

APPENDIX D
EXAMPLES OF BILLS, RESOLUTIONS,
AND
A FISCAL NOTE

THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A SENATE BILL

S.B. 81

SENATE BILL NO. 81—SENATORS TITUS, TOWNSEND AND WIENER

FEBRUARY 12, 2007

Referred to Committee on Transportation and Homeland Security

SUMMARY—Requires state and local plans for emergency management to address the needs of persons with pets or service animals. (BDR 36-176)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: May have Fiscal Impact.
Effect on the State: Yes.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to emergency management; requiring state and local plans for emergency management to address the needs of persons with pets or service animals during and after an emergency or disaster; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel’s Digest:

- 1 This bill requires that a plan for emergency management prepared by the
- 2 Governor or adopted by a local government address the needs of persons with
- 3 household animals in an emergency or disaster. (NRS 414.060)

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1 **Section 1.** Chapter 414 of NRS is hereby amended by adding
- 2 thereto a new section to read as follows:

- 3 *1. An emergency management plan prepared by the Governor*
- 4 *pursuant to NRS 414.060 or adopted by a political subdivision or a*
- 5 *local organization for emergency management must, without*
- 6 *limitation, address the needs of persons with pets, service animals*
- 7 *or service animals in training during and after an emergency or*
- 8 *disaster.*

- 9 *2. As used in this section:*



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN ASSEMBLY BILL

A.B. 42

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 42—COMMITTEE ON
NATURAL RESOURCES, AGRICULTURE, AND MINING

(ON BEHALF OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE)

PREFILED JANUARY 26, 2007

Referred to Committee on Natural Resources,
Agriculture, and Mining

SUMMARY—Authorizes the State Department of Agriculture to accept and make certain grants. (BDR 50-634)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to agriculture; clarifying the authority of the State Department of Agriculture to apply for or accept any gifts, grants, donations or contributions from any source in the administration of various programs by the Department; authorizing the Department to make certain grants or subgrants in the administration of those programs; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

- 1 This bill clarifies the authority of the State Department of Agriculture to apply
 - 2 for or accept any gifts, grants, donations or contributions from any source, and
 - 3 authorizes the Department, in the administration of a program by the Department,
 - 4 to make a grant or subgrant of money to those departments, agencies, political
 - 5 subdivisions, corporations or natural persons.
-



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN ASSEMBLY BILL
(continued)

- 2 -

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1 **Section 1.** NRS 561.245 is hereby amended to read as follows:
2 561.245 In the administration of various programs by the
3 Department as provided by law, the Department may ~~cooperate,~~ :
4 **1. Cooperate,** financially or otherwise, and execute contracts or
5 agreements with the Federal Government or any federal department
6 or agency, any other state department or agency, a county, a city, a
7 public district or any political subdivision of this State, a public or
8 private corporation, a natural person ~~or~~ or a group of natural
9 persons . ~~but such~~ **The** cooperation does not relieve any person,
10 department, agency, corporation or political subdivision of any
11 responsibility or liability existing under any provision of law.
12 **2. In addition to any money or other contribution accepted**
13 **pursuant to NRS 561.255, apply for or accept any gifts, grants,**
14 **donations or contributions from any source.**
15 **3. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 561.335 and 561.355,**
16 **make grants or subgrants of money to any person, department,**
17 **agency, corporation or political subdivision specified in**
18 **subsection 1.**
19 **Sec. 2.** This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.

Ⓢ



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

S.J.R. 1

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 1—COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE
OPERATIONS AND ELECTIONS

FEBRUARY 12, 2007

Referred to Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections

SUMMARY—Proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution to remove requirements concerning affidavits that must be affixed to referendum petitions and initiative petitions. (BDR C-688)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION—Proposing to amend the Nevada Constitution to remove requirements concerning affidavits that must be affixed to referendum petitions and initiative petitions.

Legislative Counsel’s Digest:

1 The Nevada Constitution currently provides that there must be attached to each
2 document in a referendum petition or initiative petition an affidavit stating that all
3 the signatures on the document are genuine signatures of persons who are
4 registered voters in the counties in which they reside, and that the affidavit must be
5 executed before a person authorized by law to administer oaths in Nevada, such as
6 a notary public. (Nev. Const. Art. 19, § 3) The Nevada Supreme Court has ruled
7 that the affidavit requirements set forth in Section 3 of Article 19 of the Nevada
8 Constitution are an impermissible burden on political speech and, therefore,
9 unconstitutional under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
10 (*Secretary of State v. Give Nevada a Raise, Inc.*, 120 Nev. 481 (2004))
11 This resolution proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution to remove the
12 affidavit requirements set forth in Section 3 of Article 19.



* S J R 1 *

THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION
(continued)

- 2 -

1 RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF
2 NEVADA, JOINTLY, That Section 3 of Article 19 of the Nevada
3 Constitution be amended to read as follows:

4 Sec. 3. 1. Each referendum petition and initiative
5 petition shall include the full text of the measure proposed.
6 Each signer shall affix thereto his or her signature, residence
7 address and the name of the county in which he or she is a
8 registered voter. The petition may consist of more than one
9 document . ~~but each document shall have affixed thereto an~~
10 ~~affidavit made by one of the signers of such document to the~~
11 ~~effect that all of the signatures are genuine and that each~~
12 ~~individual who signed such document was at the time of~~
13 ~~signing a registered voter in the county of his or her~~
14 ~~residence. The affidavit shall be executed before a person~~
15 ~~authorized by law to administer oaths in the State of Nevada.]~~
16 The enacting clause of all statutes or amendments proposed
17 by initiative petition shall be: "The People of the State of
18 Nevada do enact as follows:"

19 2. The Legislature may authorize the Secretary of State
20 and the other public officers to use generally accepted
21 statistical procedures in conducting a preliminary verification
22 of the number of signatures submitted in connection with a
23 referendum petition or an initiative petition, and for this
24 purpose to require petitions to be filed no more than 65 days
25 earlier than is otherwise required by this Article.

30



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION

(Reprinted with amendments adopted on May 2, 2007)

FIRST REPRINT

A.J.R. 6

ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 6—ASSEMBLYMEN ATKINSON, BUCKLEY, HORNE, ALLEN, OCEGUERA, ANDERSON, ARBERRY, BEERS, BOBZIEN, CARPENTER, CHRISTENSEN, CLABORN, COBB, CONKLIN, DENIS, GANSERT, GERHARDT, GOEDHART, GOICOCHEA, GRADY, HARDY, HOGAN, KIHUEN, KIRKPATRICK, KOIVISTO, LESLIE, MABEY, MANENDO, MARVEL, MCCLAIN, MORTENSON, MUNFORD, OHRENSCHALL, PARKS, PARNELL, PIERCE, SEGERBLOM, SETTELMAYER, SMITH, STEWART, WEBER AND WOMACK

MARCH 7, 2007

JOINT SPONSORS: SENATORS NOLAN; AMODEI, BEERS, CARE, CARLTON, CEGAVSKE, HARDY, HECK, HORSFORD, LEE, MATHAWS, MCGINNESS, RAGGIO, RHOADS, SCHNEIDER, TITUS, TOWNSEND, WASHINGTON, WIENER AND WOODHOUSE

Referred to Committee on Transportation

SUMMARY—Urges Congress to repeal the REAL ID Act of 2005. (BDR R-1393)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
Effect on the State: No.

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION—Urging Congress to repeal the REAL ID Act of 2005.

1 WHEREAS, In May 2005, the United States Congress enacted the
2 REAL ID Act of 2005 as part of the Emergency Supplemental
3 Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and
4 Tsunami Relief, 2005, Public Law 109-13, which was signed by
5 President George W. Bush on May 11, 2005, and which becomes
6 fully effective on May 11, 2008; and
7 WHEREAS, Use of the federal minimum standards for state
8 driver’s licenses and state identification cards will be necessary for
9 any type of federally regulated activity for which an identification
10 card must be displayed; and



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION
(continued)

- 2 -

1 WHEREAS, The United States Department of Homeland
2 Security, to date, has failed to promulgate rules for the
3 implementation of the REAL ID Act; and

4 WHEREAS, The mandate to the states, through federal
5 legislation, provides no funding for its requirements; and

6 WHEREAS, The American Association of Motor Vehicle
7 Administrators, the National Governors' Association and the National
8 Conference of State Legislatures have estimated that the cost to the
9 states to implement the REAL ID Act will be more than \$11 billion
10 over 5 years; and

11 WHEREAS, The implementation of the REAL ID Act would cost
12 Nevada taxpayers approximately \$30 million during Fiscal Year
13 2007 and Fiscal Year 2008; and

14 WHEREAS, The State of Nevada would incur additional
15 expenditures associated with the implementation of the national
16 identification card through machine readable technology, increased
17 training of Nevada's Department of Motor Vehicles employees and
18 increased Department of Motor Vehicles employee work hours; and

19 WHEREAS, Nevada's compliance with the provisions of the
20 REAL ID Act will require that, over the course of 4 years, an
21 estimated 2 million Nevadans will be subjected to the unnecessary
22 inconvenience of obtaining a REAL ID compliant driver's license or
23 identification card in person at offices of Nevada's Department of
24 Motor Vehicles; and

25 WHEREAS, The State of Nevada is committed to increased
26 security and unimpeachable integrity of driver's licenses and
27 identification cards within the State and the United States; and

28 WHEREAS, The State of Nevada is also committed to
29 compliance with the REAL ID Act, should appropriate rules be
30 adopted and federal funding be provided for implementation; now,
31 therefore, be it

32 RESOLVED BY THE ASSEMBLY AND SENATE OF THE STATE OF
33 NEVADA, JOINTLY, That the State of Nevada urges Congress to
34 repeal the REAL ID Act portion of the Emergency Supplemental
35 Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and
36 Tsunami Relief, 2005; and be it further

37 RESOLVED, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly prepare and
38 transmit a copy of this resolution to the President of the United
39 States, the Vice President of the United States as the presiding
40 officer of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the House of
41 Representatives and each member of the Nevada Congressional
42 Delegation; and be it further

43 RESOLVED, That this resolution becomes effective upon
44 passage.

30



* A J R 6 R 1 *

THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF
A SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

S.C.R. 49

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 49—COMMITTEE ON
LEGISLATIVE OPERATIONS AND ELECTIONS

JUNE 4, 2007

Read and Adopted

SUMMARY—Provides for compensation of the clergy and the coordinator of the clergy for services rendered to the Senate and the Assembly. (BDR R-1535)

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION—Providing for the compensation of the clergy and the coordinator of the clergy for services rendered to the Senate and Assembly during the 74th Session of the Nevada Legislature.

1 WHEREAS, The members of the 74th Session of the Nevada
2 Legislature sincerely appreciate the daily religious services that are
3 rendered by members of the clergy representing various
4 denominations; and

5 WHEREAS, The invocations offered by the clergy provide
6 inspiration and guidance for the members of the Nevada Legislature
7 as they face the challenges and demands of a legislative session; and

8 WHEREAS, The assistance provided by the coordinator of the
9 clergy facilitated the daily services; and

10 WHEREAS, A reasonable compensation should be provided for
11 the clergy who performed such services and for the coordinator of
12 the clergy; now, therefore, be it

13 RESOLVED BY THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, THE
14 ASSEMBLY CONCURRING, That the State Controller is authorized
15 and directed to pay the sum of \$35 per service out of the Legislative
16 Fund to the members of the clergy who performed religious services
17 for the Senate and the Assembly during the 74th Session of the
18 Nevada Legislature; and be it further



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF
AN ASSEMBLY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

A.C.R. 20

ASSEMBLY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 20—ASSEMBLYMEN
ANDERSON, ALLEN, ARBERRY, ATKINSON, BEERS,
BOBZIEN, BUCKLEY, CARPENTER, CHRISTENSEN,
CLABORN, COBB, CONKLIN, DENIS, GANSERT, GERHARDT,
GOEDHART, GOICOECHEA, GRADY, HARDY, HOGAN,
HORNE, KIHUEN, KIRKPATRICK, KOIVISTO, LESLIE,
MABEY, MANENDO, MARVEL, MCCLAIN, MORTENSON,
MUNFORD, OCEGUERA, OHRENSCHALL, PARKS, PARNELL,
PIERCE, SEGERBLOM, SETTELMEYER, SMITH, STEWART,
WEBER AND WOMACK

APRIL 16, 2007

JOINT SPONSORS: SENATORS TOWNSEND; AMODEI, BEERS, CARE,
CARLTON, CEGAVSKE, COFFIN, HARDY, HECK, HORSFORD,
LEE, MATHEWS, MCGINNESS, NOLAN, RAGGIO, RHOADS,
SCHNEIDER, TITUS, WASHINGTON, WIENER AND
WOODHOUSE

Read and Adopted

SUMMARY—Commemorates the 75th anniversary of legalized
gaming in Nevada. (BDR R-1447)

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

ASSEMBLY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION—Commemorating
the 75th anniversary of legalized gaming in Nevada.

- 1 WHEREAS, Gambling, both legal and illegal, has played a
2 prominent role in Nevada’s history since its frontier days and the
3 days of the Comstock Lode, so much so that Mark Twain noted in
4 his book *Roughing It*, “In Nevada, for a time, the lawyer, the editor,
5 the banker, the chief desperado, the chief gambler, and the saloon
6 keeper, occupied the same level in society, and it was the highest”;
7 and
8 WHEREAS, Legalization of gaming was a constant source of
9 debate in Nevada, and on March 19, 1931, Assembly Bill No. 98
10 was introduced by freshman Assemblyman Phil Tobin, a



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF
AN ASSEMBLY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION
(continued)

- 2 -

1 Winnemucca rancher, and was signed into law by Governor Fred
2 Balzar, legalizing wide-open gaming in Nevada; and

3 WHEREAS, The only requirement for a gaming license was that
4 the applicant be an American citizen, and prison inmates were even
5 allowed to operate casinos within prisons; and

6 WHEREAS, The first gaming license was given to Mayme
7 Stocker, a woman who had no gaming background, and among the
8 pioneers who contributed to the tremendous growth, popularity and
9 respectability of the gaming industry were Bill Harrah, Howard
10 Hughes and Steve Wynn, who gave gaming a more corporate
11 structure, further legitimizing the industry and making Nevada one
12 of the best places in the nation to live and work; and

13 WHEREAS, The State Gaming Control Board and the Nevada
14 Gaming Commission were put in place to regulate the gaming
15 industry for the protection of the public; and

16 WHEREAS, In 2006, more than 51 million people visited
17 Nevada, with the casino resort industry contributing approximately
18 \$2.6 billion to the revenue of this State, with the gaming industry
19 accounting for 49 percent of the State's revenue; and

20 WHEREAS, Gaming companies are among the largest employers
21 in the State, with 11 of the top 20 employers being gaming
22 companies, the gaming industry directly employs nearly 228,000
23 people, which is approximately 21 percent of the work force of
24 Nevada, and hotel casinos account for 18 percent of the wages paid
25 in Nevada; and

26 WHEREAS, The efforts of the gaming industry and gaming
27 employees have contributed to making Nevada a better place to live
28 and work by volunteering their time and resources in their
29 communities; now, therefore, be it

30 RESOLVED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, THE
31 SENATE CONCURRING, That April 16, 2007, is hereby recognized
32 as the commemoration of the 75th anniversary of legalized gaming
33 in Nevada; and be it further

34 RESOLVED, That the resort employees are commended for their
35 dedication to their jobs and their contributions to the economic well-
36 being of this State; and be it further

37 RESOLVED, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly prepare and
38 transmit a copy of this resolution to the State Gaming Control Board
39 and the Nevada Gaming Commission.

Ⓢ



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A SENATE RESOLUTION

S.R. 6

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 6—SENATORS RAGGIO, TITUS, AMODEI, BEERS, CARE, CARLTON, CEGAVSKE, COFFIN, HARDY, HECK, HORSFORD, LEE, MATHEWS, MCGINNESS, NOLAN, RHOADS, SCHNEIDER, TOWNSEND, WASHINGTON, WIENER AND WOODHOUSE

APRIL 17, 2007

Read and Adopted

SUMMARY—Inducts Rene Watt Lemaire into the Senate Hall of Fame. (BDR R-1461)

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

SENATE RESOLUTION—Inducting Rene Watt Lemaire into the Senate Hall of Fame.

- 1 WHEREAS, The Senate of the Legislature of the State of Nevada
2 has established a Senate Hall of Fame whose members are selected
3 by leadership from those past Senators who have served with
4 distinction and have made exemplary contributions to this State; and
5 WHEREAS, Rene Watt Lemaire, a native Nevadan, was born in
6 Battle Mountain, Lander County, to a pioneer family with a history
7 of public service, and Senator Lemaire’s father, Henry, served as
8 Lander County Commissioner, his grandfather, George Watt, served
9 in the Assembly from 1875 to 1876 and from 1879 to 1880, and his
10 cousin, Louis A. Lemaire, served in the Senate from 1915 to 1918;
11 and
12 WHEREAS, During World War II, Rene Lemaire served as
13 Squadron Commander of the Nevada Civil Air Patrol and Chair of
14 the Lander County United States Savings Bonds Committee; and
15 WHEREAS, Rene Lemaire’s early occupations included working
16 for the Standard Oil Company in Nevada and California, assisting
17 his father in operating Lemaire’s Service Garage and Auto Court,
18 finding his life’s vocation in banking, being elected President of the
19 Battle Mountain State Bank, serving as Director of the Nevada Bank
20 of Commerce and being honored as Director Emeritus of Nevada
21 National Bank; and



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A SENATE RESOLUTION
(continued)

- 2 -

1 WHEREAS, Rene Watt Lemaire represented the citizens of
2 Lander County in the Nevada Senate for a total of 23 years from
3 1943 to 1958 and from 1960 to 1966; and

4 WHEREAS, Senator Lemaire served in the Nevada Senate for a
5 total of 18 legislative sessions, 12 regular sessions and 6 special
6 sessions, was a member of the Legislative Commission, the Special
7 Committee on Taxation and Fiscal Affairs and the Joint Committee
8 on Financial Affairs, and, in 1969, was named to the Council of
9 Industrial Development by Governor Paul Laxalt; and

10 WHEREAS, Rene Watt Lemaire served as President Pro Tempore
11 in the 1947 and 1953 Regular Sessions and the 1954 Special
12 Session, Senate Majority Floor Leader in the 1955 and 1957 Regular
13 Sessions and the 1956 and 1958 Special Sessions, and chaired the
14 Committees on Aviation, Banks and Banking, Corporations, Fish
15 and Game, Judiciary, Labor, Public Morals and State Institutions;
16 and

17 WHEREAS, In 1967, Senator Lemaire stated that his most
18 significant legislative contributions included the creation of the
19 State Purchasing Department, the Silicosis Act, the advancement
20 and expansion of aviation and legislation pertaining to education,
21 and Senator Lemaire also sponsored legislation that restructured the
22 administration and organization of the Legislative Counsel Bureau
23 and the Legislative Commission; now, therefore, be it

24 RESOLVED BY THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, That
25 Senator Rene Watt Lemaire, who dedicated 23 years to public
26 service as a member and leader of the Nevada Legislature, is hereby
27 inducted into the Senate Hall of Fame of the Legislature of the State
28 of Nevada.

③



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION

A.R. 2

ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION NO. 2—COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS,
PROCEDURES, ETHICS, AND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

FEBRUARY 5, 2007

Read and Adopted

SUMMARY—Provides allowances to the leadership and other members of the Assembly for periodicals, stamps, stationery and communications. (BDR R-1095)

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION—Providing allowances to the leadership and other members of the Assembly for periodicals, stamps, stationery and communications.

1 RESOLVED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, That
2 the sum to be allowed, as provided by law, for each member of the
3 Assembly for periodicals, stamps and stationery is \$60 and for the
4 use of telephones is \$2,800, and the sum to be allowed, as provided
5 by law, for the Speaker, Speaker Pro Tempore, Majority Floor
6 Leader, Minority Floor Leader and chairman of each standing
7 committee of the Assembly for postage, telephone tolls and other
8 communication charges is \$900; and be it further
9 RESOLVED, That these amounts be certified by the Speaker and
10 the Chief Clerk to the State Controller, who is authorized to draw
11 his warrants therefor on the Legislative Fund, and the State
12 Treasurer is thereafter authorized to pay these warrants.

30



THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A FISCAL NOTE

**BDR 1-654
AB 246**

NON-EXECUTIVE AGENCY
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 5, 2007

Agency Submitting: Administrative Office of the Courts

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2006-07	Fiscal Year 2007-08	Fiscal Year 2008-09	Effect on Future Biennia
District Judge Salaries (Expense)			\$323,759	\$4,179,498
Judicial Travel (Expense)			\$500	\$2,000
District Judge Education (one-time) (Expense)				\$80,000
District Judge Education (on-going) (Expense)			\$20,000	\$40,000
Total			\$344,259	\$4,301,498

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

SALARIES: The proposed bill provides an appropriation for the 12 new district judges' salaries in FY 2008-09; however, the appropriation does not include fringe costs. The impact to district judge salaries in Fiscal Year 2008-09 as shown above reflects fringe. The amount shown in the Effect on Future Biennia column reflects salaries for 12 district judges @ \$130,000 per judge in each year of the 2009 - 2011 biennium plus fringe at the FY 2008-09 levels. Salaries and fringe are funded through a general fund appropriation. [There is a proposed bill to increase judicial salaries which would impact projected amounts if the bill passed.] TRAVEL: Some district judges serve on various judicial committees. The amount for travel recognizes costs for some of the new district judges should travel be required in this capacity. Travel is funded through peremptory challenge fees. EDUCATION: Estimate \$80,000 in one-time training costs for training required pursuant to NRS 3.027, NRS 3.028 and Supreme Court Orders dated 10/2/97 and 12/8/99, which are: National Judicial College (NJC) General Jurisdiction course (all judges @ \$3,500 each); NJC Ethics course (all judges @ \$1,500 each); Role of the Judge (family judges @ \$2,500 each). Estimate on-going training costs of \$1,000 per year per judge to attend the annual district judge conference normally held in the spring, and an additional \$1,000 per year per family judge to attend the annual family jurisdiction judge conference also normally held in the spring. Training is funded by administrative assessments.

Name Deanna Bjork
Title Manager of Budgets