:5
44:10 47:3,18	determined	48:3,10 49:13	disclose 19:7	79:11 85:16
49:12 50:12,13	23:16 33:22	50:14 60:17	discomfort	121:25 144:3
50:24 51:9	104:22	62:17,19,22	113:5 121:14	146:22
59:9 65:24	determining	71:2 73:12	122:6	doctors 54:18
66:1,20 67:10	16:18	77:20 78:11	discontinued	73:2 74:12
depending	develop 114:22	85:9,19 91:22	116:20 140:13	77:10 90:9
28:13 66:3,4	developed 24:20	116:14 135:22	140:17 141:20	92:15 110:9
depends 9:24	25:23 86:16	135:23,24	141:21,24	135:7 136:23
14:17,19 15:11	120:9 140:25	136:1 140:4	142:1,22	141:11 147:3,6
15:17 21:1,4	development	144:25 145:6	discourage 86:1	147:11,21
21:14,24 48:12	67:8	146:10,11	discuss 15:18	document
50:11	diagnosed 15:4	148:2	32:25 58:3	103:19
deposed 150:10	15:10 40:24	differently 72:2	62:13 102:6	documents 3:14
deposition 1:16	45:11,20 46:11	114:14 132:11	discussion 11:18	72:17 93:3,8
4:22 5:2,12,18	47:9 49:22	difficult 27:11	11:22,25 80:11	93:24 138:4
6:7 34:12 45:3	50:19 51:4,14	30:4	80:21 100:14	doing 78:1
45:4 78:22	97:7,9	diffused 114:24	130:7	134:14
83:22 94:9	diagnoses 95:16	dilated 31:10	discussions 12:1	dominant 34:10
138:11 148:14	diagnosis 15:9	44:5	62:17	DON 71:14,16
148:20 150:16	31:13 33:8	direct 62:12	disease 37:1,4,5	doomed 144:16
150:21	41:1 44:17	directions 33:20	37:6 38:16	door 13:10
depositions 5:5	50:9,18 51:24	72:6,11	77:5,8,16	dosage 66:4
5:6	54:15 78:11	directive 45:18	78:13	dose 65:25 66:7
depression	97:6 144:24	directives 33:20	dislike 84:25	66:13
106:3,4	147:4,6	46:2,8 72:16	dismal 134:24	Dosing 66:20
Deputy 2:11,12	dialysis 53:10	directly 67:13	135:1,3	double-check
describe 7:16,19	53:11	74:12 79:21	disorder 34:10	73:5

double-sided 93:17,18 Dr 4:21 16:1 19:14 32:3 78:20 121:10 121:25 123:9 134:10 135:17 136:23,25 137:5,9,10 drain 115:16,18 120:4 drainage 43:19 43:25 44:3 115:22 118:1 120:14 143:16 draining 44:1,4 dramatically 141:9 draws 118:19 drop 68:9 dropped 113:9 drug 21:16 26:12 65:8 75:16 102:15 114:20,21,22 115:1,1,4 119:5 drugs 72:13 112:14 114:23 119:8 143:7 due 41:24 125:18 duly 4:6,12 150:8 dyspnea 118:14 dysuria 108:11 D'Amico 16:1	East 2:7 4:3 150:6 easy 22:13 eat 61:9 119:13 eating 125:23 edema 78:8 111:13,14 113:8 116:23 118:2,4 119:18 122:20,21 educated 120:21 education 5:25 educational 87:4 effect 10:25 22:15 26:13,23 28:1 32:14 84:16 effected 104:20 effectively 98:22 146:6 effects 106:3 107:21,24 effort 36:22 eight 96:24 either 30:9 47:7 63:12 82:3,9 84:16 EKG 93:22 elected 114:5 elevated 109:25 employed 7:11 7:12 54:24 55:5 56:10 70:6 72:5 73:15 employee 7:20 7:25 8:7,9 67:13,23 70:4 150:11,13 employment 7:16 8:2,4,13 8:19,19 enable 93:4 encephalopathy 53:21,22 54:6	130:1 encourage 75:7 ended 87:10 122:24 endoscopy 123:1 end-stage 77:4,8 77:16,25 78:13 88:19 89:12 enjoyed 70:1 enlargement 22:15 ensure 72:18,22 73:5 entered 8:11 12:17,25 96:5 enters 13:9,10 entire 52:7 100:17 114:8 146:13 entitled 87:24 87:25 150:10 entity 24:11,12 epidermidis 48:12 equipped 54:10 54:14 erythema 116:22 erythematous 96:5 esophagus 44:4 44:5 especially 15:15 114:18 119:14 145:11 ESQ 2:7 essence 89:11 90:1 94:24 102:12 establish 97:8 98:14 110:5 116:8 established 48:19 50:2 67:20 70:20	72:24 73:20 74:10 Estate 1:9 estimate 45:5 60:1 estimation 88:18 estrogen 22:15 evaluate 147:13 evaluates 55:8 evaluation 59:24 147:13 evidence 23:25 120:14 evident 99:23 100:23 ex 1:12 exact 24:18 29:15 31:18 37:8 51:6 57:2 62:20 129:13 exactly 7:15 100:8 101:8 132:2 exam 13:11 105:13 116:22 121:2,4 122:7 125:10 136:7 examination 3:4 3:5,6,7 4:15 78:17 134:20 141:15 146:18 examined 4:6,13 109:1 example 7:20 14:9 15:2 17:21 23:12 38:2 43:10 47:22 49:7 53:9 54:19 60:21 73:10 77:24 78:1,5 88:18 90:4 exams 13:7 exceptionally 127:1	excess 44:13 excessive 34:11 78:3 excuse 17:2 39:14 93:13 95:23 101:8 114:4 exertion 118:14 Exhibit 100:17 100:17,19 Exhibits 3:20 exist 92:4 existence 24:8 expect 28:17 135:3 expectancy 57:21 expectation 59:23 88:9,13 145:2 expected 15:6 59:22 90:2 expense 63:12 63:16 67:15 expensive 63:8 experience 52:2 79:13 81:21 90:17 130:12 145:8 experienced 107:16,19 expired 101:3,5 133:7 explain 10:18 53:20 77:4 81:3,14 144:18 148:7 explanation 77:9 exposed 49:8,25 exposition 140:11 exposure 10:19 47:21 expressed 131:18
E E 2:3,3 3:2,11 earlier 91:5 130:19 142:18 early 24:19 25:12,22 easier 31:4 33:2 easily 118:13				

extent 147:21	fax 80:20	150:14	follows 4:13	frank 123:17,23
extremely 56:18	feature 87:24	find 100:2	follow-up 73:4	123:24 124:4,5
e-mail 80:20	feel 49:19 86:1	102:25 116:10	106:1,2 120:18	frequency 66:1
81:5,6,15	103:19 107:22	fine 40:15,17	food 119:14	frequent 127:15
91:18	107:23 135:1	100:10 116:21	foot 117:23	frequently
e-mails 91:22,22	146:2	116:25	118:2	37:19
91:24	feeling 98:5	finish 97:2	foregoing 149:4	Friday 4:1
F	103:11,18,21	133:10	150:19	150:5
facilities 147:4	107:21 108:10	firmness 113:6	forgot 148:12	front 32:3 37:9
147:22	108:11 110:23	first 4:6,12 9:16	form 12:24	93:10 100:4
facility 14:4	135:2 136:11	11:17 13:14	53:15 79:15	140:9
53:10 54:20	136:14	14:11 24:8	144:8	fulfill 104:16
79:15,20	feels 103:14	25:14,19 26:5	formed 87:12	full 104:16
103:24 144:2,5	111:23 112:5	26:8,14,19,23	forms 12:15	150:20
145:22 146:7,9	112:25 113:16	44:17,22 45:11	13:4,5 77:7	fully 113:20
fact 19:16,16	113:20 115:21	54:7 56:13	forth 88:1 90:19	full-time 7:20
24:16 70:16	116:16 117:2	87:12 94:7,18	forward 6:7,17	7:21
77:13 96:14	118:22 122:15	97:9 102:5,7	found 98:5	function 59:7
113:9 114:15	122:17 130:14	102:20,22	108:12	102:18
factors 21:1	fell 136:3	136:24	foundation 9:3	functioning
25:8 78:2	felt 22:10 36:23	fit 129:18	10:5 12:19	77:11 78:7
facts 23:24	63:9 88:23	five 4:25 6:16	13:16,17 14:14	furlough 86:23
48:19 50:2,6	89:12 111:10	29:20 43:1	20:23 23:24	furloughs 87:11
67:19 70:20	116:21,23,25	61:19 66:25	24:7,24 27:9	further 55:21
72:23 73:19	118:11 121:8	93:14,15	30:20 31:22	78:15 141:2
74:10 103:12	134:23 141:22	144:23	35:23 47:15	148:5
factual 99:9	ferritin 102:19	five-minute	48:18 50:1,23	future 145:8
fails 141:9	fevers 120:11	64:15	67:19 70:19	G
fair 138:12	fibrosis 43:19	Flow 93:20	72:11 73:19	gallbladder
fairly 97:20	104:19	flowing 78:6	74:10	102:17
faith 94:10,14	fibrotic 78:6	flu 107:23	four 52:11 60:17	gallstones 103:4
fall 136:20	fictitious 47:13	fluctuant	106:20 138:23	gang 70:6
familiar 34:6	fight 83:13	115:13	139:8	Gary 77:2
65:7	109:18	fluid 22:12	fractures	gastroenterol...
families 59:17	fighting 110:3	43:23 44:13	120:24	135:18
family 58:4	110:20	113:9,12	frame 9:14 12:9	gastrointestinal
81:23 89:14,15	figure 85:11	125:12	13:9 15:24	124:6
90:2,2 133:18	figures 81:24	fluids 125:3	20:10,25 39:23	gather 140:14
134:2,3	file 17:11,25	134:23 135:14	45:12 47:14	gavel 87:7
far 78:25 140:15	89:7	136:9	50:16 52:3	Geddes 2:12 3:6
141:13,22	filed 68:6,15,19	folder 93:11	57:15 60:10	6:10 8:3,14,21
143:10	68:22,25 87:14	follow 108:7	61:7,21 62:25	9:3,22 10:5,20
fashion 76:8	fill 12:16 53:15	119:10	66:9,24 67:7	11:4,13,20
fatigues 118:13	137:17	followed 63:21	69:6 72:4	12:8,19 13:2
favorite 119:16	filled 12:24	following	frames 13:18	13:12,15 14:14
	financially	143:11	51:17	

14:24 15:7,15	101:1 131:24	getting 6:20,21	Government	Harry 87:15
15:23 16:8,21	133:1,4,8,13	116:7 118:15	87:20	headings 93:19
17:13 18:21	134:8,9 138:17	119:24	Governor 56:18	heal 115:24
19:1,6,13,14	140:5 141:14	Gifford 77:2	56:20	Health 86:18
20:5,23 21:11	141:18 146:1	give 13:1 30:8	graduated 6:23	87:17 93:22
21:23 22:5,23	146:15,25	37:8 45:5	great 54:1	hear 68:9 70:4
23:24 24:7,24	147:5 148:6,10	62:20 63:8	138:16 147:21	heard 9:11,17
25:6,18 26:9	148:13,18	71:3 78:7 90:5	grounds 66:18	10:17 18:18
27:9 28:5,8,22	Gedney 1:17 4:5	142:13,14	group 78:11	19:22,25 40:18
29:7,12,25	4:10,20,21	given 12:15	87:12	54:23,25 69:16
30:12,20,24	19:14 32:3	14:12 25:15	grows 98:13	69:20 85:10
31:16,22,24	78:20 123:9	45:2 50:3	guess 64:16	HEARNE 2:6,7
32:2,10,19,21	134:10 149:3	51:19 62:24	93:14	3:5,7 4:3,17
33:10,24 34:24	149:21 150:7	63:3 66:7,24	guesswork 45:6	6:13 8:8,17
35:9,12,23	general 2:11,12	71:4 83:22	guidance 33:5	9:1,6 10:2,12
36:7 37:7,15	16:1 44:12	87:2 88:8 94:9	72:17	10:23 11:8,16
37:23 38:7,17	46:17 53:2	94:13 95:2,12	guideline 45:18	12:3,14,22
39:3,7,22 40:4	65:13 83:20	97:4 101:20	45:22	13:8,13,21
40:9,11 41:14	94:12 130:18	125:14 131:2	guidelines 33:4	14:22 15:3,12
42:13 43:2,5	131:11 144:22	138:5 139:24	33:11,14,16,20	15:19 16:4,16
44:20 45:1,21	generally 22:9	giving 26:12	46:2	17:8,24 19:3,9
46:5,13,23	28:18 51:3	27:14 30:15	gynecomastia	20:1,3,9 21:6
47:10 48:6,18	59:16 68:21	147:14	22:14	21:19 22:1,18
49:1,10,17	78:21,23 80:14	gloves 47:22	G-E-D-N-E-Y	23:5 24:3,14
50:1,21 51:6	83:1,4,21	50:13	4:20	25:2,13 26:4
51:20 52:6	84:25 86:10	go 5:22 6:7,16	H	27:3 28:2,6,16
54:13 55:23	90:15 91:9	28:14 43:10	H 3:11	29:2,11,19
57:20 58:8,16	92:22 128:10	53:16 91:1	HAGER 2:6 4:3	30:7,17,23
58:19 59:14	128:11,12	93:4 94:6 97:1	half 64:18,22	31:3,19 32:5,6
60:6,14,23	130:11 138:9	97:7,13 100:12	halt 142:15,16	32:16 33:3,18
61:5,15,24	General's 19:12	100:15 110:9	handled 47:20	34:5 35:1,13
62:6,15 63:2	general-type	113:3 118:21	hands 114:19	36:1,17 37:10
63:15 64:2,19	128:25	121:4 133:22	handwriting	37:11,20 38:4
64:22 65:18	genes 35:6	133:22	101:20	38:12,23 39:4
66:12 67:4,18	genetic 34:10	goes 100:23	happen 79:25	39:12,24 40:7
68:1,7,17,23	35:5 36:25	going 20:3 23:6	79:25	40:10,20 41:16
69:5,23 70:19	103:3	38:11 67:18	happened 53:3	42:19 43:3,14
71:5 72:7,10	genotype 32:14	97:1 116:7	103:6 123:3	45:9 46:1,9,20
72:21 73:18	32:15 33:1,2	122:24 124:15	happens 129:5	47:5,24 48:14
74:9,18,21	104:22 105:22	129:23,25	happy 85:10	48:21 49:6,14
75:11,19 76:2	105:23	138:16 141:16	harbor 86:4	49:21 50:15
76:9 77:6,17	genotypes 28:14	145:16 146:15	hard 106:11,13	51:2,12 52:1
77:23 78:19	31:17	good 37:10	harm 27:22	52:13 54:22
85:4 89:1,7,17	gentleman	85:11 101:20	94:15	56:2 58:1,12
89:24 90:11,12	26:16 56:19	133:2 135:3	harmed 84:17	58:17,22 59:19
99:18 100:15	102:13	Google 92:16		60:9,19 61:2,6

61:20 62:3,11	108:18 140:12	hip 120:23	32:23 34:1	83:21
62:23 63:10,23	141:20	121:8	36:7,8 38:18	important 16:17
64:4,8,15,21	hepatic 53:20,22	HIPAA 89:6,21	38:19 46:14,15	17:10,16 41:8
64:24 65:2	54:6 125:1,11	historical 77:20	46:15 47:1,1,2	42:1,8 44:16
66:2,22 67:12	134:17,21	historically 80:7	47:12,15,16	50:17 83:15
67:22 68:4,12	hepatitis 9:2,8	history 12:16	48:8,8 50:5,5	97:21 116:8
68:20 69:1,7	9:10,12,15,19	13:1,6,11	50:21,22,22	117:10
70:3,23 71:8	9:20,21 10:4,7	14:13 82:11	52:8 60:1 63:6	improved 113:8
72:8,15 73:3	10:9,10,13,14	83:18 102:13	63:6,18 65:19	improvement
74:3,13,19,23	10:18 11:2,5	106:6	65:20,21 66:17	117:25
75:17,22 76:5	11:10,14,15,19	HIV 12:12	66:18,19 75:12	improving
76:6,13,14	11:21,25 15:5	87:12,15	75:13 77:18,18	111:17 112:21
77:14,21 78:15	15:22 16:2,20	hold 108:18	hypotheticals	113:17
85:3 89:5	21:9,17,18	holding 80:12	34:1	inaccurate
99:17 100:12	23:9,22 24:2	80:17		94:12
131:23 132:23	24:15,23 25:5	holds 139:21	I	inadequate
138:15 140:1	25:7 26:7 27:7	homozygote	ibuprofen 65:8	48:16,23 49:2
140:18 145:19	29:4 31:13,21	35:6	65:11,15,23	49:5
146:20 147:2	32:9 33:8 35:7	hope 90:5	66:11,24	inadmissible
147:16 148:4,9	36:5,14 38:9	116:13	IDC 112:12	45:8
148:12,15	38:14,25 39:20	hopefully 65:3	idea 18:4	inappropriate
heat 109:2	40:25 41:1,10	hopes 106:16,18	ideation 106:7	145:13
heating 70:10	41:13,24 42:3	hoping 105:8	identification	inappropriately
70:17	73:17,21 94:16	hose 118:7	100:20	34:15
heavy 102:14	105:5 106:13	hospital 122:25	identified 24:16	inches 93:14,15
help 44:10	140:15 143:1	123:11,12,19	25:16 100:18	incident 50:3
109:18	146:24	124:7,10 144:2	101:23	81:20
helped 111:6	hepatitises	hostage 76:22	identify 9:15	incise 115:16
hematocrit	10:18	hour 4:2 64:18	24:20 52:11	incision 118:1
108:4	herbs 70:1,2	64:22 79:9	97:14 99:24	143:15
hemochromat...	hereditary 34:9	150:6	100:6	inciting 81:20
34:6,9,14,18	34:17 35:1,2,4	hours 123:17	identifying 9:12	inclination
34:23,25 35:4	35:20 103:3	136:21 146:9	ill 86:4 118:11	58:13
35:21 36:24	Hey 96:2	HOWARD 1:13	illness 39:6	include 22:12
103:3	HIDA 102:17	hundreds 91:21	immediately	23:10 43:23
hemoglobin	high 42:18,20	husband 87:9	51:5,7	44:14 55:12
108:4	42:25 43:8	hypertension	impact 17:5	82:10 93:18
hemorrhoid	53:23 75:14	22:16 31:12	impacting 25:25	included 143:14
124:5	78:4 110:17	42:15 43:15,18	impair 120:3	includes 93:16
Hep 15:11,14	128:22 135:4	hypothetical	impetus 133:24	including 60:18
24:8 25:10,16	147:9	16:22,23,24	implicate 19:17	138:20
26:11,20,21	higher 43:20,22	17:16,17,18	105:19	incomplete
27:11,24 31:14	120:5 124:6	21:12,12,13	implicates 95:10	16:23 17:18
33:22 38:2	highest 87:21	22:6,8,8,24,25	implies 133:17	21:13 22:7,8
39:16 40:5	highway 77:1,2	28:9,10 30:1,2	imply 50:10	22:24 28:9
102:13 104:7	high-risk 23:17	30:2 32:22,23	importance	30:2 32:23

34:1 36:7	110:3,20 113:7	47:8,13 50:19	74:4	6:20,21,23
38:18 46:15	116:24 120:7	50:22 51:3	instances 6:15	146:11
47:2,15 48:8	120:19 141:7,8	52:15,25 53:6	institution	Internet 92:3,15
50:5,6,22 52:7	142:17,25	55:20 56:3	13:16,23 14:6	interpose 19:6
63:6,17 65:21	143:5 144:20	62:13 63:25	53:7 137:3	67:19
66:18 75:12	infections 48:3	64:4 65:20	144:11	interpretation
77:18	48:11 96:10,11	72:9,11 73:7	institutional	10:9 40:16
increase 109:17	96:12 120:1,3	73:16,19 74:8	92:2,11,13	intervene 81:8
126:9	142:21	74:20 76:15	instruct 18:21	81:10,21
increased 22:16	infectious 36:13	79:4,7,19 80:8	20:5	intervened 74:5
78:8 102:13	46:3,12,22	81:10,18 83:5	instructed 62:19	intervening
125:2,12,13	47:20 50:10	83:7 84:2,3,6	62:21	74:16 136:22
134:22	infer 105:20	85:13,23 89:12	instructions	intestinal 43:22
increases	infirmatory 15:1	89:19 100:23	5:12 73:6	intra 109:17
111:14	116:5	106:11,19	intake 14:19	intravenous
increasing 75:7	inflammation	145:6 146:3,6	interact 60:18	116:3
independent	9:10	146:6 147:18	interaction 62:4	involved 36:4
150:11,13	inflammatory	inmates 11:3	74:16 100:23	55:14 60:21
Inderal 122:9	36:12,14	12:6,16 13:4,7	101:5,13	90:21 91:3
indicate 12:16	information	14:10 15:21	102:10	95:1 102:6
99:25	14:13 16:3	23:6 24:22	interactions	123:3 130:9
indigent 7:6	17:19 18:22,25	26:6 27:7 28:4	73:2 74:12	133:24 137:10
indirectly 82:3	19:11,23 20:11	29:4 47:8 49:8	interest 94:11	147:11 150:13
individual 1:10	27:14 32:25	49:23,24 54:11	interested 9:5	involvement
21:15 26:15,18	36:24 52:22	56:7 59:17	17:1 150:14	91:6 99:15
30:13 38:2	53:25 55:7	62:5,7,25 63:5	interests 86:9	100:1 102:7
44:14 46:17	123:15 132:25	69:17 70:14	86:14	116:9 137:14
53:23 55:3,10	135:11	71:1 73:24	interfere 131:20	137:15,16
119:17 140:24	informed 17:4	79:13 82:25	Interferon 23:7	138:3
individuals	45:4 68:5	83:19 84:24,24	25:25 26:16	involves 146:4
26:24 27:12,20	131:9	85:1,6,8,19,22	28:19 29:5,6,9	involving 37:17
27:25 34:11	initial 97:5	85:23 86:8,9	29:13,23,23	81:9 99:22
43:8 55:15	initialed 149:6	86:13 87:2	30:9,10,16	111:13
60:17 63:17	initially 86:17	88:4 89:15	32:14 105:10	iron 34:11,16
77:12 128:18	injection 109:17	95:20,23 96:6	106:16 107:10	36:6,11,11,16
128:19 144:11	121:11,12,13	96:9,19 119:14	107:21 108:19	102:13
inefficacious	inmate 11:19	127:2 132:11	140:16,22	irregardless
42:7	12:25 13:1,9	133:20 138:14	142:1 143:7,9	83:16
infection 41:8	13:10 15:4,6,8	144:13 146:8	143:9,13	irrelevant 18:19
44:24 45:14,15	15:16 16:18,19	inmate's 17:25	interim 121:23	83:9,18
45:15 46:18	16:23 17:11	inquire 55:19	122:25	irritation
48:2,5,7,24	18:5 25:14	INRs 23:16	interject 45:2	108:12
96:3,19 98:10	29:24 30:1,10	insect 96:24	intermittent	isolate 46:21
98:17 99:3,5	38:13,14,24	inserting 76:1	119:24 120:5	isolated 49:23
108:14,20	45:11,20 46:11	Insistence 87:25	120:10	issue 11:12
109:12,18	46:14,22 47:1	instance 45:11	internal 6:3,5	81:17 82:5

issues 43:12	killed 76:25	19:16 20:8	51:19,21,24	113:6,16,21
52:19,21	77:3 84:17	24:18 29:15,17	77:20 108:2	115:12,19,24
itching 119:3	kind 11:18	42:24 43:12	117:9	116:22 117:22
items 39:17	12:24 21:20	45:6 50:25	laboratory-wise	117:24 118:18
IV 21:16 41:8	22:21 31:14	57:17 58:10	77:24	119:25 144:19
54:19 71:3	32:8 61:22	61:17 68:10,18	labs 93:22 106:1	145:1
73:9 99:7	66:4 73:4	71:20,21,24	110:11,16	leg 99:3,4 109:4
102:15 109:15	85:12	72:12 74:25	111:11 115:9	109:10,13,14
112:10,12	kindly 89:9	82:18 84:12,24	117:7,16	111:8 113:16
115:25 116:2	Kites 93:20	85:5,8 89:22	120:15,19	113:21 115:22
116:20 118:17	Klein 1:9 18:5	91:4 92:5,6	121:17,19	115:24 116:22
125:12 143:15	19:14 20:12,15	93:15 101:21	122:7 134:20	117:24 119:25
IVs 125:4	20:18 31:20	114:11 115:1	lack 81:21	120:4 143:13
J	32:4 36:19	123:14 125:7	lacks 10:5 13:15	legal 8:22 19:23
J 2:12	37:14 39:20	129:13 138:2	13:17 47:15	89:22
Jackie 88:1	41:23 42:10	138:13,13	48:18 50:1,23	Legislature
James 76:16	49:22 51:14	142:24	67:19 72:11	90:20
January 117:15	52:15,21,25	knowing 26:13	73:19 74:10	legs 44:1,2
117:20,20	57:8 59:13	59:25 82:21	Lactulose 75:1,2	96:24 108:25
118:9,21	60:3 64:12	knowingly	75:3,6,16,18	109:2 111:15
JOB 1:25	67:15,25 68:6	99:10	120:21 122:8	121:2
John 71:11,12	68:13 69:3	knowledge 8:23	125:2,11,13	length 18:1 33:7
71:12,14,18,19	70:9 86:5 88:6	24:8 26:22	126:9 134:22	66:21 67:2
Johns 136:23,25	91:12,18 92:21	73:1 74:11,14	135:13 137:7	138:16
137:5,9,10	93:1,6 94:9,14	82:24 83:20	143:17	lengthy 18:9,13
joint 121:13	94:20 96:16	90:23 96:22	lady 91:16	18:16
Judge 141:17	99:11,16 100:1	130:18,23	laid 36:11	lesions 114:19
judgment 129:2	102:21 103:21	131:1 132:19	last-ditch 36:22	letter 81:17
135:2	107:18 114:3,4	132:21 143:2	late 36:25 37:4	135:20
July 7:15 122:1	131:16,18	144:10	law 5:15 85:14	let's 38:24 64:15
123:6	132:14,22	known 30:14	85:19,22,23	66:6 84:14
June 103:7,9	133:16 135:8	43:24 44:6	lay 9:25 36:16	97:1 100:11
104:6 120:17	140:23 143:8	84:3 86:17,18	layperson 96:23	119:23 139:4
Justice 125:25	143:25 144:7	87:7 105:8	lead 96:20	level 53:23 54:1
126:22	145:14	109:7 110:1	leading 77:1	59:7 75:8
justify 63:11,15	Klein's 41:12	121:13 128:22	99:17 131:23	110:16,19
K	43:12 58:24	knows 81:4	140:1	120:20 124:15
Karen 1:17 4:5	65:10 88:12	88:16	leaking 43:23	124:18
4:10,20 149:3	140:12,15,21	L	leave 64:18	levels 75:10,15
149:21 150:7	143:1	L 1:24 4:4 150:3	103:14,15	78:5
keep 116:7	knew 25:4 98:21	150:24	104:4 134:4	liaison 87:6
127:23 131:6	98:22 99:6	lab 51:25 52:3,4	141:17	Liberty 2:7 4:3
142:24	125:5,5,6	52:10,11	leaving 17:5,22	150:6
Kenneth 76:16	126:17,21	117:10	104:15 118:23	license 147:7
	know 5:13 7:15	laboratory	left 56:13 99:3,4	life 16:18 17:12
	16:17,25 17:22		110:1 111:8	55:4,7 57:22

76:21,24 84:2	41:6 42:3,4	loss 116:22	marrow 141:4	143:5 147:10
86:4,16 129:7	73:24 104:23	lost 41:19 94:10	Marsha 136:25	meant 30:5
130:4	105:23 106:14	94:14	137:9	59:10 61:10
lifer 104:10	146:24	lot 92:20 115:21	material 47:20	68:13 94:22
light 108:17	local 90:8	122:22	math 139:2,5,16	103:15 107:4
145:11	logistics 17:6	Lovelock 146:3	mathematical	Med 93:20
likelihood 135:4	long 7:8 29:3	146:7,8,13	62:2	medical 6:2,8,12
limb 113:7	33:22 51:13	low 22:13	matter 5:22	6:14 7:5,5,6
120:6	52:3 64:21	111:11 119:18	137:21 150:10	9:18,25 10:3,8
limited 99:15	65:14 79:6	136:16 141:5	mattress 70:10	10:9,14,17
line 80:14 83:1	95:1 97:20	lower 122:9	70:16	12:1,6,16 13:1
90:13 97:8	112:2,8 121:10	LPNs 71:3	maximum 66:7	13:11 14:12,13
118:17,17	138:2 141:19	lump 96:5	66:13 90:25	15:1 17:2,3
lines 128:21	145:7	lunch 61:9	mean 6:11 7:19	20:20 23:18
listen 16:11	look 33:15 42:4	64:25 65:1,4	8:6,15 10:16	29:22 30:19,21
literally 86:21	43:7,8 57:23	80:21	26:12 27:13	30:23,25 31:5
135:14 140:25	59:4 77:25	lymphadenop...	31:6 35:14	31:6 32:3 33:5
literature 33:1	81:11,18 82:4	26:17,24	44:21,22 49:5	34:3 35:15,17
67:8	82:4,10,12	lymphatic 120:4	51:19 55:2	36:2 37:22
litigation 68:5,8	91:8 92:15,24	L-A-C-T-U-L...	60:16 61:17	45:14,18 47:8
68:8,11,16,19	99:21 110:17	75:4	77:10 83:10	47:21 49:19
68:22	114:14 124:3		88:14 95:22	52:15 53:7
little 69:24 81:3	130:15 134:3		96:14 104:12	54:20 55:15
84:14 96:1,5	142:3,4 144:22		117:8,11 121:3	56:6 59:6,20
118:11 125:24	looked 22:9		123:20 126:16	59:23,24 60:13
135:10	26:18 70:22		134:25 145:5	60:22 61:10,11
live 145:7,22	98:5		meaning 35:6	61:13,13,23,25
lived 145:1	looking 21:2		111:16 112:14	62:5,13,16,20
liver 9:10 20:22	22:3 38:10		means 9:8,10	63:12,19 65:10
20:24 21:8,16	42:15 86:13		28:13 31:8,10	65:12,14 72:13
21:22 22:4,22	94:10,20 97:14		37:22 47:19	73:25 77:9,12
23:8 37:6	97:16 100:16		53:21,22 80:17	79:10,15,16,19
43:19,20 44:1	100:21,22		87:3 95:13	79:20,20 80:2
44:4 59:7	101:6,12,19		96:23,25 97:22	80:13,13,16
65:16,19 66:10	102:22 110:7		98:12,19 99:3	81:4,7,9 82:5
67:2,5 77:5,8	110:18 116:21		105:2 107:4	82:16,17,20,22
77:11,16,25,25	121:18,22		108:11,18	83:14,15 84:5
78:13 88:19	123:2 129:21		109:12 110:2	85:12 90:18,18
89:12 103:10	133:7 137:9,11		110:19 111:14	91:6,7,8 92:9
104:19 109:25	140:22 145:20		115:14 116:19	92:21,25 93:1
119:8,17 122:6	looks 27:17,18		117:9 121:4	93:4,20 94:20
144:21	86:8 101:13		122:22 123:21	94:25 95:24
living 57:12	116:15 121:22		123:24 124:4	96:22 97:2,8
load 37:22,25	123:5,6,13,15		124:13 125:13	99:21 101:23
38:2,5,8,10,14	123:16		126:14,17,23	103:24 117:18
38:15 39:1,13	lose 43:9		128:12 131:8	124:4 129:3

130:4,17	61:22 62:1	Misstates 16:8	98:15,21,22,22	93:25 101:6,14
133:19,23	80:17,25	72:21	99:5,11 105:19	108:9,22
134:2,13	135:18	mistake 96:6	109:6,11,13,16	109:20 110:7
143:10,24	meetings 60:11	mistaken 96:17	111:22 112:8	112:7,23
144:2,5 145:3	60:15 61:1	130:21	114:9,24	113:10,24
145:8,13,22,25	80:12,12	moment 74:2	142:16 143:1,5	115:11 123:2
146:2,7,9	melana 124:3	monetary 62:24	multifidus	137:12 139:24
147:7,8,10,17	Meller 76:16	63:3,3 90:14	48:12	NDOC-00370
medically 24:10	member 58:3	money 91:1	multiple 23:19	113:19
26:20 30:5,13	89:15 133:18	month 108:7,8	34:12 36:11	NDOC-00371
30:15 34:8,9	members 81:24	112:15 125:6	48:10 50:14	113:15
36:10,15 38:9	Memorial 77:2	140:25 141:22	78:11 116:14	NDOC-00376
41:7,9 42:4	memory 12:11	months 55:4,7	120:24	112:16
46:17 47:18,18	19:22 24:18	57:21 59:23,25	M-E-L-L-E-R	NDOC-00378
49:19,24 51:8	25:10 31:1,25	60:4 88:9,11	76:16	112:3
53:22 60:1	120:13 128:9	88:13 106:20	M-R-S-A 51:18	NDOC-00380
67:9 74:1	128:15 137:23	119:10 129:7,8	94:17	111:20
75:14 76:10	Mental 93:22	129:9,11,14	M.D 1:17 4:6,10	NDOC-00381
77:4 83:9	mentally 75:15	mood 107:6	149:3,21 150:8	111:4
84:10 88:18	mentioned 50:4	moot 41:9		NDOC-00390
89:23 90:9	89:10	morning 125:5	N	97:16
105:19 129:19	mentioning	mother 89:15	N 2:3 3:2	NDOC-00393
144:1	106:9	motor 121:5	name 4:18,19	107:11
medication	merit 87:19	mouth 114:19	24:13,16 59:3	NDOC-00394
26:15 42:5	meritorious	move 144:13	59:4 76:15	105:12
65:23 71:1,2,3	87:2	movements	88:1,20,22,24	NDOC-00396
75:6 98:7	Methacillin-R...	52:23 127:16	89:10,19,25	104:18
106:14 122:9	98:15	moving 135:9	125:5 136:24	NDOC-00397
125:18 141:1	micro 115:13	MRSA 38:25	149:7	103:6
medications	middle 110:10	39:8,15,25	named 18:5	NDOC-00400
43:11 44:9,12	miles 79:3 144:5	40:3,6,19,22	71:11 77:2	100:22 102:9
136:8,21 141:3	Miller 56:22	40:24 41:2,3,6	names 106:9	NDOC-00402
143:16	milliliter 38:3	41:7 44:17,22	narrative 32:21	124:8
medicine 6:3,5	mind 50:16	45:12,20 46:3	59:14	NDOC-00405
6:20,21,23	56:23	46:12,12,18,22	narrow 57:15	123:6
17:1 23:3	minimal 69:25	47:9,25 48:4	nasogastric 76:3	NDOC-00411
78:14 83:18	minimize 47:20	48:17,24 49:7	76:7 134:21	121:16
88:16 90:4	minor 115:17	49:22,25 50:20	National 87:17	NDOC-00415
96:25 118:7	143:15	50:24 51:4,8	87:20	120:22
124:2 145:11	minutes 64:23	51:14,18,24	nature 46:4,12	NDOC-00416
146:11	miraculous 90:4	52:5 54:11,12	46:22 131:19	120:17
meds 111:6	Miscellaneous	54:15,18 94:17	nausea 125:9	NDOC-00417
113:1 143:17	93:21	94:22,23 95:10	NDOC 15:16	120:8
meet 80:21	misinformed	95:11,15,16,16	79:11 80:24	NDOC-00418
meeting 60:20	84:12	96:8,11,20	81:8 82:19	119:22
61:4,12,16,18	misstate 57:20	97:5,9,23	85:2 90:25	NDOC-00421

118:9	Nevada 1:7,12	Nolan 1:9 18:5	105:22,24	108:1,21,22
NDOC-00425	1:19 4:4 6:4	19:14 31:20	107:12,15,24	109:19 142:11
117:20	6:22 7:12,17	32:4 36:19	108:6 109:1,3	142:22
NDOC-00427	7:21 8:2,5,12	37:14 39:20	109:10,20	No.3:10-cv-00...
116:15	10:24 12:7,25	41:12,23 42:10	110:8,10,11,23	1:9
NDOC-00432	13:24 14:5,11	49:22 51:14	111:4,5,9,18	numbered 115:17
137:9	14:18 15:21	52:15,21,25	111:21,24	number 3:13
NDOC-00433	23:22 24:21	57:8 58:24	112:1,3,7	57:2 86:15,15
137:6	25:5,15 26:6,7	59:13 60:3	113:4,15,19,22	93:16 97:15
NDOC-00435	27:8 29:3 33:6	64:12 65:10	114:6,15 115:2	100:19 101:6
136:10	35:17 40:1	67:15,25 68:6	115:12,20	101:14 103:2
NDOC-00436	44:18 46:4	68:13 69:3	116:17,17,21	108:9,22
136:2	48:15,22 49:9	70:9 86:5 88:6	117:6,10,15	109:20 110:7
NDOC-00439	52:15,16 54:24	88:12 91:11,12	118:3,10,16,24	112:7,23
135:8	55:5 56:11,18	91:18 92:21	119:22,22	113:24 115:11
NDOC-00440	67:14,24 69:17	93:1,6 94:9,13	120:8,24 121:7	115:20 119:6
134:15	70:5 79:5	94:20 96:16	121:15,18	126:5,5 128:16
NDOC-00441	86:20 87:13	99:11,16 100:1	122:1,5,12,13	137:12
127:12	90:20 106:23	102:21 103:21	122:20,24	numbers 93:24
NDOC-00442	146:22 147:18	114:3,4 131:16	124:25 125:17	93:24 94:2
125:22	150:1,4,7,22	131:18 132:14	126:6,20,24	100:6
NDOC-00443	never 49:15	132:21 133:15	127:25 129:22	nurse 50:12
124:25	58:10 88:16	135:7 140:15	130:5,25 131:9	71:6,7,10,13
NDOC-00471	90:5	143:8 144:7	132:5,16,19	71:17 72:6
142:12	new 125:24	145:14	133:12,17	73:11 80:4
necessarily	126:21	nonspecific	134:15,23	nurses 54:17
50:10 56:23	NG 125:4,12	78:13	135:8,16 137:8	70:24,24 71:3
117:11	134:21	normal 43:10	137:11 142:12	72:18 73:2
need 5:11 47:17	nicotine 36:13	108:5 124:14	noted 105:14	124:12 134:16
50:25 54:19	night 87:8 111:7	144:20	notes 93:20	nursing 71:16
64:24 71:18	113:1 125:3	North 2:13	97:12 99:22,25	71:18,20 72:14
72:12 103:5	134:18	Northern 13:24	100:7 104:11	136:1 144:3
118:18 120:21	nighttime	14:18 52:15,16	104:13 110:18	NV 1:24 2:8,13
144:4 147:22	120:12	79:5 86:20	112:16,20	150:24
needed 63:9	nitrogenous	nosebleeds	121:23 122:23	
106:2 120:24	75:7	120:11	122:25 123:2	O
needing 144:1	NNCC 52:21,25	notate 17:11	124:8 128:8	oath 5:14 65:5
needs 79:4	53:10,12 60:21	notated 17:25	133:7 135:22	134:11 149:5
94:25	79:7 85:10	notation 30:19	136:22 137:5	object 66:17
negative 36:25	125:7 145:23	30:19,21 31:6	142:22 150:17	141:15
84:9 103:3	147:15	31:6	150:21	objection 11:20
neglected 94:24	NNCC/Regio...	note 31:2 97:20	Noticed 115:21	14:14 15:7,15
Neupogen	79:14	97:21 98:1	notion 141:15	16:8 17:13
109:16	NNCC/RMF	99:2 103:13,16	November	19:7,13 20:23
neurologic	79:1	103:17 104:2,6	97:18,25,25	21:11 22:5,23
121:2,5	nocturia 120:12	104:14,24	98:17 99:1	29:25 33:25

50:1 52:6	offenders 84:9	116:10,16	112:14 113:23	36:6
57:20 60:23	84:16	117:2,14,19	120:19	overlying
61:5,15,24	offense 84:4	122:15,17	orally 75:21,23	120:16
62:6 63:2	131:12	123:23 124:1	75:24,25 76:4	override 72:18
65:18 66:12	offer 45:7	125:21 126:19	76:8	overrode 72:6
67:19 68:1,17	office 19:12	126:25 127:3	order 22:3 73:8	72:10,13
70:19 71:5	55:8,8,11,12	127:11 128:14	74:6 79:22	overturned
72:7,21 73:18	55:13,19 56:1	128:24 129:1	100:8 101:9,11	128:1
75:11 77:6,17	56:5 81:24	129:10,12,15	116:13 123:9	over-the-coun...
85:3 99:17	82:12 128:17	129:17 130:16	ordered 102:17	65:8
131:23 132:23	130:11,14	132:7,10,14,18	120:14 121:9	oxycodone
138:15 140:1	131:10	133:1 134:6	121:20 125:19	115:19
140:18 147:5	officer 77:1,3	135:5,21	ordering 98:21	
objections 8:14	87:1	137:24 138:21	orders 72:13	P
14:24 21:23	offices 4:3	138:25 140:10	101:17,19	P 2:3,3
24:7 28:5,8	office's 58:10	140:21 141:14	105:25 122:8	packed 115:19
30:12 32:19	official 60:12	142:8,10,15,24	142:6	pad 70:10,17
33:24 39:3,7	off-the-record	143:8 146:15	ordinarily 82:7	page 3:4,13
46:5 47:1 49:1	100:13	147:25 148:18	ordinary 81:25	97:14 98:4
49:10,17 50:21	oh 64:21 70:8	old 71:19 124:2	organism 52:11	101:6 102:5,8
51:6 62:15	71:22 72:1	once 5:18 55:21	organize 100:9	103:2 104:24
67:4 68:23	123:24	61:18,18 92:24	organized 64:17	105:12 110:6
74:18,21 75:19	okay 6:25 8:11	oncologist 26:18	organs 34:12	111:19 124:21
76:2,9 77:23	25:3 30:24	26:21	orientation	pages 93:14,17
obviously	32:5 40:9	one-and-a-half	126:12	93:18 94:4
141:15	41:11,20 42:1	123:17	oriented 125:24	110:8 124:23
occasionally	42:24 53:20	onward 25:12	126:13,14	124:24 150:19
17:7 27:23	57:5,16 59:5	oOo 1:8 4:8	127:2,13	pain 102:14,17
34:15	65:7 71:22	148:22 149:2	original 3:20,20	111:6,8 112:25
occur 43:21	72:1,3 73:14	open 81:1	98:21	113:16 115:19
81:12,20,23	76:5 80:16	120:14	orthopedic	120:23 121:8
88:17	82:23 83:4	opened 115:18	121:10	125:8 134:19
occurred 36:21	88:5 89:24	opinion 20:20	outcome 135:3	135:10 136:6,8
43:6,6 44:18	90:11,23 92:14	45:5 54:11	outside 35:20,24	136:16,19,20
66:10 85:18	92:17 93:23	65:14 91:19	36:3 37:13,18	143:16
91:11 106:7	94:6 95:12	95:8,14	37:18 55:6	pains 107:19
132:25	97:17,19 98:25	opinions 45:7	58:23 61:10	paper 139:3
Occurrence	99:13,24 100:7	50:5	63:22 91:25	paperwork
93:19	101:16,18	opportunity	92:4,8 93:21	12:21,23
occurring 14:23	102:11 103:1	138:6	133:18,18	117:12
26:8 102:10	104:5,17	opposed 76:8	135:19	papular 114:17
October 104:25	105:21 107:9	opposing 80:25	outweigh	114:23
105:17 107:11	110:15 111:3	138:6 141:16	141:13	paraphrasing
107:12 142:4,6	112:5,25	option 37:1	outweighed	94:11
142:19	114:21 115:5	76:12	74:2 141:22	Pardon 53:21
offender 84:4	115:10,21	oral 71:3 75:6	overload 34:16	parenteral

10:19,21,22	21:24 22:6,25	penalty 149:4	68:13 86:1	please 4:18
parlance 87:8	23:3 29:1,24	people 9:25,25	150:7	97:24 98:25
parrlawoffice...	30:11 36:5,8	10:3,4,15,17	personnel 35:15	plug 135:12
2:9	38:9,18 44:11	13:3 19:1	35:17 47:8	plus 16:13 49:1
part 7:4 9:9	65:24 66:5,15	33:13 34:14	persons 10:3	PO 112:13
61:13 70:11	66:15,17,24	43:5,9 46:24	34:22 49:7	point 29:8 41:9
88:10 95:4	76:1 77:15	47:21 55:13,13	61:10,11	45:1 54:8 84:2
118:1 130:17	78:2 80:6	55:17 58:9,21	person's 67:11	88:9 89:9 90:6
147:13	88:19 97:21	72:2,25 92:3	perspective	103:20 104:22
particles 38:3	99:2 103:18	101:21 114:22	138:1 145:13	106:4 108:3,15
particular 11:15	104:7,9 105:3	119:13 128:16	145:25 146:2	123:18 133:2
13:16,22 14:17	107:19 108:1	135:23,24	phlebotomy	poisons 36:13
15:17 16:15	109:20 111:6	142:21 144:21	35:8,11 36:19	police 77:1,2
21:5,15 23:3	111:22 112:5	144:22,25	37:14	policies 33:21
24:11 43:12	112:25 113:16	people's 17:1	phone 39:19	46:8 72:16,17
45:17 47:25	113:20,24	69:14	81:17 126:5	72:23
48:2 50:25	115:21 116:16	perceiving 36:9	phrase 63:3	policy 16:1,6,9
51:1 53:4,4,7	117:2,12,22	percent 144:23	66:13 72:22	16:13 34:3
54:4 60:8,8	118:11,22	Perfect 148:18	94:22 128:22	45:18 73:1
61:8 62:7 63:5	119:7 122:3,15	period 129:16	physical 13:6,6	129:13
63:9 66:1,21	125:8,23 126:1	138:2 140:7	13:11 22:11	political 81:24
74:2 75:10	126:3 127:13	142:23	82:11	politician 74:15
77:13 81:18,19	133:20 134:1,3	peripheral 78:8	physicals 14:12	politicians
89:11 91:15	134:5,16,22	118:4	physician 7:21	56:23 74:11
93:1,5 99:25	136:3 138:24	perjury 149:4	55:4,6,20	pooping 123:22
106:10,19	139:18,19,20	Perry 71:11,12	78:12	poor 25:25
108:14 109:8	139:24 140:4	71:14,18,19,22	physicians	population 70:1
110:21 129:24	144:4 148:3	71:23,25	62:19 75:16	87:22
131:9 138:7	patients 21:7	Perrys 71:12	81:4 86:2	portal 22:16
141:4	27:18,23 32:1	Persistence 88:1	PICC 118:17	31:11 43:15,18
particularly	32:22 53:9	person 10:10	piece 113:2	portion 89:3,8,9
46:21 55:14	54:11 90:9	17:22 21:3,15	123:4	122:16
56:15	106:5,9 107:22	21:21 22:10,10	pills 111:13	position 5:6
particulars 50:8	135:6 138:18	23:13,17 26:2	pinpoint 99:15	possibility
139:24 140:3	138:19,24	28:14 37:24	place 72:17	145:10
parties 89:3	139:4,17	38:1 47:15	118:18 126:13	possible 82:18
150:12,13	141:11 147:13	50:10,11 51:10	126:14,16	103:5 121:11
parts 25:21	147:22 148:1,2	54:8 55:6 59:9	placed 87:18	132:18,21
39:10	patient's 105:1	63:13 78:4	98:7	possibly 22:11
pass 146:16	134:4	82:13 84:3,8	places 7:9 14:20	44:25 111:10
passed 10:11	pay 19:25	84:20 105:8	Plaintiff 2:5	potassium
137:10	ped 118:7	114:1,3 124:4	91:15	111:11,12
passing 123:17	peeing 120:12	126:12,14,16	Plaintiffs 1:10	potato 119:15
123:21	Pegasus 142:13	129:6 133:19	plan 143:10	potential 19:22
patient 17:17	143:12	144:6 150:14	platelets 22:14	27:25 74:1
20:21 21:12,21	pen 139:3	personally 4:5	play 17:7	82:13 89:20

potentially 22:13,17 55:7 137:3	presuming 94:22	processes 53:24	PTs 23:16	109:11 131:25
pounds 113:9,11	previously 4:22	produced 79:7	public-speaking 87:7	132:1 133:15
PPD 12:13	132:22	79:19	pull 97:11	138:7 141:16
practical 83:6	prior 37:13 41:6	producing 78:2	pulled 125:3	146:4
practice 69:19	41:11 42:3	products 29:17	pulling 118:17	questioned 99:4
69:22,23	57:18 58:14	70:14 119:14	punishment 144:9	99:5 109:12
114:12	102:15	professional 29:22 37:22	purpose 5:9	questioning 82:23 83:2
practiced 69:17	prison 5:7 11:10	59:6	purposes 42:8	90:13
precautions 47:7,11,19,23	12:10 14:18	prognosis 134:23	53:7 79:15	questions 55:22
49:20	15:8 17:5	program 7:4	pursuant 69:22	57:17 64:19
preceding 93:25	34:20 44:22	progress 54:8	pursuit 85:15	78:20 92:20
precise 99:15	56:13 69:20	93:20 97:12	put 88:1 98:16	130:19 146:21
predominant 75:21	70:1 79:2	99:22,25 100:7	108:15 109:14	quick 133:14
predominantly 81:23 102:10	83:13 84:13	145:2	117:10 122:10	quickly 79:6,25
129:6 147:12	85:9 86:2 87:8	promulgation 33:13	126:23 127:20	80:7 127:5
prefix 93:25	87:13,18,22	pronounce 72:2	128:3,16	140:24
prescribe 36:18	106:24 145:7	pronouncing 54:4	129:23 130:6	quite 138:5
41:23 70:10	prisoners 12:15	pronunciation 53:21 71:24	130:13 132:4,5	<hr/> R <hr/>
146:23 147:8	prisons 14:15	74:25	132:14 141:7	R 2:3 28:19
prescribed 41:12 65:11,15	55:5,16 87:16	protect 89:18	142:16	Ramen 119:15
prescribes 72:19	146:13	144:12	puts 142:21	randomized 27:16
prescribing 37:14 67:2	Probable 115:4	protection 49:24	putting 129:19	range 43:10
present 2:15	probably 13:19	protocol 11:2,4	P-A-R-E-N-T... 10:21	50:5 54:16
21:25 95:23	123:7 131:9	11:9 33:5,20	P-H-L-E-B-O... 35:11	108:5 110:6,17
98:13 115:13	141:6	45:17,22,24	p.m 148:21	rare 56:8 96:15
147:3,8	problem 6:8	46:2 67:9	<hr/> Q <hr/>	rarely 96:14
presentation 23:4	83:17 85:12	72:16	quadrant 102:14,16	rash 113:25
presented 102:15 108:9	86:25 119:17	protocols 33:11	question 17:14	114:6,9,17,20
108:10 138:4	143:22	72:23	18:22 28:12	114:21,22,22
pressure 42:11	problems 21:16	provide 84:5	29:9 30:4 37:8	114:24 115:2,4
42:18,21,25,25	23:15 77:12	provided 93:6	38:21 39:10,15	118:14 119:6
43:9,21,23	108:11 119:18	94:7 101:22	39:15,16 40:4	rate 122:21
44:1,5 78:7	120:12 129:25	102:3	40:12,13,14,17	rationale 75:8
122:9 124:14	131:11 135:12	provision 81:9	48:20 52:7	reached 90:25
pressures 43:10	procedure 79:24 115:15	85:1	53:5 54:17	react 46:11
presumed 82:20	115:17 143:16	psych 106:1	62:8 63:5,17	reacted 108:3
	procedures 63:22	psychiatric 106:5	65:13 68:8	read 96:21
	proceeding 150:14,15	Psychiatry 106:2	73:19 78:25	140:6 149:4
	process 14:19		80:23 85:7	reading 138:3
	17:6 27:15		88:11,14 95:2	readmission 144:4
	44:10 79:6			real 140:11
	147:14			145:24

realize 18:17 104:14	receiving 79:15	99:21 100:4	25:7,9 32:12	16:2 28:12
really 53:3 96:3	recess 65:1	102:24,25	35:17 37:5,6	31:1 35:20
102:16 133:17	134:7	134:13 140:6,9	46:24 98:1	36:10 38:9
141:7 146:4	recognition	140:11,14,23	105:6 139:25	43:13 45:24
reappearance	87:14	145:17,20	reflect 32:2	52:21 53:4
114:9	recognize 91:16	147:18	107:24 110:18	60:25 69:11
reason 6:6,16	recollection	Recovery 86:18	111:24 112:20	72:9 74:7,20
18:10 41:5	13:19 16:14	recuperates	124:9 127:1	80:3,11 81:17
42:4 128:15	18:2 26:1,8	113:7	137:5 142:22	82:23 85:16
reasonable	33:19 42:23	recuperating	reflected 110:2	90:13 91:18
88:18	45:16 51:16	111:22	111:11 120:20	101:25 119:11
reasonableness	52:18,20 53:1	red 95:20 96:1	123:5 132:19	121:18 131:2
130:4	53:2 56:12	123:21,22,24	143:4	131:17 132:12
reasoned 43:5	64:14 68:24	redness 109:3	reflection 117:7	133:16 135:20
reasoning 60:3	70:12,21 92:25	reduce 26:12,21	138:12	140:12,21
reasons 21:3	94:13 127:5,7	27:13 41:23	reflects 97:21	regards 16:2
64:9 90:14	128:6,7 130:25	42:5,6 44:13	108:5 109:2,10	105:15 128:12
recall 7:14 9:14	138:8,8	44:15 75:8,10	109:20 110:11	144:20
11:9,11,17	recommend	105:7 106:14	110:23 111:9	regional 54:20
12:4,11,23	65:25,25 70:10	121:13 146:24	113:22 115:12	79:19,20
13:14,22 14:23	recommendat...	reduced 118:4	120:9 122:20	103:23 144:1,5
18:7,12,15	119:11	reducing 27:17	122:24 125:1	145:22 146:7,9
20:4,10 24:15	recommendat...	28:1 41:17	125:17 127:10	regular 60:11
24:21 25:14	63:20,20 64:6	reduction 28:12	129:22 130:5	60:14,20,24
26:5 27:4	64:11 70:13	32:12 33:2	132:5,16	61:4,12,15,18
30:18 31:13,15	recommended	73:23 86:24	134:23 135:9	61:18,22 62:2
31:20 36:18,21	70:17 118:6	143:3	135:16	65:15 80:12,17
39:25 40:6	record 4:19 5:13	refer 9:20 35:16	reflexes 121:6	80:25
42:10,20 44:17	6:1 32:2 37:8	37:7 65:12	refresh 31:1,25	regularity 80:11
51:13 52:2,14	40:11,15 65:3	70:6 147:22	70:12 92:25	regulations 89:6
56:25,25 57:18	70:22 89:19	reference 34:25	128:5,7 130:25	Reid 87:15
59:11,20 60:2	94:12,20 96:13	37:16 94:21	137:23	rel 1:12
64:12 65:4,10	96:18 99:14	95:2 96:17,18	refreshes 128:9	related 9:9
70:15 72:3	100:12,15	98:3 105:19	128:15	10:10 27:24
74:4 76:15,18	101:24 103:22	118:1	refusal 59:12	31:11 36:12
76:23 78:23	116:9 134:8	referral 55:21	90:14	77:12 86:18
80:14 83:1	138:3 139:25	56:4 57:7	refused 63:25	98:3 102:16
90:15 91:9	148:7	59:21	64:1,2 73:16	118:16 129:6
133:15 137:21	records 30:22	referred 17:14	73:20 107:8,10	144:12
137:22 138:9	30:23 31:1	35:19 46:24	regard 9:11	relationship
receive 83:8	32:3 42:17	52:3 55:20	16:9 17:15	7:17 8:19
91:24 146:4	43:8 57:24	56:3,16 57:1	46:3 47:6	95:11
received 19:11	59:4 65:11,12	60:4 66:14,15	50:17 58:24	relative 150:11
20:11 87:14,19	70:13 92:25	103:4 121:9	66:16 73:7	150:12
91:2 123:9	93:5,21,22	referring 9:16	regarding 11:12	release 18:11
	97:8 99:14,19	18:23 19:4,11	11:25 15:14	54:25 55:14,20

56:4,7 57:1	70:13 76:21,22	residency 6:3,24	reviewed 42:17	rule 51:18,24
58:3,7,14,25	76:25 91:22	7:1,4,8	110:12	ruled 52:4
59:13,21,22	92:22 106:10	resides 146:6	Riba 28:19	rumors 18:18
60:5 82:13	132:2,8,24	resolved 116:23	Ribavirin 23:7	18:20 19:4,11
88:6,12,17	137:25 139:24	119:5,5 125:11	28:20,21 29:5	
93:20 127:21	140:3	resolving 120:1	29:6,10,14,24	S
128:4,13,17,20	REMEMBER...	136:20	30:10,16 32:14	S 2:3 3:11
129:20,24	4:1	respect 9:23	105:10 106:16	salt 44:13,15
130:6,13	remembering	10:5 11:15,22	107:10,22	118:6 119:7,8
131:17,21	31:18	14:14 33:25	108:19 140:16	119:12,16,17
132:4,6,15	removed 70:17	35:24 50:3	140:22 142:14	119:19,20
133:16,21,25	Reno 1:19 2:8	57:21 58:8	143:7,9	salty 119:13,20
135:20	4:4 6:4,22 7:6	63:2,16 67:20	right 5:19 37:9	saw 44:22 45:15
released 17:10	150:7,22	72:22 77:7	41:18 71:23	99:2 103:9
55:10 106:22	reoffend 128:22	80:13 83:6	90:8 92:19	108:1 109:10
releases 129:5	repeat 41:18	84:23,25 91:11	98:9,10,20	109:14,19,20
130:10	replaced 134:21	91:23 93:9	100:10 102:14	137:5
relevant 17:21	replacing	142:16 143:23	102:16 110:7	saying 24:9 40:7
83:14	111:12	145:3	118:7 120:10	41:19 48:1
relieve 44:7	report 52:12	respond 135:7	120:23 121:8	51:23 96:16,21
religion 69:9,11	57:7 58:24	144:9	133:5 139:16	98:23 125:15
69:13,16,22	104:21	responding	140:8,10	says 103:13
religious 69:2,9	reported 1:24	124:12	141:19 142:6	104:2 107:15
rely 128:8	124:12	response 84:10	142:10 148:4	111:5,21
147:21	reporter 4:5	105:9 106:19	148:17,18	112:24 113:5
remain 52:15,25	35:10 89:9,18	140:20	ringing 39:18	114:6 117:1
53:6	133:2 150:3,17	responsible 78:1	risk 74:2 119:18	118:3,10 122:2
remaining	reporting	78:1,3,5	120:5 122:10	122:14 123:8
52:21	124:11	responsive	128:22 130:15	125:22 126:6
remember 6:15	represent 94:9	134:18,19	141:7,12,22	127:15,19
11:6,24 12:2	reputation	rest 118:16	142:21	129:13 131:4
12:20 13:5,6	84:23 85:6,16	restart 141:12	risks 104:8	136:11,13
13:18 18:9,10	request 53:6,11	142:23	RMF 71:13 79:6	137:12 142:7
20:13 24:25	53:14 58:6	restrict 20:4	79:7,16 99:8	142:12
25:20 26:3,23	80:9 82:12	restrictions	103:15 118:23	scan 102:17
29:16,18 33:17	134:5	62:24 63:3,4	119:9 122:4	scarred 78:6
34:2 35:3,7	requested 52:14	89:2	136:23 146:4	scarring 43:19
36:3,20,22	52:24 63:24,25	result 36:5	RN 71:4 123:7	school 9:18
37:17 40:3,19	104:1	retaliation	RNs 71:2	science 145:3
40:22 44:23	Requesting	144:8	robbery 56:14	screening 12:6,6
45:13,23 46:7	112:25	returned 27:1,2	56:19	12:12 25:8
52:22,24 53:3	requests 126:3	52:4	roommate	seal 89:8
56:13,17 57:11	133:20	review 5:11,19	136:4	sealed 89:3
57:24 58:5	required 63:11	63:21 64:7	roughly 139:19	second 59:7
59:3,15,17	65:16,17 76:24	99:14 105:2	140:6,7	82:4 89:17
60:7 69:10	requiring 70:14	110:14	RPR 1:24	91:8 97:2

100:12	98:12 108:14	shift 110:2	sister 126:3	sorts 22:2
secondary	122:3 123:12	shifts 138:23	127:18 129:22	118:19 144:25
111:10 118:4	123:18 143:19	short 141:19	132:4	soup 119:16
136:19	144:6,8,11,15	142:20	sit 63:22	source 18:22
secretions 47:23	145:6,15 146:3	shortly 133:7	sites 120:14	130:22 132:19
see 16:12 22:11	146:12,14	show 88:4 98:18	sitting 68:11	132:21
22:13,14,15,17	sentence 16:18	104:19	93:9,10 136:15	sources 19:19
42:17 43:18	17:1,12,20	showed 109:6	situation 75:12	19:24,24
50:7 54:7 67:9	18:1,8,8,9,13	109:24,25	79:18 81:19	spaced 41:19
80:4 89:4	18:16 19:15	110:16,21	82:8	span 130:4
101:7,8,11,17	76:21,24 87:3	116:22	six 4:25 55:4,7	140:6
102:9,12	131:4,10,10	showing 35:5	56:14,19 57:21	spans 110:8
106:24 117:9	sentences 82:24	36:5	59:23,25 60:4	spasms 136:8
117:12 119:23	131:11	shown 97:23	88:9,10,13	special 8:1,3
120:13,15	separate 8:11,16	sic 19:23 107:13	109:1 129:7,8	82:11
121:24 123:3	8:18	sick 103:19	129:9,11,14	specialist 36:3
138:18,19,19	September 1:18	side 106:3	skills 86:16	121:10
138:21 145:16	4:2 136:10	107:24	skin 12:13 31:11	specialty 6:4
148:1	137:6,8 140:7	side-effect 143:7	96:2,12 115:17	146:10
seeing 94:21	150:5,22	side-effects	SKOLNIK 1:13	specific 8:24 9:4
seek 37:12	sequence 62:1	141:1,4,6	sleep 111:7	11:11,24 12:2
seen 90:10	124:22 140:23	147:9	slight 96:3	13:5 18:2 24:2
109:24 135:17	serial 93:24	signature	slip 54:1	24:12 27:18
139:16 140:23	serious 94:25	121:25	slipped 89:12,13	28:25 29:1
145:12	serum 9:2,8,9	signed 87:15	slow 115:24	31:2 34:2 38:1
self-induced	9:19,20 10:9	104:21	125:24 135:10	38:22 42:23
83:17	10:13,18	significance	slowly 136:14	44:11,23 45:16
Senate 87:14	serve 76:24	119:12,13	136:20	45:23 47:4,17
send 81:16 92:3	serves 24:19	significant	slur 83:23 84:8	51:16 52:18
98:6 123:10	Service 87:17	23:12,12,15,19	small 15:1 78:8	53:1 54:16
145:14	serving 16:18	26:16,17 77:12	95:20 115:14	56:12 57:11
sending 56:4	20:12 76:19,20	117:16,25	119:24	59:3,4 64:5,14
58:14 143:22	set 90:19 135:18	119:16 120:6	smoking 43:9	65:24 67:10
Senior 2:12	sets 61:12	128:18 130:14	Snider's 121:25	68:18 70:13
sense 58:18,20	setting 144:2	140:25	society 130:14	72:12 77:19
128:2	145:23	significantly	130:15	105:6 109:15
sensitive 98:18	setup 91:23 95:1	113:8 118:22	sorry 6:20 11:1	145:4
109:8,8 110:22	seven 66:25	signing 148:8	12:4 29:7	specifically 11:7
sensitivities	108:19	signs 54:3,6	34:24 40:4	16:2 24:25
98:18	sew 14:9	144:20	41:18 67:18	25:20 33:16
sensitivity 98:7	sex 84:4,9,16	simple 135:12	71:15,22 79:20	43:12 45:13
98:12	sexual 84:4	simply 20:5	85:22 89:1,17	46:7 50:4
sensory 121:6	131:12	simultaneously	91:12 94:16	56:24 59:17
sent 51:23 55:8	sheer 45:6	40:13	133:14	60:2 69:10
59:11,16,21	Sheets 93:20,21	single 62:21	sort 21:10 41:19	78:25 85:20,24
60:8 91:17	shelf 73:10	86:21	73:13 114:24	95:2 110:21

132:2,25	80:3,5 121:24	stat 123:10,14	structure 17:1	88:12
specifics 70:22	121:25 122:3	state 1:7,12 4:18	17:20	Supreme 125:25
76:22 138:9	143:19,23,25	5:13,25 6:8	students 117:18	126:10,21
specimen 51:23	144:3,8,15,19	7:17,25 8:2,4,7	studies 23:17	sure 4:25 5:3,4
52:3,9	145:14,21,24	8:9,12,20 16:7	36:25	7:19 8:6,15
speculate 45:4	ss 150:1	25:3 28:18	study 103:4	9:4 10:16
speculation	stable 90:6	55:6 69:8 74:4	subacute-type	16:11 18:18
11:21 13:3,17	144:1,20	74:15 106:23	109:17	40:12 44:21
14:15 15:7	145:22 146:12	146:13 150:1,3	subject 27:21	53:19 55:16
16:10,10,22	staff 47:21	stated 84:8 97:4	subjective	60:16 67:1
17:15 18:19	60:13,20,22,24	statement 51:21	103:13,16,17	73:8 88:21
22:7,8,25	61:3,8,10,11	59:12 83:23	103:19 110:25	108:2 130:22
27:10 28:9	61:13,14,23	84:9,11,15,20	112:4 117:3	142:5 145:4
30:3 32:22	62:5,14 71:21	110:25 117:4	118:24 122:16	surface 31:11
33:12 34:1	72:14 80:13	118:25 129:4	136:12,12	surgeon 103:5
36:8 43:2 45:6	87:10 91:8	states 1:6 36:12	submitted 58:24	surgical 115:17
46:15,25 47:16	136:1	87:21 117:24	submitting 13:3	survive 60:4
48:9 51:22	stage 30:8 73:22	statistical 32:25	subscribe 149:7	90:10
52:8 55:24	77:10	statistically	substance 19:8	suspect 96:20
58:8 63:5,17	stages 23:20	144:22	19:10 86:16	suspected 21:8
65:21 66:16	30:6 39:8 77:7	status 86:23	substantive 5:21	26:19 98:10,17
72:24 75:11	staging 83:7	stay 53:11	successful 58:7	109:3
85:3	stance 89:22	stenotype	suffering 66:6	suspicion 21:17
spell 4:18 10:20	stand 93:13,14	150:16,21	sufficient	sustained 105:8
35:9	standard 42:16	steroid 121:13	110:20	106:18
Spelled 75:4	114:12	Stewart 86:20	suggesting	swallow 75:24
spider 22:16	standardized	86:24	131:16 147:25	75:25 76:11
30:19 31:5,8	15:5,20 16:6,9	stick 56:23	suggestion	swell 119:19
31:10 94:21,22	standardized-...	stimuli 54:9	138:13	swelling 44:2
95:4,7,9,13,15	16:15	stood 125:8	suicidal 106:4,7	108:25 118:2
96:3,7,13,14	standards 33:5	stool 124:2,3	Suite 2:7 4:4	119:3,25 120:6
96:15,17,18,21	33:13 65:22	stop 42:7 43:9	150:7	120:9,10
96:23,23,25	67:7	67:14,15 98:20	summaries 56:6	121:16,20
105:15	staph 48:5,7,7	114:5	summarize 16:5	122:6,19,22
spiders 96:10,11	48:10 110:21	stopped 26:25	32:17 79:24	swollen 109:2
96:13 105:13	staphylococcus	141:2	80:19 82:7	111:15 117:22
splenic 43:21	48:11,12 98:15	stores 102:13	85:18 86:12	sworn 4:6,12
122:10	start 33:23	straight 25:24	95:19 102:4	150:8
splenomegaly	102:5 142:19	Street 2:7,13 4:3	145:18	symptomatic
26:17,24 27:2	started 12:10	150:6	Sunday 87:8	73:21
78:8	24:1 94:14	strength 121:6	superficial	symptomatolo...
sporadic 120:12	107:12 108:25	strengths 121:5	31:10	22:2
spots 116:14	122:8	stretch 113:21	supplied 99:20	symptoms 22:19
Springs 54:10	starting 7:14	strike 89:19,24	support 87:12	27:20 44:7
54:17,20 78:21	starts 28:19	140:18 141:16	99:10	51:9 54:3
79:1,4,8,14,21	142:7	stronger 111:23	supported 88:5	108:17 121:18

143:17 syndromes 34:16 syphilis 12:12 system 12:7,11 12:25 13:7 14:11,18 15:8 15:16 23:23 27:8 43:20,21 43:25 44:3 69:20 70:2 85:2 86:2 87:13,18,22 91:24 92:7 122:10 124:6 systems 14:8 69:14 85:9 117:18	48:13 50:3,8 62:9 116:2 142:17 talks 73:2 113:19 tall 93:15 task 135:12 taught 86:20 teach 86:18,24 technically 42:16 55:16 88:19 Telephone 39:18 tell 19:14 64:9 71:18 99:21 103:18 122:17 130:12 148:15 tells 103:18 temperature 124:14 ten 66:25 tend 16:25 54:7 62:18 119:19 144:13 tenure 82:2 87:19 90:24 139:21 term 9:7,10,11 9:19,23,24,25 9:25 10:13 18:8 20:12 24:15 31:5,5 34:6,8,14 35:16,24 37:21 51:18 54:23,25 59:6 62:2 69:20 71:6 76:19,20 77:4 77:8 78:13 95:13 144:25 terminology 9:15 59:8 terms 18:7 25:23 26:11 28:1 54:13	113:12 118:19 121:5 144:1 test 12:13 20:21 20:24 21:18,20 23:6 24:2,11 24:20,22 25:4 25:6,10,11,16 25:21,24 35:5 38:13,15 39:2 39:5 97:22 109:25 tested 22:20 24:22 25:4 38:6 testicles 111:13 testified 4:13 83:6 140:15,19 145:3,7 testify 19:20 150:8 testifying 5:15 19:20 131:22 134:11 testimony 10:6 16:8 57:20,21 71:7 72:21 80:14 88:8,10 91:5,9 94:8,13 95:3,3,12 97:4 130:21,23 132:10 138:16 149:5 testing 21:10 22:3,21,24 25:8 59:7 65:17,19 tests 21:4 121:5 147:7 Thank 29:12 35:12 89:10 95:25 98:2 100:18 101:16 104:5 105:11 105:18,21 106:17 113:14 117:5,14 119:1	124:20 127:11 134:6,14 135:25 137:1 146:15 148:4,6 148:18 therapy 70:11 75:10 thigh 113:6 115:13 117:24 thing 18:20 32:24 54:5 128:25 131:3 132:3 133:6 things 19:4 22:11,12,17 23:10,11 33:11 36:14,15 62:17 80:21 83:14 85:11 86:15 88:3 92:15 111:15 112:1,8 113:18 118:19 118:20 119:20 124:14 140:19 think 10:10 19:18 25:11 26:14 27:4 64:22 78:12 88:9 96:2 117:10 145:10 148:13 thought 11:6 26:20 37:2 40:18 89:17 94:15 124:15 127:23 130:22 131:6 thousands 32:1 70:14 threat 128:18 130:14 three 7:10 52:10 53:12 79:3 93:15,15 106:20 throat 76:1	thrombocytopenia 23:12 time 5:1 6:25 7:7 9:14,16 11:17 12:8 13:9,10,18 14:11 15:23 18:2 20:10,14 20:17,25 24:11 25:8,14 26:5 33:7 39:22 40:23 41:12,22 41:22 42:6,25 44:22 45:3,12 46:16 47:14 50:11,16 51:16 52:3,24 56:9 56:21 57:10,15 57:19 60:8,10 61:7,21 62:1 62:25 66:9,21 66:23 67:3,7 69:5 70:5,8 71:9 72:4,4 73:14 74:2 80:8 86:3,4,19 87:3 88:2 90:24 97:8 100:3,9 101:10 101:12 102:20 102:22 108:2,3 108:15,24 109:5,21 110:4 111:14,25 112:2,21 113:25 114:5,8 115:11 116:5 116:11,18,21 120:13,15,20 121:1,8,23 126:13,14,16 129:16,24,24 130:3 136:5 138:3 139:8,9 141:17 142:20 142:23 143:13
---	--	---	---	---

147:8	transcribed	73:4 84:3 97:5	130:24 135:13	79:12 139:15
timely 141:8	150:18	treatment 11:2	138:10 140:13	two 25:21 35:6
times 4:25 12:2	transcript 40:16	11:5,10,14,19	140:16,22,24	39:11 42:22
53:12 90:10	89:3,8 148:8	11:21 15:6,9	141:1,2,3,5,6,9	71:12 92:7
126:13 135:6	149:5 150:19	15:14,20 16:3	141:12,20,24	108:6,23
137:17 139:9	transcription	16:15,19,22,25	142:1,9,20	112:11,16
139:11,12,13	150:20	17:2,3,21 23:9	143:1,3,14,24	119:10 122:23
timing 94:20	transfer 80:5	23:21 24:4,12	146:4,7,12,23	122:25 132:2
tissue 96:4,5	transferred	25:23,23,24	147:4,8,15,23	143:7 146:9
99:4	79:14	26:2,5,10,11	treatments	type 8:24 9:12
tissues 104:20	transfusions	26:25 27:10,11	101:22 147:9	11:15 31:14,15
Toastmasters	123:1	27:12,22 28:1	treats 10:6	31:21 32:9,9
87:6	transmitted	28:3,12,12,15	tremendous	71:7 92:7
today 5:13 6:7	10:19	28:17,18,23,24	77:9	107:23
6:17 9:20	transpired	30:16 31:20	TREVA 2:7	types 45:7
10:14 15:5	128:6	32:8,11 33:9	trial 45:8	typewriting
27:8 33:7,21	transport	33:21,23,25	trials 27:14,16	150:18
60:2 90:7	146:10	38:11 39:5,13	tried 26:15	typical 107:22
92:20 93:4	transported	40:8 41:12,15	106:11,13	107:25 113:6
95:3 122:15	53:12	41:23 42:3,7	tries 49:19	119:25 120:2
125:23 126:7	travel 146:5	42:24 44:7	trough 110:19	typically 121:21
136:14	treat 18:5 35:5	47:3,7 50:11	true 14:1 91:20	T.V 135:12
toilet 112:6	41:3,11 48:5	50:12,18,24	91:21 141:10	
136:18	54:11,14 75:16	51:4,15 53:8	150:20	U
told 19:5 63:7	83:16,19 114:6	62:5,7,9,12,13	truly 38:11 54:9	ultimate 26:13
67:13,23 76:25	115:7 132:11	62:20,25 63:8	63:9 75:15	ultimately 88:16
82:22 85:20,24	146:6	63:8,11,16,24	89:12 96:15	103:6 132:14
103:21 126:23	treated 25:17	63:25 64:12	141:3	135:2
128:17 129:11	27:7 28:7 29:4	67:25 68:2	trust 94:10	ultra-sensitivity
130:10,11	34:17,22 35:8	73:7,16,21,23	truth 150:8,9,9	52:12
132:3,9	35:14 40:1,5	73:24 74:7,20	truthful 84:21	unable 19:24
Tonja 1:9,10	40:13 41:22	79:16,19 83:7	try 37:3 64:16	unclear 24:9
2:16 91:14	42:10,18,20	83:8 84:6 85:1	126:7	27:15
126:4 127:6,9	43:4 45:15	86:3 90:14,14	trying 44:14	undergo 104:9
132:20 137:21	46:18 51:11	92:21 93:1,5	57:15 123:2	underlying
top 114:19	62:18 70:9	94:7,16,24	135:11	18:15 20:11
topic 11:7 27:12	84:10,16 94:23	97:2 98:16	tube 76:1,3,7	21:16 26:20
97:3	97:10 98:19,22	99:11,16 100:1	125:4,12	37:6 38:25
totally 65:24	99:7 102:20,22	101:25 104:8,9	134:22	39:20 41:9
66:20	109:16 113:25	104:16,21	tuberculosis	76:19,23 82:24
toxic 119:8	141:8	105:3,4,5,6,7,9	12:13	82:24 83:5,20
toxins 78:4	treating 10:4	105:25 106:3,7	turn 85:25	84:1,1 120:16
tract 43:22	17:11 20:14,17	106:11,12,19	turned 90:3	130:7,20 131:2
120:18 143:4	38:15 41:6,8	107:1,8,12,24	twelve 59:23,25	131:19 132:12
training 9:17	67:14,15,21	108:6,18,18	88:11,13	141:7
147:12	70:9 72:9,11	112:10 129:3	Twenty-five	understand

5:16,23 17:9	75:9 79:24	77:6,17 146:25	41:10,24	121:25 122:3
24:10 66:3	102:15 119:7	van 80:6 146:10	viruses 36:15	143:19,22,25
76:13 85:7	119:20 144:25	Vancomycin	visit 127:20	144:2,15,19
96:22 131:25	usual 8:19	99:7 109:15	129:23	145:14,21,24
132:1 134:10	usually 53:23	110:19,22	visited 49:8	Warms 144:8
understanding	55:17 80:3	111:25 114:7	visits 138:24	warnings 67:8
9:7 10:25 11:1	81:15 82:1	115:8,25	139:19,20	Washoe 7:5,6
23:18 28:11	134:4	116:18 143:15	140:4 148:3	150:2
55:3 59:6	utilization 63:21	variables 15:11	vital 73:9	wasn't 124:12
69:21,24,25	64:7,13 105:2	15:13 28:13	144:20	140:11
94:19 131:14	U.S 87:14	49:13 50:9,14	vitals 124:13	waste 75:7
unhappy 56:18		78:11	voice 134:18	watching
United 1:6	V	variceal 122:10	volunteer 86:19	144:12,14
87:21	vacations 137:3	varices 44:6	87:6	way 13:4 32:17
units 53:11	vague 6:10 8:3	78:8	volunteering	39:16 45:10
universal 47:19	8:21 9:3,22	varied 28:24	131:18	47:20 53:24
47:23 49:20	11:4,13,14,20	varies 28:15	vs 1:11	57:13 64:18
University 6:2,4	11:23 12:8,19	variety 112:8	V.A 7:5	75:21 82:21
6:21	13:2,12,15	121:19		83:25 84:5,24
unnamed 15:8,8	14:15 15:9,23	various 77:7	W	85:5 90:23
unrelated 39:11	16:21 17:13,15	147:3,22	waiting 109:22	91:19 95:8,14
39:14,17	20:24,25 22:5	veins 44:5 120:3	walk 101:22	95:16,16
unreliable 45:7	22:23,25 25:6	venous 43:19,25	want 9:7 17:22	114:13 143:2
unresponsive	26:9 27:9	44:3	40:11,14,15,17	144:4
54:9	28:22 29:8,25	verbal 124:13	80:4 85:11	ways 77:15,19
unsure 6:11	30:20 31:16,22	verbatim	92:15 93:23	77:20 144:25
unusual 93:19	32:10,25 33:10	150:16	94:6,6 107:7	wearing 50:13
139:23	34:24 35:23	versus 32:14	112:2 116:7	wears 47:22
upper 102:14,16	36:8 37:15,23	124:5	131:13 133:4,8	week 53:13
UR 105:1	38:7,17 39:7	vessels 120:4	133:9 138:11	86:21 108:16
urgent 17:4	39:22 41:14	violation 89:21	148:7	118:11 138:21
urinalysis 97:22	42:13 44:20	violence 131:12	wanted 79:18	138:24,24
98:11,11	45:21 46:5,16	violent 107:5	80:1,24 81:8	142:13,14
urinary 120:18	46:23 47:10,11	viral 37:21,25	81:17,25 82:12	weekend 110:12
143:4	47:13 48:6,7	38:2,3,5,8,10	89:22 92:7	123:7
urination	49:1,2,10 50:2	38:14,15 39:1	120:15 123:14	weeks 13:20
108:12	51:6,20 52:6	39:13 41:6	127:8	88:19 108:6,23
urine 97:22,23	54:13 55:23	42:3,4 73:23	wants 81:6	112:11 138:25
98:3,5 99:6	58:16,19 60:14	104:22 105:7,9	103:14 104:4,9	weigh 82:5
108:12 109:6,6	60:23 62:6	105:23 106:14	134:3	weighed 82:17
111:23 121:17	63:15 64:2	146:24	warden 60:21	weight 43:9
usage 10:16	65:18 66:12,14	virologic 106:18	60:25 61:1	113:11,12,12
59:9	67:4,7,20 68:1	virus 25:25	Warm 54:10,17	113:13
use 9:19,25,25	68:7 69:5,23	26:13 27:1,13	54:20 78:21	welfare 141:11
34:14 35:16	71:5 72:10,22	27:17 28:1,13	79:1,4,8,14,21	went 52:9
70:18 73:12	73:18,20 74:9	32:13 33:2	80:2,5 121:24	108:14 121:24

138:2 140:10	30:25 31:17,23	7:8 10:24	X	Z
143:25 144:19	31:25 32:12,20	23:11,22 37:19	X 3:2,11	Zollinger
145:21	32:24 33:15	71:10 81:5	XXXXXXX	135:17
weren't 130:22	34:2 35:3,11	102:18 108:2	88:22,22,22	
138:5	35:22 36:10	109:22,23	X-ray 93:21	#
we'll 89:7,7	37:17,25 38:8	123:10,14,16	103:4 121:9	#324 1:24
141:16	38:20 39:9	124:19 138:22		150:24
we're 5:13 17:16	40:18 42:15	138:25	Y	#8821 150:24
21:11 22:6	43:7 44:21	worked 14:3,6,7	yard 83:13	0
28:23 30:1,25	45:23 46:7,17	14:25 71:9	145:22,23,24	00366 115:20
32:12,22 33:25	47:3,17 48:10	79:10 139:14	yeah 68:2 89:21	00367 115:11
38:1,1 44:11	48:20 49:4,12	working 6:19	111:20 131:15	00368 113:24
46:25 47:12	49:18 50:7,24	13:23,24 14:4	139:13 148:12	00372 113:4
50:3 97:1	51:8,22,23	44:19,25	148:17	00375 112:23
124:22 129:1	52:9 54:15	works 136:23	year 5:3 17:21	00377 112:7
129:11 133:4	55:25 57:23	137:2	17:23 24:15,18	00388 110:7
134:8	58:10,20 59:15	world 91:25	26:1 29:15,18	00389 109:20
whatsoever	60:7,16,25	92:4,8	33:16 52:17	00390 99:2
27:20	61:17,25 62:9	World's 87:13	57:18 61:18	108:22
wheelchair	62:16 63:7,19	worse 141:4	87:9 92:7	00391 108:9
136:18	64:5 65:23	worthy 129:2	103:8 104:15	00395-NDOC
white 93:14 98:6	66:20 67:9	wounds 120:14	112:18 129:8,9	104:24
108:3,13	68:3,10,18,24	write 57:7	129:11 130:5	00399 103:2
109:18 110:1	69:24 70:21	103:17 104:6	138:25 139:4	00402 124:21
141:5 143:3	72:12 73:1,23	105:22,24	148:1	00408 122:1
Wiccan 69:12	74:11,22 75:14	107:11 108:6	years 5:3 6:16	00430 137:12
69:16,25	75:20 76:3,10	109:3 113:8	7:10 12:1	00474 142:7
Wiccans 70:6	77:8,19,24	114:13 115:2	14:19,20 16:1	00485 101:6
WILLIAM 2:12	89:6,11,21	119:4 121:19	16:6,13 18:3	00487 101:15
wish 117:17	90:1 100:17,21	122:5 126:8,13	26:1 28:24,25	07 140:7
witness 4:7,11	132:24 133:6	127:17 135:1	29:20 31:17,18	09 140:7
6:11 8:6,15,23	133:12 140:2	135:19 137:22	33:7 42:22	
9:4,24 10:6,8	140:21 145:20	144:15	43:1 52:22	
10:21 11:6,24	146:16 147:1,6	writing 18:3	53:4 60:17	1
12:10,20 13:5	148:17	writings 101:23	61:19 66:8,13	1 3:14 31:14
13:18 14:17,25	witness's 58:9	written 16:1,6	66:25,25,25	32:9,14 33:2
15:10,17,25	71:6	46:8 56:6	79:10,13 81:16	100:17,17,19
16:12,25 17:19	woke 125:3	105:12,25	84:13 85:9,20	122:21 150:19
18:21,25 19:7	word 28:19 62:2	122:8 137:6	86:16,19,22	1/3/2008 117:6
19:18,21 20:5	81:22 94:22	wrong 139:3	87:5,9 102:14	10 57:3,4 87:5,9
20:7 21:1,14	95:3,4,6,10	wrote 88:14	132:2 139:14	108:8 111:25
21:24 22:9	96:13,21	110:23 111:11	144:12,14,23	10-hour 138:23
23:2 24:1,9,25	142:25	112:9 115:23	145:1,12	10:00 4:2 150:6
25:9,19 26:11	words 9:17	117:7 125:10	yesterday 90:7	100 2:13 3:14
27:11 28:11,24	84:15	126:20	127:18	107 110:16
29:13 30:4,13	work 6:25 7:3,5		young 35:4	11 108:23

112:17	24:1 87:18	124:11,25	4/16/2007 101:3
11th 137:6	1988 12:25	125:6,17,22	40 138:19 139:7
11/15/2007	13:11 14:1,23	127:12 129:25	45 64:23
108:10	1990s 24:19	134:15 135:17	
110 2:7 4:4		136:2,10 137:6	5
150:7	2	137:8	5 108:1
12th 112:19	2 1:18 31:15	2011 1:18 4:2	50 139:1,9,12,13
124:11	32:9,15 33:1	149:8 150:5,23	144:23
12/10/2007	105:23	21 113:9,11	
112:3	2nd 4:1 150:5	121:15	6
12/12/07 112:23	2'07 107:13	22 107:11	6 111:21
12/19/2007	20 57:5,6 86:16	23 118:21	
113:15	86:19,22	120:17	7
12/20/2007	120:22 127:12	24 134:15	7 109:19
113:19	20th 129:25	245 2:7 4:3	72 136:21
12/24/2007	142:11,22	150:6	78 3:6
113:24	200,000 139:17	25 18:3 79:13	
12/26/2'07	140:4	84:13 123:6	8
115:11	2000 33:7	135:16 144:12	8th 104:18
12/27/2007	2000s 29:16	144:14 145:12	8,000 139:4,14
115:20	2002 87:23	26 97:18,25 99:1	148:1
12/31 117:2	2005 52:17,19	108:22	8,930,000
12/31/07 116:15	60:11 62:25	27th 103:9	105:23
13 124:25	120:25	28th 104:6	8/11/2009 124:8
125:17	2006 52:21		8/20 133:6
13th 150:22	2007 32:7 42:22	3	8/25/09 101:7
14th 118:9	45:17 52:2	3 110:10 119:6	80s 44:25
140 42:16	97:18 99:1	122:13 126:13	89 13:14
144230 1:25	100:24 101:2,3	136:10	89501 2:8
146 3:7	102:12 103:9,9	3-plus 122:20	89701-4717 2:13
15 87:5,9	104:18,25	3:37 148:21	
15th 98:17	105:9,17	30 148:11,16	9
107:12 108:21	107:11,14,15	30th 103:2	9 117:15
150 150:20	108:1 110:10	120:8	9th 117:20,20
16 102:12	111:5,21	31 136:2	122:1
16th 100:24	112:19,19	329-5800 2:8	9/20/2009
160 138:24	113:5 142:6,11	387 110:8	137:11
17th 113:4	142:19,22	391 98:4	9/3/09 101:11
18th 137:8	2008 117:15,21		90 42:16
19 125:22	118:9,21	4	90s 25:10,12,22
19th 119:23	119:23 120:8	4 3:5 105:17	26:14 27:5,6
1984 6:3	120:17,22	122:21 139:9	93 25:12
1987 6:4,19,23	2009 42:22	142:4,6,19	
7:15 11:3,7,10	60:11 63:1	4th 104:25	
11:11 12:11,18	121:15 122:1	111:4	
12:21 13:23	122:13 123:6	4/16/07 102:7	

