

SJR7

Dear Legislators,

Nevada abortion is governed by NRS 442.250 -442.253, which was highly modified only 2 years ago, of which many of you are aware as you were listed on SB179 as sponsors and co-sponsors. Seeking yet another major modification during this current legislative session indicates that SB179 was a faulty product to begin with which makes this current bill proposal a waste of precious legislative time and indicative of a lack of due diligence in regards SB179.

- Recommendation is made for the striking of the word “individual” to be replaced with the word “adult”. The change in verbiage would clarify that children are not to make, or be assisted, in obtaining any medical procedure without parental consent.
- Recommendation is made for the change of the verbiage “informed consent” to become “informed written consent”. Verbal informed consent is not proof of fact and this does not adequately protect the physician or the adult requesting said medical procedure. Informed written consent removes the potential for confusion by either party.
- This bill attempts to limit treatment for “survival of the fetus outside the uterus without the application of extraordinary medical measures” without detailing what would, and would not, be considered extraordinary medical measures. This is extremely vague verbiage, which requires clarification to be effectual.

Please OPPOSE SJR7. Nevada had more than adequate and sufficient laws already in place; there is no justification for this additional language.

Sincerely,
Reva Crump, MS