

Assembly

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
MARCH 30, 1973

MEMBERS PRESENT: CHAIRMAN DINI
VICE-CHAIRMAN ULLOM
ASSEMBLYMAN BROOKMAN
ASSEMBLYMAN MAY
ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH
ASSEMBLYMAN GETTO
ASSEMBLYMAN GOJACK
ASSEMBLYMAN YOUNG
ASSEMBLYMAN FORD

ALSO PRESENT: SEE ATTACHED LIST

The meeting was called to order by Vice-chairman Ullom.

* AB 763 - Enacts the Nevada Urban Transportation Act..

See Exhibit 1
Mr. Hampton, representing Mr. Monahan of the Regional Street Recreational Commission, asked that action on this bill be delayed until such time as Mr. Mohanan can testify on this matter.

Assemblyman Ford asked how this bill would effect the Commission.

Mr. Hampton told the committee that the bill would conflict with the plans made by the commission.

Mr. Clark told the committee that the Public Service Commission was not in a position to enforce any authority over this matter. He also stated that he felt it would interfere with the control the PSC has over charter service at present.

Mr. Quinn spoke in opposition to the bill.

Mr. Crosby told the committee that if this bill were passed there was a chance that Nevada could lose federal funds for highway construction.

Assemblyman Ford told the committee that it was interesting to see how much interest there was in this subject.

Assemblyman Ford roved that AB 763 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED". Assemblyman Young seconded the motion.

* AB 818 - Authorizes governmental units to lease, grant property trust for furtherance of public functions for unlimited terms.

Mr. Robert A. Smith told the committee that as a member of the Committee for Efficiency in Mass Transit, he opposed the

Exhibit 2

Assembly
GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
MARCH 30, 1973
PAGE 2

right of eminent domain.

Mr. Blakley told the committee that this was merely a technical amendment to Chapter 242B. It provides in short, a express granted power to members of city councils, county commissions to vote on agreements or leases with public trusts, without regard to statutory provisions requiring contracts to end with the term of a member of a commission.

Assemblyman Smith stated it was an insult to the committee to refer to this bill as merely a technical amendment.

Assemblyman Ford questioned why 40 years was mentioned in the bill.

Mr. Blakley said this was the time that fit in with the selling of the bonds.

Assemblyman May suggested that this bill was too broad.

Assemblyman Smith expressed the thought that perhaps it was too late in the Session to proceed with this matter.

Assemblyman Gojack said she had fears that this might turn out like BART.

Assemblyman Ford expressed her fears of elected officials putting the people who follow after them in a position they do not agree with.

Assemblyman Ullom suggested that the bill be amended to include the words "public officials can not enter into contracts with created public liability".

Mr. Mac Donald spoke to the committee in support of the bill.

Assemblyman Smith suggested that the committee read the contract.

The chairmansuggested a hearing be held on this bill.

AB 841 - Provides for witness fees of police officers on hourly basis.

Mr. Meder told the committee that since the cost of such a bill could not be ascertained, the County Commissioners Association opposed it. He also stated that this would open up the area to negotiations.

Assemblyman May moved that AB 841 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".

Assemblyman Ford seconded the motion.

The motion carried.

Assemblyman Ullom did not vote.

Assembly

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
MARCH 30, 1973
PAGE 3

Assemblyman Ford moved that AB 525 be reconsidered.
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblyman May voted NO.

Assemblyman Dini moved that AB 525 be re-referred to Judiciary.
Assemblyman Ford seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblyman May voted No.

Assemblyman Dini moved that AB 705 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman May seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Young moved that AB 702 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman Ford seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Gojack moved that AB 734 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman May seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Young moved that AB 569 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Ford moved that AB 6 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman Dini seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Dini moved that committee action on AB450 be rescinded.
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblyman Young voted NO.

Assemblyman Ford moved that AB 199 be "AMEND AND DO PASS."
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
Assemblymen Ford, Gojack, Getto, Smith and Ullom voted YES.
Assemblymen Dini, May and Young voted NO.
The motion did not carry.

Assemblyman Dini moved that AB 199 be "INDEFINITELY POSTPONED".
Assemblyman May seconded the motion.
Assemblymen Ford, Gojack, Getto, Smith, and Ullom voted NO.
Assemblymen Young, May and Dini voted YES,
The motion did not carry.

Assemblyman Smith moved that AB 199 be amended by deleting Section 2.
Assemblyman Dini seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblymen Young and May voted NO.

Assembly

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
MARCH 30, 1973
PAGE 4

Assemblyman Getto moved that AB 636 be given an "AMEND AND DO PASS"
Assemblyman Smith seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Assemblyman Ford moved AB 810 be given a "DO PASS".
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblymen Young and May voted NO.
Assemblyman Getto did not vote.

Assemblyman Ford moved "DO PASS" on AB 812
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried.
Assemblyman May voted NO.

Assemblyman Ford moved "AMEND AND DO PASS" on AB 723.
Assemblyman Gojack seconded the motion.
The motion carried.

Original document is of poor quality

DATE: 3-30

NAME	DESIRE TO TESTIFY	BILL #	REPRESENTING
Laurence Hampton	Yes AB 763 →		Clark Co Public Works
	1/20 15415		
Bob [unclear]			
William [unclear]	No		" "
R. H. [unclear]			
Bob Warren	yes AB 763 ABS AB 841 763, 841		N M A
	Yes		

ASSEMBLY

AGENDA FOR COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS

Date 3/30 Time Afternoon Room 214
Recess

<u>Bills or Resolutions to be considered</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Counsel requested*</u>
--	----------------	-------------------------------

AB 723	Expands jurisdiction of county fair and recreation boards and extends duration of short-term financing.	
AB 763	Enacts the Nevada Urban Transportation Act.	
AB 818	Authorizes governmental units to lease, grant property to trusts for furtherance of public functions for unlimited terms.	
AB 841	Provides for witness fees of police officers on hourly basis.	
AB 846	Increases salary of Pershing County district attorney and prohibits him from engaging in private practice.	

700
CLARK COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

COUNTY COURT HOUSE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
(702) 386-4011

AB 763

April 4, 1973

MRS. JEAN FORD
c/o Ormsby House
Carson City, Nevada

Dear Mrs. Ford:

As per your inquiry, the Clark County Regional Planning Council intends to undertake, as part of its 1973-1974 work program, a comprehensive regional mass transit planning study and develop a long range transit plan and short range implementation program.

The Council has already retained the consulting firm of VTN and has submitted a preliminary application for financial assistance to the Urban Mass Transit Administration. Depending upon the availability of federal monies, it is anticipated that work on the study will commence in July of this year.

The goals and objectives of our Mass Transit Study may be stated as follows:

1. To develop a long range public transportation projection.
2. To determine the system best suited to serve the long range transportation needs.
3. To determine the routes best suited to serve the long range needs of the Las Vegas urbanized area.
4. To develop a long range public transit plan.
5. To develop a stage implementation program with emphasis on the first five to ten years.
6. To determine alternative methods of financing and operation of the transit system.

I am enclosing a copy of our application to UMTA for a more detailed explanation of the program.

April 4, 1973
Mrs. Jean Ford
Page 2

I am sure that you are questioning the relative position of the proposed Las Vegas monorail within our total mass transit planning program. It seems obvious that whether or not the private venture succeeds or fails, there still will be a need, at some point in time, for a public transportation system in the Las Vegas Valley reflecting the needs and desires of the citizens. The monorail, as proposed, is not a public transportation system but is only one mode of transporting people in the Valley, people who for the most part are visitors to and not residents of our area. In this light, the monorail is closer to being an "amusement ride" than a viable mass transit system.

If I can be of any additional assistance, please do not hesitate to call or write.

Sincerely yours,

CLARK COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL



ROBERT HANZEL
Acting Executive Director

RH/cc

Enclosure

John

Keep this in mind:

If AB 818 should pass, the Legislature:

1. Further broadens an already existing law (NRS 242B) allowing great latitude and broad powers to units of governments under an extremely broad definition of "public function"

(How many new projects are being contemplated across the State under this ACT?)
2. Makes legal the Clark County-Custom Cab contract which was put together in a highly questionable manner and agreed to as an "add-on" item with no public hearing in Dec. 1971.
3. Quite likely jeopardizes future chances for federal funding in Clark County to implement its own area-wide mass transportation study now underway.
4. In effect, under the existing mono-rail plan, would take away all Public Service Commission controls over existing bus system when it is taken over by Custom Cab, etc. (all utility created by public trust is exempt from PSC control)

If AB 818 should die:

1. Clark County is not liable in any way should the Cavanaugh-Custom Cab people pull out.
2. Following Regional Planning Council's present \$127,000 area-wide mass transportation study (paid with federal funds), there would be good chance of getting federal funds for implementation and a chance to do the job right!
3. The mono-rail project could still be viable; according to their own testimony, the bill just makes it a little safer and acceptable to bonding attorneys. Financing of bonds would have to be arranged for 30 instead of 40 years cutting into anticipated profits (estimated at one time to be \$8 million a year).

Recall at the end of the evening meeting when the Cavanaugh attorneys themselves offered to drop the eminent domain portion of bill (was it a smoke screen for what they really need in portion one of the bill?)

I sincerely feel that the Government Affairs Committee, in looking at the best interests of the State, should indefinitely postpone AB 818 and urge your support for that action.

WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

1971:

Papers processed - 18066
Papers served - *15482
Papers returned -** 2584

1972:

Papers processed - 15788
Papers served - *14642
Papers returned -** 1146

REVENUE:

\$27,584.34

\$25,852.62

Approximate revenue per paper based on 60% Fee Services is \$2.95

MANHOURS AND COSTS FOR SERVICES:

Five (5) Deputies	10,000 hours	\$ 55,000.00
Three (3) Clerks	6,000 hours	\$ 24,000.00
Deputies - overtime	2,400 hours	\$ <u>12,000.00</u>
		\$ 91,000.00

VEHICLES:

Five (5) at 1,300 miles per month
78,000 miles @ \$.15 per mile

	\$ <u>11,700.00</u>
--	---------------------

TOTAL \$102,700.00 ✓

These cost figures do not cover any other expenses, i.e., office space, office supplies, etc.

*40% of all papers served are FREE SERVICE. State, County, or City cases where no fees are allowed.

**Papers returned unsatisfied usually require much more of the Deputy's time. As a general rule, an attempt at service will be made a minimum of four (4) times before a paper is returned. This, in effect, is all free and no charge is made.

Projected income based on an average of the preceding two years, using the 60% fee service figure, and an average of \$7.50 per paper would be 9,037 papers @ \$7.50 \$ 67,777.50

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

APRIL 10, 1973

P.M. Adjournment

Room 214

- SB 140 Recognizes prudent man investment rule and removes certain Public Employees' Retirement System investment restrictions.
- SB 329 Changes procedures for paying administrative expenses involved in state purchasing and central mail room.
- SB 360 Eliminates certain incorrect internal references in NRS.
- SB 376 Increases sheriff's fees for service of process and related matters.

GRAND JURY
IMPANELMENT

This being the time set for the selection of a Grand Jury in open meeting, pursuant to the following Order for Impanelment of Grand Jury, filed December 7, 1971:

ORDER FOR IMPANELMENT OF A GRAND JURY

UPON considering the Verified Petition herein, and good cause appearing,
NOW, THEREFORE, the Court finds that the public interest does require that a Grand Jury be impaneled and for good cause shown and in accordance with N.R.S. 6.110, it is ORDERED that:

1. A Grand Jury be impaneled, to be known as the 1972 Clark County Grand Jury;
2. A District Judge be designated by the District Judges of this District who shall meet with the Board of County Commissioners at a regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners to be held on December 20, 1971, at the hour of 2:00 P.M., in the Commissioner's Chambers at the Clark County Courthouse, 200 East Carson Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, which meeting shall be open to the public, and at that time and place, thirty-six (36) persons shall be selected as proposed Grand Jurors in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada, from the Clark County's Register of Registered Voters;
3. Notice of said meeting shall be given substantially in the form set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and by publication in the Las Vegas Review Journal and the Las Vegas Sun at least twice prior to said meeting on December 20, 1971, and it is suggested, but not mandatory, that the Notice appear on or about December 10, 1971, and December 17, 1971; and
4. Copies of this Order shall be served forthwith upon each member of the Clark County Board of Commissioners.

DATED this 7th day of December, 1971.

/s/ Howard W. Babcock
DISTRICT JUDGE

ROY A. WOOFER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
/s/ Roy A. Woofert

the following persons were present: Judge Howard W. Babcock, Deputy District Attorney Raymond D. Jeffers and Deputy District Attorney Charles L. Garner.

At the request of the Board the selection of a Grand Jury was continued until January 5, 1972 at 2:00 p.m.

Pursuant to the recommendation of Director of Aviation Erle A. Taylor, upon motion by Commissioner Broadbent, the Board authorized the Department of Aviation to operate the McCarran Parking Lot for a four to six month period.

AGREEMENT-CITY & COUNTY/
A.J. KAVANAUGH &
ASSOCIATES, INC. AND
CUSTOM CABS, INC.-
TRANSIT SYSTEM

At this time Chairman Ryan vacated the Chair to Vice-Chairman Brennan and moved that the County enter into the following Agreement with A. J. Kavanaugh & Associates, Inc. and Custom Cabs, Inc. and the City of Las Vegas for the exclusive right to design, manufacture, engineer, construct, finance, operate and maintain an automated rapid transit system for the Las Vegas metropolitan area:

AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT made and entered into this 30th day of December, 1971, by and between the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, and the County Commission of Clark County, Nevada, hereinafter referred to separately as "City" and "County" respectively and collectively as "City County", and a joint venture composed of Custom Cabs, Inc., a corporation having its principal office and place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada, and A. J. Kavanaugh and Associates, Inc., a corporation having its principal office and place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, hereinafter called "Contractors".

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, there exists a critical need for an efficient elevated rapid transit system ("System") to serve the City of Las Vegas and portions of Clark County, outside the corporate boundaries of said City which would connect McCarran International Airport with various business districts, of the City, the entertainment centers, hotels and other points of interest within the Las Vegas metropolitan area, and

332

WHEREAS, neither the City nor the County presently have funds with which to plan, construct, acquire and operate such System; and

WHEREAS, a vehicle for the financing, construction and operation of various public improvements was made available by the enactment of Senate Bill No. 607 at the 1971 Session of the Nevada Legislature which provides for the creation of public trusts having the State or any county, municipality, political or governmental subdivision as beneficiaries thereof, such trusts having authority to issue securities or evidences of indebtedness to provide funds for the furthering of authorized and proper functions of their beneficiaries and to repay indebtedness out of revenues; and

WHEREAS, Contractors have offered to contract with a public trust having as its beneficiary or beneficiaries, either the City or the County or both the City and the County, to do necessary preliminary engineering, design and feasibility studies and, if such System should be found by the interested parties to be economically feasible, to design, construct, operate and maintain such System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

1. Contractor shall have the exclusive right, for a period of two (2) years after the acceptance of an assignment of this agreement by the public trust to be created as herein provided, to do, or cause to be done, certain "preliminary work" consisting of investigations, studies, traffic surveys, preliminary planning and engineering, development of performance criteria, preparation of cost estimates and such other things as may be necessary in order to determine the engineering and economic feasibility of the System. Contractor shall promptly proceed in good faith with such preliminary work and agrees that a report as to the technical and economic feasibility of systems shall be made to the trustees of such public trust within such two (2) year period.

2. If, after completion of the preliminary work mentioned above in paragraph 1, it should be determined by the interested parties including the County and the parties to this Agreement, that the System, or a substantial portion thereof, is technically and economically feasible, the trustees of such trust and Contractor shall, without unnecessary or unreasonable delay, cooperate and use best efforts to mutually agree upon a definitive contract, which shall provide that Contractor shall manufacture, engineer, construct and arrange for the financing of such System with revenue bonds to be issued by the trust, and that upon the completion of construction thereof Contractor, or an operating company formed by Contractor, shall have the exclusive right, duty and obligation to operate and maintain the System until the expiration of forty (40) years after the date of its completion and acceptance by such trust or until the retirement of all indebtedness incurred by the public trust in the acquisition and construction of the System, whichever shall last occur.

3. City County shall furnish all necessary rights of way for the System at no cost or expense to the Trust or Contractors by permitting the use of street and highway rights of way, other public ways and lands owned or controlled by either of them for such purpose.

4. Within sixty (60) days from the date hereof, the City County, or either or them, shall cause a public trust to be created as authorized by the hereinabove mentioned Act of the legislature with the City, the County, or both, or the State of Nevada as the beneficiary thereof for the purpose of acquiring and owning said System and to provide a vehicle for obtaining funds with which to accomplish such purpose. It is understood and agreed that the City County will assign this agreement to the trustees of such trust and that such trustees shall undertake all of the duties and obligations herein set forth to be performed hereunder by either City County or such trustees provided, however, that the City County shall not be relieved by such assignment of the obligation of furnishing necessary rights-of-way and of cooperating generally with Contractors and the trust in the project. It is further understood and agreed that such trust after the approval by the County Commissioners will issue revenue bonds in such principal amount as may be required to pay construction costs with adequate allowance for contingencies, to provide for payment of interest during construction and to provide required reserves for debt service and to provide working capital. The System shall be owned by the trust subject only to the security interests of bond holders or lenders in connection with the financing of the project and to the operating rights of Contractors, or an operating company formed by them, as hereinafter provided in paragraph 6.

5. Legal counsel of the City, the County and the trust will cooperate with legal counsel of the Contractors and of their supporting associates in the preparation of the instruments, documents and agreements necessary to the successful financing, construction,

332

maintenance and operation of the System. All such instruments, documents and agreements shall be prepared with due regard as to their effect on successful financing, construction and operation of the facility and shall be mutually agreeable to the parties involved.

6. In consideration of the rights granted to Contractors under paragraph 1 hereof, Contractors agree that after the System shall have been constructed and placed in operation, Contractors shall operate and maintain said System in accordance with standards and conditions to be mutually agreed upon, that it will collect all fares and receive all revenues of the System, and that all funds over and above the cost of operation, maintenance, taxes and the funds required for reserves and other purposes under the trust documents and bond indentures shall be shared equally between the trust and the Contractors, or an operating company to be formed by them.

7. It is understood that City County has relied upon the following representations by Contractors:

(a) Contractors have an agreement with United States Steel Corporation (American Bridge Division) to provide overall coordination and supervision of the preparation of specifications, to provide assistance in the design of the support structures, to serve as prime contractors for the construction of the System and to guarantee the performance thereof for a specified period of time as therein provided.

(b) Contractors have an agreement with John Nuveen and Co., investment bankers of Chicago, Illinois, a wholly owned subsidiary of Investors Diversified Services, Inc., to act as managing underwriters and to consult and advise with Contractors and with the trustees of the trust in order to expedite the financing and construction of the System. Such investment bankers have agreed to act as financial advisors to the trust and the Contractors, and, when all conditions precedent to the financing and construction of the project have been met, satisfactory engineering and feasibility reports have been received and necessary contracts satisfactory to them for the construction and operation of the facility and to provide adequate security for the payment of the bonds have been arranged and are ready for execution, to enter into a bond purchase agreement with the trust on behalf of themselves and an underwriting group of investment bankers to be formed by them, for the purchase of the revenue bonds to finance the project at a price compatible with the market at the time for bonds of like character which are similarly secured. The County shall have the right to determine whether or not said bonds are at a price compatible with the bond market.

(c) Contractors have an agreement with Hudgins, Thompson, Ball & Associates, Inc. of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and Washington, D.C. to perform architectural-engineering work necessary to the construction of the System.

8. It is agreed that the City County or the beneficiaries of the trust will promptly take the required action to obtain a determination by the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada as to the constitutionality of Senate Bill No. 607, the validity and legality of the creation of the trust, the contracts entered into or proposed to be entered into between the trustees and others, the proposed method of financing the project and of all other matters necessary for the sale of revenue bonds to be issued by the trustees for the financing and construction of the project.

9. It is understood by the parties that said Senate Bill No. 607 provides that the State Board of Finance shall first review and approve the method of finance proposed by any trust created under said Act and shall approve the underwriters or financial institution preparing and offering a proposed bond issue for sale, as to the financial responsibility of such underwriter or financial institution, before such issue may be offered or sold. Said Act further provides that certified copies of the trust documents or prepared trust documents, together with a detailed explanation of the purpose, scope, area to be affected and other pertinent information shall be submitted to the Public Service Commission to assist the Commission in making a determination as to whether the service presently being offered by any existing transportation company or public utility would be unreasonably impaired by the approval of the trust documents and that such trust shall not become effective unless and until written approval has been given by the Commission. The parties hereto agree to cooperate in the preparation and submission of documents and information necessary to satisfy such requirements of the Act.

10. Contractors and their associates have and will be required to incur very substantial costs and expenses in connection with the preliminary planning, engineering, surveys, and studies ("preliminary work") necessary to a determination of the feasibility of the project. In the event that for any reason the City County or a

334

AGREEMENT-CITY & COUNTY/
A.J. KAVANAUGH &
ASSOCIATES, INC. AND
CUSTOM CABS, INC.-
TRANSIT SYSTEM
-continued-

public trust to be created as contemplated hereunder does not enter into a definitive contract with Contractors to construct and operate the facility, or if the City County or such trust should contract with others for the construction and operation of such facility within a period of five (5) years from this date, none of the plans, designs, drawings, specifications, surveys, studies, information or other materials furnished hereunder by Contractors or their associates shall be used unless and until all of the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses incurred for all of such "preliminary work" shall have been reimbursed to Contractors and their associates.

11. All preliminary work furnished by contractors, as mentioned in paragraph 1 hereof shall be made available for inspection, review and analysis by authorized representatives of the City and County and the trust agreement creating the public trust shall provide that no bonds can be sold to provide financing and no contract for construction or for operation of the System or any portion thereof, shall be entered into by the Trustees of such public trust without the prior approval of the City and County. Approval by the City of the bonds and contracts shall not be required however, in the event such contracts should not provide for any portion of the System to be constructed or operated within the corporate boundaries of the City.

12. The City and the County jointly and separately agrees to cooperate fully and to give such assistance to the project as may be legally given, regardless as to whether the City, County, State or any combination thereof shall be the beneficiary or beneficiaries of the trust that is created to undertake the project.

13. The addresses to which any notice permitted or required hereunder shall be delivered, unless otherwise changed by written notice, shall be as follows:

The City of Las Vegas
City Hall
Las Vegas, Nevada

The County Commission of
Clark County, Nevada
County Courthouse
Las Vegas, Nevada

Custom Cabs, Inc.

Las Vegas, Nevada

A.J. Kavanaugh and Associates, Inc.
3217 N.W. 63rd Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73116

14. This Agreement shall become effective when executed by the Contractors and either the City or the County, and in the event of its execution by either the City or the County and not by the other, all of the terms and provisions shall apply except that the route to be served by the System shall be limited, if necessary, to rights of way that can be granted by the contracting public body or obtained by it and any references herein to City County shall mean only the contracting public body.

15. The covenants and agreements contained herein shall extend to and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:

City Clerk

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

/s/ Loretta Bowman

County Clerk

ATTEST:

/s/ James H. Bilbray

Secretary

ATTEST:

/s/ Julia Kavanaugh

(SEAL)

THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

BY

Mayor

COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

BY /s/ James G. Ryan, County Commissioner

BY /s/ Myron E. Leavitt, County Commissioner

BY /s/ Tom Wiesner, County Commissioner

CUSTOM CABS, INC.

BY /s/ Arthur Olsen

Vice-President

(SEAL)

A.J. KAVANAUGH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

a corporation

BY /s/ A. J. Kavanaugh President

335

AGREEMENT-CITY & COUNTY/
A.J. KAVANAUGH &
ASSOCIATES, INC. AND
CUSTOM CABS, INC.-
TRANSIT SYSTEM
-continued-

On the question Deputy District Attorney George F. Ogilvie recommended that the contract and whole concept be referred to the County Financial Consultants to get an evaluation of what the impact on the County might be if the bonds were sold and go into default.

There was considerable discussion regarding the trust funds, sale of bonds, safeguards for the County and whether or not the County could get out if they did not want to enter into the definitive agreement after the feasibility study.

Arthur Olsen and A. J. Kavanaugh were present to advise that Paragraph 11, Page 8 provides that before the Agreement takes effect it has to be approved by the State Board of Finance and also the Underwriters. Mr. Kavanaugh assured the Board they would be agreeable to any changes to safeguard the County.

It was then moved by Commissioner Broadbent and carried by unanimous vote that the motion to enter into the Agreement be amended to include their willingness that the contract be approved subject to the District Attorney, adding the appropriate language that the County must approve the sale of the bonds before they are sold and that it includes protection that the County can withdraw at any time.

COUNTY CLAIMS

The Board approved payment of claims against the County for the month of December, 1971, Numbers 90278 through 91027; Payroll period ending December 10, 1971; Special Claims, Numbers 14560 through 15364, 626 through 683 and 685 through 711; and Bond Claims, Numbers 79479 through 79505.

CLAIMS-COMPTROLLER-
GRAID EQUIPMENT CO.
\$865.60

Pursuant to the recommendation of the District Attorney's office, upon motion by Commissioner Brennan, the Board denied the claim of Graid Equipment Co. in the amount of \$865.60, which County Comptroller Darrel Daines has refused to process for payment.

CLAIM-
BETTIE L. CRICKMON
(GARY LYNTON LONG)
\$400,000.00

Pursuant to the recommendation of Deputy District Attorney George F. Ogilvie, the Board denied the following claim:

Bettie L. Crickmon, Mother and Guardian of Gary Lynton Long, a Minor in the amount of \$400,000.00 for unsanitary conditions at Southern Nevada Memorial Hospital, caused by the alleged negligence of Hospital employees.

ASSESSOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to the recommendation of County Assessor James A. Bilbray, the Board approved deletions of the 1970/1971 and deletions and corrections of the 1971/1972 Unsecured Personal Property Assessment Roll, as follows:

4 deletions - 1970/1971
50 deletions and 10 corrections - 1971/1972

LAS VEGAS ARTESIAN
BASIN-BUDGET
FY 1971/1972

Request dated November 30, 1971 from the Division of Water Resources for approval of the Las Vegas Artesian Basin Office for Fiscal Year 1971/1972 in the amount of \$50,000.00, was referred to the Budget Office for inclusion in the Budget.

BUDGET AUGMENTATION-
SOUTHERN NEVADA
MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
\$500,000.00

Upon motion by Commissioner Broadbent, the Board directed the Clerk to publish Notice of a Public Hearing on Thursday, January 20, 1972 at 3:00 p.m. of the Board's intent to establish an appropriation in the Clark County General Fund in the amount of \$500,000.00 for Southern Nevada Memorial Hospital capital improvements or for retirement of hospital debt.

AGREEMENT-CITY OF
LAS VEGAS FIRE
DEPARTMENT-AERIAL
LADDER TRUCK

AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT made and entered into this 8th day of December, 1971, by and between the City of Las Vegas Fire Department and the County of Clark, Nevada, Fire Department.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the aerial ladder truck of Clark County is presently out of service; and
WHEREAS, the City of Las Vegas has a semi-reserve aerial ladder truck that can be loaned to the County of Clark,

NOW, THEREFORE:

1. City of Las Vegas hereby loans to the County of Clark its semi-reserve aerial ladder truck for a period of ninety (90) days, or until Clark County's aerial ladder truck

336

Ex. 12

Passed in 1971 - new NRS 242.B

Senate Bill No. 607—Committee on Commerce

CHAPTER.....

AN ACT relating to trusts for the furtherance of, or the providing of funds for the furtherance of, public functions; authorizing and designating their mode of creation; providing for the appointment, succession, powers, duties, terms and compensation of trustees and their liability; requiring annual audits; providing for the termination of such trusts; excluding the operation of certain statutes with respect to such trusts; providing tax exemptions; providing for the approval of such trusts; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Title 19 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new chapter to consist of the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 10.5, inclusive, of this act.

SEC. 2. 1. Express trusts may be created in real or personal property, or either or both, or in any estate or interest in either or both, with the state, or any county, municipality, political or governmental subdivision, or governmental agency of the state as the beneficiary thereof, and the purpose thereof may be the furtherance, or the providing of funds for the furtherance, of any authorized or proper function of the beneficiary; but no funds of the beneficiary derived from sources other than the trust property, or the operation thereof, shall be charged with or expended for the execution of the trust, except by express action of the legislative authority of the beneficiary first had.

2. The officers or any other governmental agencies or authorities having the custody, management or control of any property, real or personal or both, of the beneficiary of such trust, or of such a proposed trust, which property is necessary for the execution of the trust purposes, are hereby authorized and empowered to lease such property for such purposes, after the acceptance of the beneficial interest therein by the beneficiary as provided in this chapter, or conditioned upon such acceptance.

SEC. 3. 1. Such trusts may be created by written instruments, or by will. A written instrument shall be subscribed by the grantor or grantors and duly acknowledged as conveyances of real property are acknowledged. Before the same shall become effective the beneficial interest therein shall be accepted by the governor, if the state is the beneficiary, or by the governing body of any other beneficiary named therein, which power and authority of acceptance is hereby conferred upon the governor and upon the governing bodies of the counties, municipalities, political and governmental subdivisions, and governmental agencies of the state. Thereupon the instrument or will, together with the written acceptance of the beneficial interest endorsed thereon, shall be recorded in the office of the county recorder of each county in which is situated any real property, or any interest therein, belonging to the trust, as well as in the county where the trust property is located or its principal operations are conducted.

2. Upon the acceptance of the beneficial interest by the beneficiary as authorized in subsection 1, the same shall be and constitute a binding contract between the State of Nevada and the grantor or grantors, or the executor of the estate of the testator, for the acceptance of the beneficial

interest in the trust property by the designated beneficiary and the application of the proceeds of the trust property and its operation for the purposes and in accordance with the stipulations specified by the trustor or trustors.

3. Such trusts shall have duration for the term of duration of the beneficiary, or such shorter length of time as is specified in the instrument or will creating the trust.

SEC. 4. The instrument or will creating such trust may provide for the appointment, succession, powers, duties, term and compensation of the trustee or trustees; and in all such respects the terms of the instrument or will shall be controlling. If the instrument or will makes no provision in regard to any of the foregoing, then the general laws of the state shall control as to such omission or omissions.

SEC. 5. 1. The trustee or trustees under such an instrument or will may be an individual, individuals, partnership, corporation, national banking association or state banking association, and such trustee or trustees shall be an agency of the state and the regularly constituted authority of the beneficiary for the performance of the functions for which the trust has been created.

2. The provisions of NRS 164.050 relating to the standard of care for a trustee in investing and managing trust property apply to the trustee or trustees of a trust created by a written instrument or will pursuant to this chapter.

3. No trustee or beneficiary shall be charged personally with any liability whatsoever by reason of any act or omission committed or suffered in the performance of such trust or in the operation of the trust property; but any act, liability for any omission or obligation of a trustee or trustees, in the execution of such trust, or in the operation of the trust property, shall extend to the whole of the trust estate, or so much thereof as may be necessary to discharge such liability or obligation, and not otherwise.

SEC. 6. 1. The trustee or trustees of every trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function with the State of Nevada or any county, municipality, political or governmental subdivision, or governmental agency of the state as the beneficiary or beneficiaries thereof shall cause an audit to be made of the funds, accounts and fiscal affairs of such trust, such audit to be ordered within 30 days of the close of each fiscal year of the trust.

2. The audits required by subsection 1 shall be certified with the unqualified opinion of a certified public accountant or a public accountant notwithstanding any lesser requirement by any instrument under which the trust may have covenanted for an audit to be made or furnished. One copy of the annual audit shall be filed with the fiscal analyst of the legislative counsel bureau and one copy with each beneficiary of the trust not later than 90 days following the close of each fiscal year of the trust.

3. If a copy of the required audit is not filed with the fiscal analyst of the legislative counsel bureau within the time provided, the fiscal analyst is authorized to employ, at the cost and expense of the trust, a certified public accountant or a public accountant to make the required audit.

4. The necessary expense of such audits, including the cost of typing, printing and binding, shall be paid from funds of the trust.

SEC. 7. No franchise is required for the acquisition, ownership or operation of any properties of a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function.

SEC. 8. The provisions of the State Securities Law, the Local Government Securities Law, the University Securities Law, or of any other general, special or local statute relating to the issuance of public securities or other debt obligations do not apply to a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function.

SEC. 9. No statute, general, special or local, requiring competitive bidding applies to a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of a public function.

SEC. 10. Any trust created for the benefit and furtherance of a public function may be terminated by agreement of the trustee, or if there is more than one, then all of the trustees, and the governing body of the beneficiary, with the approval of the governor of the State of Nevada; but such trust shall not be terminated while there exists outstanding any contractual obligations chargeable against the trust property, which, by reason of such termination, might become an obligation of the beneficiary of such trust.

SEC. 10.5. The state board of finance shall first review and approve the method of finance proposed by any trust created pursuant to sections 2 to 10, inclusive, of this act, and shall approve the underwriter or financial institution preparing and offering the proposed issue for sale, as to the financial responsibility of such underwriter or financial institution, before such issue may be offered or sold.

SEC. 11. Chapter 332 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section which shall read as follows:

This chapter does not apply to a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function pursuant to the provisions of sections 2 to 10.5, inclusive, of this act.

SEC. 12. Chapter 361 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section which shall read as follows:

All property, both real and personal, of a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function pursuant to the provisions of sections 2 to 10.5, inclusive, of this act, is exempt from taxation; but moneys in lieu of taxes may be paid to the beneficiary pursuant to any agreement contained in the instrument creating the trust.

SEC. 13. NRS 704.340 is hereby amended to read as follows:

704.340 1. A municipality constructing, leasing, operating or maintaining any public utility or a trust created for the benefit and furtherance of any public function pursuant to the provisions of sections 2 to 10.5, inclusive, of this act shall not be required to obtain a certificate of public convenience []; however, any person as defined in chapter 706 of NRS, contemplating transportation by use of a motor vehicle as a common or contract carrier, or contemplating the operation of a public utility as defined in NRS 704.020, as a trust created pursuant to sections 2 to 10.5, inclusive, of this act, shall first submit a certified copy of the trust documents or prepared trust documents to the commission together with a detailed explanation of the purposes, scope, area to be affected and such other pertinent information necessary to assist the commission in making

a determination as to whether the service presently being offered by any existing transportation company or public utility would be unreasonably impaired by the approval of such trust documents.

2. The commission shall, after investigation and hearing on any contemplated trust coming within the provisions of subsection 1, submit a report of its findings and reasons therefor to the state and each political subdivision within which such trust contemplates operation. Such trust shall not become effective unless and until written approval has been given by the commission.

SEC. 14. 1. Within 30 days after the passage and approval of this act the secretary of state shall, and it is his mandatory duty to do so, mail a certified copy of this act to each board of county commissioners and each governing body of each incorporated city in the State of Nevada.

2. After compliance with the provisions of subsection 1 the secretary of state shall execute and file in his office an affidavit of such mailing, whereupon sections 1 to 13, inclusive, of this act shall become effective.

3. This section shall become effective upon passage and approval.

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 100—MR. McKISSICK

JANUARY 28, 1969

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

SUMMARY—Authorizes board of county commissioners to act as or to appoint members of board of trustees of general improvement district. (BDR 23-514)

EXPLANATION—Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to general improvement districts; authorizing the board of county commissioners to act as the board of trustees of such district or to appoint the members of such board; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

*The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly,
do enact as follows:*

- 1 SECTION 1. Chapter 318 of NRS is hereby amended by adding
2 thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 4, inclusive, of this act.
- 3 SEC. 2. 1. *The board of county commissioners shall determine*
4 *whether the board of trustees of a district is to:*
- 5 (a) *Be elected pursuant to NRS 318.080 to 318.0952, inclusive;*
6 (b) *Consist of members of the board of county commissioners as ex*
7 *officio members of such board, pursuant to section 3 of this act; or*
8 (c) *Be appointed pursuant to section 4 of this act.*
- 9 2. *A majority of the taxpayers of a district for which the members*
10 *of the board of trustees are not elected pursuant to NRS 318.080 to*
11 *318.0952, inclusive, may petition the board of county commissioners to*
12 *have the members of the board of trustees elected in such a manner.*
13 *The petition must contain the verified signatures of at least 51 percent*
14 *of the taxpayers of the district. Upon receipt of such petition, the board*
15 *of county commissioners shall provide for such election of the board.*
- 16 SEC. 3. 1. *The board of county commissioners of any county may*
17 *be, ex officio, the board of trustees of any district organized or reorga-*
18 *nized pursuant to this chapter and located entirely within such county.*
- 19 2. *When the board of trustees of any district is so constituted, the fol-*
20 *lowing special provisions shall apply and supersede the corresponding*
21 *provisions of NRS 318.080 to 318.095, inclusive:*
- 22 (a) *The members need not file the oath of office or bond required by*
23 *NRS 318.030.*
- 24 (b) *The members of the board of county commissioners shall receive no*
25 *additional compensation as trustees of the district.*

1 (c) The chairman of the board of county commissioners shall be chair-
2 man of the board and president of the district.

3 (d) The secretary and treasurer of the district shall not be members of
4 the board of county commissioners. The board may designate the county
5 clerk and county treasurer, respectively, to act ex officio as secretary and
6 treasurer, or it may designate some other person to fill either or both of
7 such offices. No additional bond may be required of the county treasurer
8 as ex officio district treasurer.

9 (e) No member of the board of county commissioners may be removed
10 from the office of trustee under the authority of subsection 4 of NRS
11 318.080, but any such member shall be automatically removed from such
12 office upon his removal from the office of county commissioner in the
13 manner provided by law.

14 Sec. 4. 1. If the board of county commissioners determines to
15 appoint the members of the board of trustees, the board of county com-
16 missioners shall, in the ordinance creating the district, appoint five tax-
17 paying electors of the district to serve as the board of trustees of the
18 district. The terms of such members shall be 4 years from the effective
19 date of the ordinance. Whenever a vacancy occurs on the board of
20 trustees, the board of county commissioners shall appoint a successor
21 to fill the unexpired term. Every 4 years the board of county commis-
22 sioners shall appoint a new board.

23 2. When the board of trustees of any district is so constituted, the
24 provisions of subsection 1, regarding the selection and appointment of
25 members of the board of trustees shall apply and supersede the corre-
26 sponding provisions of NRS 318.080, 318.090 and 318.095.

27 Sec. 5. NRS 318.055 is hereby amended to read as follows:

28 318.055 1. The organization of a district, except as otherwise pro-
29 vided in this chapter, shall be initiated by the adoption of an ordinance
30 by the board of county commissioners, which ordinance is in this chapter
31 sometimes designated the "initiating ordinance." No initiating ordinance
32 may be adopted by the board of county commissioners if the proposed
33 district includes lands within 7 miles from the boundary of an incorporated
34 city or unincorporated town unless:

35 (a) All members of the board of county commissioners unanimously
36 vote for the organization of a district with boundaries which contravene
37 such 7-mile limitation; or

38 (b) A petition for annexation to or inclusion within such incorporated
39 city or unincorporated town of such lands has first been filed with the
40 governing body of such incorporated city or unincorporated town pursuant
41 to law and the governing body thereof has refused to annex or include
42 such lands and has entered the fact of such refusal in its minutes; or

43 (c) No part of the area within the district is eligible for inclusion in a
44 petition for such an annexation.

45 2. Except as is otherwise provided in this chapter, a district may be
46 entirely within or entirely without, or partly within and partly without,
47 one or more municipalities or counties, and the district may consist of
48 noncontiguous tracts or parcels of property.

49 3. The initiating ordinance shall set forth:

AB 5

(a) The name of the proposed district, consisting of a chosen name preceding the word "District," or, if the organizational proceedings authorize the district to exercise more than one basic power, the words "General Improvement District." If a district's name as provided in the organizational proceedings does not include the words "General Improvement," and if subsequently any additional basic power is granted to the district pursuant to NRS 318.077, the board of county commissioners may but is not required to redesignate the district with a chosen name preceding the words "General Improvement District."

(b) A statement of the basic power or basic powers for which the district is proposed to be created (for instance, by way of illustration, "for paving, curb and gutters, sidewalks, storm drainage and sanitary sewer improvements within the district"). The basic power or basic powers stated in the initiating ordinance shall be any or all of those authorized in NRS 318.116 to 318.144, inclusive, or any part or parts thereof.

(c) A statement that the ordinance creating such district will be based on the board's findings:

(1) That the public convenience and necessity require the creation of such district; and

(2) That the creation of such district is economically sound and feasible.

(d) A general description of the boundaries of the district or the territory to be included therein, with such certainty as to enable a property owner to determine whether or not his property is within the district.

(e) The place and time for the hearing on the creation of the district.

(f) *The manner in which members of the board of trustees are to be selected or that the board of county commissioners will act, ex officio, as the board of trustees.*

4. The provisions of subsections 1 and 3 of this section are subject to the exception that any owner of property to be located within a district may petition a board of county commissioners vested with jurisdiction as provided in NRS 318.050 for the organization of the district, subject to the provisions of the Special District Control Law.

5. The petition shall set forth:

(a) The name of the proposed district consisting of a chosen name preceding the word "District," or, if the district is to exercise more than one basic power, the words "General Improvement District";

(b) A statement of the basic purpose or basic purposes which the district may exercise and a general description of any improvements to be constructed or installed for the district, or of any services to be furnished by the district, or of both such improvements and such services;

(c) The estimated cost of the proposed improvements, if any;

(d) A general description of the boundaries of the district or the territory to be included therein, with such certainty as to enable a property owner to determine whether or not his property is within the district; and

(e) A prayer for the organization of the district.

6. The board of county commissioners, in connection with any such petition, may:

1 (a) Adopt an initiating ordinance in conformance with the organiza-
 2 tional petition, or such an ordinance which conforms only in part with
 3 the organizational petition; or

4 (b) Adopt a resolution conditionally approving the organizational
 5 petition and subject to the submission of additional information relating
 6 to, or modification of, the proposed district, as the board of county
 7 commissioners may provide.

8 7. The board of county commissioners may determine not to organize
 9 the district only as provided in NRS 318.060 to 318.070, inclusive.

10 SEC. 6. NRS 318.0954 is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 318.0954 1. The governing body of any district organized or reor-
 12 ganized under and operating as provided in any chapter in Title 25 of
 13 NRS, excluding chapters 309, 315 and 318 of NRS, shall be designated
 14 a board of trustees and shall reorganize as provided in this section so
 15 that after the transitional period the board shall consist of five taxpaying
 16 electors from time to time chosen as provided in NRS 318.095, sections
 17 3 and 4 of this act and other provisions of this chapter supplemental
 18 thereto.

19 2. No existing member of any such governing body shall be required
 20 to resign from the board before the termination of his current term of
 21 office in the absence of any disqualification as a member of the governing
 22 body under such chapter in Title 25 of NRS, excluding chapters 309,
 23 315 and 318 of NRS. If a regular term of office of any member of any
 24 [such] governing body of which the members are elected by the tax-
 25 payers of the district would terminate on other than the 1st Monday of
 26 January next following a biennial election in the absence of the adoption
 27 of this law, such term shall be extended to and terminate on the 1st
 28 Monday in January next following a biennial election and following such
 29 date on which such term would have ended.

30 3. If the members of any such governing body at any time number
 31 less than five, except where the board of county commissioners is, ex
 32 officio, such governing body, the number of trustees shall be increased to
 33 five by appointment, or by both appointment and election, as provided
 34 in NRS 318.090, 318.095 and 318.0951.

35 4. [In] Where the members of the governing body are elected by the
 36 taxpayers of the district, in no event shall any successor trustee be elected
 37 or appointed to fill any purported vacancy in any unexpired term or in
 38 any regular term which successor will increase the trustees on a board to
 39 a number exceeding five nor which will result in less than two regular
 40 terms of office or more than three regular terms of office ending on the
 41 1st Monday in January next following any biennial election.

42 5. Nothing in this section shall []

43 (a) Prevent [] prevent the reorganization of a board by division of the
 44 district into district trustee election districts pursuant to NRS 318.0952.

45 [(b) Supersede the provisions of NRS 318.0953.]

46 SEC. 7. NRS 318.0953 is hereby repealed.

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Labor and Management. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.

A. B. 97—McKissick, Jan. 28.

Summary—Allows civil discovery of information relating to insurance policies. (BDR 2-685)

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Judiciary. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.

A. B. 98—McKissick, Jan. 28.

Summary—Permits consumers to avoid purchases from door-to-door salesmen. (BDR 52-682)

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Judiciary. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.

A. B. 99—McKissick, Jan. 28.

Summary—Limits application of automobile and aircraft "guest statutes." (BDR 5-693)

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Judiciary. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.

Feb. 15—From majority committee: Amend, and do pass as amended.

From minority committee: Do not pass.

Feb. 17—Read second time. Amended. To printer.

Feb. 18—From printer. To engrossment. Engrossed.

Feb. 19—Taken from General File. Placed on Chief Clerk's desk.

Feb. 26—Taken from Chief Clerk's desk. Re-referred to Committee on Commerce. To committee.

Apr. 8—From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended.

Apr. 9—Read third time. Amended. To printer.

Apr. 10—From printer. To re-engrossment. Re-engrossed.

Apr. 11—Read third time. Passed, as amended. Title approved, as amended. To Senate. In Senate. Read first time. Referred to Committee on Judiciary. To committee.

Apr. 15—From committee: Do pass. Read second time.

Apr. 16—Read third time. Lost.

Apr. 21—Action rescinded whereby bill was refused passage. Read third time. Passed. Title approved. Notice of reconsideration on next legislative day.

Apr. 22—Motion to reconsider lost. Placed on Secretary's desk.

Apr. 23—Taken from Secretary's desk. Action of passage rescinded. Read third time. Lost. Notice of reconsideration on next legislative day. Notice of reconsideration withdrawn.

A. B. 100—McKissick, Jan. 28.

Summary—Authorizes board of county commissioners to act as or to appoint members of board of trustees of general improvement district. (BDR 25-514)

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Government Affairs. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.

Apr. 10—From committee: Do pass.

Apr. 11—Read second time. To engrossment. Engrossed.

Apr. 12—Read third time. Passed. Title approved. To Senate.

Apr. 14—In Senate. Read first time. Referred to Committee on Federal, State, and Local Governments. To committee.

A. B. 101—McKissick, Jan. 28.

Summary—Limits power of Nevada Gaming Commission to issue multiple gaming licenses. (BDR 41-688)

Jan. 28—Read first time. Referred to Committee on Commerce. To printer.

Jan. 29—From printer. To committee.