# **Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners**

Tony Guillen, D.D.S.

President



Rick B. Thiriot, D.D.S. Secretary-Treasurer

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. • Las Vegas, NV 89118 • (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046 February 8, 2006

Legislative Counsel Bureau Marilyn White Assistant to the Director 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-9800

Dear Director of the Legislative Counsel

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 622.100, enclosed is our disciplinary report for the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners. This report is for the quarter ending January 20, 2006. I have listed the disciplinary action against the following licensees below. Enclosed for your convenience are copies of the stipulation agreements.

License #	Name	Disciplinary Action Date
4191	Silva Battaglin, DMD	November 15, 2005
0826	Michael Stafford, DDS	November 15, 2005
52-22	Jay K Selzsnick, DMD, MD	December 15, 2005
2337	Gerald P Rampton, DMD	December 22, 2005

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (702) 486-7044, ext. 23.

Sincerely,

Debra Shaffer, Deputy Executive Director Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

Cc: John A. Hunt, Esq., Board Legal Counsel
Department of Health & Human Services
File

#### STATE OF NEVADA

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD
OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,

Case No.: 05-1213

STIPULATION

SILVA BATTAGLIN, D.D.S.,

Respondent

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between SILVA BATTAGLIN, D.D.S. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through her counsel DANIEL E. CURRIDEN, ESQ., and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board"), by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, BRADLEY ROBERTS, D.D.S., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows

On January 21, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Barbara Zucker. On April 8, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board.

- On June 2, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Mr. Adam Lieberman On July 5, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board On September 21, 2005, the Board's counsel notified Respondent of supplemental information received from Ronald R. Levandoski, D.M.D. On September 26, 2005, the Board notified Respondent of supplemental information received from Adam Lieberman.
- 3 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in *State*, *Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels*, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see





DEC

a.

also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Barbara Zucker in violation of NRS 631.3475(1).

- Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in *State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels*, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Adam Lieberman in violation of NRS 631.3475(1)
- Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986);, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits the treatment rendered to Barbara Zucker was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1).
- Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986);, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits the treatment rendered to Adam Lieberman was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1).
- 7 Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., and the admission contained in paragraphs five (5) and six (6) the parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and conditions:
  - Pursuant to NRS 631.350(k), in addition to completing the required continuing education, Respondent shall obtain a total of Twenty-Four (24) additional hours in supplemental education. Twelve(12) hours of the supplemental education must be received in the area of fixed prosthetics and the remaining Twelve (12) hours of the supplemental education must be

25

26

27

28

received in the area of removable prosthetics. All supplemental education must be completed within twelve (12) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to attendance. Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within twelve (12) months, Respondent agrees her license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 7(a). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

b Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), pursuant to the following payment schedule:

Within seven (7) days after Respondent has executed this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to the Board in the initial amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) will be returned in full to Respondent.

The remaining balance of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00) will made in two (2) payments to the Board. Payment one (1): Thirty (30) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). Payment two (2): Sixty (60) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). Payment three (3): Ninety (90) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). Payment four (4): One hundred and twenty (120) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00).

Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Ms. Barbara Zucker, the amount of Sixteen Thousand Dollars (\$16,000.00) pursuant to the following payment schedule:



C.



28

1

Within seven (7) days after Respondent has executed this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the initial amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the Two Thousand Dollar (\$2,000.00) check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker will be returned in to Respondent.

- The remaining balance of Fourteen Thousand Dollars (\$14,000.00) ii. will be made pursuant to following four (4) payments: Payment one (1): Thirty (30) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). Payment two (2): Sixty (60) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the amount of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00). Payment three (3): Ninety (90) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00). Payment four (4): One Hundred Twenty (120) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00). Payment five (5): One Hundred Fifty (150) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Barbara Zucker in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00).
- d Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Mr. Adam Lieberman, the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to the following payment schedule:

Within seven (7) days after Respondent has executed this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Mr. Adam Lieberman in the initial amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the Two Thousand Dollar (\$2,000.00) check made payable to Mr. Adam Lieberman will be returned in to Respondent.

ii. The remaining balance of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00) will be made pursuant to following two (2) payments: Payment one (1): Thirty days (30) from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Mr. Adam Lieberman in the amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). Payment two (2): Sixty days (60) from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Mr. Adam Lieberman in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00).

DEC

(SB)

2

3

4

5

- In the event Respondent fails to make payments pursuant to paragraphs 7(b) C. or 7(c) or 7(d), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of Twenty-Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent is in default on the payments required by Paragraphs 7(b) or 7(c) or 7(d). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in Paragraphs 7(b) or 7(c) or 7(d) by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraphs 7(b) or 7(c) or 7(d). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
- e. In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.
- f Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraphs 7(a) and 7(b) and 7(d) discharged in bankruptcy.

#### CONSENT

- 8 Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 9. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B
- Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 1 Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with her attorney, Daniel E. Curriden, Esquire, who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent
  - 12. Respondent acknowledges she is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without



1	coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will
2	13. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained
3	in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the
4	Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners
5	14. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire
6	agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
7	modified, in writing, with Board approval
8	Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation she hereby waives
9	any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the
10	provisions contained in the Stipulation.
11	16. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood
12	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected
13	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become
14	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this
15	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become
16	a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank
17	DATED this 30 day of 2005.
18	IN A
19	SILVA BATTAGLIN, DISS
20	Respondent
21	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 30 day of Septender, 2005.
22	CANDICE K, NOWAK NOTARY PUBLIC
23	NOTARY PUBLIC  STATE OF NEVADA  DATE APPOINTMENT EXP. 02-14-2008  CERTIFICATE NO. 00 0440-008
24	CERTIFICATE NO: 00-61120-1
25	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
26	DAMEL E. CURRIDEN, ESQ.
27	Respondent's Counsel
28	Jec 16
	DEC

Page 6 of 7

FRUM	: 11/17/2005 1	1:27 FAX 702 386 5890	FAX NO. :	Aug.	16 2004 07:1 <u>0</u> PM P2
		1 1 1 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	RALEIGH HUNT	MCGARRY DRI	₹008
				_	
	1	APPROVED AS TO FO	A CONTENT	APPROVED AS TO	FORM & CONTENT
	2	John N-1	In I	Much /	Kolos MR
	(3-	Raleigh, Hunt & McGa Board Counsel	UIRE rry, P.C.	BRADLEY ROBEL	RTS, D.D.S.
	4	Board Counsel		Hearing Officer	ing Officer/Informal
	6	The foregoing S of Dental Examiners at	tipulation was approved/d a properly noticed meetin	isapproved by a vote of	f the Nevada State Board
	7		t day of Natanes		
	8				,===
	9		NEVADA S	STATE BOARD OF D	ENTAL EXAMINERS
	10		1900	luci co	8
	11		President (	NEN, D.D.S.	
	12	Steller Hunshogner Land	AGLINUNFORMAL IROSOMIANION		
	13		. TO ELIT OF THE LEGISLATION	wpa	
	14				
	15				_
	16				_
	17.		1 -		'
	18				
	19				
	20				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27			< 11	0
	28	12			13
		DEC		8	
	1		Page 7	of 7	

### STATE OF NEVADA

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,  Complainant, vs.	) )	CASE NO.: 05-1203 STIPULATION
MICHAEL J. STAFFORD, D.D.S.,	į.	
Respondent.	) %	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between MICHAEL J. STAFFORD, D.D.S. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his counsel CHARLES E. KELLY, ESQ., and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board"), by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, SAMUEL E. PICK, D.M.D., D.S.O., and the Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows:

- 1 On November 4, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Sandra Course. On November 24, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board.
- 2. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Samuel Pick, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in *State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels*, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent rendered treatment below the standard of care to Sandra Course in violation of NRS 631.3475(1).
  - 3. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in





State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986);, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) and NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits the treatment rendered to Sandra Course was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1).

- 4. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the findings of Disciplinary Screening Officer, Samuel Pick, D.D.S., D.S.O., and the admission contained in paragraph three (3) the parties have agreed to resolve the pending disciplinary action pursuant to the following terms and conditions:
  - a. Respondent agrees to reimburse the Board for costs of the investigation and to monitor this Stipulation in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500), pursuant to the following payment schedule:

Within fourteen (14) days after Respondent has executed this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to the Board in the initial amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00). If the Board does not adopt this Stipulation the Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) will be returned in full to Respondent.

- The remaining balance of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) will made in two (2) payments to the Board. Payment one (1): Ninety (90) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00). Payment two (2): One hundred and eighty (180) days from adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office a check made payable to the Board in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00).
- Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1), Respondent agrees to reimburse Ms. Sandra Course, the amount of Five Thousand One Hundred and Ninety-Six Dollars (\$5,196.00) pursuant to the following payment schedule
  - Within fourteen (14) days after Respondent has executed this Stipulation, Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course in the initial amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). If the Board does not adopt this





Stipulation the One Thousand Dollar (\$1,000.00) check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course will be returned in to Respondent.

ii. The remaining balance of Four Thousand One Hundred and Ninety-Six Dollars (\$4,196.00) will be made pursuant to following four (4) payments: Payment one (1): Ninety (90) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course in the amount of One Thousand Forty-Nine Dollars (\$1,049.00.00). Payment two (2): One hundred and eighty (180) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course in the amount of One Thousand Forty-Nine Dollars (\$1,049.00.00). Payment three (3): Two hundred and seventy (270) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course in the amount of One Thousand Forty-Nine Dollars (\$1,049.00.00). Payment four (4): Three hundred and sixty (360) days from adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent shall deliver to the Board a check made payable to Ms. Sandra Course in the amount of One Thousand Forty-Nine Dollars (\$1,049.00.00).

In the event Respondent fails to deliver any of the payments required pursuant to Paragraph(s) 4(a) or 4 (b), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Failure to deliver payment would include any checks returned for insufficient funds. Respondent agrees to the payment of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent fails to deliver any of the payments required by Paragraph(s) 4(a) or 4(b). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payments set forth in Paragraph(s) 4(a) or 4(b), by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the twenty-five dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment. Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the twenty-five dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall without any further action of the Board reinstated Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada, assuming there are no other violations of any of the provisions contained in this



C.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Stipulation. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from either the Nevada Federal District Court or the Nevada State District Court to reinstate his license prior to curing any default on the amounts due and owing.

d. Pursuant to N.R.S 631.350(1)(f), Respondent, upon adoption of this Stipulation shall not provide fixed prosthetic treatment(s) to patients until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 4(e) of this Stipulation. Upon completion of the supplemental education set forth in paragraph 4(e), Respondent may request in writing to the Executive Director of the Board permission to resume treatment of patients requiring fixed prosthetics. Upon receiving written permission from the Executive Director, Respondent may commence treating patients requiring fixed prosthetics pursuant to all the terms and conditions set forth in this Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Stipulation Board and/or the agent appointed by the Executive Director of the Board to monitor Respondent's dental practice without notice during normal business hours to insure Respondent does not perform any fixed prosthetic treatment(s) until Respondent has completed the supplemental education as set forth in paragraph 4(e). In the event the Executive Director receives substantial evidence Respondent has performed fixed prosthetic treatment(s) prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 4(e), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to





practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent rendering fixed prosthetic treatments prior to completing the supplemental education required pursuant to paragraph 4(e). Thereafter Respondent may request a full Board hearing to reinstate his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(k), in addition to completing the required continuing education, Respondent shall obtain a total of twelve (12) additional hours of supplemental education in the following regarding fixed prosthetics requiring hands-on clinical demonstration. All supplemental education must be completed within twelve (12) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to attendance. Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education, the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. Upon completion of the supplemental education required for fixed prosthetics, Respondent may request permission from the Executive Director to reinstate her privileges to treat patients requiring fixed prosthetics as set forth in paragraph 4(d) and 4(e). In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within twelve (12) months of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of



e.



an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of all the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 4(e) Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five

(45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment

Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph
4(a) or 4(b) discharged in bankruptcy

#### CONSENT

- 5 Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety
- Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 63 NRS 233B and NAC 233B
- Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, Charles E. Kelly, Esquire, who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent
- 9. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will



1	10. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained
2	in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the
3	Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
4	Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire
5	agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
6	modified, in writing, with Board approval
7	Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any
8	and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions
9	contained in the Stipulation
10	This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood
11	and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected
12	by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented This Stipulation will only become
3	effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this
.4	Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become
5	a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank
16	DATED this 23 day of , 2005
17	
18	MICHAEL STAFFORD DDS
19	Respondent
20	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this day of 2005.
21	ROBBIE ROSS Notary Public, State of Nevada Appointment No. 995163:1
22	NOT BEIG My Appt. Expires March 12, 2007
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	CEX

FAX NO. : -11/17/2005 11:07 FAX 762 386 5990 Aug. 16 2004 07:10PM P3 RALEIGH HUNT MCGARRY DRI 009/008 1 APPROVED ASTO FORM & CONTENT 2 3 CHARLES & KELLY, ES Respondent's Counsel 4 5 APPROVED 6 APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT 8 JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE SAMUEL PICK, D.D.S., D.S.O Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C. Board Counsel Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal Hearing Officer 9 10 The foregoing Stipulation was approved disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting. 11 DATED this 17 day of Name Ba 2005. 12 13 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 14 15 TONY GUIZ EN. D.D.S. 16 President ( 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 S.\Eileen Hwo51\DENTALISTAFFORD\Scipuletion.wpd 24 25 26 27 28

Page 8 of 8

### STATE OF NEVADA

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,	)	C N(- 05 1000
OI DENTAL EXAMINERS,	)	Case No. 05-1069
Complainant,	)	CTIDIU ATION
vs.	,	STIPULATION
JAY K. SELZNICK, DMD, MD	j	
ъ.	)	
Respondent.	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between JAY K. SELZNICK,

D.M.D., M.D. (Hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his counsel, DAVID J. MORTENSEN, ESQ., and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board") by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, LARRY DERMODY, D.D.S., and Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows:

# PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- On December 4, 2003, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Karyn Hopkins. On December 9, 2003, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.
- 2. On September 15, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Bettie Nelson. On September 27, 2004, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.

On November 8, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint

7KS

DJM

received from Ms. Cherlyn Harris. On November 22, 2004, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.

- 4. On December 27, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Margaret Fraga. On January 6, 2005, the Board received a request for an extension to file an answer from David J. Mortensen, Esq. and authorized an extension until February 8, 2005. On March 4, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.
- 5. On December 27, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Tina Cabrales. On January 6, 2005, the Board received a request for an extension to file an answer from David J. Mortensen, Esq. and authorized an extension until February 8, 2005 On March 4, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.
- 6. On December 27, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Ms. Shauna Williams. On January 6, 2005, the Board received a request for an extension to file an answer from David J. Mortensen, Esq. and authorized an extension until February 8, 2005. On March 4, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.
- 7. On December 27, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of a verified complaint received from Mr. Brian Salafia. On January 6, 2005, the Board received a request for an extension to file an answer from David J. Mortensen, Esq. and authorized an extension until February 8, 2005. On March 4, 2005, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.

## **DISCIPLINARY SCREENING OFFICER'S FINDINGS**

8. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Mintage v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994); see also NRS

- 2 -

233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent on more than one occasion failed to obtain an informed consent from patient(s) prior to rendering dental treatments in violation of NRS 631.3475(1) & (4) and NAC 631.230(1)(c).

- 9. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent on more than one occasion rendered treatment which was below the standard of care in violation of NRS 631.3475(1) & (4) and NAC 631.230(1)(c) when Respondent extracted teeth from patients who had not authorized those extraction(s).
- Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(c), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent failed to notify the Board in writing by certified mail of the service upon him of the filing of malpractice complaints of Karyn Hopkins, Nicholas Pearson, and Brian Salafia in violation of NAC 631.155(5).
- 11. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS

233B 3577(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent failed

SKS

-3.

to notify the Board in writing by certified mail that Respondent's dental license in the State of New York had been suspended due to non-payment of fees in violation of NAC 631.155(3).

- Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent failed to report to the Board he was convicted of one count of felony filing of a False Tax Return in violation of NAC 631.155(4).
- Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent's advertisements claiming the services provided by Respondent are "Virtually Painless' are in violation of NAC 631.270(1)(c).
- Officer, Larry Dermody, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent submitted a falsely witnessed informed consent in violation of NAC 631.230(1)(a)

DIM

RALEIGH HUNT MCGARRY DRI

# RESPONDENT JAY K. SELZNICK, DMD, MD'S REPRESENTATIONS

### **AND AGREEMENT**

- and applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent DENIES that on more than one occasion, he violated NRS 631.3475(1) & (4) and NAC 631.230(1)(c) when Respondent failed to obtain an informed consent from patient(s) prior to rendering dental treatments. According to Respondent, although he admits the informed consent form he was using was inadequate, it is Respondent's contention, contrary to the statements of the patients, that he never performed any procedure without explaining to the patient what was going to be done and why it was being done.
- the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent DENIES that on any occasion, he violated NRS 631.3475(1)&(4) and NAC 631.230(1)(c) when Respondent extracted teeth that were not authorized by the patient(s) for extraction. According to Respondent, although he admits the informed consent form he was using was inadequate, it is Respondent's contention, contrary to the statements of the patients, that he never performed any procedure without explaining to the patient what was going to be done and why it was being done.

Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in

- 5 -

State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits to violating NAC 631.155(5) when Respondent failed to notify the Board in a timely manner in writing by certified mail of the service upon him of the filing of malpractice complaints of Karyu Hopkins, Nicholas Pearson, and Brian Salafia. However, it is acknowledged that Respondent did report the malpractice claims of Karyn Hopkins, Nicholas Pearson and Brian Salafia on Respondent's annual license renewals.

- 18. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); see also NRS 233B.135, and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits to violating NAC 631.155(3) when Respondent failed to notify the Board in writing by certified mail that Respondent's dental license in the State of New York had been suspended due to non-payment of fees.
- 19. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits he failed to notify the Board in writing by certified mail of his conviction for filing a False Tax Return, a felony, in violation of NAC 631.155(4). However, according to Respondent, he could not report the felony for filing a false tax return because a Federal Judge had sealed the case. Once the seal was lifted, Respondent reported his

follow for the a false tax return on his 2004 license renewal.

-6-

27

28, ( 20. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits his advertisements for "virtually painless" tooth removal were not in strict compliance with NAC 631.270(1)(c). However, Respondent believes if this matter were to proceed to a full Board hearing, "Virtually Painless" tooth removal may be interpreted as not being in violation of NAC 631.270(1)(c). Respondent, in the interest of his patients, upon being presented with the information at the informal hearing regarding the possible violation of NAC 631.270(1)(c), immediately withdrew those advertisements containing the words "Virtually Painless".

Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits that unbeknownst to him an employee made a late entry to the informed consent form of Shauna Williams. Subsequent to the late entry to the informed consent form and prior to this document being submitted to the Board by Respondent, Respondent was aware that the informed consent form had been altered with the late entry. By submitting the altered informed consent form Respondent admits he is responsible for a violation of NAC 631.230(1)(a)

### STIPULATED AGREEMENT

22. Based upon the admissions contained in paragraphs 15 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, Respondent agrees to the following:

-7-

Pursuant to NRS 631.350(d), Respondent agrees to probation for a period of

three (3) years commencing upon adoption of this Stipulation. In the event Respondent successfully completes the probationary period of three (3) years, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be reinstated to good standing. The probationary period shall be based on the following conditions:

i. Upon adoption of this Stipulation by the Board, Respondent agrees to use an informed consent form provided by the Disciplinary Screening Officer. The provided informed consent form must be signed and maintained for every patient of record subsequent to the adoption of this Stipulation. During the probationary period, Respondent shall, with or without prior notice, grant access during normal business hours to the assigned Disciplinary Screening Officer and/or any agent appointed by the Executive Director to inspect patient charts and records to determine whether Respondent has obtained an executed informed consent form prescribed by the Disciplinary Screening Officer. Respondent, at the time of inspection, shall provide at Respondent's expense copies of any of the patient records, charts, or billings requested by an agent of the Board. Respondent's failure to allow an inspection during normal business hours or failure to provide requested items shall be deemed to be unprofessional conduct causing Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada to be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an

Tikes

26

27

Order by the Executive Director. Further, in the event the Disciplinary Screening Officer or agent assigned by the Executive Director finds the reviewed records do not contain a signed informed consent form prescribed by the Disciplinary Screening Officer, such conduct shall be deemed to be unprofessional conduct causing Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada to be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees any automatic suspension will remain in effect until such time as a full Board hearing is convened to determine whether Respondent was justified in refusing to allow the inspection(s) of Respondent's dental practice during normal business hours or in failing to provide copies of requested items or to determine if there is any justification for the informed consent form prescribed by the Disciplinary Screening Officer not being signed by the patient(s). Respondent waives any right to seek injunctive relief from either the Nevada Federal District Court or the Nevada State District Court to reinstate his automatically suspended license pending a final decision by the Board.

 Respondent agrees he shall submit to the Board any and all documentation regarding the terms of his probation entered into the Federal Court Case No. CR-S-03-277-KJD(RJJ).

Respondent acknowledges he has completed a twelve month probationary

DING

26

period and paid restitution in the amount of two hundred and forty-six thousand dollars (\$246,000.00) for his one count felony conviction for filing a false tax return. Respondent further agrees, in the event any other probationary terms are levied, Respondent shall, in writing, within seventyno the Board's Executive Director. Respondent shall be two: nothing the Board's Executive Director in writing within resp seventy-two (72) was of any probation violations. Upon notification of a probation violation the Executive Director, without any further hearing or action by the Board shall issue an order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Thereafter, when Respondent is in full compliance with the terms of Respondent's federal probation, Respondent may reducest a hearing before the Board to reinstate his license. However, during the pendency of the hearing before the Board, Respondent warves any right diseck judicial review (State or Federal) to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a decision of the Board.

d. Respondent, pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(j), shall retake the jurisprudence test as required by NRS 631.240(2) on the contents and interpretation of NRS 631 and the regulations of the Board. Respondent shall have ninety (90) days, commencing upon adoption of this Stipulation, to complete the reexamination. The jurisprudence examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at the Board's office.

Respondent shall contact the Board to schedule a time to submit to the re-

- 10 -

27

e.

examination. In the event Respondent fails to successfully complete the reexamination within ninety (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation,
Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada
shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board
other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Upon successful
completion of the re-examination, Respondent's license to practice dentistry
in the State of Nevaga will be automatically reinstated, assuming all other
provisions of this Stigulation are in compliance. Respondent agrees to waive
any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada
District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license
to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent's failure to
comply with Paragraph 22(d). Respondent shall also be responsible for any
costs or attorney's rees incurred in the event the Board seeks injunctive relief
to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period
Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

- Respondent, pursuant to NRS 631.350(c), agrees to pay a fine in the amount of One Thousand Rive Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars. This amount shall be payable within (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation by the Board.
- f. Respondent will reimburse the Board for the cost of the investigation and to monitor Respondent's practice in Nevada during the three (3) year probationary period in the amount of Fifty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$56,000.00) pursuant to the following payment schedule:

\$9,333.33 payable to the Board commencing six

DIM

months after to the adoption of this Stipulation and every six months thereafter until the remaining balance is paid in full. Respondent may pre-pay any amounts in part or in full.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(I), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Karyn Hopkins the sum of \$712.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Karyn Hopkins in the amount of \$712.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Karyn Hopkins for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Karyn Hopkins is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Karyn Hopkins.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(l), upon adoption this Stipulation, Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Bettie Nelson for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Bettie Nelson is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Bettie Nelson. It is acknowledged by the Board that Respondent did not receive any compensation from Bettie Nelson or any third party for the alleged services rendered by Respondent.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(1), upon adoption this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Cherlyn Harris the sum of \$872.99. Respondent shall deliver

to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las

DOG

28 AS

- 12 -

L

g.

h.

j.

k.

Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Cherlyn Harris in the amount of \$872.99. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Cherlyn Harris for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Cherlyn Harris is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Cherlyn Harris.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(1), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Margaret Fraga the sum of \$687.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Margaret Fraga in the amount of \$687.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Margaret Fraga for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Margaret Fraga is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Margaret Fraga.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(l), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Tina Cabrales the sum of \$1,362.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Tina Cabrales in the amount of \$1,362.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Tina Cabrales for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Tina Cabrales is hereby relieved of any such obligation.

Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any

DIM

DOI T

m.

n.

outstanding balances (if any) due from Tina Cabrales.

1. Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(I), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Shauna Williams the sum of \$651.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Shauna Williams in the amount of \$651.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Shauna Williams for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Shauna Williams is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Shauna Williams.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(1), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Brian Salafia the sum of \$1,144.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Brian Salafia in the amount of \$1,144.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Brian Salafia for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Brian Salafia is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Brian Salafia.

Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(I), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Sophia Harris the sum of \$168.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite I, Las

Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Sophia Harris in the amount of

DJM

O.

p.

\$168.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Sophia Harris for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Sophia Harris is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Sophia Harris.

- Pursuant to NRS 631 3501(l), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Jerry Anzalone the sum of \$4,550.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Jerry Anzalone in the amount of \$4,550.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Jerry Anzalone for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Jerry Anzalone is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Jerry Anzalone.
- Pursuant to NRS 63 1.3501(1), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Travis Black the sum of \$717.00. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Travis Black in the amount of \$717.00. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Travis Black for afleged services rendered arc hereby waived. Respondent agrees Travis Black is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding

balances (if any) due from Travis Black.

MVD DJM

r.

q. Pursuant to NRS 631.3501(1), upon adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent shall reimburse Timothy Hanson the sum of \$1,505.60. Respondent shall deliver to the Board's office (located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd. Bldg. A, Suite 1, Las Vegas, Nevada) a check made payable to Timothy Hanson in the amount of \$1,505.60. Respondent agrees any outstanding balances due (if any) from Timothy Hanson for alleged services rendered are hereby waived. Respondent agrees Timothy Hanson is hereby relieved of any such obligation. Lastly, Respondent will not seek or initiate any action to collect any outstanding balances (if any) due from Timothy Hanson.

In the event Respondent defaults on any of the payments set forth in either paragraph 22(e), or 22(f), or 22(g), or 22(h), or 22(i), or 22(j), or 22(k), or 22(l), or 22(m), or 22(n), or 22(n), or 22(p), or 22(q), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to pay a liquidated damage amount of Twenty Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent is in default on the payment of any of the amounts set forth in either paragraph 22(e), or 22(f), or 22(g), or 22(h), or 22(i), or 22(j), or 22(k), or 22(l), or 22(m), or 22(n), or 22(o), or 22(p), or 22(q). Upon curing the default of the applicable defaulted paragraph, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will automatically be reinstated by the Executor Director of the Board, assuming there are no other violations of any of the provisions contained in this Stipulation. Respondent shall also be responsible for any

- 16 -

	67		
1	4	cos	
2			
3,	} <b>©</b>	reli	
<b>.4</b> .		which his license is	suspended. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek
5		injunctive relief fro	n either the Nevada Federal District Court or the Nevada
6		Sta e District Cour	to reinstate his license prior to curing any default on the
7	*	am unts due and c	ving.
8	S.	In	
9		am	either paragraph 22(e), or 22(f), or 22(g), or 22(h), or
10		22(1)	2(k), or 22(l), or 22(m), or 22(n), or 22(o), or 22(p), or
12			five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the
13		a d	uced to judgment by any Federal or State District Court
14		in	ada. Respondent waives any right to have the amounts
15		ow	1 miles mile
16			22(1), or 22(m), or 22(n), or 22(o), or 22(p), or 22(q)
17	Ţ		
18		discharged in bank	
19	, <b>t.</b>	Pu	631.350(k), in addition to completing the required
20		co	on, Respondent shall obtain twenty (20) additional hours
21		in	ication relating to diagnosing for extraction of teeth and/or
221	1	in	Twenty (20) hours of the supplemental education must be
23 24		co	two (2) years of the adoption of this Stipulation by the
24 25		Board. A request	o attend the supplemental education must be submitted in
26		writing to obtain	rior written approval from the Executive Director of the
27			ipt of a request to attend supplemental education, the
28			DAM
and the same	TRE		-17 - DJM
1	All I	9	il.

Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent, in writing, of approval of such attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. In the event Respondent fails to complete twenty (20) additional hours of supplemental education related to tooth extraction and/or informed consent within two (2) years of the Board adopting this Stipulation, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees the suspension Order regarding Respondent's failure to complete the supplemental education shall remain in effect until such time as Respondent completes the required supplemental education. submitting proof of completion of the supplemental education to the Board, the Executive Director shall reinstate Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada, assuming all other provisions of this Stipulation are in compliance. Respondent agrees in the event his license is suspended for failure to attend the supplemental education in the time allotted pursuant to this paragraph, Respondent waives any right to seek injunctive relief from either the Nevada Federal District Court or the Nevada State District Court to reinstate his license prior to completion of the required supplemental education.

23. It is agreed this Stipulation disposes of all issues, claims, complaints, alleged violations of NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and/or NAC 233B, including but not limited to, the complaints of Karyn Hopkins, Bettie Nelson, Cherlyn Harris, Margaret Fraga, Tina Cabrales, Shauna

DJM

Williams, Brian Salafia, Timothy Hanson, Sophia Harris, Travis Black and Jerry Anzalone as of December 15, 2005, as well as any issues noticed and/or raised in whole or in part at the Informal Investigation Hearings conducted on July 7, 2004, May 13, 2005 and May 31, 2005.

### CONSENT

- 24. Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 25. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 26. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 27. Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, David J. Mortenson, Esq., who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent.
- 28. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress, and in the exercise of his own free will.
- 29. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 30. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified in writing, with Board approval:
- 31. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation, he hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the

ij	Military Company		4.4	Let a se	666 WEEKS
4	provisions	contained	in the	Stipu	lation

2 32. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood 3 and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become 5 effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this 7 Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become 8 a public record. If adopted, this Stipulation shall also be reported to the National Practitioners Data 9 Bank.

SEVENICK, DAND., M.D.

Respondent

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 9th day of Declember

, 2005.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

VED AS TO FORM & CONTENT

APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT

aleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C.

Board Counsel

Disciplinary Screening Office/Informal

Hearing Officer

MORTENSEN, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Respondent

- 20 -

			!	
1	The foregoing Stipulation	n was approved/dis	approved by a vote	of the Nevada State Bo
2 of De	ntal Examiners at a proper	4 11 /	T.	
3	DATED this (5 day of	8 11:	2005.	
4		1 161	9	DENTAL EXAMINER:
5.				
6		1 1 100	, Jul Der	2000,
8		TONY GUILI President	D.D.S.	
9 Station H	Neps//DENTAL/SELZNICK/Infaip/STIPUL/LTIO	1 15	-	
10	1			
11		The state of		
12			* * *	
13			\$ <sup>[1</sup>	
14				
15			I.	
16		The state of the s	*	
17				
18			1	
19			71	
20			th	
21			1	
22	-			
23				
24			€	
25				
26				
27	2			. 1
28		1111		Q AM
K	S	-21-		DJM

BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS OF NEVADA

4

9

18

22

20

**NEVADA STATE BOARD** OF DENTAL EXAMINERS.

Complainant,

VS.

GERALD P. RAMPTON, D.M.D.

Respondent.

Case No. 1200-05

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between GERALD P. RAMPTON, D.M.D. (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his counsel of record, DAVID B. LOCKIE, ESQ., of the law firm of LOCKIE & MACFARLAN, LTD., the NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereinafter "Board"), by and through Disciplinary Screening Officer, BRADLEY ROBERTS, D.D.S., and Board's counsel, JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of RALEIGH, HUNT & McGARRY, P.C. as follows:

- On October 21, 1996, Respondent entered into a Stipulation with the Board. See attached Exhibit 1.
- On December 9, 2004, the Board entered into a Stipulation with Ms. Michelle A. 2. Scott, wherein Ms. Scott admitted on more than two occasions she had practiced dental hygiene in the State of Nevada without a license while in the employment of Respondent in violation of NRS 631.395(9).
- On December 13, 2004, the Board notified Respondent of its complaint/authorized 3. investigation regarding whether Respondent's employment of Ms. Michelle A. Scott violated NRS 631.346. On December 29, 2004, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint with the Board.
- On May 11, 2005, Respondent was notified by the Board of an authorized investigation into Respondent's prescription writing practices. On May 23, 2005, Respondent filed

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

ひなく DBL

an answer to the authorized investigation.

- On September 8, 2005, Respondent was arrested by Sgt. Thomas Higgins of the Nevada Highway Patrol and was charged for the following:
  - Failure to stop at a stop sign. 8
  - Ъ. Speeding.
  - C. Speeding in a construction zone.
  - Failure to yield to an emergency vehicle. d.
  - C. Obstructing/delaying a police officer.
  - f. Resisting arrest.
  - g. h. Unlawful possession of Schedule IV prescription drugs.
  - Possession of dangerous drugs without a prescription.

During the arrest, Officer Higgins confiscated a schedule IV controlled substance in an improper prescription bottle in Respondent's right front pocket. See attached Exhibit 2.

- Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening 6. Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative standard of proof as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent violated NRS 631.346(1) when Respondent employed Ms. Michelle A. Scott who subsequently performed on more than two occasions hygiene treatments on Respondent's patients.
  - **4**4. Respondent has been made aware that NRS 631.346(1) states: The following acts, among others, constitute unprofessional conduct:
    - Employing, directly or indirectly, any student or any suspended or unlicensed dentist, or dental hygienist to perform operations of any kind to treat or correct the teeth or jaw, except as provided in this chapter";
- 7. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev, 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986), and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial wider

Page 2 of 10

**GPR** 

Respondent on more than one occasion violated NRS 631.3475(5), when Respondent administered a controlled substance that was not required to treat a dental patient.

- 8. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, finds there is substantial evidence Respondent on more than one occasion violated NAC 631.230(1)(b), when Respondent wrote prescriptions for controlled substances in such excessive amounts as to constitute a departure from prevailing standards of acceptable dental practice.
- 9. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, D.D.S., applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), there is substantial evidence that based upon Respondent's possession of a controlled substance not prescribed to Respondent, Respondent is in violation of NRS 631.349.
- 10. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, EDP. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Menton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits he unknowingly violated NRS 631.346(1) when Respondent employed Ms. Michelle A. Scott who subsequently preformed on more than two (2) occasions hygiene treatments on Respondent's patients.
- 11. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits on more than one occasion, Respondent violated NRS 631.3475(5) when Respondent administered a controlled substance that

Page 3 of 10

DBL DBL

12. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State. Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits on more than one occasion, Respondent violated NAC 631.230(1)(b) when Respondent wrote prescriptions for controlled substances in such excessive amounts as to constitute a departure from prevailing standards of acceptable dental practice.

- 13. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P.2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e), but not for any other purpose, Respondent admits that he was arrested for failure to stop at a stop sign, speeding, speeding in a construction zone, failure to yield to an emergency vehicle, obstructing/delaying a police officer, resisting arrest, unlawful possession of Schedule IV prescription drugs, and possession of dangerous drugs without a prescription. Respondent admits that such conduct is constituted as unprofessional in the violation of NAC 631.3475
- 14. Based upon the admissions contained in Paragraphs 11, 12, 13 and 14, Respondent agrees to the following:
  - A. Respondent agrees pursuant to NRS 631.350(d) and (h), Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of three (3) years. The terms and conditions of the probation shall be reportable to the National Practitioners Data Bank. Respondent's practice shall be supervised and monitored pursuant to the following terms and conditions effective upon adoption of this Stipulation by the Board:
    - i. During the three (3) year probation/supervisory period, Respondent shall allow either the Executive Director of the Board and/or an agent appointed by the Board's Executive Director to inspect Respondent's records to ensure compliance with this Stipulation. Such inspections shall be performed, without notice, during normal business hours. Respondent further agrees during this period of probation and supervision, Respondent shall maintain a list of any prescriptions issued to any of Respondent's patients for controlled substances. During the probationary period Respondent shall not issue any prescription(s) for more than 12 units of a controlled substance for each office visit where treatment was rendered. All prescriptions issued by Respondent during the probationary period must be in Respondent's handwriting and must have an original signature of Respondent.

Page 4 of 10

Respondent during the probationary period must be in Respondent's handwriting and must have an original signature of Respondent. During the probationary period Respondent is prohibited from placing telephone prescriptions for controlled substances. The list of prescriptions issued by Respondent's shall include the following information and shall be submitted to the Executive Director of the Board on the first day of each month during the probation period:

(a) patient's name;

(b) date of issuance;

(c) name of dentist who issued prescription;

(d) units and amount of controlled substance issued; and

(e) reason for issuing the controlled substance.

- In the event the Board's Executive Director has substantial evidence to believe Respondent has failed to comply with any of the provisions contained in Paragraph 14(A)(i) the Executive Director, without any further hearing or action by the Board, shall issue an order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Thereafter, Respondent may request a hearing before the Board but during the pendency of the hearing before the Board, Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing.
- iii. In the event the Board's Executive Director has substantial evidence to believe Respondent has failed to comply with any of the provisions contained in Paragraph 14(A)(i) during the probationary period, Respondent agrees to surrender his License No. BR2732813 with the United States Department of Justice, D.E.A. for Class II, Class IIN, Class IIIN, Class IIIN, Class IV, and Class V for a period of three (3) years commencing upon the date of the Order of Suspension issued by the Executive Director. At the conclusion of the three (3) year period, Respondent may apply to the United States Department of Justice, D.E.A. to have his License No. BR2732813 reinstated.
- iv. In the event the Board's Executive Director has substantial evidence to believe Respondent has failed to comply with any of the provisions contained in Paragraph 14(A)(i) during the probationary period, Respondent agrees to surrender his License No. CS06086 with the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy for Class II, Class III, Class III, Class IIIN, Class IV, and Class V for a period of three (3) years commencing upon the date of the Order of Suspension issued by the Executive Director. At the conclusion of the three (3) year period, Respondent may apply to the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy to have his License No. CS06086 reinstated.

DBL

Page 5 of 10

review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing.

- During the three (3) year probationary period, Respondent agrees to submit to random sampling of urine, hair and/or bodily fluids for controlled and/or non-prescribed substances when so ordered by the Executive Director of the Board. Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred for the analysis of urine and/or bodily fluids.
- In the event any test or analysis of bodily fluids taken from Respondent, pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation, is positive, indicating the presence of controlled substances (not pursuant to a valid prescription), the Executive Director, without any further hearing or action by the Board, shall issue an Order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Thereafter, Respondent may request a hearing before the Board to reinstate Respondent's license to practice dentistry in Nevada. During the pendency of the hearing before the Board, Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing.
  - (a) In the event Respondent fails to present himself for random drug testing, within twenty-four (24) hours when ordered by the Executive Director, the Executive Director without any further hearing or action by the Board, shall issue an Order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Thereafter, Respondent may request a hearing before the Board to reinstate Respondent's license to practice dentistry in Nevada. During the pendency of the hearing before the Board, Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing.
  - (b) Respondent authorizes reports generated by the urinalysis and/or bodily fluids testing to be furnished to the Executive Director of the Board. All reports submitted to the Executive Director of the Board shall remain confidential. However, in the event of a violation in the form of a confirmed, positive test result, all reports previously submitted to the Executive Director of the Board will be available for use by the Board in connection with any subsequent disciplinary action of the Board.
- Pursuant to NRS 631.350(d)&(h) Respondent agrees in the event Respondent is placed on probation by Order of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Elko County then Respondent shall be required to report and submit in writing to Board within seven (7) days of such Order the terms and conditions of probation. In the event Respondent is found to be in violations of any of the terms of his probation, Respondent is required to report to the Board in writing within seven (7) days any violations. Respondent further agrees, in the event he is found to be in violation of any of the terms or conditions of the probation the Board's Executive Director upon notification of a violation shall without any further action of the Board issue an order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Thereafter, Respondent may petition the Board to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in Nevada. During the pendency of Respondent's petition for reinstatement, Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review or injunctive relief outper from

DBL DBL

Page 6 of 10

- C. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(k), in addition to completing the required continuing education, Respondent shall obtain twenty (20) additional hours in supplemental education relating to pharmacology and pain management. Ten (10) hours of the supplemental education must be completed within six (6) months of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board and the additional ten (10) hours of supplemental education must be completed within one (1) year of the approval of this Stipulation by the Board. The supplemental education must be submitted in writing to the Executive Director of the Board for approval prior to attendance. Upon receipt of a written request to attend supplemental education the Executive Director of the Board shall notify Respondent in writing whether the requested supplemental education is approved for attendance. All costs associated with this supplemental education shall be paid by Respondent. In the event Respondent fails to complete all of the supplemental education within one (1) year, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Executive Director. Upon submitting written proof of completion of the supplemental education, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the state of Nevada will be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 14(C). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
- D. Respondent will reimburse the Board for the cost of the investigation and to monitor Respondent's practice in Nevada during the three (3) year probationary period in the amount of five thousand (\$5,000.00) dollars within thirty (30) days of the Board's adoption of this Stipulation. This amount shall not be considered a fine and therefore is not reportable to the National Practitioners Data Bank.
- E. Respondent pursuant to NRS 631.350(c) agrees to pay a fine in the amount of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars upon adoption of this Stipulation by the Board and shall be reportable to the National Practitioners Data Bank.
- F. In the event Respondent fails to deliver to the Board the payment as required by Paragraph 14(D) or 14(E), Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than the issuance of an Order by the Board's Executive Director suspending Respondent's license. Respondent agrees to the payment of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent fails to deliver payment required by Paragraph 14(D) or 14(E). Respondent may cure any default regarding the payment set forth in Paragraph 14(D) or 14(E) by delivering to the Board's Executive Director the total amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment. Upon receipt of payment in full of any amount in default, plus the Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) per day assessment, the Executive Director shall issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada without any further action necessary by the Board.
- G. Respondent, pursuant to NRS 631.350(1)(j), shall retake the jurisprudence test as required by NRS 631.240(2) on the contents and interpretation of NRS 631 and the regulations of the Board. Respondent shall have ninety (90) days assuming adoption of the Stipulation to complete the re-examination. The jurisprudence examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 pages the

DBL

Page 7 of 10

26

27

regulations of the Board. Respondent shall have ninety (90) days assuming adoption of the Stipulation to complete the re-examination. The jurisprudence examination is administered on the first Monday of each month at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at the Board's office. Respondent shall contact the Board to schedule a time to submit to the re-examination. In the event Respondent fails to successfully complete the reexamination within ninety (90) days of adoption of this Stipulation, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be automatically suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an order by the Executive Director. Upon successful completion of the re-examination, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will be automatically reinstated. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the automatic suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraph 14(G). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.

- H. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from any Federal or State of Nevada District Court to prevent the suspension of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada due to Respondent failure to comply with Paragraphs 14 (A) through 14(J). Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period Respondent's license is automatically suspended.
- I. In the event Respondent fails to cure any default in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.
- Respondent waives any right to have the amounts owed pursuant Paragraph 14(D) or 14(E) discharged in bankruptcy.

#### CONSENT

- Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 16. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 17. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.
- 18. Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, David B. Lockie, Esquire, who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent

DBL

Page 8 of 10

27

- 19. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.
- 20. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- 21. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation contain the entire agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified, in writing, with Board approval.
- 22. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation he hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 23. This Stipulation will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation and, if the Stipulation is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

DATED this 5th day of December, 2005.

Respondent

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

111

111

28

Page 9 of 10



	APPROYED AS TO FORM & CONTENT APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
2	10/11/11 30/11/11/11
3/	JOHNA, HUNT, ESQUIRE BRADLEY ROBERTS, D.D.S.
	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE  Raleigh, Hunt & McGarry, P.C.  Board Counsel  Brad Ly Roberts, D.D.S.  Disciplinary Screening Officer/Informal Hearing Officer
5	
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT
7	
8	Mand 1. Lockie
9	DAVID B. LOCKIE, ESQ. Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd.
10	Counsel for Respondent
11	The foregoing Stipulation was approved/disapproved by a vote of the Nevada State Board
12	of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting.
13	DATED this 5 day of Sources , 2006.6
14	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
15	- 08g. no
16	TONY GUARLEN, D.D.S.
17	President O
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	4
25	
26	×
27	
28	Done
	DBL Page 10 of 10 GPR