# **Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners**

Tony Guillen, D.D.S. President



Rick B. Thiriot, D.D.S. Secretary-Treasurer

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. 1 • Las Vegas, NV 89118 • (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046 July 26, 2006

Legislative Counsel Bureau Marilyn White Assistant to the Director 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-9800

Dear Director of the Legislative Counsel:

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 622.100, enclosed is our disciplinary report for the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners. This report is for the quarter ending July 20, 2006. I have listed the disciplinary action against the following licensees below. Enclosed for your convenience are copies of the stipulation agreements.

<u>License #</u>	Name	<u>Disciplinary Action Date</u>
3047	Farah Divanbeigi, DDS	May 18, 2006
4317	John Hastings, DDS	May 18, 2006
4413	Jodi Koford, DDS	May 18, 2006
1054	P Tom Grossman, DDS	June 26, 2006

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (702) 486-7044, ext. 23.

Sincerely,

Debra Shaffer, Deputy Executive Director Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

Cc: John A. Hunt, Esq., Board Legal Counsel
Department of Health & Human Services

File

# Law OR RALEIGH, HUNT & INCGARRY, P.C. 113 GARCES AVENUE

(702) 386-4842

### STATE OF NEVADA

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,	)	
Complainant,	)	CASE NO.: 05-1202 STIPULATION II
FARAH DIVANBEIGI, D.D.S.	}	
Respondent.	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between FARAH DIVANBEIGI, D.D.S. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through her counsel, ROBERT ZARO, ESQ., and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. STEPHEN SILL, D.M.D., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows

- 1 On June 11, 2004, Respondent entered into a Stipulation with the Board, wherein Respondent admitted and agreed, with advice of counsel, to the following pertinent provisions:
  - a. Respondent admitted she unknowingly violated NRS 631.3475(3) and NAC 631.155(3) when Respondent failed to inform the Board of disciplinary actions taken by the state of California.
  - b Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(e), as a result of Respondent admitting she failed to inform the Board of disciplinary actions taken by the state of California, Respondent agreed to a public reprimand.
  - c Respondent agreed in the event there was a subsequent violation of NRS 631.3745(3) or NAC 631.155(3) that such a violation would be considered a willful violation and deemed unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS 631.3485(1).
  - d Respondent agreed to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,850.00). The reimbursement was not considered to be a fine and was not reported to the National Practitioner's Data Bank.

RZ

N.S.B.D.E.



- 2. On December 27, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Claudia Pulido. On February 11, 2005 and June 21, 2005, Respondent was forwarded a letter by certified mail advising Respondent pursuant to NAC 631.250, failure to answer within the time prescribed creates a rebuttal that the party admits generally to the allegations of the complaint On August 11, 2005, Respondent filed an answer which consisted of producing the complainants' records without rendering a factual response to the complaint.
- 3 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. Stephen Sill, D.M.D., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in *State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels*, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986) and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 10 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Claudia Pulido in violation of NRS 631.3475(
- 4. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent stipulates the rendered treatment to Claudia Pulido was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 63 3475(
- 5 Based upon the prior Stipulation and the admission contained in paragraph 4, Respondent agrees to the following terms and conditions
  - Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(d)(h), Respondent shall be placed on probation a. and her dental practice shall be supervised for a period of one (1) year from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year probationary period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect Respondent's records during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year probationary period, Respondent's practice shall be monitored regarding those patients who received endodontic or fixed prosthetic care and treatment(s). Such monitoring shall include, but will not be limited to, personally observing the treatment rendered to those patients who receive endodontic or fixed prosthetic care and treatment. Respondent shall not perform either endodontic or fixed prosthetic treatment(s) until after Respondent complies with the provisions of paragraph 5(b) or 5(c) and 5(d) of this Stipulation. Respondent further acknowledges the Disciplinary Screening Officer and or an agent appointed by the Executive

MAY **3 0** 2006 FD

28

Director may contact patient(s) who have received either endodontic or fixed prosthetic care and treatment(s) in the event the agent assigned believes there is substantial evidence the treatment received was below the standard of care. During the one (1) year probationary period Respondent shall:

- Maintain a separate list of any patients who receive either endodontic or fixed prosthetic treatment(s). The list shall be made available for inspection during normal business hours.
- 2. For those patient(s) receiving endodontic treatment(s), Respondent must take pre operative and post operative x-rays which must be made available for inspection during normal business hours.
- 3. For those patient(s) receiving fixed prosthetic treatment(s), the impressions and/or models of such treatment must be kept and made available for inspection during normal business hours.
- Pursuant to N.R.S. 631.350(1)(f), Respondent, upon adoption of this b. Stipulation, shall not provide endodontic treatment(s) to patients until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 5(d)(2) of this Stipulation. Upon completion of the supplemental education set forth in paragraph 5(d)(2), Respondent may request in writing to the Executive Director of the Board permission to resume treatment for patients requiring endodontic treatment(s). Upon receiving written permission from the Executive Director, Respondent may commence treating patients requiring endodontic treatment(s) pursuant to all the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to monitor Respondent's dental practice without notice during normal business hours to insure Respondent does not perform any endodontic treatment(s) until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 5(d)(2). In the event the Executive Director receives substantial evidence Respondent has performed endodontic treatment(s) prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 5(d)(2), Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent rendering endodontic treatments prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 5(d)(2). Thereafter, Respondent may request a full Board hearing to reinstate her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

N.S.B.D.E.

MAY 3 0 2006

**L.D.** 

C. Pursuant to N.R.S. 631.350(1)(f), Respondent, upon adoption of this Stipulation shall not provide fixed prosthetic treatment(s) to patients until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 5(d)(3) of this Stipulation. Upon completion of the supplemental education set forth in paragraph 5(d)(3), Respondent may request in writing to the Executive Director of the Board permission to resume treatment of patients requiring fixed prosthetics. Upon receiving written permission from the Executive Director, Respondent may commence treating patients requiring fixed prosthetics pursuant to all the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to monitor Respondent's dental practice without notice during normal business hours to insure Respondent does not perform any fixed prosthetic treatment(s) until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 5(d)(3). In the event the Executive Director receives substantial evidence Respondent has performed fixed prosthetic treatment(s) prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 5(d)(3), Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent rendering fixed prosthetic treatments prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 5(d)(3). Thereafter, Respondent may request a full Board hearing to reinstate her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

d. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(k), in addition to completing the required continuing education, Respondent shall obtain a total of fifty (50) additional hours of supplemental education in the following areas:

Ten (10) hours regarding dental ethics;

- 2. Twenty (20) hours regarding endodontics requiring hands-on clinical demonstration; and
- 3 Twenty (20) hours regarding fixed prosthetics requiring hands-on clinical demonstration.

All supplemental education must be completed within twelve (12) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to attendance. Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education, the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent.

12Z

27

28

N.S.B.D.E. MAY 3 0 2006 FD FD

In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within twelve (12) months of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of all the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 5(d). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

- e. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(c), Respondent agrees pay a fine to the Board in the amount of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars. This amount shall be deposited with the Board within seven (7) days of execution of this Stipulation. If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the amount of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars will be returned in full to Respondent.
- f. Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of fourteen thousand (\$14,000.00) dollars. This amount shall be deposited with the Board within seven (7) days of execution of this Stipulation. If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the amount of fourteen thousand (\$14,000.00) dollars will be returned in full to Respondent.
- Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Ms. Pulido in the amount of two thousand four hundred and eighty-eight (\$2,488.00) Dollars. Within seven (7) days of execution of this agreement, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check in the amount of two thousand four hundred and eighty-eight (\$2,488.00) Dollars made payable to Ms. Claudia Pulido. This check will only be delivered to Ms. Pulido in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation. In the event the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the check will be returned to Respondent.
- h. In the event Respondent fails to make any of the payments set forth pursuant to either paragraph 5(e), or 5(f) or 5(g), Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five (\$25.00) Dollars for each day Respondent is in default of any of the payments required by either paragraph 5(e), or 5(f) or 5(g). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in Paragraphs 5(e), or 5(f) or 5(g) by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek

28

injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraphs 5(e), or 5(f) or 5(g). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.

- Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraphs 5(e), or 5(f) or 5(g) discharged in bankruptcy.
- k. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(k), Respondent shall, within ninety (90) days of the Stipulation being adopted by the Board, retake the jurisprudence examination (See N.R.S. § 631.240(2)) on the contents and interpretation of chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and the regulations of the Board. The jurisprudence examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at the Board's office. Respondent shall contact the Board to schedule a time to submit to the re-examination. In the event Respondent fails to successfully pass the jurisprudence examination within ninety (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended until Respondent successfully completes the re-examination of the jurisprudence test. Upon successful completion of the test, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 5(k). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
- 1. In the event Respondent does not actively practice dentistry in the State of Nevada, the one (1) year probationary period shall be tolled for the period of inactive practice.

### **CONSENT**

- 6. Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 7. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B

N.S.B.D.E.

MAY 3 0 2006

FD

- 8. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 9. Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with her attorney, John Sillis, Esq., who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent.
- 10. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of her own free will.
- Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 12. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified, in writing, with Board approval.
- Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 14. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case. Respondent acknowledges and agrees of this Stipulation shall be made available to the public inspection and copying. Respondent acknowledges and agrees copies of this Stipulation may be disseminated by the Board to the public, or any licensing board or any agency which is investigating Respondent, including but not limited to any law enforcement agency. Respondent agrees and acknowledges this

26 | 111

27 || 11

RZ RZ

N.S.B.D.E.

F.D.

1	
2	BEFORE THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
3 4	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ) DENTAL EXAMINERS,
5	Case No. O6-1282 Complainant,
6	VS COPERE A TRACE
7	JOHN HASTINGS, D.D.S.,
8	Respondent.
9	
10	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between JOHN
11	HASTINGS, D.D.S. (hereinaster "Respondent"), in proper person, and THE NEVADA
12	STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by and through
13	Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. GORDON KINARD, D.D.S., and the Board's counsel
14	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as
15	follows
16	
17	Currently, the Board is conducting an investigation into the dental practice of
8	Respondent.
19	
20	2 On February , 2004, Respondent entered into a Management Services and
21	Lease Agreement with Southwest Dental Management, Inc. (hereinafter "Managemen
22	Agreement") Respondent maintains he believed the Management Agreement was it
23	compliance with NRS 631 and NAC 631
24	
25	3 NRS 631.3465 provides, in relevant part, the following acts, among others
26	constitute unprofessional conduct
27	N.S.B.D.E.
28	MAY 9 0 2006
	144

Page of 10

- 4. Associating with or being employed by a person not licensed pursuant to this chapter if that person exercises control over the services offered by the dentist, owns all or part of the dentist's practice or receives or shares the fees received by the dentist who associates with or is employed by a person who owns or controls a dental practice pursuant to NRS 631.385.
- 4. NRS 631.395 provides, in relevant part, a person is guilty of the illegal practice of dentistry or dental hygiene who:
  - 10. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 631.385, owns or controls a dental practice, shares in the fees received by a dentist or controls or attempts to control the services offered by a dentist if the person is not himself licensed pursuant to this chapter; or
  - 11. Aids or abets another in violating any of the provision of this chapter.
- Since Respondent entered into the Management Agreement, the Board has addressed similar issues wherein various factors were discussed in relation to NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10). Respondent recognizes the following non-exhaustive list of factors may be considered in determining whether these sections have been violated:
  - A. Where a non-licensed person, entity, manager owns or controls patient records;
  - B. The non-licensed person, entity, manager is given a power of attorney or is a signatory to the financial account(s) of a Nevada licensee who owns a Nevada dental practice, or where the non-licensed person, entity, manager controls the majority, if not all, of the financial aspects of the dental practice;
  - C. Where the compensation paid to the Nevada licensee is based upon production or where the non-licensed person, entity, manager receives the majority of the compensation generated by the dental practice;
  - D. The non-licensed person, entity, manager receives or holds title or

N.S.B.D.E.

ownership and has or exercised control over all or substantially all of the tangible assets, leaseholds, and/or goodwill of the dental practice;

- E. The non-licensed person, entity, manager agrees to provide and/or controls dental practice management services, i.e., employing the dental practice's office's non-professional staff, providing payroll, business systems and procedures, purchasing, information system, supplies, marketing, planning, accounts receivable and deposits;
- F. The non-licensed person, entity, manager contracts with the Nevada licensee that the latter is required to provide dentistry services only through the non-licensed person, entity, or manager and/or to devote their full time and attention to such matters;
- G. The non-licensed person, entity, manager operates the dental offices, on a day to day basis, but is also required to maintain the offices.
- 6. On September 14, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Paulita Camacho. On September 27, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board.
- 7. On March 22, 2006, Respondent terminated his relationship with Southwest Dental Management Inc.
- 8. On April 10, 2006, Dr. Leonard Gordon the alleged owner of Southwest Dental Management Inc. informed Board Counsel he would no longer be operating any dental management agreements in the State of Nevada. Further, Dr. Gordon has informed the Board Counsel he is selling the assets of Southwest Dental Management, to Nevada licensee Dr. Tinh Trung Ho, license #4722T

```
lase upon the fed to date. D .ry
Of SG Kitar DD pply th tra for for for bstar
      forth Sat Emp Se ty Hii Ho No
          Min d \ell MeP E 2rs. No 06
         NR ((1) \cup (1) \cup (1) finds the
31.9 14
       m ruary 04 R term ted hi h
 th De tal M me M spon kn
                                       NR
 \sqrt{40} NR \sqrt{(0)} & \sqrt{(0)} he R
                               threst 🖪
      tre the fe aspects of Respon tal
      A. The man for South at ta M men
                                        itro
          hi of ds
          The man for the Dutal
         orne ory at the fi
                                       the
          R spon
                       to Rs a lk
         So the rest Den A me lied the B
      C
                                        f h
          Re itre Mihef asp R
          :a1
          D the rm of reemen in the rest D tal
      d
          R po pa ed itaj f he
                   \mathbf{R} The sma of
          :1^{\circ}V
          ted R
                        fte the
          De M
         po :h ed ted to date. O ary
     G K: Dh pply the dm tr f of of:
Offi
       Artl Emp. Se Hit Hooks No re 101
```

JHH

497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 10 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Paulita Camacho in violation of NRS 63 3475(1).

Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening 11 Officer, J. Gordon Kinard, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent failed to notify the Board in writing by certified mail of the service upon him of the filing of malpractice complaints of Seth Kittsmiller and Shineka Foy and in violation of NAC 631.155(5).

14

Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth 12. in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 88 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits and stipulates that the management agreement Respondent entered into with Southwest Dental Management, Inc. was in violation on NRS 631.3465(3) and NRS 631.395(10).

22

Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set 13. forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 10 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits to violating NAC 631 55(5) when Respondent failed to notify the Board

28

26

27

N.S.B.D.E. MAY 3 0 2006

in a timely manner in writing by certified mail of the service upon him of the filing of malpractice complaints of Seth Kittsmiller and Shineka Foy.

14. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits and stipulates the rendered treatment to Paulita Camacho was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1

Based upon the admissions contained in Paragraphs 12, 13, & without admitting the findings of the Disciplinary Screening Officer contained in paragraph 14, Respondent agrees to the following terms and conditions:

A. Respondent's dental practice shall be monitored for a period of one (1) year from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year monitoring period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect Respondent's records during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year monitoring period, Respondent's extraction patient charts and records will be subject to review. Such review shall include, but will not be limited to, personally observing the treatment rendered by Respondent as well as contacting patients who have received extractions. During the monitoring period Respondent shall have available and will maintain pre and post operative x-rays on all extractions patients.

B Should Respondent enter into a management agreement in the future and should the Board subsequently find the agreement violates either NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10), Respondent agrees such a finding pursuant to NRS 631.3485(1) would be deemed to be a willful violation of either NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10)

C. Respondent, pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(j), shall retake the jurisprudence test as required by NRS 631.240(2) on the contents and interpretation of NRS 631 and the regulations of the Board. Respondent shall have ninety (90) days, commencing upon adoption of this Stipulation, to complete the re-examination. The jurisprudence

N.S.B.D.E. MAY 3 0 2006

26

27

28

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

2

examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at the Board's office. Respondent shall contact the Board to schedule a time to submit to the re-examination. In the event Respondent fails to successfully complete the reexamination within ninety (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Upon successful completion of the re-examination, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated, assuming all other provisions of this Stipulation are in compliance. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to comply with Paragraph 13(C). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board seeks injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars. This amount shall be paid within twelve (12) months of adoption of the Stipulation by the Board. In the event Respondent fails to make the payment set forth pursuant to paragraph 15(D), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five (\$25.00) Dollars for each day Respondent is in default of any of the payments required by paragraph 15(D). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in paragraph 15(D), by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with paragraph 15(D). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

- E Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agreed to and prior to execution of this Stipulation, reimburse Ms. Camancho.
- F In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may



be reduced to judgment.

G Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph 15(D) discharged in bankruptcy.

### **CONSENT**

- Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 17. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 18. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 19. Respondent acknowledges he has read the Stipulation Respondent acknowledges he has been advised he has the right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and he has had ample opportunity to seek independent counsel Respondent has been specifically informed he should seek independent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in Respondent's best interest. Having been advised of his right to independent counsel, as well as had the opportunity to seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges, by his own free will, he is consenting to the Stipulation without independent counsel.
- 20. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.

THH JAH

N.S.B.D.E. MAY 8 0 2006

1	
2	CC
3	af
4	
5	
6	ag
7	be
8	
9	
10	W
11	V
12	
13	
14	u
15	S
16	S
17	n
18	d
19	sl
20	a
21	li
22	to
23	b
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
£0	
	=

- 21 Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions ontained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person filiated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 22. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire greement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only e modified, in writing, with Board approval.
- 23 Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby raives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the alidity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 24. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is nderstood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the tipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This tipulation will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open neeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final isposition of a contested case. Respondent acknowledges and agrees of this Stipulation hall be made available to the public inspection and copying. Respondent acknowledges and grees copies of this Stipulation may be disseminated by the Board to the public, or any censing board or any agency which is investigating Respondent, including but not limited o any law enforcement agency. Respondent agrees and acknowledges this Stipulation shall e reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

DA	TED	this		day	of	,	200	06
----	-----	------	--	-----	----	---	-----	----

HAK

Page 9 of 10

RALEIGH HUNT MCGARRY DRI

Ø011/011

1	Respondent
2 3	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 25 day of, 2006.
4	CATE APPOINTMENT DUP: 02-14-2005
5	about nowsk
6	NOTARY PUBLIC
	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
8	/b) 1 / H/
15	Jun A Divis
19	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. Board Counsel  LORDON KINARD, D.D.S., D.M.D. D.S.O. Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal Hearing Officer
11	Board Counsel Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal Hearing Officer
12	
13	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State
14	Board of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting.
15	DATED this day of, 2006.
16	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
17	
18	See interior
19	TONY GUIL LEN, D.D.S. President
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
1	
26 27	
28	
~ <b>U</b>	247
	Page 10 of 10 N.S.B.D.E.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STA	ATE	$\mathbf{OF}$	NEV	A D A

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,  Complainant, vs.	) ) )	CASE NO.: 06-1289 STIPULATION
JODI LYNN KOFORD, D.D.S.,	)	
Respondent.	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between JODI LYNN KOFORD, D.D.S., in proper person (hereinafter "Respondent"), and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter the "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, JAMES G. KINARD, D.D.S., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows

On November 29, 2005, the Board notified Respondent that on November 15, 2005 at a properly noticed meeting, pursuant to Agenda item 5(e) the Board authorized an investigation whether Respondent violated NAC 631.155(3) regarding the Stipulated Settlement Agreement entered into between the Respondent and the Kentucky Board of Dentistry attached as Exhibit On December 15, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the authorized investigation.

2 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, James G. Kinard, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent failed to notify the Board within 30 days in writing by certified mail of the Stipulated Settlement Agreement entered into with Kentucky Board of Dentistry in

N.S.B.D.E.

1 | v 2 | 3 | S 4 | v 5 | 2 | 6 | to 7 | A 8 | 9 | S 10 | p

12

13

14

15

16

.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986);, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits she failed to notify the Board within 30 days in writing by certified mail of the Stipulated Settlement Agreement entered into with Kentucky Board of Dentistry in violation of NAC 631.155(3).
- 4 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, James G. Kinard, D.D.S., and the admission contained in paragraph three (3) the parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and conditions:
  - a. Respondent agrees to voluntarily surrender her license and deliver to the Board her license and certificate of registration, previously issued to her, upon adoption of this Stipulation by the Board.
  - b. Respondent agrees and acknowledges the Board may accept or reject the surrender of the license.
  - Respondent agrees and acknowledges if the Board accepts the surrender of Respondent's license, Respondent may petition the Board to reinstate her license to practice dentistry in Nevada upon submitting written proof that Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the state of Kentucky has been reinstated without condition(s) and is in good standing. Without condition(s) is defined to mean Respondent's dental practice is **not** subject to any form of probation, monitoring or supervision. Upon petitioning for reinstatement the Board may consider any other factors they deem appropriate in determining whether to reinstate Respondent's privilege to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada.
  - d. Respondent acknowledges she has not practiced dentistry in the State of Nevada since she was issued a license. Respondent agrees that a Disciplinary Screening Officer and/or any other agent appointed by the Board will assure compliance with all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation.
  - e. Regarding the matters currently pending before the Board, the Board hereby waives the right to initiate any further action, as set forth in NRS 631.350.
  - f. Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars. The reimbursement amount set forth in this paragraph shall be delivered to the Board office within seven (7) days after execution of the Stipulation by Respondent. The payment will be deposited by the

JAK (

N.S.B.D.E.

Board upon receipt. If this Stipulation is not adopted, the Board will issue a check in the amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars to Respondent.

- In the event Respondent fails to deliver to the Board the payment as required g. by Paragraph 4(f), Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically be revoked without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director revoking Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent fails to deliver payment required by Paragraph 4(f). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payment set forth in Paragraph 4(f) by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license status as being surrendered in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic revocation of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to comply with Paragraph 4(f). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically revoked.
- h. In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.

Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph 4(f) discharged in bankruptcy.

### **CONSENT**

- 5. Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 6. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 7. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 8. Respondent acknowledges she has read the Stipulation. Respondent acknowledges she has been advised she has the right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and she

N.S.B.D.E.

10

22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

has had ample opportunity to seek independent counsel. Respondent has been specifically informed she should seek independent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in Respondent's best interest. Having been advised of her right to independent counsel, as well as had the opportunity to seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges/by her own free will, she is consenting to the Stipulation without independent counsel

- 9. Respondent acknowledges she is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of her own free will.
- 10. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 11. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified, in writing, with Board approval
- 12. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation she hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 13. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

DATED this 5 day of All 1

@008/008 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 5th day of april 2 3 4 5 APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT 5 JOHN'A. HUNT, ESQUIRE IAMES O KINARD, D.D.S., D.S.O. Rateigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. Board Counsel Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal Hearing Officer 9 The foregoing Stipulation was approved disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board 10 of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting. 11 DATED this 23 day of Way 12 13 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 14 15 TONY GUILLEN D.D.S. 16 President 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 5. Glan. Flored FIDENTALIK OPORD-Scientific and 28 JLK. Page 5 of 5 N.S.B.D.E. MAY 3 0 2006

•

3

4

2

BEFORE THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,

Case No.:

STIPULATION

O5-1237

5

6

VS.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

PTG

P. TOM GROSSMAN, D.D.S.,

Respondent.

Complainant,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between P. TOM GROSSMAN, D.D.S. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his legal counsel, John R. Bailey, Esq. of the law firm of Bailey. Merrill, LLP, and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, J. STEPHEN SILL, D.M.D., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows:

- 1. Currently, the Board is conducting an investigation into the dental practice of Respondent.
- 2. On July 1, 2002, Respondent entered into a Management Services Agreement with All Care Dental, a California corporation (hereinafter "Management Agreement"). Respondent maintains he believed the Management Agreement was in compliance with NRS 631 and NAC 631.
- 3. NRS 631.3465 provides, in relevant part, the following acts, among others, constitute unprofessional conduct:
  - 4. Associating with or being employed by a person not licensed pursuant to this chapter if that person exercises control over the services offered by the dentist, owns all or part of the dentist's practice



or receives or shares the fees received by the dentist who associates with or is employed by a person who owns or controls a dental practice pursuant to NRS 631.385.

- 4. NRS 631.395 provides, in relevant part, a person is guilty of the illegal practice of dentistry or dental hygiene who:
  - 10. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 631.385, owns or controls a dental practice, shares in the fees received by a dentist or controls or attempts to control the services offered by a dentist if the person is not himself licensed pursuant to this chapter; or
  - 11. Aids or abets another in violating any of the provision of this chapter.
- 5. Since Respondent entered into the Management Agreement, the Board has addressed similar issues wherein various factors were discussed in relation to NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10). Respondent recognizes the following non-exhaustive list of factors may be considered in determining whether these sections have been violated:
  - A. Where a non-licensed person, entity, manager owns or controls patient records;
  - B. The non-licensed person, entity, manager is given a power of attorney or is a signatory to the financial account(s) of a Nevada licensee who owns a Nevada dental practice, or where the non-licensed person, entity, manager controls the majority, if not all, of the financial aspects of the dental practice:
  - C. Where the compensation paid to the Nevada licensee is based upon production or where the non-licensed person, entity, manager receives the majority of the compensation generated by the dental practice;
  - D. The non-licensed person, entity, manager receives or holds title or ownership and has or exercised control over all or substantially all of the tangible assets, leaseholds, and/or goodwill of the dental practice;



L-URUMANOUENTAL 1257W1 BULA HOB



	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
I	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

E. The non-licensed person, entity, manager agrees to provide and/or controls dental practice management services, i.e., employing the dental practice's office's non-professional staff, providing payroll, business systems and procedures, purchasing, information system, supplies, marketing, planning, accounts receivable and deposits;

( ⊹:

- F. The non-licensed person, entity, manager contracts with the Nevada licensee that the latter is required to provide dentistry services only through the non-licensed person, entity, or manager and/or to devote their full time and attention to such matters;
- G. The non-licensed person, entity, manager operates the dental offices, on a day to day basis, but is also required to maintain the offices.
- 6. On September 1, 2005, the Board notified Respondent that it had authorized an investigation regarding whether Respondent had violated NRS 631.395(11). On September 16, 2005, Henry A. Holguin, Esq. filed an answer on behalf of the Respondent.
- 7. In April 2006, Respondent terminated the Management Agreement with All Care Dental.
- 8. To the best of Respondent's knowledge Jack Kavanaugh, M.D., D.D.S. aka Jakob Konieczpolski, aka Jack Konitz was the owner of All Care Dental.
- 9. To the best of Respondent's knowledge Jack Kavanuagh, M.D., D.D.S. sold All Care Dental to Alex Reza Sharifan, D.D.S.. Respondent did not sell a dental practice to Dr. Alex Reza Sharifan.
- 10. To the best of Respondent's knowledge Alex Reza Sharifan, D.D.S. is licensed to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada.
- On or about May 2, 2005, Respondent began working as an employee for a professional corporation operated by Dr. Ali Reza Sharifan.
  - 12. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening





(

Officer, J. Stephen Sill, D.M.D., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial 2 evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 3 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is 4 substantial evidence from July 1, 2002, until Respondent terminated his relationship with All 5 Care Dental on April 28, 2006, Respondent unknowingly violated NRS 631.3465(3) and б NRS 631.395(10) & (11) when Respondent allowed All Care Dental to control the following aspects of Respondent's dental practice: 8 9 The managers for All Care Dental were given control and ownership of Α. 10 patient records; and The managers for All Care Dental were given a power of attorney and 1 B. 12 were signatory's to the financial account(s) of the Respondent including 13 but not limited to Respondent's bank accounts; and All Care Dental owned or otherwise had a collateral interest in the 14 C 15 accounts receivable of the Respondent and controlled all of the 16 financial aspects of Respondent's dental practice; and 17 During the term of the Management Agreement with All Care Dental, D. 18 Respondent was paid based solely upon a percentage of the dental 19 services provided by him and a per diem. The remaining balance of 20 revenue generated by Respondent's practice after expenses was 21 retained by All Care Dental. 22 Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth 13. in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see 23 also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 10 Nev. 1060, 881 24 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent 25 admits and stipulates that the Management Agreement he entered into with All Care Dental 26 was in violation on NRS 631.3465(3) and NRS 631.395(10). 27

SORFIGH WAS SOUTHFUL WROADMANDENT AT 1117 WHILE A STORE

28



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 14. Based upon the admissions contained in Paragraph 13, Respondent agrees to the following terms and conditions:
  - A. Respondent's dental practice shall be monitored for a period of one (1) year from the adoption of this Stipulation. During the one (1) year monitoring period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to inspect Respondent's records during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation.
  - B Should Respondent enter into a management agreement in the future and should the Board subsequently find the agreement violates either NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10), Respondent agrees such a finding pursuant to NRS 631.3485(1) would be deemed to be a willful violation of either NRS 631.3465(3) and/or NRS 631.395(10)
  - Respondent, pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(j), shall retake the C. jurisprudence test as required by NRS 631.240(2) on the contents and interpretation of NRS 631 and the regulations of the Board. Respondent shall have ninety (90) days, commencing upon adoption of this Stipulation, to complete the re-examination. The jurisprudence examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at the Board's office. Respondent shall contact the Board to schedule a time to submit to the re-examination. In the event Respondent fails to successfully complete the reexamination within ninety (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Upon successful completion of the re-examination, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated, assuming all other provisions of this Stipulation are in compliance. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to comply with Paragraph 14(C). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board seeks injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
  - D. Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred (\$3,500.00) Dollars. This amount shall be deposited with the Board within seven (7) days of execution of this Stipulation. If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the amount of of Three Thousand Five Hundred (\$3,500.00) Dollars will be returned in full to Respondent. In the event Respondent fails to make the payment set forth pursuant to paragraph 14(D), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of



SORRES HI-TS PORNTADURO REPARANDONTAL LOGISTINULA HUN-



E

AALTOLE DOO TO'40 LWY INT 280 2880

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 $( \cdot )$ 

the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five (\$25.00) Dollars for each day Respondent is in default of any of the payments required by paragraph 14(D). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in paragraph 14(D), by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with paragraph 14(D). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

Respondent agrees should the Board receive a complaint regarding either treatment or a claim is made for monies paid wherein the services were not rendered which occurred between July 2, 2002 until April 28, 2006 the time which Respondent operated under the management agreement with All Care Dental, Respondent agrees after having an opportunity to file and answer to the complaint, should a Disciplinary Screening Officer finds there is substantial evidence either the treatment rendered was below the standard of care or the complainant paid for services not rendered, Respondent agrees to reimburse the complainant within (30) days of a written decision issued by the Disciplinary Screening Officer. In the event Respondent fails to reimburse the complainant within thirty (30) days of a written decision by the Disciplinary Screening Officer, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Five (\$25.00) Dollars for each day Respondent is in default of any of the payments required by paragraph 13(E). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in paragraph 14(E), by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with paragraph 14(E). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's

FAG.

JRB

6

20

27

C

license is automatically suspended.

- F. In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.
  - G. Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph 14(D) discharged in bankruptcy.

## **CONSENT**

- Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 9 16. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 17. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 18. Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, John R. Bailey, 16 Esq., who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the 17 Respondent.
- 18 19. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.
- 20. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 21. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire 25 agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only 26 be modified, in writing, with Board approval
  - 22. The parties agree that neither party shall be deemed to be drafter of this

28

JRB

(



Stipulation and, in the event this Stipulation is ever construed by a court of law or equity, such court shall not construe this Stipulation or any provision hereof against either party as the drafter of the Stipulation. The parties acknowledge that both parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.

- 23. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 24. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case. Respondent acknowledges and agrees of this Stipulation shall be made available to the public inspection and copying. Respondent acknowledges and agrees copies of this Stipulation may be disseminated by the Board to the public, or any licensing board or any agency which is investigating Respondent, including but not limited to any law enforcement agency. Respondent agrees and acknowledges this Stipulation shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

DATED this day of the , 2006

P/TOM GROSSMAN D.D. Respondent

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this day of 2006.



Pro

JRB

	$\smile$	$\smile$
	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT	
2	- Sur Bailes	
4	JOHN R. BAILEY ESO	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT	ADDROVED AS TO EODA & CONTROL
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
8	JOHN A HUNT BOUTER	THE COLLEGE
9	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. Board Counsel	D.S.O. Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal
10		Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal Hearing Officer
11	The foregoing Stipulation was appro-	ved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State
12	Board of Dental Examiners at a properly no	ticed meeting.
13	DATED this 26 day of June	, 2006.
14 15	n <b>e</b> vada sta	TE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
16	0	40.
17	TONY GUILLO	N, D.D.S.
18	President	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	175	<b>3</b>

TRB