



February 28, 2023

Assemblywoman Lesley Cohen, Chair  
Natural Resources Committee  
Nevada State Assembly  
401 S. Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701

**RE: Testimony of NRDC in Support of AB162, as Amended**

Dear Assemblywoman Cohen and Members of the Assembly Natural Resources Committee:

I write to submit the following testimony on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and our 2,560 members in the state of Nevada in strong support of AB162, a bill to prohibit non-agricultural uses of bee-killing neonicotinoid insecticides, or “neonics.”

The science is now unequivocal that neonics are a lead cause of dramatic losses of bees and other pollinators, which cut into farmers’ bottom lines and threaten the viability of our food systems and ecosystems. Equally concerning is the profound scope and depth of the threat that neonic pollution poses to the state’s broader environment and its people. Scientific evidence links widespread neonic contamination to mass losses of birds and fish, the hollowing out of ecosystems, and birth defects and death in white-tailed deer. Alarming, neonics are showing up in people’s bodies—including over 95% of pregnant women—with research also linking neonics to neurological and developmental disorders in people, including malformations of the developing heart and brain.

While federal actors have failed to address what many hail as a neonic-driven “Second Silent Spring,” this committee has the power to take action. As amended, AB162 would prohibit harmful and unnecessary non-agricultural uses of neonics. We urge the committee to advance the bill at its earliest opportunity, allowing the state to join others—including the European Union, and other states, like New Jersey and Maine, by enacting sensible restrictions on these harmful chemicals. We offer the following testimony in support of this action.

**Neonics Are Toxic, Persistent, and All Around**

Neonics are neurotoxic pesticides that kill insects by permanently binding to, overstimulating, and ultimately destroying their nerve cells.<sup>1</sup> Insects poisoned with neonics often exhibit twitching, followed by paralysis and then death.<sup>2</sup> There are three factors that make neonics especially problematic for the environment and public health.

First, neonics are extremely toxic to insects and other invertebrates. Just one square foot of lawn treated with a neonic product at EPA-approved rates can contain enough neonic to kill over one million bees.<sup>3</sup> And even at miniscule, non-lethal doses, neonics weaken critical functions, such as an insect’s immune system, navigational ability, stamina, memory, and fertility—making it

harder or impossible for them to survive.<sup>4</sup> Recent research has shown that a single exposure to a neonic can reduce population growth rates for multiple generations.<sup>5</sup>

Second, neonics are exceptionally good at contaminating the entire environment. Unlike older, conventional insecticides, neonics designed to be “systemic,” meaning they are absorbed by plant tissues in order to make the plant itself—including its nectar, pollen, and fruit—toxic. But much of the pesticides remain in the soil, where they are easily carried considerable distances by rain or irrigation water to contaminate new soil, the plants in that soil (as they absorb the chemicals and also become toxic), and water supplies.<sup>6</sup>

Third, neonics are the most widely used insecticides in the United States. The chemicals are approved for use on over a hundred crops, but are also very common in lawn, garden, and other turf and ornamental applications. The five major neonic chemicals approved for outdoor use—acetamiprid, clothianidin, dinotefuran, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam—appear in more than a thousand products.

Because neonics build up in areas of year-after-year use<sup>7</sup> and spread out with each rainfall or watering, their extensive and continual use means that there are large portions of the country where neonic contamination of soil, water, and plant life is virtually ubiquitous.

### **Neonics Drive Pollinator Losses, Threatening Farmers’ Bottom Lines and Food Security**

Pollinators are critical to agricultural production. Yet, since the mid-2000s—when annual losses of honey bee colonies skyrocketed nationwide—Nevada beekeepers have lost up to 65% of their honey bee colonies annually.<sup>8</sup> While total bee colony levels remain steady due to the considerable, expensive, and potentially unsustainable efforts of beekeepers to breed and replace lost colonies, the same is not true for disappearing populations of the state’s 1000+ wild bee species and other pollinators.

Among all the stressors affecting bees, only the dramatic uptick in the use of neonicotinoid pesticides in the mid-2000s matches the dramatic uptick in bee losses witnessed at precisely that time.<sup>9</sup> Since that time, a large and growing body of research confirms neonics are a leading cause of bee and other pollinator declines, including several comprehensive global literature reviews<sup>10</sup> and the largest neonic field study to date—actually funded by the pesticide industry itself.<sup>11</sup> In 2020, Cornell University published its own review of over 1,100 studies finding substantial harms from a broad variety of neonic uses, including non-agricultural turf and ornamental uses.<sup>12</sup>

Other research identifies how these neonic-driven bee losses are already harming farmers. A recent study estimates that inadequate pollinator populations are reducing production of fruits, vegetables, and nuts by 3-5% worldwide. Reduced production of these health foods is, in turn, leading to an estimated 427,000 additional preventable deaths annually.<sup>13</sup> And these deaths are disproportionately in wealthier countries like the United States, where reduced access to healthy foods is more likely to shift people’s diets to cheaper, unhealthy alternatives.

Similarly, a major 2020 pollination study shows that many top fruit crops are “pollinator limited” across the nation, meaning that a lack of bees (including wild bees) and other pollinators is

currently lowering crop yields.<sup>14</sup> Aside from the immediate economic impacts to farmers, Dr. Winfree—a leading pollinator researcher and one of the study’s authors—spoke about the long-term implications of the study’s findings for food security:

Honeybee colonies are weaker than they used to be and wild bees are declining, probably by a lot. . . . Even if honeybees were healthy, it’s risky to rely so much on a single bee species. It’s predictable that parasites will target the one species we have in these monocultural crop fields.

The trends we are seeing now are setting us up for food security problems. . . . We aren’t yet in a complete crisis now but the trends aren’t going in the right direction. Our study shows this isn’t a problem for 10 or 20 years from now – it’s happening right now.<sup>15</sup>

Accordingly, the current impact to farmers’ bottom lines and the cost and availability of fresh, healthy foods—both of which likely disproportionately harm already vulnerable and disadvantaged communities—will likely worsen given current trends.

Beyond pollination, neonics harm other beneficial insects essential for farming—such as nematodes,<sup>16</sup> earthworms,<sup>17</sup> and pest predators<sup>18</sup>—and can disrupt other key components of soil health. Pest predators are especially at risk from eating contaminated insects, as the harmful neonic levels can remain in insect prey,<sup>19</sup> leading to decreased yields as the beneficial predator populations die out.<sup>20</sup> Research also shows that neonics may harm soil health directly by changing the composition of soil microbial communities—harming beneficial bacteria crucial for plant growth and health and soil fertility and quality.<sup>21</sup>

### **Neonics Drive Losses of Birds and Other Pollinators and Hollow Out Ecosystems**

Like bees, populations of other beneficial insects across the globe have rapidly declined in the time since neonics were first introduced—a trend sometimes likened to an “insect apocalypse”—and new research is increasingly identifying neonics as a leading cause.<sup>22</sup> For example, recent studies have connected neonic use with significant declines in butterflies,<sup>23</sup> as well as harms to monarch butterflies,<sup>24</sup> which can encounter harmful or deadly levels of neonics in farm fields or nearby wild plants that can absorb neonics and stay toxic for years. Contaminated soil itself may also pose a hazard to populations of ground-dwelling insects and organisms.<sup>25</sup>

As losses of insects multiply, insect-eating animals suffer too. Birds appear particularly vulnerable—96% of land-based birds feed insects to their young, with many species also relying on insect food sources as adults.<sup>26</sup> In North America, 30% of birds have disappeared in the past fifty years,<sup>27</sup> with research linking neonics to large losses in bird biodiversity, including annual losses of up to 12% in grassland species and 5% in insect-eating species.<sup>28</sup> Likewise in Europe, Dutch researchers have linked declining populations of insect-eating birds to the introduction of neonics—even in areas with exceptionally low neonic levels (20 parts per *trillion* in water)<sup>29</sup>—and the pesticides are also believed to play a key role in declines of French farmland birds.<sup>30</sup>

Neonics harm birds directly, too. Eating just one neonic-treated crop seed is enough to kill some songbirds.<sup>31</sup> And at nonlethal doses, neonics can damage birds’ immune and reproductive systems, cause rapid weight loss, and impair navigation and migration ability—all reducing the

likelihood of their surviving and reproducing in the wild.<sup>32</sup> With hundreds of millions of acres of U.S. farmland sown with neonic-treated seeds every year, birds are broadly at risk—particularly when, as commonly occurs, piles of seed are left out in the open or planted shallowly enough for birds to eat.<sup>33</sup>

Neonics are also devastating for aquatic ecosystems because they are highly toxic to aquatic invertebrates that fish and other species rely on for food. One study in Japan found that the introduction of imidacloprid—a neonic commonly used on lawns and gardens—to the area surrounding a longstanding fishery caused the collapse of that fishery in just one year.<sup>34</sup>

Researchers found that after neonics contaminated the water, plankton populations plummeted, along with the valuable fish species that fed on that plankton.

Similar effects may be felt across America. Surveys by the U.S. Geological Survey have detected neonics in about half of stream samples nationwide,<sup>35</sup> and state sampling often detects neonics even more frequently.<sup>36</sup>

### **Neonics Threaten Nevadans’ Health, Especially Children**

Neonicotinoids are chemically similar to nicotine, attacking nerve sites that insects and humans share, and which play a central role in the operations of our brain and nervous systems.<sup>37</sup> More specifically, critical parts of the brain are populated with nerve cells containing the particular nicotinic acetylcholine (nACh) receptor area targeted by neonics (the  $\alpha 4\beta 2$  subunit of the receptor), including: the cortex (responsible for planning, judgment, creativity, inhibition, attention, memory, language); the thalamus (emotion, memory); and the cerebellum (posture, balance, coordination, speech).<sup>38</sup>

Health experts have long been concerned about the impact of nicotine-like substances on the developing brain—a reason physicians warn pregnant women to avoid nicotine.<sup>39</sup> Perhaps unsurprisingly, then, a growing body of research now links neonic exposures to elevated risk of developmental or neurological damage in humans, particularly in infants and young children.<sup>40</sup> These include malformations of the developing heart and brain, autism-like symptoms, and a cluster of symptoms including memory loss and tremors.<sup>41</sup> Rodent studies show an even broader range of risks with implications for human health, including: multiple birth defects and increased rates of death for the fawns of white-tailed deer fed “field realistic” (i.e., “real world”) levels of neonics in water;<sup>42</sup> reduced thyroid functioning in deer,<sup>43</sup> and in toxicology experiments with pregnant rats exposed to neonics resulted in offspring with statistically significant deficits such as thinner brain cortexes and other brain abnormalities, altered behavioral reflexes, and decreased sperm and testosterone levels.<sup>44</sup>

These data likely raise concerns for all Nevadans. In 2019, the CDC published the updated results from data collected from 2015-16 from its national biomonitoring program, which measures pesticides in the urine of thousands of Americans age three and older.<sup>45</sup> The update was the first to include neonics, and the results show that roughly half of the U.S. general population is exposed to neonics on a regular basis, with children having higher levels than adults.<sup>46</sup>

More recent data suggests neonics exposures have gotten considerably worse in recent years. A 2022 multistate study of 171 pregnant women found that over 95% of these women had traces of neonics in their bodies.<sup>47</sup> Detection levels generally exceeded previous CDC findings and, alarmingly, detection also steadily increased over the course of the four-year study period (2017-2020)—both in frequency and in magnitude—with the highest levels in Hispanic women.

Recent research shows that pregnant women exposed to neonics will pass the pesticides to the fetus through the placental circulation, along with oxygen and critical nutrients, and then to all the fetal tissues including the developing brain and nervous system.<sup>48</sup> Previously, Japanese researchers had identified neonics in the urine of newborn babies, further supporting the idea that neonics pass from a pregnant mother to her developing fetus.<sup>49</sup>

People are commonly exposed to neonics through food and water.<sup>50</sup> Conventional chlorination alone, without carbon filtration treatment, generally fails to remove neonics from drinking water.<sup>51</sup> More concerning still, neonics break down in water, forming chemicals that can be several hundred times more toxic to people than the original neonic chemical, which then may be made more toxic still through the chlorination process.<sup>52</sup>



*Emerging research links neonic exposures to elevated risk of developmental and neurological damage in humans, particularly in infants and young children.*

### **Nevada’s Legislature Must Act to Protect Nevada’s People and Pollinators**

The European Union has already banned outdoor use of three major neonic chemicals, while France has banned all five. The U.S. EPA, however, has proposed permitting continued widespread use.<sup>53</sup> Therefore, it’s up to the states to take action. Just last year, New Jersey and Maine became the first states to prohibit most non-agricultural uses of neonics.<sup>54</sup> The California legislature passed a similar bill last year, and legislatures in New York, Minnesota, Connecticut, and other states are currently considering similar restrictions. With more damage done every day and no help in sight, Nevada’s legislature must act.

### **AB162 Targets High-Risk, Low Benefit Uses of Neonics**

This bill, as amended, would prohibit outdoor, non-agricultural uses of neonics that pose significant risks to pollinators and contribute to neonic contamination in urban and suburban areas where people live, work, and play. Non-agricultural uses, like those on lawns and gardens, are frequently approved at rates that far exceed those in agriculture, meaning these areas are intensely contaminated. In its 2020 human health risk assessment, EPA found that certain lawn uses of neonics especially pose risks to children.

These non-agricultural neonic uses are also unnecessary. Because of neonics’ systemic mode of action, they are nearly always applied preventatively before there is any evidence of a pest problem. As a result, neonics are often used where no insecticide is needed. But even where pest

control is desirable, there are numerous organic and minimum risk products available to control pests, as well as cultural practices that effectively reduce pest populations.

In sum, AB 162 is a carefully tailored bill that prohibits neonic uses that are both harmful and unnecessary—a win-win for pollinators, pollinator-dependent farmers, and all Nevadans that value clean water and a healthy environment. **For these reasons, NRDC strongly supports this bill.**

Respectfully,



Lucas Rhoads  
Attorney, Pollinator Initiative  
Natural Resources Defense Council

---

<sup>1</sup> National Pesticide Information Center, “Imidacloprid: Technical Fact Sheet,” <https://bit.ly/2QEblaW> (accessed December 2, 2019).

<sup>2</sup> Larry P. Sheets, “Imidacloprid: A Neonicotinoid Insecticide,” in *Hayes’ Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, 2010), 2055-2064, <https://bit.ly/2IBYN6o>.

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., European Food Safety Authority, *Conclusion on the Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment for Bees for the Active Substance Thiamethoxam*, March 14, 2013, p. 9, <https://bit.ly/2IR7Xfo> (listing the acute oral honeybee “LD50”—the dose of imidacloprid expected to kill half a population of exposed honeybees when ingested—as 0.005 µg per bee). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter EPA), “Amended Label to Increase Soybean Rates + Supplemental Label for Soybean Cruiser® Insecticide,” amended and approved February 23, 2009, <https://bit.ly/2kGCgW3> (allowing up to 1.25 mg of thiamethoxam per corn seed). EPA, “Registration for Imidacloprid (NTN 33893),” March 10, 1994, p. 7, <https://bit.ly/2K36Bbl> (listing the honeybee LD50 as 0.0039 µg per bee). EPA, pesticide label for Gaucho 600 Flowable, p. 5, <https://bit.ly/34FL8x2> (allowing up to 1.34 mg of imidacloprid per corn seed).

<sup>4</sup> Pisa, “Update of the WIA Part 2.” Daniel Kenna et al., “Pesticide Exposure Affects Flight Dynamics and Reduces Flight Endurance in Bumblebees,” *Ecology and Evolution* 9, no. 10 (May 2019): 5637-5650, <https://bit.ly/2XAQpDm>.

<sup>5</sup> Stuligross and Williams, *Past insecticide exposure reduces bee reproduction and population growth rate* (Nov. 2021) <https://bit.ly/34cQwMU>.

<sup>6</sup> See *id.*

<sup>7</sup> Margaret R. Douglas and John F. Tooker, “Large-Scale Deployment of Seed Treatments Has Driven Rapid Increase in Use of Neonicotinoid Insecticides and Preemptive Pest Management in U.S. Field Crops,” *Environmental Science Technology* 49, no. 8 (March 20, 2015): 5088-5097, <https://bit.ly/35i3Z14>. Michelle Hladik and Dana Kolpin, “First National-Scale Reconnaissance of Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Streams Across the USA,” *Environmental Chemistry* 13, no. 1 (August 18, 2015): 12-20, <https://bit.ly/31Mse6o>. Thomas Wood and Dave Goulson, “The Environmental Risks of Neonicotinoid Pesticides: A Review of the Evidence Post 2013,” *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* 24, no. 21 (June 2017): 17285–17325, <https://bit.ly/2Hpn8T5>.

<sup>8</sup> See Bee Informed Partnership, Colony Loss Map, <https://bit.ly/2HpheoW>, and select “Annual” under the “Season” menu.

<sup>9</sup> See *id.*; DiBartolomeis et al. 2019.

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., Harry Siviter et al., *Field-Realistic Neonicotinoid Exposure has Sub-Lethal Effects on Non-Apis Bees: A Meta-Analysis*, *Ecology Letters* (Sept. 6, 2021), <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13873>; Lennard Pisa et al., *An Update of the Worldwide Integrated Assessment (WIA) on Systemic Insecticides. Part 2: Impacts on Organisms and Ecosystems*, *Envtl. Sci. Pollution Research Int’l* (Nov. 9, 2017), <https://bit.ly/2HqqHwB>; David Goulson, *REVIEW: An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides*, *J Appl Ecol*, 50: 977-987. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12111>; Wood & Goulson, *The environmental risks of neonicotinoid pesticides: a review of the evidence post 2013*, *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24, 17285–17325 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9240-x>.

<sup>11</sup> Daniel Cressey, *Largest Ever Study of Controversial Pesticides Finds Harm to Bees* (June 29, 2017), <https://go.nature.com/3t8asHW>; Ben A. Woodcock et al., *Country-specific Effects of Neonicotinoid Pesticides on Honeybees and Wild Bees*, *356 Science* 6345, 1393-1395 (Jun. 30, 2017), <https://politi.co/2HrEnDl>; Ben A. Woodcock et al., *Impacts of Neonicotinoid Use on Long-Term Population Changes in Wild Bees in England*, *7 Nature Communications* 12459 (Aug. 16, 2016), <https://go.nature.com/2EU6Xho>. See also, e.g., Thomas Wood & Dave Goulson, *The Environmental Risks of Neonicotinoid Pesticides: A Review of the Evidence Post 2013*, *Envtl. Sci. Pollution Research Int’l*, 24(21): 17285–17325 (Jun. 7, 2017), <https://bit.ly/2Hpn8T5>.

<sup>12</sup> Travis A. Grout et al., *Neonicotinoid Insecticides in New York State*, Cornell University (June 23, 202), <https://bit.ly/2XIFIZA> [hereinafter “Cornell Report”].

<sup>13</sup> Damian Carrington, *Global Pollinator Losses Causing 500,000 Early Deaths a Year – Study*, *The Guardian* (Jan. 9, 2023), <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2023/jan/09/global-pollinator-losses-causing-500000-early-deaths-a-year-study>.

<sup>14</sup> J.R. Reilly et al., *Crop Production in the USA is Frequently Limited By a Lack of Pollinators*, *Proc. R. Soc. B* 287 (July 29, 2020), <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0922>.



- <sup>15</sup> Oliver Milman, *Loss of Bees Causes Shortage of Key Food Crops, Study Finds*, The Guardian (July 29, 2020), <https://bit.ly/3uJFJBd>.
- <sup>16</sup> BR Bradford, E Whidden, ED Gervasio, PM Checchi, KM Raley-Susman. *Neonicotinoid-containing Insecticide Disruption of Growth, Locomotion, and Fertility in Caenorhabditis Elegans*. PLoS One. 2020 Sep 9;15(9):e0238637. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238637.
- <sup>17</sup> Kai Wang, Sen Pang, Xiyun Mu, Suzhen Qi, Dongzhi Li, Feng Cui, Chengju Wang, *Biological Response of Earthworm, Eisenia Fetida, to Five Neonicotinoid Insecticides*, 132 Chemosphere 120-126 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.03.002>.
- <sup>18</sup> Sara LaJeunesse, *Insecticides Foster 'Toxic' Slugs, Reduce Crop Yields*, Penn State News (Dec. 2, 2014), [https://bit.ly/3fL\\_Gzt4](https://bit.ly/3fL_Gzt4).
- <sup>19</sup> Kendra Klein & Anna Lappé, *America's Agriculture Is 48 Times More Toxic Than 25 Years Ago. Blame Neonics*, The Guardian (Aug. 7, 2019), <https://bit.ly/3sTg7kW>.
- <sup>20</sup> Margaret Douglas et al., *Neonicotinoid Insecticide Travels Through a Soil Food Chain, Disrupting Biological Control of Non-Target Pests and Decreasing Soya Bean Yield*, Journal of Applied Ecology (Dec. 4, 2014), <https://bit.ly/2iRr4MF>.
- <sup>21</sup> Mona Parizadeh et al., *Effects of Neonicotinoid Seed Treatments on Phyllosphere and Soil Bacterial Communities Over Time*, Research Square (Sep. 17, 2020), <https://bit.ly/3sYtfVX>.
- <sup>22</sup> Brooke Jarvis, "The Insect Apocalypse Is Here," *New York Times Magazine*, November 27, 2018, <https://nyti.ms/2Aq0jMX>. DiBartolomeis, "Assessment of Acute Insecticide Toxicity Loading." Stephen Leahy, "Insect 'Apocalypse' in U.S. Driven by 50x Increase in Toxic Pesticides," *National Geographic*, August 6, 2019, <https://on.natgeo.com/32WNUXv>. Pisa, "Update of the WIA Part 2."
- <sup>23</sup> Matthew L. Forister et al., "Increasing Neonicotinoid Use and the Declining Butterfly Fauna of Lowland California," *Biology Letters* 12, no. 8 (August 1, 2016), <https://bit.ly/2XrSVM9>. Andre Gillburn et al., "Are Neonicotinoid Insecticides Driving Declines of Widespread Butterflies?" *PeerJ* 3, e1402 (November 24, 2015), <https://bit.ly/1IGvH0y>. Penelope R. Whitehorn et al., "Larval Exposure to the Neonicotinoid Imidacloprid Impacts Adult Size in the Farmland Butterfly Pieris Brassicae," *PeerJ* 6, e4772 (May 18, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2raBzY8>. Jacob Pecenka and Jonathan Lundgren, "Non-target Effects of Clothianidin on Monarch Butterflies," *The Science of Nature* 102, no. 3-4 (April 2015), <https://bit.ly/2O043g4>. Kate Basley and Dave Goulson, "Effects of Field-Relevant Concentrations of Clothianidin on Larval Development of the Butterfly *Polyommatus icarus* (Lepidoptera, Lycaenidae)," *Environmental Science and Technology* 52, no. 7 (April 3, 2018): 3990-3996, <https://bit.ly/2OpIgxq>.
- <sup>24</sup> Samantha M. Knight et al., *Experimental Field Evidence Shows Milkweed Contaminated with a Common Neonicotinoid Decreases Larval Survival of Monarch Butterflies*
- <sup>25</sup> Pisa, "Update of the Worldwide Assessment Part 2." D. Susan Willis Chan et al., "Assessment of Risk to Hoary Squash Bees (*Peponapis pruinosa*) and Other Ground-Nesting Bees from Systemic Insecticides in Agricultural Soil," *Scientific Reports* 9, no. 11870 (August 14, 2019), <https://go.nature.com/2RSxvGW>.
- <sup>26</sup> Anne Raver, "To Feed the Birds, First Feed the Bugs," *New York Times*, March 6, 2008, <https://nyti.ms/2WnMKbj>. Douglas W. Tallamy, *Bringing Nature Home: How Native Plants Sustain Wildlife in Our Gardens* (Portland, OR: Timber Press, 2007). "Insect-Eating Birds Consume 400–500 Million Metric Tons of Prey Annually," *Science News*, July 10, 2018, <https://bit.ly/2khHYO5>.
- <sup>27</sup> See Kenneth V. Rosenberg et al., "Decline of the North American Avifauna," *Science*, September 19, 2019, <https://bit.ly/2kvsV3o>. See also John Fitzpatrick & Peter Marra, *The Crisis for Birds Is a Crisis for Us All*, *New York Times* (Sep. 19, 2019), <https://nyti.ms/2kTTmc>
- <sup>28</sup> Yijia Li et al., *Neonicotinoids and Decline in Bird Biodiversity in the United States*, Nat. Sustain. (Aug. 10, 2020), <https://go.nature.com/3C6m9TS>; Stephen Leahy, *Huge Decline in Songbirds Linked to Common Insecticide*, Nat. Geo. (Sep. 12, 2019), <https://on.natgeo.com/2mpTQy1>; R. L. Stanton et al., "Analysis of Trends and Agricultural Drivers of Farmland Bird Declines in North America: A Review," *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 254 (Feb. 2018): 244-254, <https://bit.ly/2ko5JE0>.
- <sup>29</sup> Caspar A. Hallmann et al., "Declines in Insectivorous Birds Are Associated With High Neonicotinoid Concentrations," *Nature*, July 17, 2014, <https://go.nature.com/2pBJayo>; Jason Bittel, "Second Silent Spring? Bird Declines Linked to Popular Pesticides," *National Geographic*, July 9, 2014, <https://on.natgeo.com/2QCbPhV>.
- <sup>30</sup> Laurianne Geffroy, *Where Have all the Farmland Birds Gone?*, CNRS News (Mar. 21, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2GcNCL4>.
- <sup>31</sup> Pierre Mineau and Cynthia Palmer, *The Impact of the Nation's Most Widely Used Insecticides on Birds*, American Bird Conservancy, March 2013, p. 3, <https://bit.ly/1jmQ7u0>.
- <sup>32</sup> Ana Lopez-Antia et al., "Imidacloprid-Treated Seed Ingestion Has Lethal Effect on Adult Partridges and Reduces Both Breeding Investment and Offspring Immunity," *Environmental Research* 136 (January 2015): 97-107, <https://bit.ly/2kwUdWS>. Margaret L. Eng et al., "A Neonicotinoid Insecticide Reduces Fueling and Delays Migration in Songbirds," *Science* 365, no. 6458 (September 2019): 1177-1180, <https://bit.ly/2kGS1MA>. Margaret L. Eng, Bridget J. M. Stutchbury, and Christy A. Morrissey, "Imidacloprid and Chlorpyrifos Insecticides Impair Migratory Ability in a Seed-Eating Songbird," *Scientific Reports* 7, (November 2017), <https://go.nature.com/2QEWHA6>.
- <sup>33</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture, "Corn Acres: United States," <https://bit.ly/2kwMecn> (accessed December 2, 2019). USDA, "Soybean Acres: United States," <https://bit.ly/2lynS2m> (accessed December 2, 2019). Charlotte Roy et al., "Neonicotinoids on the Landscape: Evaluating Avian Exposure to Treated Seeds in Agricultural Landscapes," Minnesota Department of Natural Resources & Wildlife Restoration, <https://bit.ly/337ENZK> (accessed December 2, 2019) (documenting exposed neonic-treated seed in 25 percent of 48 fields sampled, and reporting that ring-necked pheasants, Canada geese, American crows, various species of sparrows, and blackbirds, as well as white-tailed deer, rodents, rabbits, and raccoons, were all observed eating the seeds). Ana Lopez-Antia et al., "Risk Assessment of Pesticide Seed Treatment for Farmland Birds Using Refined Field Data," *Journal of Applied Ecology* 53, no. 5 (October 2016): 1373-1381, <https://bit.ly/2m0ZSEf>.
- <sup>34</sup> Masumi Yamamuro et al., *Neonicotinoids Disrupt Aquatic Food Webs and Decrease Fishery Yields*, *Science* (Nov. 1, 2019), <https://bit.ly/34rKCSG>.
- <sup>35</sup> Michelle Hladik & Dana Kolpin, First National-Scale Reconnaissance of Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Streams Across the USA, *Environmental Chemistry* 13, 12-20 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN15061>.
- <sup>36</sup> See, e.g., Xin Deng, Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Report (2021), <https://bit.ly/3vLpR4r> (neonics were detected in 97% of samples in agricultural areas of southern California)
- <sup>37</sup> See Julie M. Miwa et al., *Neural Systems Governed by Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors: Emerging Hypotheses*, *Neuron*. (Apr. 14, 2011), <https://bit.ly/3k7cgiv>.
- <sup>38</sup> Jennifer Sass, *Neonic Pesticides: Potential Risks to Brain and Sperm*, NRDC (Jan. 6, 2021), <https://on.nrdc.org/3k8NUFb>.
- <sup>39</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>40</sup> *Id.*; Andria Cimino et al., *Effects of Neonicotinoid Pesticide Exposure on Human Health: A Systematic Review*, *Environmental Health Perspectives* (Feb. 12, 2017), <https://bit.ly/3tCsnYI>.
- <sup>41</sup> *Ibid.*

- 
- <sup>42</sup> E. H. Berheim et al., “Effects of Neonicotinoid Insecticides on Physiology and Reproductive Characteristics of Captive Female and Fawn White-Tailed Deer,” *Scientific Reports* 9, no. 1 (March 14, 2019): 4534, <https://go.nature.com/2Q119ZF>.
- <sup>43</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>44</sup> See, e.g., Berheim et al. 2019; Emre Yagmur Arican et al., *Reproductive Effects of Subchronic Exposure to Acetamiprid in Male Rats*, *Scientific Reports* (June 2, 2020), <https://go.nature.com/3hqAVN4>; Lonare et al, *Evaluation of Ameliorative Effect of Curcumin on Imidacloprid-Induced Male Reproductive Toxicity in Wistar Rats* (Oct. 31, 2016), <https://bit.ly/3Elsahs>; Essam M. Hafez, *The Neonicotinoid Insecticide Imidacloprid: A Male Reproductive System Toxicity Inducer-Human and Experimental Study*, *Toxicology Open Access* (Feb. 18, 2016), <https://bit.ly/3C8faJR>.
- <sup>45</sup> Maria Ospina et al., *Exposure to Neonicotinoid Insecticides in the U.S. General Population: Data from the 2015-2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey*, *Environmental Resources* (Sept. 2019), <https://bit.ly/3luO35i>.
- <sup>46</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>47</sup> Jessie Buckley et al., *Exposure to Contemporary and Emerging Chemicals in Commerce among Pregnant Women in the United States: The Environmental influences on Child Health Outcome (ECHO) Program*, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 56(10), 6560-6579 (2022), <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.1c08942>.
- <sup>48</sup> Zhang H, Bai X, Zhang T, Song S, Zhu H, Lu S, Kannan K, Sun H. Neonicotinoid Insecticides and Their Metabolites Can Pass through the Human Placenta Unimpeded. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2022 Dec 6;56(23):17143-17152. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.2c06091. Available online <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36441562/>.
- <sup>49</sup> Go Ichikawa et al., “LC-ESI/MS/MS Analysis of Neonicotinoids in Urine of Very Low Birth Weight Infants at Birth,” *PLoS One* 14, no. 7 (July 1, 2019), <https://bit.ly/32XvmvP>.
- <sup>50</sup> See, e.g., Olga Naidenko, “Neonic Pesticides: Banned in Europe, Common on U.S. Produce, Lethal to Bees,” *Environmental Working Group*, July 26, 2018, <https://bit.ly/2EejbSx>; Friends of the Earth, “Toxic Secret: Pesticides Uncovered in Store Brand Cereal, Beans, Produce,” <http://bit.ly/2IIE26V> (accessed May 31, 2019); Tamanna Sultana et al., “Neonicotinoid Pesticides in Drinking Water in Agricultural Regions in Southern Ontario, Canada,” *Chemosphere* 202 (July 2018): 506-513, <http://bit.ly/2JZawXI>; Kathryn L. Klarich et al., “Occurrence of Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Finished Drinking Water and Fate During Drinking Water Treatment,” *Environmental Science and Technology Letters* 4 (April 2017): 168-173, <https://bit.ly/2PMRunk>.
- <sup>51</sup> See Klarich et al. 2017.
- <sup>52</sup> See *id.*; Kathryn L. Klarich Wong et al., “Chlorinated Byproducts of Neonicotinoids and Their Metabolites: An Unrecognized Human Exposure Potential?” *Environmental Science and Technology Letters* 6, no. 2 (January 2019): 98-105, <https://bit.ly/2sZnydm>; Jennifer Sass, *Neonic Pesticide May Become More Toxic in Tap Water*, NRDC (Feb. 4, 2019), <https://on.nrdc.org/3z9XE68>.
- <sup>53</sup> Lucas Rhoads, NRDC, *EPA Finds Neonics Are Risky Business—but Plans to Approve Anyway* (Jan. 31, 2020), <https://on.nrdc.org/2xJZzV6>.
- <sup>54</sup> Daniel Raichel, NRDC, *World Advances to Save Bees—EPA Stalls* (Sep. 14, 2018), <https://on.nrdc.org/2X59Opp>.