Proposed United States House of Representatives Plan - CON-0526-0830 Jose Solorio Population Report

		Incumbent			Actual	Percent
		Residing in	2010	Ideal	Deviation	Deviation from
District	Location	District	Population	Population	from Ideal	Ideal
1	Clark (part)		675,206	675,138	68	0.01%
	Carson City, Churchill, Douglas (part),					
	Elko, Eureka, Humboldt, Lander, Lyon					
	(part), Pershing, Storey, Washoe,					
2	White Pine		675,124	675,138	-14	-0.00%
	Clark (part), Douglas (part), Esmeralda,					
3	Lincoln, Lyon (part), Mineral, Nye	Heck	675,141	675,138	3	0.00%
4	Clark (part)	Berkley	675,080	675,138	-58	-0.01%
Total			2,700,551			

Largest Positive Deviation is: 68 0.01%
Largest Negative Deviation is: -58 -0.01%
Overall Range of Deviation is: 126 0.02%

Note: The population database is derived from the 2010 Census Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data as provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and validated by the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

Proposed United States House of Representatives Plan - CON-0526-0830 Jose Solorio Racial Data Report

			White a	Black or African American, Including Black or African American With		American Indian and Alaskan Native, Including American Indian and Alaskan Native With Another Race(s)		Asian, Including Asian With Another Race(s)		Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Including Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander With Another Race(s)		Some Other Race		Two or More Races		Hispanic or Latino (of any race)*			
		Incumbent	2010		Dorsont		Dorsont		Dorsont		Dorsont		Davaant		Dorsont		Dorsont		Dorsont
District	Location	Residing in District	Population	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total	Population	Percent of Total
1	Clark (part)		675,206									-				-		327,380	
2	Carson City, Churchill, Douglas (part), Elko, Eureka, Humboldt, Lander, Lyon (part), Pershing, Storey, Washoe, White Pine		675,124	535,462	79.31%	17,669	2.62%	22,358	3.31%	33,778	5.00%	5,276	0.78%	58,427	8.65%	24,153	3.58%	138,317	20.49%
	i iiic		073,124	333,402	73.31/6	17,009	2.02/6	22,336	3.31/6	33,776	3.00%	3,270	0.7870	30,427	3.0376	24,133	3.30/0	130,317	20.4976
3	Clark (part), Douglas (part), Esmeralda, Lincoln, Lyon (part), Mineral, Nye	Heck	675,141	496,004	73.47%	49,361	7.31%	12,637	1.87%	69,829	10.34%	9,296	1.38%	39,660	5.87%	33,044	4.89%	110,065	16.30%
4	Clark (part)	Berkley	675,080	405,428	60.06%	103,193	15.29%	9,607	1.42%	90,675	13.43%	10,361	1.53%	58,645	8.69%	35,115	5.20%	140,739	20.85%
Total			2,700,551	1,786,688	66.16%	254,452	9.42%	55,945	2.07%	242,916	9.00%	32,848	1.22%	324,793	12.03%	126,075	4.67%	716,501	26.53%

^{*}Hispanic or Latino is reported by the Census Bureau as a language group, separately.

Note: The population database is derived from the 2010 Census Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data as provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and validated by the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

Racial population data is based on self-identification. Respondents have the option of selecting one or more races.

Proposed United States House of Representatives Plan - CON-0526-0830 Jose Soloric Voting Age Racial Data Report

				18+ Population													
				White a	alone	Black or African American, Including Black or African American With Another Race(s)		American Indian and Alaskan Native, Including American Indian and Alaskan Native With Another Race(s)		Asian, Including Asian With Another Race(s)		Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Including Native Hawaiian		Some Other Race alone		Hispanic o	
District	Landing	Incumbent Residing in	2010 18+		Percent		Percent		Percent	Demulation	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent
District 1	Location Clark (part)	District	Population 491,650					•		•						Population 205,884	
	Carson City, Churchill, Douglas (part), Elko, Eureka, Humboldt, Lander, Lyon (part), Pershing, Storey, Washoe, White Pine		514,265		81.94%							,					16.69%
2	Clark (part), Douglas (part), Esmeralda, Lincoln, Lyon (part),	Ha alı					C 500/	0.005	1 710/								
3	Mineral, Nye Clark (part)	Heck Berkley	517,685 511,943	392,096 323,230		33,628 69,562		8,865 6,684		,				26,854 38,800	5.19% 7.58%	71,924 90,895	
Total	,,		2,035,543	1,410,273		173,233		39,043		181,692		21,201		207,405		454,534	

^{*}Hispanic or Latino is reported by the Census Bureau as a language group, separately.

Note: The population database is derived from the 2010 Census Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data as provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and validated by the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

Racial population data is based on self-identification. Respondents have the option of selecting one or more races.

Proposed United States House of Representatives Plan - CON-0526-0830 Jose Solorio 2010 Voter Registration Report

		Populatio	n Over 18	2010 R	egistered Po	pulation								
					Percent	Registered								
			Percent of		Registered	of Voting		Percent		Percent		Percent Non-		Percent All
	Total	Voting Age	Total	Total	of Total	Age	Registered	Democrat of	Registered	Republican of	Registered	Partisan of	Registered	Others of
DISTRICT	Population	Population	Population	Registered	Population	Population	Democrat	Registered	Republican	Registered	Non-Partisan	Registered	All Others	Registered
1	675,206	491,650	72.81%	277,012	41.03%	56.34%	150,804	54.44%	65,066	23.49%	44,793	16.17%	16,343	5.90%
2	675,124	514,265	76.17%	378,300	56.03%	73.56%	133,991	35.42%	161,338	42.65%	58,728	15.52%	24,243	6.41%
3	675,141	517,685	76.68%	370,782	54.92%	71.62%	142,082	38.32%	145,289	39.18%	61,470	16.58%	21,952	5.92%
4	675,080	511,943	75.83%	348,637	51.64%	68.10%	160,473	46.03%	112,327	32.22%	57,345	16.45%	18,487	5.30%
Total	2,700,551	2,035,543	75.38%	1,374,731	50.91%	67.54%	587,350	42.72%	484,020	35.21%	222,336	16.17%	81,025	5.89%

Note: The population database is derived from the 2010 Census Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data as provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and validated by the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

The elections and voter registration databases are not strictly comparable between election years due to issues of geographic and data comparability. This data is useful only for general, rather than specific, analysis of voting and registration trends.