

Assembly

MINUTES OF MEETING - WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE - NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE

APRIL 21, 1969

55th SESSION

The meeting was called to order by Chairman R. Young at 12:15 P.M. in the Ways and Means Room.

Present: R. Young, Howard, Bowler, Ashworth, Webb, F. Young, Jacobsen, Close, Glaser

Absent: None

S.B. 532 Provides for certain additions to Nevada Highway Patrol.

Mr. Frank Young moved that S.B. 532 be reported out with a DO PASS.

Mr. Ashworth seconded the motion.
Motion passed unanimously.

S. B. 539 Makes appropriations for construction, acquisition of certain state capital improvements.

Mr. Earl Oliver stated that it appropriated \$4,594,572 from the General Fund and \$345,600 from the Highway Fund.

Mr. Bowler moved that S.B. 539 be reported out with a DO PASS.

Mr. Glaser seconded the motion.
Motion passed unanimously.

S.B. 546 Proposes substantial amendments to public school state financial support formula; requires school districts to pay employers' contributions to public employees' retirement fund; provides limitations relating to teachers' salary schedules; makes appropriations to and authorizes expenditures from state distributive school fund.

Mr. Glaser commented that if the ratio was 1 to 1.70 the School Board could be forced into greater ratios.

Mr. Close wondered what happens if an athletic teacher has extra duties or there is a similar situation.
It was noted that this is taken care of in the bill in Section 5, Subsection 3.

Mr. Frank Young illustrated figures on the blackboard showing the effect of the salary limitation.

Mr. Glaser wondered what the 1.7% would save us.

It was suggested to have Senator Gibson come in to tell why he took out the amount.

Speaker Howard McKissick was present to announce that the Senate had just passed out S.B. 546 and S.B. 548 with a DO PASS.

The Senate has asked that Mr. Lincoln Liston and Mr. Burnell Larson to come over and talk to the Assembly on these bills.

Mr. Frank Young stated that we should ask them to talk to the Assembly also.

Mr. Close suggested that the Deputy Fiscal Analyst make a list of comparisons of all bills, like the Governor's bill, Department bills, the Assembly's bills and the Senate's bills and to work out gross income figures from the Department of Education.

Mr. Frank Young commented that he had just talked to Senator Gibson and the reason he changed the amount was to make it more palatable. He also stated that we ought to take a closer look at it.

Earl Oliver explained by examples the difference between Mr. Howard Barrett's estimated revenues and general fund balance at June 1, 1971, and Mr. Bob Bruce, Fiscal Analyst.

Mr. Webb said that Senator Gibson felt 1.7% is about as far as we could go at this time.

Mr. Burnell Larson, Lincoln Liston, and Mr. John Gamble were present to discuss A.B. 650, A.B. 661, A.B. 791 and S.B. 546.

It was asked of Mr. Larson why the Senate changed 1 to 1.7%. The Ways and Means went 1.25% and 1.36%, why did they leave this out.

Mr. Larson stated that most of their calls received are on lack of money. Some school districts felt they should have the opportunity to go beyond the 1.25% and 1.36%.

Mr. Webb suggested and Mr. Close asked Mr. Liston to get the comparisons on S.B. 650, A.B. 661, A.B. 791 and S.B. 546.

Mr. Close asked Mr. Liston to get comparisons on those bills on the gross money, average salary, amount of money we are presently putting into the State, where we stand nation wide, where we stand in the Western states, all on the same piece of paper.

Mr. Ashworth stated that we will ask for a recess when they get ready with the figures and we will come back here and review the figures.

The meeting adjourned at 1:25 P.M.

April 21, 1969

	A. B. 650 Executive Budget	A. B. 661 Department Bill	A. B. 791 Assembly Version	S. B. 546 Senate Version
1. State responsibility to continue support at present level	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000
2. Added to eliminate P. L. 874	1,582,000	1,582,000	1,582,000	1,582,000
3. Added to provide support for 2½% handicapped	371,000	371,000	371,000	371,000
4. Added to increase basic support:				
a. Per pupil	\$ 35	\$ 58	(69-70) (70-71) (47) (58)	(69-70) (70-71) (53) (68)
b. Total dollars	\$ 8,248,000	\$ 13,668,000	\$ 12,392,000	\$ 14,392,000
Sub-total of 2, 3 and 4b	\$10,201,000	\$15,621,000	\$14,345,000	\$16,345,000
5. Added to pay Retirement	-0- (Not included)	-0- (Not included)	\$ 7,400,000	\$ 7,400,000
6. Increase in spending power percent	6.3%	10%	(69-70) (70-71) 8% 10%	(69-70) (70-71) 9% 11%
7. Possible average salary increase (teaching personnel)	\$524	\$832	\$666 \$832	\$749 \$915
8. Probable National rank	U.S. West 8th 2nd	U.S. West Better than 8th 2nd	U.S. West Better than 8th 2nd	U. S. West Better than 8th 2nd

If no state increases more than 6 percent

S.B. 546

PROPOSED CHANGES TO
THE BASIC SUPPORT GUARANTEE
ESTABLISHED FOR EACH OF THE
SEVERAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN THE STATE

<u>COUNTIES</u>	<u>Current Formula</u>	<u>Equivalent Best Three Months</u>	<u>Increase Proposed</u>	<u>77% 1st Year</u>	<u>EXHIBIT A</u>		
					<u>Retirement Needs</u>	<u>1969-70 Totals</u>	<u>1970-71 Totals</u>
Churchill	\$ 511	\$ 504	\$ 64	\$ 49	\$ 32	\$ 585	\$ 600
Clark	474	467	63	48	30	545	560
Douglas	475	468	100	78	32	578	600
Elko	494	487	100	78	34	599	621
Esmeralda	1,101	1,086	109	85	58	1,229	1,253
Eureka	712	702	182	144	50	896	934
Humboldt	500	493	121	95	31	619	645
Lander	513	506	118	92	34	632	658
Lincoln	664	655	117	92	36	783	808
Lyon	507	500	93	72	34	606	627
Mineral	514	507	54	41	33	581	594
Nye	543	535	134	105	32	672	701
Ormsby	513	506	73	56	30	592	609
Pershing	517	510	85	66	36	612	631
Storey	642	633	345	274	45	952	1,023
Washoe	477	470	68	52	31	553	569
White Pine	530	523	86	67	32	622	641

SUMMARY OF GENERAL FUND
APPROPRIATION REQUIREMENT
UNDER PROPOSED CHANGES TO
SCHOOL DISTRICTS BASIC SUPPORT GUARANTEE

NEED

1969-70

1970-71

Estimated Highest Three Months A.D.A.	116,000	123,000
Proposed Basic Support Guarantee		
Per Pupil	\$ 562.46	\$ 577.66
Resulting Basic Support Requirement	\$65,245,662	\$ 71,052,360
Deduct Estimated Local Funds Available		
.007 Times Estimated Assessed		
Valuation	11,963,000	12,682,000
Estimated 1% Local School Support Tax	14,200,000	12,500,000
Total Estimated Local Funds Available	\$26,163,000	\$28,182,000
Resulting State Basic Support		
Requirement	<u>\$39,082,662</u>	<u>\$42,870,360</u>

FINANCING

General Fund Appropriation Required	(1) \$37,676,622	\$41,381,360
Out-State 1% Tax	750,000	800,000
Land Leases and Interest Receipts	656,000	689,000
Total Fund Available	<u>\$39,082,662</u>	<u>\$42,870,360</u>
Executive Budget Recommended Appropriation	(1) <u>\$35,777,000</u>	<u>\$38,013,000</u>
Additional General Fund Appropriation Requirement	(1) <u>\$ 1,899,662</u>	<u>\$ 3,368,360</u>