Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

Tony Guillen, D.D.S President



Rick B. Thiriot, D.D.S. Secretary-Treasurer

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. • Las Vegas, NV 89118 • (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

April 27, 2006

Legislative Counsel Bureau Marilyn White Assistant to the Director 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-9800

Dear Director of the Legislative Counsel:

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 622.100, enclosed is our disciplinary report for the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners. This report is for the quarter ending April 20, 2006. I have listed the disciplinary action against the following licensees below. Enclosed for your convenience are copies of the stipulation agreements.

<u>License #</u>	Name	<u>Disciplinary Action Date</u>
3781	Liem Vu, DDS	January 24, 2006
2357	Duff Kaster, DDS	January 24, 2006
4368	Tri Nguyen, DDS	January 24, 2006
3710	Young K Dill, DMD	March 23, 2006

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (702) 486-7044 ext. 23.

Sincerely

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

Cc: John A. Hunt, Esq., Board Legal Counsel
Department of Health & Human Services

File

	BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA
	TEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS, Complainant, STIPULATION Respondent
RALEIGH, HUNT & ARRY, P.C. 112 GARCES AVGAUE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 380-4842	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between LIEM VU, D.D.S. Hereinaster "Respondent"), and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS nereinaster "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. STEPHEN SILL, D.M.D., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & GGARRY, P.C. as follows On March 25, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Phawn Payton. On or about April 13, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board 2 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Stephen Sill, D.M.D., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986), and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS (33B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Phawn Payton in Fiolation of NRS 631.3475(3 Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 6
	W

v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits the treatment rendered to Phawn Payton was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1). 3 4 4. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. Stephen Sill, D.M.D., and the admission contained in paragraph three (3) the 5 parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and 6 7 conditions 8 Respondent dental practice shall be monitored for a period of two (2) years a. from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the two (2) years, Respondent 9 shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect and observe 10 Respondent's dental practice without notice during normal business hours to insure Respondent's compliance with the following: i. Respondent agrees to use a biologic monitor for sterilization 12 approved by either this Disciplinary Screening Officer or the Executive Director. 13 Respondent agrees to post written infection control guidelines and 11 4 polices approved by either this Disciplinary Screening Officer or the Executive Director of the Board at a location in Respondent's office 15 which is accessible to all employees. 16 b In the event the Executive Director receives substantial evidence Respondent is not using a biologic monitor for sterilization approved by either this 7 Disciplinary Screening Officer or the Executive Director or that Respondent is not posting written infection control guidelines and polices approved by 18 either this Disciplinary Screening Officer or the Executive Director of the Board at a location in Respondent's office which is accessible to all 19 employees, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the 20 Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any 21 Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent violations of either paragraph 4(a)(i) or 4(a)(ii). Thereafter 22 Respondent may request a full Board hearing to reinstate his license to practice 23 dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive 24 relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended. 25 26 Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Ms. Phawn C. Payton, the amount of ONE PRINTERY Dollars (\$ 11000). 27 28

to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty 2 (\$750.00) Dollars. The reimbursement amount set forth in this paragraph shall be delivered to the Board office within seven (7) days after execution of the 3 Stipulation by Respondent. The payment will be deposited by the Board upon receipt. If this Stipulation is not adopted, the Board will issue a check in the 4 amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars to Respondent. 5 In the event Respondent fails to deliver to the Board the payment as required e. by Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further 6 action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's 7 Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent fails 8 to deliver payment required by Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payment set forth in Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d) by 9 delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment 10 in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating 1 Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right 12 to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry 13 in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to comply with Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's 14 fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license 5 is automatically suspended. 16 f. In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to 17 judgment. 18 Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph g. 4(c) or 4(d) discharged in bankruptcy. 19 20 **CONSENT** 21 5 Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with 22 them in their entirety. 23 6 Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable 24 due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B 25 Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding 26 whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing. 27 28

Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and

1

d.

	Respondent acknowledges he has read the Stipulation. Respondent acknowledges
2	he has been advised he has the right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and he
3	has had ample opportunity to seek independent counsel. Respondent has been specifically informed
4	he should seek independent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in Respondent's best
5	interest. Having been advised of his right to independent counsel, as well as had the opportunity to
6	seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges, by his own free will, he is consenting
7	to the Stipulation without independent counsel.
8	9. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without
9	coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.
10	10. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained
1	in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the
12	Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
13	Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire
14	agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
.5	modified, in writing, with Board approval
16	Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any
.7	and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions
18	contained in the Stipulation
19	This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood
20	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected
21	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become
22	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this
23	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become
24	a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank
25	
26	
27	

U	DATED this 22 day of Nov., 2005.
2	1 surla
3	
4	LIEM VU, D.D.S. Respondent
5	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 12 day of December, 2005.
6	Dato Appointment Expt 04-21-2006
7	NOTARY PUBLIC CONTINUE OF THE
8	INOTALT TOBLIS
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
10	Jan No 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
11	Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. NSTEPHEN SIEC, D.M.D., D.S.O. Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal
12	Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. Board Counsel Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal Rearing Officer
13	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board of
4	Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting.
15	DATED this 25day of
16	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
18	Rate Light mast
19	TONY GUILLEND.D.S. President
20	President
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	SAEilson HowpSI\DENTALIVU\Riipulation wpd
28	LV_
	LV Page 5 of 5

	517	TIE OF NETADA
	BEFORE THE BOARD O	F DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA
	EVADA STATE BOARD OF ENTAL EXAMINERS,) Case No. 05-1258
	Complainant,	
-V8) <u>FORTH AMENDED</u>) <u>STIPULATION</u>
DC	JFF W. KASTER, D.D.S)
	Respondent.)
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	AND AGREED between DUFF W. KASTER, D.D.S.,
(he	creinaster "Respondent"), in Proper Pe	erson, and the NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL
EX	AMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by a	nd through its counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law
fin	m of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARR	Y, P.C. as follows:
	On May 9, 1997, at a prope	erly noticed meeting, Respondent entered into a Stipulation
wi	th the Nevada State Board of Dental E	examiners.
	2. On June 1, 2000, at a prope	erly noticed meeting, Respondent entered into an Amended
Sti	pulation with the Nevada State Board	of Dental Examiners.
	3 On June 14, 2001, at a pro	perly noticed meeting, Respondent entered into the Second
Ar	mended Stipulation with the Nevada S	tate Board of Dental Examiners
	4. On November 15, 2002 a	t a properly noticed meeting, Respondent entered into the
Th	ird Amended Stipulation with the New	vada State Board of Dental Examiners. See Exhibit "1"
	5 Since the Board adopted the	Third Amended Stipulation, Respondent has been in full
co	mpliance.	
	6 On August 1, 2005, Resp	pondent requested the Board place his license on inactive
	atus.	
•	7. In consideration for gra	nting Respondent's request to have his license to practice
de	entistry in the State of Nevada placed o	n inactive status, Respondent acknowledges and agrees to
3 /		
$\frac{1}{D}$	<u>OU</u> WK	

1	the following:		
2		a .	The Third Amended Stipulation was adopted by the Board on November 15, 2002. Currently, the Third Amended Stipulation
3			would expire on November 15, 2007, assuming Respondent is in compliance upon the expiration of the Third Amended Stipulation.
4			Respondent agrees in the event he requests that his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada be reinstated to active status,
5			Respondent agrees as a condition of reinstatement he would have to comply with the provisions paragraph 24 of the Third Amended
6			Stipulation for an additional three (3) years upon the Board reinstating Respondent license to active status.
8		b.	Respondent agrees upon adoption of this Fourth Amended Stipulation to reimburse the Board the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars for the preparation and monitoring this Fourth Amended Stipulation.
9			In the event Respondent fails to pay the agreed upon amount, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of
10 11			Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Upon payment of any default, Respondent's license to
12			practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated.
13			
14			CONSENT
15	8.	Respondent ha	as read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with
16	them in their	entirety.	
17	9.	Respondent is	s aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable
18	due process ri	ghts contained	in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B
19	10.	Respondent e	expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding
20	whether or no	ot to adopt this	Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board
21	hearing.		
22	11	Respondent a	cknowledges he has read the Stipulation. Respondent acknowledges
23	he has been a	dvised he has t	he right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and he
24	has had ampl	e opportunity to	o seek independent counsel. Respondent has been specifically
25	informed he	should seek ind	ependent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in
26	Respondent's	best interest.	Having been advised of his right to independent counsel, as well as
27	having had th	e opportunity t	o seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges, by his
28	N/		

1	own free will, he is consenting to the Stipulation without independent counsel.
2	12. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without
3	coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will
4	Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained
5	in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the
6	Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
7	14. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire
8	agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
9	modified, in writing, with Board approval
10	Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives
11	any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the
12	provisions contained in the Stipulation.
13	16. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood
14	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected
5	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become
16	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this
7	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and this
18	Stipulation shall become a public record. Respondent acknowledges and agrees of this Stipulation
19	shall be made available for public inspection and copying. Respondent acknowledges and agrees
20	copies of this Stipulation may be disseminated by the Board to the public, or any licensing board
21	or any agency which is investigating Respondent, including but not limited to any law enforcement
22	agency Respondent agrees and acknowledges this Stipulation shall be reported to the National
23	Practitioners Data Bank.
24	DATED this 8 day of Dec., 2005
25	(A) White
26	Jely Mark
27	Respondent
28	

سمي	_
1	this day of 100% to 2005. My Commission Because 31, 2006 August 31, 2006
!	10H) A. HUNT, ESQUIRE Raleigh, Hunt & McGurry, P.C. Board Counsel DENNIS J. ARCH, D.D.S. Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal Hearing Officer
1	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board
1:	DATED this 25 day of 1005.
1	200 in Dungues
1	President —
	8 9
2	!
2	3
:	5 When Humbinithntaliscasterporthansed step
:	26 27 28
•	DWK Page 4 of 4

900/900

BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

2 3

> 4 5 6

7

9

10

8

1 12

13

Lee Office

I.12 GARCES AVENUE
SUITE 200
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 19101
(702) 346-4842 14 15 16

17

19 20

18

21 22

23 24

26

25

27

28

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,)	
Complainant,)	CASE NO.: 05-1212 STIPULATION
TRI M. NGUYEN, D.D.S. Respondent)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between TRI M. NGUYEN, D.D.S., (hereinaster "Respondent"), and the NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, LARRY FRUGOLI, D.M.D., and Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ. of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows.

1 On October 15, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Mr. Chester Patterson. On November 17, 2004, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board

Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Larry Frugoli, D.M.D., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986). and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Chester Patterson in violation of NRS 631.3475(1)

3. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986);, and see Minton



28

v. Board of Medical Examiners, 10 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits the treatment rendered to Chester Patterson was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1)

- Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, Larry Frugoli, D.M.D., and the admission contained in paragraph three (3) the parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and conditions
 - a. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(d), Respondent's dental practice shall be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year probationary period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect Respondent's records during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year probationary period, Respondent's endodontic patient charts and records will be subject to review. Such review shall include, but will not be limited to, personally observing the treatment rendered by Respondent as well as contacting patients who have received endodontic treatment. During the probationary period Respondent shall have available and will maintain pre and post operative x-rays on all endodontic patients. In the event Respondent does not actively practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. The probationary period shall be tolled for the period of inactive practice.
 - b. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(k), in addition to completing the required continuing education, Respondent shall obtain a total of fourteen (14) additional hours in supplemental education. The supplemental educational must be received in the area of endodontics. All supplemental education must be completed within twelve (12) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within twelve(12) months, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada will be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 4(b). Respondent shall also be

2			responsible for any costs or attorneys' fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
3		C.	Pursuant to NRS 631.350(l), Respondent shall reimburse to a dentist of Mr.
4			Patterson's choosing, Two Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$2,700.00). The amount shall be made payable to a dentist of Mr. Patterson's choosing
5			and delivered to the Board within seven (7) days of Respondent executing this Stipulation. The payment will not be delivered to Mr. Patterson unless this Stipulation is adopted by the Board. If this Stipulation is not adopted by
			the Board, the payment shall be returned to Respondent.
7		đ.	Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty
8			(\$750.00) Dollars. The reimbursement amount set forth in this paragraph
9			shall be delivered to the Board office within seven (7) days after execution of the Stipulation by Respondent. The payment will be deposited by the Board upon receipt. If this Stipulation is not adopted, the Board will issue
10			a check in the amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars to Respondent.
11		_	•
12		e.	In the event Respondent fails to deliver to the Board the payment as required by Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further
13			action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's
14			Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent fails
15			to deliver payment required by Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payment set forth in Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d) by
16			the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment. Upon receipt of
17			payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada
18			without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada
19			to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to
20			comply with Paragraph 4(c) or 4(d). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorneys' fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek
21			injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
22		f.	In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five
23		••	(45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.
24		g.	Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph
25		ъ,	4(c) or 4(d) discharged in bankruptcy.
26	\mathcal{U}		
27			_ 1
28			TMN

Page 3 of 6

1		CONSENT
2	5	Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with
3	them in their o	entirety.
4	6 .	Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable
5	due process ri	ghts contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B
6	7.	Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding
7	whether or no	ot to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board
8	hearing	
9	8	Respondent acknowledges he has read the Stipulation. Respondent acknowledges
10	he has been a	dvised he has the right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and he
11	has had ample	opportunity to seek independent counsel. Respondent has been specifically informed
12	he should see	k independent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in Respondent's
13	best interest	Having been advised of his right to independent counsel, as well as had the
14	opportunity to	seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges, by his own free
15	will, he is con	senting to the Stipulation without independent counsel
16	9 .	Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without
17	coercion or di	uress and in the exercise of his own free will
18	10.	Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained
19	in this Stipula	tion have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the
20	Nevada State	Board of Dental Examiners.
21	11.	Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire
22	agreement be	tween Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
23	modified, in v	writing, with Board approval.
24	12 .	Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives
25	any and all ri	ghts to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the
26	provisions co	entained in the Stipulation
27		~ ~1 ∫
28		TMN

	This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood
2	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected
3	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become
4	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this
5	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case. Respondent
6	acknowledges and agrees of this Stipulation shall be made available to the public for inspection and
7	copying. Respondent acknowledges and agrees copies of this Stipulation may be disseminated by
8	the Board to the public, or any licensing board or any agency which is investigating Respondent,
9	including but not limited to any law enforcement agency. Respondent agrees and acknowledges this
0	Stipulation shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.
1	
12	DATED this 19 day of November, 2005
13	
14	TRIM NOUVEN D.D.S.
15	Respondent
16	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this of day of NOVEW 2005. MICHAEL VO COMM. #1463471 MI
17	Notary Public-California ORANGE COUNTY My Comm. Exp. Jan 17, 2908
18	NOTARY PUBLIC
19	
20	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
21	John I'M June The Start The
22	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C LARRY FRUGOVI, D.M.D., D.S.O. Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal
23	Board Counsel Hearing Officer
24	<i>111</i>
25	HI
26	471
27	TMN
28	TMN

FROM:

FAX NO. :

Oct. 24 2004 06:24PM P3

01/25/2006 14:35 FAX 702 386 5990 RALEIGE HUNT McGARRY DRI

007/007

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting. DATED this day of
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	S:\\ii\lean.H\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
27	TM N
28	TMN

Page 6 of 6

BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,)	
Complainant,)	IO.: 05-1216 LATION
V8.	{	
YOUNG K. DILL, D.D.S.,	· ·	
Respondent.	'	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between YOUNG K. DILL, D.D.S. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his counsel of record, RANDA REIFF SHEA, ESQUIRE, and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board"), by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, VERNON LAMBORN, D.D.S., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows:

- 1 On May 6, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Jo-Ann Duggan. On May 16, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board
- 2. On July 27, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Caroline Springer. On August 1, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board.
- Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Vernon Lamborn, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) & NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Jo-Ann Duggan in

RRS

MAR 2 9 2006

Yale.

. 14

violation of NRS 631.3475(1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 4. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) & NRS 631.350(1), Respondent admits, but not for any other purpose, including any subsequent civil action, the treatment rendered to Jo-Ann Duggan was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1)
- Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, Vernon Lamborn, D.D.S., and the admissions contained in paragraph 4, the parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and conditions
 - Pursuant to NRS 631.350(d)(h), Respondent shall be placed on probation and a his dental practice shall be supervised for a period of eighteen (18) months from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the eighteen (18) month probationary period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect Respondent's records during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation. During the eighteen (18) month probationary period, Respondent's practice shall be monitored regarding those patients who receive care and treatment for dental implants. Such monitoring shall include, but will not be limited to, personally observing the treatment rendered to patients who will receive dental implants after Respondent complies with the provisions of paragraph 5(b) and 5(c) of this Stipulation. Respondent further acknowledges the Disciplinary Screening Officer and or an agent appointed by the Executive Director may contact patient(s) who have received dental implants in the event the agent assigned believes there is substantial evidence the treatment received was below the standard of care. During the eighteen (18) months probationary period Respondent shall maintain a list of patients who receive dental implants for inspection during normal business hours. In the event Respondent does not actively practice dentistry in the State of Nevada, the probationary period shall be tolled for the period of inactive practice.
 - Pursuant to N.R.S. 631.350(1)(f), Respondent, upon adoption of this Stipulation shall not provide dental treatment(s) to patients requiring implants until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 5(c) of this Stipulation. Upon completion of the supplemental education set forth in paragraph 5(c), Respondent shall request in writing to the Executive Director of the Board permission to resume treatment of patients requiring dental implants. Upon receiving written permission from the Executive Director, Respondent may commence treating patients requiring dental implants pursuant to all the terms and conditions set forth in this

RRS

MAR 2 9 2006 YKD

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Stipulation.

- Pursuant to NRS 631.350(k), in addition to completing the required continuing C. education, Respondent shall obtain a total of forty-eight (48) additional hours in supplemental education. The forty-eight (48) hours of supplemental education must be received in the area of implants. All supplemental education must be completed within eighteen (18) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to attendance. Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. Upon completion of the supplemental education, Respondent may request permission from the Executive Director to reinstate his privileges to treat patients requiring dental implants as set forth in paragraph 5(a) and 5(b). In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within eighteen (18) months, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 5(c). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
- d. Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars. This amount shall be deposited with the Board within seven (7) days of execution of this Stipulation. If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the amount of Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars will be returned in full to Respondent.
- Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Ms. Duggan in e. the amount of Nine Thousand Seven Hundred (\$9,700.00) Dollars, plus forgive an alleged outstanding balance of Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$4,500.00) owed by Ms. Duggan. Within seven (7) days of execution of this agreement Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check in the amount of Nine Thousand Seven Hundred (\$9,700.00) Dollars made payable to Ms. Jo-Ann Duggan. This check will only be delivered to Ms. Duggan in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation. In the event the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the check will be returned to Respondent. Further Ms. Duggan will not receive the check until such time as she delivers the dentures to the Board. Thereafter the dentures shall be maintained at the Board for a period of five (5) years. At the end of the five (5) year period the dentures shall be release to Respondent. N.S.B.D.E.

MAR 2 9 2006

Page 4 of 7

- Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, Randa Reiff Shea Esquire, who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent
- Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, withou 11. coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.
- Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained 12. in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire 13. agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified, in writing, with Board approval.
- Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any 14. and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- Respondent and the Board agree that neither party shall be deemed the drafter of this Stipulation and, in the event this Stipulation is construed by a court of law or equity, such court shall not construe this Stipulation or any provision hereof against either party as the drafter of the Stipulation. The parties hereby acknowledge that both parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation. The parties acknowledge and agree that this Stipulation is the joint effort of each of the parties and that in the event of any dispute regarding the construction of any terms herein, it shall not be construed strictly in favor or against either party.
- Respondent specifically acknowledges by his signature herein and his initials at the 16. bottom of each page of this Stipulation, he has read and understands its terms and acknowledges tha he has signed and initialed of his own free will and without undue influence, coercion, duress, a lintimidation.
- Respondent acknowledges in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation, th 17. Stipulation may be considered in any future Board proceeding(s) or judicial review, whether s 7 judicial review is preformed by either the State or Federal District Court(s).

1

13

14

2	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected		
	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become		
	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this		
	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become		
	a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.		
	DATED this frag day of February, 2006.		
8	1 1 1 1 1 1		
9	Muspu		
10	Respondent		
11	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me		
12	this stanks sylva Marianne sylva		
13	Motory Public - State of British Appointment Research & C. T. British		
4	NOTARY PUBLIC O		
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT		
16			
17	JØHN A. HUNT, ESQUIKE		
18	Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C Board Counsel		
40	APPROYED AS TO FORM CONTENT		
20	11/11/11		
21			
22	Patton, Shea & Kiraly		
23	Attorney for Respondent		
24			
25	N.S.B.D.E.		
26	MAR 2 9 2006		
27	V/1		
28	TRS YKD		

Page 6 of 7

YKD

This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood

7	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board		
2	of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting.		
3			
4	DATED this 24 day of WARCH, 2006.		
5	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF THE		
6	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS		
7	TONY GUILLEN D.D.S.		
8	President O		
9	S:\Bilecn.Hwp51\DENTAL\DILL\INF HEARIN(HPLEADINGS\Stipulation.wpd		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	N.S.B.D.E.		
26	MAR 2 9 2006		
27			
28	RRS VED		
	Page 7 of 7		