

Assembly

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING HELD MARCH 13TH, 1969

46

Members Present: Hafen, Tyson, Glaser, Ashworth and Kean

Members Absent: Howard, Wood

Also Present: James Bailey, Department of Motor Vehicles
Tom Cook, Deputy Attorney General
Bob Guinn, Nevada Motor Transport Association and Nevada
Franchise Auto Dealers Association
Darryl Capurro also of Nevada Motor Transport Association
Virgil Anderson, AAA
Grant Davis, Bill Drafter

Chairman Hafen convened the meeting at 10:15 A.M.

Chairman Hafen: "First of all we have AB 86."

Mr. Ashworth moved that the Committee of Transportation bring back for discussion AB 86.

Mr. Kean amended the motion that Committee do pass AB 86.

Mr. Jim Bailey said that the Motor Vehicle Department had an expedient problem on this as we have a thing now that says they only have to pay \$15.00 for renewal and if this act isn't do passed the Department will lose \$10.00 which will amount to a great deal of money.

Mr. Ashworth: "Jim, I don't think that tomorrow or the day following will make that much difference. I don't think we should do pass without reference to Committee."

Chairman Hafen: "Is the time that important?"

Mrs. Tyson then seconded the first motion to bring back AB 86 for discussion."
Motion seconded.
Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Hafen next brought up AB 271 which revises rules of the road.

Grant Davis said that the reason for this revision is that they want to make rules of the road more uniform throughout the United States. We are in pretty good shape but the rules aren't too uniform in the cities. We have a gimmick to take care of that though. It has been a bill drafting problem and if you will notice in the back of the bill, last page, we have repealed the existing rules. Some of the language appearing in these sections is identical to what we now have. We decided to repeal the whole first bunch, he said. He then asked if they wanted to go through section by section.

He then went on to say the only way they changed Section 3 was to designate that culdesac was not an alley. He brought out that a street is within the city, a road is used to designate anything outside the city limits and a highway is anything. He suggested that in Section 5, line 18 should be change to over 14 inches in diameter, instead of 20 inches.

Assembly

Transportation Committee Meeting

- 2 -

March 13, 1969

47

Mr. Kean moved that Section 5, line 18 should be amended to read fourteen inches.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Davis said that in Section 6 the existing rule read ten passengers and he thought the revised one should also.

Mrs. Tyson so moved.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Guinn said that he thought they should look over Section 76 of the bill. He then said the cities would adopt the revised rules because that if they failed to do this the fines would go to the state and therefore they would comply in order to get the money.

Mr. Ashworth asked if this was going to encourage the state highway department to go to the city and counties to police these laws. Mr. Davis said no. Mr. Ashworth then asked that supposing Elko doesn't incorporate this, Mr. Guinn answered that the fines collected would then go to the state. Mr. Ashworth said he didn't like this at all and that it wasn't the American way.

Mr. Kean said that the tourist industry was important to the state and he said he felt we owed it to them to have a somewhat uniform law throughout.

Mr. Guinn said that we have a great deal of difficulty in knowing what is a state law or a city or county ordinance.

Mr. Ashworth went on to say this was all well and good but he objected to fines being taken away from them.

Discussion was held on various sections. Such questions were asked as what or when is a station wagon considered a carrier such as a truck. It was answered that this has to be determined in each case as to what kind of load it carries etc.

Mr. Kean moved that in Section 16, line 34 the word be changed from "paved" to "traveled".
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

It was decided that the chairman make a study of Section 21, and to hold Section 22 and 23.

Mr. Kean moved that on page 3 starting on line 34, section 28 that we delete the present definition and insert the uniform vehicle code.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mr. Glaser moved that the words "operate" be changed to "drive" in Section 30, lines 44, 45, and 50.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Assembly

Transportation Committee Meeting

- 3 -

March 13th, 1969

Mr. Kean moved that Section 33 be changed to conform to AB 264.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mrs. Tyson moved that in Section 35, line 13, page 4 the word "operating" be changed to "driving" and that in line 15 same section the word "operation" changed to "driving".
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

It was agreed to change Section 37 to uniform vehicle code.

Mr. Glaser moved that in Section 41, line 38 that word "paved" should be changed to "traveled".
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mr. Kean moved that Section 45, page 4 to be changed to uniform vehicle code.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mr. Kean moved that line 32, page 5 "drive" should be changed to "driver".
Motion passed.
Motion carried.

Mr. Kean moved that two new sub-sections, the defining of security agreement and one of security interest be included.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mr. Kean moved that on Section 58 line 6 a line be added "except poll trailer".
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.

Mr. Kean moved that in Section 70, line 47 that words be added "except as "pole trailer".

Mr. Glaser moved to adjourn the meeting at 12:15.
Motion seconded.
Motion carried.