APPENDIX G: COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

DETAILS

7. Using Administrative

Issuing Analytic Reports

Improving Document

Process

Handling 10. Replacing NOMADS 2,143

5,714

714

2,143



APPENDIX G: IMPACT OF RECOMMENDATIONS ON INCENTIVES AND COLLECTIONS

				Col	lections Bas	е			Р	aternity	Establishm	ent
		Current TANF	Former TA	NF	Medicaid	Never T	ANF	Retained Fees	Est. #	Cases	Actual %	Incentive %
Cur	rent Incentive Level	\$2,093,331	\$30,747,	,075	\$19,129,824	\$63,553	3,375	\$13,160	50,856	76,70	2 66.30%	76.00%
	solidated ommendations¹	\$475,842	\$6,989,	,221	\$4,348,464	\$14,446	5,531		14,972		85.82%	100.00%
REC	COMMENDATIONS		•	18%								
1.	Restructuring of the Program	\$218,466	\$3,208,	,850	\$1,996,442	\$6,632	2,607		4,877		72.66%	84.00%
2.	Centralized Functions	\$321,118	\$4,716,	,612	\$2,934,521	\$9,749	9,109		6,103		74.26%	88.00%
3.	Measuring Performance	\$103,662	\$1,522,	,601	\$947,312	\$3,147	7,175		6,103		74.26%	88.00%
4.	Conducting Comprehensive Training	\$82,930	\$1,218,	,081	\$757,850	\$2,517	7,740		4,068		71.61%	82.00%
5.	Strategic Planning for Program and IT	\$41,465	\$609,	,040	\$378,925	\$1,258	3,870		1,017		67.63%	77.00%
6.	Improving Paternity Establishment Process	\$176,461	\$2,591,	,872	\$1,612,578	\$5,357	7,330		7,628		76.25%	92.00%
7.	Using Administrative Process	\$41,465	\$ 609,	,040	\$378,925	\$1,258	3,870		1,526		68.29%	78.00%
8.	Issuing Analytic Reports	\$121,017	\$1,777,	,518	\$1,105,913	\$3,674	4,081		4,068		71.61%	82.00%
9.	Improving Document Handling	\$ 20,732	\$304,	,520	\$189,462	\$629	9,435		509		66.97%	76.00%
10.	Replacing NOMADS	\$ 62,197	\$913,	,560	\$568,387	\$1,888	3,305		1,526		68.29%	78.00%
			Order Est	tabli	shment				Current Collections			
		Number		A	ctual Inc	entive %	Nı	umber			Actual %	Incentive %
Cı	irrent Incentive Level	71,425	114,440	6	2.41%	72.00%	\$95	,778,001	\$209,666	6,823	45.68%	55.00%
	onsolidated ecommendations	20,342		8	0.19%	92.00%	\$22	,048,096			56.20%	63.00%
RE	ECOMMENDATIONS											
1.	Restructuring of the Program	7,277		6	8.77%	78.00%	\$10	,100,365			50.50%	60.00%
2.	Centralized Functions	8,571		6	9.90%	79.00%	\$14	,366,700			52.53%	62.00%
3.	Measuring Performance	8,571		6	9.90%	79.00%	\$4	,788,900			47.97%	57.00%
4.	Conducting Comprehensive Training	5,714		6	7.41%	77.00%	\$3	,831,120			47.51%	57.00%
5.	Strategic Planning for Program and IT	1,429		6	3.66%	73.00%	\$1	,915,560			46.59%	56.00%
6.	Improving Paternity Establishment Process	8,571		6	9.90%	79.00%	\$8	,620,020			49.79%	59.00%

64.28%

67.41%

63.04%

64.28%

DECEMBER 2006 APPENDIX G PAGE 1

74.00%

77.00%

73.00%

74.00%

\$1,915,560

\$5,746,680

\$2,873,340

\$957,780

46.59%

48.42%

46.14%

47.05%

56.00%

58.00%

56.00%

57.00%



		Arrearage Cases with Collections				Cost Benefits			
		Number	Actual %	Incentive %	Number*		Actual #	Incentive %	
Cur	rent Incentive Level	37,274	49.60%	59.00%	\$47,343,935		\$2.98	50.00%	
Con	solidated Recommendations	8,424	60.81%	68.00%	\$8,938,535		\$3.68	70.00%	
REC	COMMENDATIONS								
1.	Restructuring of the Program	3,912	54.81%	64.00%	\$4,829,081		\$3.32	60.00%	
2.	Centralized Functions	6,709	58.53%	68.00%	\$4,734,394		\$3.31	60.00%	
3.	Measuring Performance	1,864	52.08%	62.00%	\$946,879		\$3.04	60.00%	
4.	Conducting Comprehensive Training	1,491	51.58%	61.00%	\$946,879		\$3.04	60.00%	
5.	Strategic Planning for Program and IT	745	50.59%	60.00%	\$1,893,757		\$3.11	60.00%	
6.	Improving Paternity Establishment Process	2,236	52.58%	62.00%	\$1,893,757		\$3.11	60.00%	
7.	Using Administrative Process	745	50.59%	60.00%	\$2,367,197		\$3.14	60.00%	
8.	Issuing Analytic Reports	1,864	52.08%	62.00%	\$3,787,515		\$3.24	60.00%	
9.	Improving Document Handling	373	50.10%	60.00%	\$946,879		\$3.04	60.00%	
10.	Replacing NOMADS	1,118	51.09%	61.00%	\$3,140,000		\$3.19	50.00%	
		Total Incentive	Additional Incentive Dollars	Reta New	ined Earnings Fr	rom A	Additional C to Fam		
					45%		829	%	
Cı	urrent Incentive Level	\$1,574,291		\$	\$3,432	,512	(\$88,765,977	
	onsolidated Recommendations	\$2,512,043	\$937,7	52	\$780	,256	9	\$20,177,692	
RE	ECOMMENDATIONS ⁴								
1.	Restructuring of the Program		\$347,4	76	\$358	,227		\$9,263,864	
2.	Centralized Functions		\$495,8		\$526		;	\$13,616,731	
3.	Measuring Performance		\$255,3		\$169	,979		\$4,395,707	
4.	Conducting Comprehensive Training		\$188,0	66	\$135	,983		\$3,516,566	
5.	Strategic Planning for Program and IT		\$94,2	57	\$67	,991		\$1,758,283	
6.	Improving Paternity Establishment Process		\$351,4	78	\$289	,349		\$7,482,665	
7.	Using Administrative Process		\$105,4	89	\$67	,991		\$1,758,283	
8.	Issuing Analytic Reports		\$228,9	71	\$198	,437		\$5,131,646	
9.	Improving Document Handling		\$72,5	52	\$33	,996		\$879,141	
10	. Replacing NOMADS		\$89,1	35	\$101	,987		\$2,637,424	

¹ Consolidated recommendations equal aggregate incentive and collection impact of recommendations reduced by redundant impact of incorporating all recommendations.

² Calculation includes (100% of current TANF + 18% of former TANF) x 45% for State share.

³ Calculation includes 100% of newer TANF + 82% of former TANF for families.

⁴ See following pages for source of cost and incentive estimates.

^{*} The cost of a new system will vary based on design, functionality and timing; this is a placeholder extrapolated from 2 other states' current procurements; it is not reflected in the consolidated number.



	Annual and Five-year Labor Co	st Estimate	es for Top	Ten Rec	ommendation	ns	
	NEVADA F	ERFORM	ANCE AUI	DIT			
Rec	Function to be Centralized/Enhanced	New FTEs	FTEs saved	Net FTEs	Total Net FTE cost @ \$35,000 FTE	Total loaded FTE cost (labor x 2)	Total loaded FTE costs over 5 years
1	Restructuring of the Program	3	33	-30	-1,050,000	-2,100,000	-10,500,000
Assumptions for	1 - See Sheet 2						
2	Centralizing Functionality	46	76	-30	-1,050,000	-2,100,000	-10,500,000
Assumptions for	r 2 - See Sheet 3						
3	Measuring Performance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assumptions for	3 - See Sheet 4						
4	Conducting Comprehensive Training	4	12	-8	-280,000	-560,000	-2,800,000
Assumptions for	4 - See Sheet 5						
5	Strategic Planning for Program and IT	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assumptions for	5 - See Sheet 6						
6	Improving Paternity Establishment Process	2	0	2	70,000	140,000	700,000
Assumptions for	r 6 - See Sheet 7						
7	Using Administrative Process*	5	5.5	-0.5	-237,000	-474,000	-2,370,000
Assumptions for	7 - See Sheet 8						
8	Issuing Analytic Reports	2	0	2	70,000	140,000	700,000
Assumptions for	8 - See Sheet 9						
9	Improving Document Handling	4	6	-2	-70,000	-140,000	-700,000
Assumptions for	9 - See Sheet 10						
10	Replacing NOMADS**	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assumptions for	10 - See Sheet 11						
AGGREGATE TOTAL		66	132.5	-66.5	-2,547,000	-5,094,000	-25,470,000

General Assumptions

- 2. Dollars are in 2006 dollars and are not adjusted for dollar value changes and COLAs
- * Estimated administrative hearing officer salary \$66,000 Estimated hearing magistrate salary \$120,000 savings = \$600,000 for 5 magistrates minus \$363,000 for 5.5 administrative hearing officers
- ** NOMADS staff adjustments will be based on design and functionality of the replacement system



Recommendation 1 - Regionalization

Regionalization - increased efficiencies in performance

1a. for Elko 5.5% of all county FTEs

20% increased efficiency, translated into 12% improvement in indicators for Elko, Nye, Lincoln and Pershing

FTE reduction of 4 from 21.69 to 17.69 (2 RO efficiency; 2 CO/call center centralization) Savings in space costs -13.49 FTEs in 4 offices -9.49 FTEs consolidated in Elko with current 8.2 FTEs - savings approximately \$15 sq ft x 4 x 100 = \$4500/annually

Equipment consolidation - \$4,000/annually for Reno 32.2% of all county FTEs.

20% increased efficiency, translated into 12% improvement in indicators for Washoe (including Reno PAO), Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, Humboldt, Lyon and Mineral

FTE reduction of 24 from 126.06 to 102.06 (12 RO efficiency; 12 CO/call center centralization)

Savings in space costs -126.06 FTEs in 8 offices -63.06 FTEs consolidated in Reno with current Washoe DA FTEs of 63 reduced to 102.06 – savings approximately \$20 sq ft x 24 x 100 = \$48,000/annually

Equipment consolidation - \$10,000/annually

1b. No extraterritorial changes in regionalization for Las Vegas

Added efficiency with administrative process

Attorney reduction - four attorneys under contract at \$80,000 each

[For the Call Center consolidation 12 FTEs saved]

1c. Additional economies of scale due to statization of the regional offices:

for Elko

10% increased efficiency, translated into 6% improvement in indicators for Elko, Nye, Lincoln and Pershing

No FTE reduction

for Reno

10% increased efficiency, translated into 6% improvement in indicators for Washoe (including Reno PAO), Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, Humboldt, Lyon and Mineral

FTE reduction of 12 from 102.6 FTEs in a regionalized office to 90.6 (12 FTEs = reduction eliminating redundancies with state office and lowering the slightly elevated caseworker to case ratio) for Las Vegas

10% increased efficiency, translated into 6% improvement in indicators for Clark

FTE reduction of 12 from 244.2 to 232.2 (does not reflect additional FTEs needed for Clark County future caseload growth) (12 FTEs = reduction eliminating redundancies with state office)

*For purpose of estimated 33 FTE savings, 4 FTEs reduced from Elko RO, 24 from Washoe RO, and 5 from Clark RO (depending on implementation of 1b and 1c)

**26 of 33 FTEs saved as a result of call center under regionalization recommendation only - centralization recommendation has its own savings - redundant savings reduced in consolidated savings



	Recommendation 2 - Centralized Functions								
Function to be Centralized/ Enhanced	New FTEs	FTEs saved (state or county)	Net FTEs	Total FTE cost @ \$35,000 FTE	Total loaded FTE cost	Total loaded FTE cost over 5 years	Total One- time Costs	Annual Ongoing nonlabor costs	Five-year labor, annual costs plus start up
Call center	20	30	-10.00	(350,000)	(\$700,000)	(\$3,500,000)	\$200,000	\$700,000	\$200,000
Lien registry	2	0	2.00	70,000	140,000	\$700,000	\$200,000	\$20,000	\$1,000,000
Robust SPLS	3	3	0.00	0	0	\$0	\$10,000	\$30,000	\$160,000
Robust interstate central registry	2	2	0.00	0	0	\$0	\$10,000	\$2,000	\$20,000
FIDM/insurance data match	4	6	-2.00	(\$70,000)	(\$140,000)	(\$700,000)	\$30,000	\$50,000	(\$420,000)
Employer database	3	5	-2.00	(\$70,000)	(\$140,000)	(\$700,000)	\$30,000	\$50,000	(\$420,000)
Training	2	10	-8.00	(\$280,000)	(\$560,000)	(\$2,800,000)	\$30,000	\$30,000	(\$2,620,000)
QA	2	10	-8.00	(\$280,000)	(\$560,000)	(\$2,800,000)	\$20,000	\$20,000	(\$2,620,000)
mail room and imaging	8	10	-2.00	(\$70,000)	(\$140,000)	(\$700,000)	\$20,000	\$40,000	(\$480,000)
Totals	46	76	-30.00	(\$1,050,000)	(\$2,100,000)	(\$10,500,000)	\$550,000	\$942,000	(\$5,180,000)

Assumptions for Centralized Functions

- 1. Call center frees up 15% of caseworker time, increasing performance in paternity 7%; order establishment by 7%; current collections 5% & paying arrears cases by 5%
- 2. Lien registry increases cases with arrears in paying status by 3%
- 3. Robust SPLS Increases paternity 5%, orders 5%, current support 5%, paying arrears cases by 5%
- 4. Robust ICR Increase pats 1%, orders 1%, current 1%, paying arrears cases 1%
- 5. FIDM/insurance data match increase current 1%, paying arrears cases 4%
- 6. Employer data base maintenance increase current support 6%, paying arrears cases 4%
- 7. Training centrally coordinated pats increased 3%, orders 3%, current support 3%, paying arrears cases 3%
- 8. QA centrally coordinated pats increased 2%, orders 2%, current 2%, paying arrears cases 2%
- 9. Mail room and imaging pat increased 1%, orders 1%, current 1%, paying arrears cases 1% Overall C/E = 10%



Recommendation 2	Rec 2 - Adj
Cum 9 changes - Centralizing	Adjusted %
Paternity - 19%	12
Order - 19%	12
Current - 24%	15
Arrears - 28%	18
C/E	10

^{*}Reorg at the regional level and statization of the regional offices not included in the above -

Recommendation 3 - Measuring Performance				
Category	Percentage increase in performance indicators as a result of focusing efforts on meeting performance goals			
Increased Paternities	12%			
Increased Orders	12%			
Increased Current Support Coll	5%			
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	5%			
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	2%			

Recommendation 4 - Conducting Comprehensive Training						
Increased Efficiency and Better Methodology						
Efficiency	FTE savings	Labor	Loaded labor	5 yrs loaded		
Fewer FTEs	8	280,000	560,000	2,800,000		

Training Performance Impact	
Category	
Increased Paternities	8%
Increased Orders	8%
Increased Current Support Coll	4%
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	4%
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	2%



Recommendation 5 - Strategic Planning for Program and IT Process Category Increased Paternities 2% Increased Orders 2% Increased Current Support Coll 2% Increased Paying Arrears 2% Cases Cost-effectiveness 4% Improvement

NOMADS replacement not included

Recommendation 6 - Paternity					
	One-time Training Costs	Ongoing Training Costs Yrs 1-5	Total Training Costs		
	20,000	100,000	120,000		

Category	
Increased Paternities	15%
Increased Orders	12%
Increased Current Support Coll	9%
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	6%
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	4%

27,939 children need paternity established - 50,856 have pat est. - increase focus on in-hospital and voluntary acknowledgment will add 7,600 paternities



Recommendation 7 – Administrative Process				
Training and Outreach Costs	Annual Savings			
Savings in Attorney/Paralegal Salaries at County Level	\$320,000			
Savings in decision maker salary	\$237,000			
Category				
Increased Paternities	3%			
Increased Orders	3%			
Increased Current Support Coll	2%			
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	2%			
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	5%			

Assumption is that orders will be entered faster, staff time will be reduced

Recommendation 8 - Issuing Robust, Analytical Reports					
Issuing Robust, Analytical Reports	One-time Cost	Ongoing Costs - Yrs 1 - 5	Total Additional Costs		
Applications / Servers / Reports	75,000	50,000	125,000		
Staff time reviewing reports	0	525,000	525,000		

Assume equivalent of 3 FTEs needed to review reports

Reports' Impact on Performance			
Category			
Increased Paternities	8%		
Increased Orders	8%		
Increased Current Support Coll	6%		
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	5%		
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	8%		



Recommendation 9 - Improve Document Handling					
Improve Document Handling	One-time Cost	Ongoing Costs - Yrs 1 - 5	Total Additional Costs		
Applications / Servers / Linkage to statewide system	300,000	200,000	500,000		
Net Staff Costs (2 FTEs saved)	0	-700,000	-700,000		

Document Handling's Impact on Performance			
Category			
Increased Paternities	1%		
Increased Orders	1%		
Increased Current Support Coll	1%		
Increased Paying Arrears Cases	1%		
Cost-effectiveness Improvement	2%		

Recommendation 10 - NOMADS Replacement Costs in Millions						
	10-yr cost	Annual cost	10-yr benefit	Annual benefit	Caseload	Cost/Case
Florida APDU 2004 CAMS	\$103	\$10.3	\$167.9	\$16.8	714,271	\$144.21
State Cost is 34%	\$35	\$3.5				
New Jersey - Protech 2006	\$71.3	\$7.1			363,323	\$196.24
State Cost is 34%	\$24.2	\$2.4				
Nevada estimate	\$31.4	\$3.14	\$51.18	\$5.2	114,440	\$274.38
Nevada state cost (34%)	\$10.68	\$1.1				

NJ caseload is 50.8% of FL caseload and NJ cost is 70% of FL

NV caseload is 31.5% of NJ caseload and NV cost is 44% of NJ (using 5/7 ratio of caseload to cost) = \$31.4 million