

Present: Wilson, T. Hafen, Frazzini, Homer, Swallow, Brookman,
Espinoza, May, Foote

Absent: None

Guests: Richard Cassidy, State Welfare Division, Gloria Handley,
State Welfare, Marlene Sonju, State Welfare Division
Mr. Wahrenbrock, Dept. of Health Welfare & Rehab.,
Mrs. Bowman, State Welfare Board member.

Meeting called to order at 3:30 pm.

AB-476 - Authorizes transfer of funds required to administer
public assistance programs.

Mr. Wahrenbrock: This is tied in with the WIN program; authorizes
the department to transfer certain funds to Employee Security
Department. If the WIN program does not pass, this legislation
is not needed.

It was felt that this should be acted on at this time rather than
wait to see what happens to the WIN program. WIN program is
work incentive program, replaces the work training program,
Title V. The WIN program would be under the direction of the
Employment Security.

Marlene Sonju: This program is to train welfare recipients; part
of the Social Security ACT 4a and 4c. The welfare division will
refer the welfare recipients to the Department of Labor or
Employment Security. The purpose is to move the welfare recipients
into jobs. WIN has passed Congress, pending funding in this
session of the Legislature. Social Security Act provides that
every state must establish WIN before July 1, 1969.

It was further determined that approximately 78% of Title V
people were employed at the end of a three year program. Title V
people were not restricted to just welfare recipients; unemployed
fathers also taken into consideration.

From the department point of view, not very enthusiastic about
having the WIN program forced upon us. Most positions trained
for are with the domestic field, lesser paid jobs.

It was asked what the effect would be of not funding the WIN
program on the state level. It was determined that if this is
not funded, the Federal Government says they will pull all ADC
moneys from the state.

AB-480 - Provides additional members on certain state boards
having functions related to health.

Homer said that it should be indefinitely postponed.

Wilson said that we should look at the amendments by Frazzini
and Foote first.

Presentation was made by Frazzini and Foote and they explained their respective amendments.

224

Homer presented copy of possible new bill which would be much easier to amend. He stated that it would remove all of the impossible provisions of the other bill.

It was stated that chiropractors don't want to be on the medical examining board.

Wilson wanted to get some opinions because of the interest in as far as the bill has created two proposed amendments; thought it fair to bring it out and discuss; not too enthused about all of the bills relating to these boards.

State Board of Health given supreme power; needs to be changed because not representative.

Wahrenbrock stated that under AB-91, which was heard in the Senate Health Welfare Committee, they wish to make the health board a licensing board and increase the number on this board in that bill.

AB-516 - Provides methods for making assistance payments to residents of group care facilities.

R. Cassidy: He stated that he had had communications with the people who requested this, and had reached an agreeable compromise.

"intermediate or" to be deleted from Page 1, line 12.

Intermediate care is the most important item we have because we are taking some people in nursing homes now at \$600 a month; this care cuts in half the amount of their care.

Lines 16, 17, 18, 19; Page 1, to be deleted. Item 3 to read "The term 'Rest Home' is hereby deleted from the definition of hospital given in NRS 449.020."

Line 3, Page 1, to read " 'Group care facility' (Rest Home) means" etc.

Line 5, Page 2, delete "shall" and add "may" in its place.

Page 2, Line 21, after the word "facility" shall read: "facility [.] , and dispose of them in accordance with procedures adopted by the State Welfare Board. If the welfare division " etc.

Sec. 5, Page 2, Lines 24 through 32 to be eliminated. The following to be substituted:

"Sec. 5. The Welfare Division shall make an agreement with each individual adult group care facility specifying the rate of payment and describing the personal care and health supervision to be provided the recipient."

It was questioned whether there would be another way to work this out without putting it into law. It was asked if this solves

the problem that they were raising; certainly a far better situation than in the past.

Addition to Section 1, Page 1, Line 13:

"(d) Intermediate Care Facility means an adult group care facility that meets the safety and sanitation requirements of nursing homes licensed by the State of Nevada, and providing a higher level of personal care and health supervision plus 24-hour awake supervision for ten (10) or more ambulatory, or semiambulatory adult individuals."

SB-232 - Authorizes director of department of health, welfare and rehabilitation to enter into agreement with Internal Revenue Service.

Wahrenbrock: Do not know who introduced or why introduced. Do not believe that the Internal Revenue will open up their books even if passed.

Foote: This bill came directly out of the subcommittee investigating the welfare division. There was a problem of the relative's responsibility; some felt that we should decide that the relatives be responsible so they introduced this bill.

Espinoza: Could get indication of their income by tax returns; could require that the families furnish to the welfare department copies of their income tax returns.

AB-585 - Directs transfer of certain state lands from division of state lands to department of health, welfare and rehabilitation.

Section 1, Line 22 should read "tance of 69.72 feet" etc.
Page 2, Line 5 should read "thence N.87°57'40" E." etc.

AB-587 - Authorizes state welfare board to hear appeals by applicants for aid to blind.

Mrs. Bowman: The board was quite concerned that it was in this bill that the fair hearing would be held by the State Welfare Board. With the number of fair hearings that will be coming up and the amount of travel involved because this board doesnot live in Carson City. This board cannot convene often enough to hear these. The board is trying to devise a plan where they will be heard within the 60 day limit.

It was suggested that there was a bad situation in the past, but the board is taking measures to see that this does not happen again. Felt that a disinterested party, not from the welfare board, hear these cases.

It was said that all fair hearings are under revision as far as categorical welfare.

The sixty day limit is that a decision has to be rendered within sixty days.

Espinoza moved to give consideration to AB-229 and AB-259.

Chairman indicated that he wished to act on other bills first.

AB-587 - Authorizes state welfare board to hear appeals by applicants for aid to blind.

Frazzini moved that AB-587 be "indefinitely postponed".

Espinoza seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-585 - Directs transfer of certain state lands from division of state lands to department of health, welfare and rehabilitation.

Homer motioned a "do pass" as amended.

May seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

SB-232 - Authorizes director of department of health, welfare and rehabilitation to enter into agreement with Internal Revenue Service.

Foote motioned a "do pass"

Brookman seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-516 - Provides methods for making assistance payments to residents of group care facilities.

May moved a "do pass" as amended.

Foote seconded

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-480 - Provides additional members on certain state boards having functions related to health.

Foote moved to indefinitely postpone.

Swallow seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-476 - Authorizes transfer of funds required to administer public assistance programs.

Swallow moved a "do pass"

Frazzini seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

BDR- 40-607

To be a couple of additions on the second page.

Frazzini moved that the committee introduce.

Foote seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-710

Frazzini moved to "indefinitely postpone".

No action taken.

BDR 38-1799

Foote moved that the committee introduce.

May seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

BDR 40-1239

May moved that the committee introduce.

Frazzini seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

BDR 16-1857

Espinoza moved that the committee introduce.

May seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

BDR 16-1844

May moved a "do pass" for introduction and refer to Judiciary.

Frazzini seconded.

Motion carried unanimously.

AB-229 and AB-259

To hear subcommittee report on AB-229 on the recommendations made by this committee.

Espinoza moved that take AB-229 and AB-259 under consideration at this time.

Foote stated that at this time we would give preferential treatment to AB-229 and forget AB-259.

Frazzini said that Espinoza's motion was out of order.

Wilson said that we would hear report on AB-229.

Frazzini: We were directed to have joint committee hearing to make amendments to AB-229.

May motioned "indefinite postponement" on AB-259.

Frazzini: Do believe motion is out of order.

Chairman: Motion as such is out of order.

We are not considering 259 and 229 will be used as a guideline.

Motion carried to "indefinite postponement" of AB-259 with a "no" vote by Espinoza.

Frazzini read her report with recommendations. Said that they had tried to cover every event.

Homer questioned the 16th week along with the 90 day residency. He said that unless the residency was higher, that the state could become an abortion mill. Discussion followed.

Homer moved that the residency be changed to 6 months.

Frazzini asked to hold off until they could get some medical advise.

Frazzini seconded.

Motion carried with Espinoza voting "no".

Espinoza moved to cancel AB-229.
May seconded.

"No, under discussion.

This subcommittee has worked hard on this and we should at least have a floor vote on this.

Let's see what Judiciary does as this will be sent to Judiciary.

Brookman said " motion out of order".

May stated that he would withdraw his second to the motion if Espinoza would withdraw his motion and make a motion that this committee "indefinitely postpone" in regard to the amendments on this subcommittee report.

Espinoza withdrew original motion and made motion as above.

Frazzini moved that no further action be taken until Judiciary acts and then this will again be back to this committee for further action.

Brookman seconded.

Homer stated that we voted to consider a bill; it was a waste of time for the subcommittee to work on this and then kill the action without having it voted on by the entire Assembly.

Frazzini: I moved that no further action be taken until the Judiciary Committee acts; and if they act in the committee, then we will take action here again.

Vote: "nos" Espinoza, May, Swallow & Hafen.
"yeses" Frazzini, Homer, Brookman, Foote.

Tie vote :

Wilson: I consider this to be a tough decision. If I vote "yes" here, I will still have to vote "no" against a bill that would open up abortion in the state. I am going to vote to let the amendments go to the Judiciary and see what happens there.

The proposed amendments as amended will be presented to the Judiciary Committee.

Espinoza questioned whether this bill would come back to this committee again if now sent to Judiciary. Discussion followed and was ascertained that this bill would come back to this committee before it went to the floor of the Assembly.

Committee adjourned.