

To: [SenLOE@sen.state.nv.us](mailto:SenLOE@sen.state.nv.us)  
From: Mark Gilmour  
3-23-23

Please vote against SJR7.

SJR7 is poorly conceived, poorly written, and will keep Nevada Courts occupied for a decade deciding what it really means and turn Nevada into an abortion industrial complex preying on Mothers and the unborn without adequate protection of either Mother or baby.

The current proposal is one-sided, vague and mischievous. It is an over-reach and misreads the will of all of the people.

Sec. 25(2) does not permit any restriction on abortion at any stage of pregnancy. It does not define when an abortion is medically indicated or what professional training is required for an attending provider of healthcare. Sec. 25(6) rules out any current or future compelling State interest to protect the rights of the unborn child or the Father at any time of the pregnancy. Sec. 25 (6)(b) does not define “extraordinary measures.”

Fifty years of a one-size fits all Roe v. Wade Court decision, and the 1990 State referendum hamstring States and legislatures from learning from the latest science (e.g., what we learn from ultrasounds and the true medical point of viability of a baby). The science of life is changing.

*The majority of Nevadans support abortion protections to some degree.* Consider: The Review Journal reported: “Nevadans’ attitudes toward abortion are complex, said UNLV politics associate professor Dan Lee, but the majority do support abortion protections to some degree. The level of support starts to decrease by trimester, with fewer Nevadans supporting abortion in the third trimester of pregnancy, he said.” And, per a nationwide survey, 1. 49% of Democrats favor restrictions on abortion at some stage and 78% overall want restrictions on gestational periods, and 2. A majority of Americans do not want their tax dollars spent to pay for a woman’s abortion, and 3. 63% oppose mail-order drugs to induce abortions without a RX from a certified health provider. See slides 2, 6, and 7 of a respected January 2022 Marist poll.\*

*For this reason, abortion should not be enshrined and protected in a Constitution or referendum without clear guidelines allowing protection for the unborn baby (e.g., at viability, or at the point of feeling pain or development of a heartbeat) and allowing full consideration of the rights of the unborn baby vs. the rights of the Mother in a thoughtful way at appropriate stages. Only a statute can allow citizens to make those judgments over time, and repeal, amend, or adjust those rules over time, as necessary.*

Many Nevadans do not know we have non-existent parental notification laws and few restrictions on abortion. We are waking up. Don’t make Nevada an abortion tourism destination. Vote NO on SRJ7.

\*[\\*https://www.kofc.org/en/resources/communications/marist-polling-slide-deck2022.pdf](https://www.kofc.org/en/resources/communications/marist-polling-slide-deck2022.pdf)