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In support of business and economic development, the State of Nevada and its units of local government endeavor to maintain fair competition, promote growth, provide infrastructure and services, and supply the legal and regulatory framework, while also caring for the protection and security of the State’s residents and visitors.

From 1990 to 2000, Nevada’s population grew by over 66 percent, compared to approximately 13 percent for the United States as a whole. From 2000 to 2010, Nevada’s population grew by nearly 35 percent, compared to about 10 percent nationally.

Nevada takes pride in its business-friendly approach to commerce, reflected primarily in its tax climate and regulatory structure. The Tax Foundation ranks Nevada fifth overall in its 2016 State Business Tax Climate Index. Nevada has no corporate or individual income tax, and it also scores well on the Foundation’s property tax index.

The largest industries in Nevada’s economy, in terms of employment, are accommodation and food services, government, and retail trade. Other important industries include health care and social assistance, administrative support and services, and construction.

This report covers the types of business entities operating in Nevada, the State’s economic development efforts, current issues, and recent legislation affecting these topics.
CORPORATIONS, LIMITED-LIABILITY COMPANIES, AND PARTNERSHIPS

Corporations

A corporation is a legal entity with a separate legal personality from its members. A corporation has the ability to sue and be sued, hold assets in its own name, hire agents, sign contracts, and make bylaws to govern its internal affairs. Modern business corporations generally have additional characteristics, including transferable shares, perpetual succession, and limited liability.

Chapter 78 (“Private Corporations”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) governs private corporations in our State. It provides that one or more persons may establish a corporation for the transaction of any lawful business or to conduct any legitimate object or purpose.

Nevada recognizes different kinds of corporations, including:

- Domestic corporations (incorporated within Nevada);
- Foreign corporations (incorporated in another state or country);
- Close corporations (no more than 30 persons hold the stock of the corporation);
- Professional corporations;
- Benefit corporations;
- Nonprofit corporations; and
- Corporations sole (for religious purposes).

The website of Nevada’s Secretary of State lists the following reasons to consider incorporation in Nevada:

- No corporate income tax;
- No taxes on corporate shares;
- No franchise tax;
- No personal income tax;
- Nominal annual fees;
- Nevada corporations may purchase, hold, sell, or transfer shares of their own stock;
Nevada corporations may issue stock for capital, services, personal property, or real estate, including leases and options. The directors may determine the value of any of these transactions, and their decision is final;

- No franchise tax on income;
- No inheritance or gift tax;
- No unitary tax;
- No estate tax;
- Competitive sales and property tax rates;
- Minimal commerce tax on business gross receipts—ranging from 0.051 percent to 0.331 percent of gross receipts, depending on industry;
- Minimal modified business tax—1.475 percent of gross wages with certain deductions; and
- Nevada’s Business Court, developed on the Delaware model, minimizes the time, cost, and risks of commercial litigation by providing:
  1. Early, comprehensive case management;
  2. Active judicial participation in settlement;
  3. Priority for hearing settings to avoid business disruption; and
  4. Predictability of legal decisions in commercial matters.

To establish a corporation in Nevada, a person must file articles of incorporation with the Secretary of State specifying the name of the corporation; the name, address, and signature of the registered agent; the number and value of shares the corporation may issue; the names and addresses of the board of directors; and the name, address, and signature of the incorporator. The articles must be accompanied by the appropriate fees. Every corporation must have a registered agent who resides in and has an actual street address in Nevada for service of process on behalf of the corporation.

If, based on its name or purpose, a proposed corporation would be regulated by the Division of Financial Institutions, the Division of Insurance, or the Real Estate Division within the Department of Business and Industry (B&I); the Nevada State Board of Accountancy; or the State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, the application must be approved by the regulating agency before it is filed with the Secretary of State.

Each corporation organized under the laws of Nevada must file its list of officers and directors and information on its registered agent annually.
Limited-Liability Companies

A limited-liability company (LLC) is similar to a corporation, with a more flexible form of ownership, usually suitable for smaller companies. An LLC may be “member managed” or “manager managed.” In Nevada, one or more persons may organize an LLC.

To establish an LLC in Nevada, a person must file articles of organization with the Secretary of State including the company name; the name, address, and signature of the registered agent; the form of management; the name and address of each manager or managing member; and the name, address, and signature of the organizer. The articles must be accompanied by appropriate fees. As with corporations, if the LLC would be regulated by the Division of Financial Institutions, the Division of Insurance, the Real Estate Division, the Nevada State Board of Accountancy, or the State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, the application must be approved by the regulating agency before it is filed with the Secretary of State.

Partnerships

A partnership is an association of two or more persons acting as co-owners of a business for profit. Nearly all states, including Nevada, have adopted the Uniform Partnership Act. Chapter 87 (“Partnerships”) and Chapter 88 (“Uniform Limited Partnership Act”) of NRS govern partnerships in the State.

One major difference between partnerships and corporations relates to taxation. In general, partnerships are treated as conduits, through which income and losses flow to the individual partners, who report them on their individual tax returns. Another difference is that partners do not enjoy the benefits of limited liability, as stockholders in corporations do.

Nevada recognizes various types of partnerships, both domestic and foreign, including:

- General partnerships;
- Limited partnerships;
- Limited-liability partnerships; and
- Limited-liability limited partnerships.

A general partnership is typically one in which all of the partners share profits, losses, and management responsibilities equally, although their individual capital contributions may not be equal. A limited partnership usually consists of one or more general partners, who are jointly and severally liable and who conduct the primary business, and one or more limited partners, who contribute capital but are not liable for the debts of the partnership beyond the funds contributed.
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

As mentioned earlier in this report, Nevada’s business-friendly tax climate and regulatory structure figure prominently in the growth and development of the State in recent years. In addition, Nevada operates incentive programs, workforce development programs, and other initiatives to promote economic development.

Nevada’s economic development efforts were reshaped by the enactment of Assembly Bill 449 (Chapter 507, Statutes of Nevada) in 2011. The bill created the Office of Economic Development (GOED), headed by an Executive Director, within the Office of the Governor; eliminated the Commission on Economic Development; required the formation of a State Plan for Economic Development; and provided for the establishment of new regional development authorities (RDAs). The programs administered by GOED, in concert with the RDAs, are described in more detail below.

**Incentive Programs**

Through GOED, Nevada offers a number of tax incentives for economic development to attract new businesses and to help the State’s existing businesses expand and remain competitive. Applications must be consistent with the State’s plan for industrial development and diversification, the objectives of which include diversification; attraction and expansion of basic industries; and attraction and expansion of corporate headquarters, research and development, producer services, and other businesses that create primary jobs.

Each incentive has specific requirements regarding the level of capital investment, the number of primary jobs created, and the minimum hourly wage level. All recipients must provide health insurance to their employees, obtain required business licenses and permits, and make a five-year business commitment. The available incentive programs include:

- Personal property tax abatement (NRS 360.750 and 361.0687)—an abatement not to exceed 50 percent over a maximum of 10 years;
- Sales and use tax abatement (NRS 360.750 and 374.357)—a partial sales and use tax abatement on capital equipment purchases;
- Personal property tax abatement (NRS 360.752)—an abatement not to exceed 50 percent over a maximum of 5 years; eligibility requires a minimum capital investment in an institution in the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE);
- Modified business tax abatement (NRS 363B.120)—an abatement of 50 percent for 4 years;
- Sales and use tax deferral (NRS 372.397)—a sales and use tax deferral on capital equipment;
- Aviation parts abatement (A.B. 161 [Chapter 406, Statute of Nevada 2015])—a partial abatement of personal property and sales and use taxes for up to 20 years;
- Data center abatement (Senate Bill 170 [Chapter 498, Statutes of Nevada 2015])—a partial abatement of personal property and sales and use taxes for up to 20 years; and
Recycling property tax abatement (NRS 701A.210)—up to 50 percent abatement for up to 10 years on real and personal property for qualified recycling businesses.

Workforce Development

Nevada offers grants of up to $1,000 per trainee, with a minimum 25 percent company match, under the Train Employees Now (TEN) grant program (NRS 231.068 and 231.147). There is no required level of capital investment, but applicants must meet requirements for the number of primary jobs created and minimum hourly wage and make a five-year business commitment. The program provides intensive short-term job training to assist new and expanding firms to increase productivity quickly. Participating companies; GOED; the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation; and NSHE design each training program individually.

Under the federal Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-220), Nevada also operates Nevada JobConnect, a statewide network providing workforce development services to employers and job seekers. To employers, the program offers recruiting, retention, training, retraining, and outplacement services and labor market information. To job seekers, the program offers information on career development, job searches, training, and—as appropriate—unemployment benefits. In addition, Nevada JobConnect administers an incentive program, Silver State Works, that provides employers with incentives to hire and retain qualified employees. Employers can receive a wage retention supplement, on average of up to $2,000, when they satisfy certain requirements related to hiring, training, and retaining a full-time employee. Other incentives are available to supplement the cost of on-site workplace training and reimburse employers for wages paid to trainees.

Two local boards, the Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board, known as “Workforce Connections,” and the Northern Nevada Board, known as “Nevadaworks,” set policy for their respective areas and provide one-stop service locations. The Governor’s Workforce Investment Board oversees the program and advises the Governor and the Legislature on workforce development policy.

Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

Nevada’s Industrial Development Revenue Bond program also supports economic development and diversification in the State. The bonds, issued by the B&I, represent a form of tax-exempt financing available to qualified projects at favorable interest rates below comparable commercial rates.

Silver State Opportunities Fund

In 2011, the Legislature required the State Treasurer to form an independent corporation for public benefit to act as a limited partner of limited partnerships or as a shareholder or member of LLCs that provide private equity funding to businesses that engage in certain industries (Chapter 355 [“Public Investments”] of NRS). The State Treasurer was authorized to transfer an amount not to exceed $50 million from the State Permanent School Fund to the independent corporation for investment, at least 70 percent of which must be provided to businesses located or seeking to locate in Nevada.
The State Treasurer created the Nevada Capital Investment Corporation (NCIC) to carry out the functions of the independent corporation envisioned in statute. The NCIC subsequently approved a contract with Hamilton Lane to manage the corporation’s investments through the Silver State Opportunity Fund. According to Hamilton Lane’s June 30, 2015, Annual Investment Report, investments made so far have generated a 6.6 percent return to the State Permanent School Fund.

**Nevada New Markets Tax Credit Program**

The Nevada New Markets Tax Credit Program, created in 2013, allows certain business entities to receive a credit against the insurance premium tax imposed on insurance companies in exchange for investing in a qualified community development entity (Chapter 231A [“Nevada New Markets Jobs Act”] of NRS). Certified development entities are required to make qualified low-income community investments in businesses located in severely distressed census tracts. In December 2015, the B&I reported over $190 million had been invested in Nevada businesses as a result of the program.

**Catalyst Account**

The Catalyst Account provides grants or loans to counties and cities, which must be used to invest in businesses seeking to expand in or relocate to this State. As of October 31, 2015, GOED provided $11.3 million in grants and loans from the fund; recipients pledged to create more than 4,000 jobs with projected capital investments of over $246 million.

**Knowledge Account**

The Knowledge Account provides funding for the development and commercialization of research and technology at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV); the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR); and the Desert Research Institute (DRI). Among other uses, money in the Knowledge Account is to be allocated by the Executive Director of GOED to provide funding for:

- The recruitment, hiring, and retention of faculty and teams to conduct research in science and technology;
- Research laboratories and related equipment;
- The construction of research clinics, institutes and facilities, and related buildings in this State; and
- Matching funds for federal and private grants that further economic development.

Current Knowledge Account projects include: the Center for Gaming Innovation and the Nevada Institute of Personalized Medicine at UNLV; the Nevada Advanced Autonomous Systems Innovation Center at UNR; the Applied Innovation Center for Advanced Analytics and the Nevada Center of Excellence in Water at DRI; and the Industry Unmanned Autonomous Systems Collaboration Program among the NSHE research institutions.
RECENT LEGISLATION AFFECTING BUSINESS ENTITIES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

In 2014 and 2015, the Legislature met in two special sessions to consider legislation to provide economic development incentives. In 2014, four bills were enacted as part of an effort to bring Tesla Motors’ lithium ion battery plant, known as the “gigafactory,” to northern Nevada—though the legislation allows any company making the required capital investment to take advantage of the tax credits and other incentives. The thrust of these efforts resulted in passage of S.B. 1 (Chapter 4, Statutes of Nevada 2014, 28th Special Session).

Senate Bill 1 set up a program of transferable tax credits and tax abatements to attract large capital investments. To qualify, the lead participant in a project must make an application to GOED and demonstrate, among other things, that: (1) the lead participant and other participants in the project will make a collective minimum investment of $3.5 billion within ten years after approval of the application; and (2) at least 50 percent of the construction workers on the project and 50 percent of the project employees will be Nevada residents.

Transferable tax credits, up to a maximum of $195 million, can be approved for a project. The value of the transferable tax credits is based on:

- The amount of $12,500 for each qualified project employee, up to a maximum of 6,000 employees (for a total of $75 million);
- An amount equal to 5 percent of the first $1 billion in new capital investment (for a total of $50 million); and
- An amount equal to 2.8 percent of the next $2.5 billion in new capital investment (for a total of $70 million).

These transferable tax credits can be sold to other businesses to use in offsetting their gaming percentage fee taxes, modified business taxes (MBTs), and insurance premium taxes, or any combination of those taxes.

Senate Bill 1 also allows GOED to approve a variety of tax abatements for a qualified project. Real and personal property taxes and MBTs can be abated for up to ten years. Certain local sales and use taxes can be abated for up to 20 years.

To ensure transparency and to verify that the qualified project is following the terms of the incentive agreement, S.B. 1 has stringent requirements for documentation and verification of eligibility, public notice and approval processes, and independent audits. Another key element, the so-called “clawback” provisions, provide that if any of the participants fail to meet eligibility standards, such as minimum investments, or otherwise fail to comply with the law, they must repay the State for the tax credits and abatements.

In 2015, the Legislature again met in special session to consider legislation to attract Faraday Future, an electric car manufacturer, to North Las Vegas. The main economic development incentive package
was addressed in S.B. 1 (Chapter 2, Statutes of Nevada 2015, 29th Special Session). As with the prior package enacted to attract Tesla, the incentives in S.B. 1 are available to any project that meets the criteria for the credits and abatements in the bill. While the tax abatements and credits are similar to those found in the incentive bill from 2014, they are smaller in size and include some additional provisions to protect the State.

To qualify, a lead participant in a project must make an application to GOED and demonstrate, among other things, that: (1) the lead participant and other participants in the project will make a collective minimum investment of $1 billion within ten years after approval of the application; and (2) at least 50 percent of the construction workers on the project and 50 percent of the project employees will be Nevada residents.

For mid-tier qualified projects like Faraday, up to $38 million in transferable tax credits can be approved. The transferable tax credits are issued based on $9,500 for each qualified project employee, up to a maximum of 4,000 employees (for a total of $38 million). There is a limit of $7.6 million in transferable tax credits that may be issued in any fiscal year, although unused credits can be carried forward.

Senate Bill 1 also allows GOED to approve a variety of tax abatements for a qualified project. Up to 75 percent of real and personal property taxes and MBT can be abated for up to 10 years, and up to 100 percent of certain local sales and use taxes can be abated for as many as 15 years.

Protecting the State’s investment in economic development projects is a matter of great concern, so S.B. 1 has a number of provisions to ensure that a qualified project meets the terms of any agreement made with GOED. Senate Bill 1 also contains enhanced clawback provisions, giving GOED the authority to require a participant to pay all or a portion of the abated taxes into a trust fund that would be held until GOED determines some or all of the requirements have been met. That way, if a project does not meet the terms of the agreement, the money in the trust fund will be distributed to the State or local government that would have received the money if the abatements had not been approved.

Another important piece of the negotiated economic development package was an increased commitment to specialized workforce development programs to train Nevadans for higher paying manufacturing jobs and the other employment needs of high-tech companies, like Faraday. Additionally, legislators took steps to ensure Nevada’s high school and college graduates have the skills needed to work in this cutting-edge industry and for other related companies that will be attracted by the State’s economic development efforts.

To that end, the Legislature enacted A.B. 1 (Chapter 1, Statutes of Nevada 2015, 29th Special Session), to require GOED to develop a customized workforce development program for persons creating, expanding, or relocating businesses in Nevada. These customized workforce programs and services must be developed in consultation with NSHE and Nevada’s Departments of Education and Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, among others.

To provide structure and guidance, A.B. 1 lays out GOED’s authority to approve programs of workforce recruitment, assessment, and training; specifies application requirements and approval
processes; and requires posting of the criteria for evaluating applications on its website. To ensure equal opportunity for all, workforce development programs must include a workforce diversity action plan and, to the extent practicable, be provided on a statewide basis.

Interested businesses may apply to GOED to participate in workforce development programs. The program provides protection to participating businesses for training materials that constitute trade secrets or intellectual property.

The Governor’s Office of Economic Development has been given authority to approve “authorized providers” of workforce development programs, which are defined to include any institution within NSHE, a State or local agency, a charter school, a school district, a nonprofit organization, a labor organization, or a private postsecondary educational institution.

To help fund these programs, an authorized provider may apply to GOED for a grant or loan to defray all or a portion of the costs of an approved program. Priority will be given to workforce development programs that:

- Provide high-skill and high-wage jobs to Nevada residents;
- Use materials produced or purchased in Nevada;
- Are consistent with the State Plan for Economic Development; and
- Are consistent with the unified State plan required by the federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2015.

The allowable uses of these grants or loans are broad and include the cost of technical services to a participating business, instructional services, facility rentals, administration and personnel, or any other cost necessary to effectively carry out the program.

**CONTACT INFORMATION FOR STATE AGENCIES**

Governor’s Office of Economic Development  
Steve Hill, Executive Director  
Telephone (Carson City): (775) 687-9900  
Telephone (Las Vegas): (702) 486-2700  
Website: [http://www.diversifynevada.com/](http://www.diversifynevada.com/)

Office of the Secretary of State  
Telephone: (775) 684-5708  
Website: [http://nvsos.gov/](http://nvsos.gov/)
INTRODUCTION

The employment of workers is a cornerstone of any economy and a fundamental subject of governmental oversight. The State of Nevada has a long history of involvement in employment issues. The first miners’ unions in the western United States formed on the Comstock in the early 1800s. Chapter 613 (“Employment Practices”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) dates to 1911. In 1915, Nevada’s Legislature created the Office of Labor Commissioner, giving the Commissioner primary responsibility to enforce the State’s labor laws, particularly those related to wages and hours.

At the national level, the Wagner Act of 1935, also known as the National Labor Relations Act, provided federal support for unionization and collective bargaining. In 1947, the Taft-Hartley Act shifted federal policy toward a more neutral position on unionization. The Landrum-Griffin Act of 1959, known as the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, created a bill of rights for union members.

The following sections of this report outline some important aspects of labor and employment policy in Nevada, including the concepts of at-will employment, the minimum wage, the right to work, unemployment insurance, workers’ compensation, and other subjects.

AT-WILL EMPLOYMENT

At-will employment is a legal doctrine that defines an employment relationship in which either the employer or employee may break off the relationship with no liability, provided that the employee has no contract for a definite term or that the employer has not recognized a labor union. Nevada is an at-will employment state.
In most states, including Nevada, an employer may not fire an employee if the firing would violate the state’s public policies (against discrimination, for example) or a state or federal statute. Also, an employee with an implied contract may not be fired without liability on the part of the employer. Eleven states, again including Nevada, also recognize a breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing as an exception to at-will employment.

In 1989, in the case of *Vancheri v. GNLV Corporation* (105 Nev. 417, 777 P.2d 366 [1989]), the Supreme Court of Nevada considered at-will employment, saying, “Employment ‘at-will’ is a contractual relationship and thus governed by contract law. An employer can dismiss an at-will employee with or without cause, so long as the dismissal does not offend a public policy of this state.”

Similarly, in the 1990 case of *American Bank Stationery v. Farmer* (106 Nev. 698, 799 P.2d 1100 [1990]), the Court said, “All employees in Nevada are presumed to be at-will employees. An employee may rebut this presumption by proving by a preponderance of the evidence that there was an expressed or implied contract between his employer and himself that his employer would fire him only for cause.”

**EMPLOYEE MISCLASSIFICATION**

Employee misclassification involves purposefully treating individuals providing services to businesses as nonemployees to avoid paying certain taxes and employee-related expenses and benefits. The most common method of employee misclassification is to treat individuals as independent contractors when, in fact, they are employees. In Nevada, one factor that had made it easier for employers to misclassify employees was that State law, relative to compensation, wages, and hours, did not contain a clear definition for “independent contractor.” This led to varying interpretations as to what constitutes an independent contractor versus an employee.

In 2014, in the case of *Terry v. Sapphire Gentlemen’s Club* (130 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 87, 336 P.3d 951 [2014]), the Supreme Court of Nevada concluded the existing State law regarding employee classification was unclear. The Court determined employee status with regard to Nevada’s minimum wage laws required analysis under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act’s “economic realities” test. That test considers the degree of control a business has over the labor or work performed by a person, as well as the degree to which that person is economically dependent on the business. The test is one of many methods of determining a person’s status as an independent contractor, and because of differences in federal and State law, it is possible that a person could be classified as an employee under one law and an independent contractor under another.

In order to more clearly define Nevada law relative to compensation, wages, and hours, and the separation of an independent contractor from an employee, the 2015 Legislature enacted Senate Bill 224 (Chapter 325, *Statutes of Nevada*). The measure established a conclusive presumption that a person is an independent contractor, rather than an employee, if he or she meets one or more of the following criteria:

- First, the person possesses or has applied for an employer identification number or Social Security number, or has filed an income tax return for a business or earnings from self-employment with the federal Internal Revenue Service in the previous year, unless the person is a foreign national;
• Second, the person is required by a contract to hold an applicable State or local business license and to maintain any necessary occupational license, insurance, or bonding; and

• Finally, the person must maintain three or more of the following in the performance of his or her work: (1) control and discretion over the means and manner of the performance of any work; (2) control over the completion schedule, range of work hours, and time the work is performed, unless an agreement between the parties dictates otherwise; (3) the ability to perform work for more than one party, unless otherwise required by law or contract between the parties for a limited period; (4) the freedom to hire employees to assist with the work; and (5) a substantial investment of capital in his or her business.

EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS

In the early 1900s, the numbers of child laborers in the U.S. peaked. Minors worked in agriculture, in industry, as newsboys and messengers, and in other jobs. In 1938, the U.S. government regulated for the first time minimum ages of employment and hours of work for children, in the Fair Labor Standards Act.

Nevada restricts the employment of minors under the age of 16 and between the ages of 16 and 18. No person under 16 years of age may be legally employed to work in any capacity in connection with:

• The preparation of compounds using dangerous or poisonous acids;

• The manufacture of colors, paints, or white lead;

• Dipping, drying, or packing matches;

• The manufacture of goods for immoral purposes;

• A coal breaker, glass furnace, mine, ore reduction works, quarry, or smelter;

• A cigar factory, tobacco warehouse, or other factory where tobacco is prepared;

• A laundry;

• A brewery, distillery, or other establishment where malt or alcoholic liquors are bottled, manufactured, or packed;

• The outside erection or repair of electric wires;

• Running or managing elevators, hoists, or lifts or oiling dangerous or hazardous machinery in motion;

• Gate tending, track repairing, or switch tending;
Acting as a brakeman, conductor, engineer, fireman, or motorman on any railroad; or

Establishments where explosives are manufactured or stored.

The Labor Commissioner may also declare other employment to be dangerous or injurious to the health or morals of persons under 16 years of age, thus prohibiting the employment of children in those lines of work as well. No person under the age of 16 may work more than 48 hours a week or 8 hours a day, with certain exceptions, and no person under the age of 14 may be employed without written permission from a district court judge or other person authorized by a judge.

Except for employment as a performer in a motion picture, no person may employ any child under the age of 14 during the hours when school is in session, unless the child has been excused by the school district or the order of the juvenile court.

For persons between the ages of 16 and 18, Nevada has fewer restrictions. They may not work in bars or casinos or in occupations dangerous to health. In incorporated cities and towns, no person under the age of 18 may be employed to deliver goods or messages before 5 a.m. or after 10 p.m. on any day.

In 2003, Nevada amended its laws to provide for judicial approval of a contract involving a minor rendering artistic, athletic, creative, or intellectual property services. If the court grants its approval, it must immediately appoint a special guardian to receive and hold a specific percentage of the minor’s earnings. When the contract is terminated, the earnings must be transferred to the minor, if emancipated, or to the minor’s guardian.

MINIMUM WAGE

Both federal laws and the laws of the State of Nevada require an employer to pay a minimum wage. The current federal minimum wage, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), is $7.25 per hour, effective July 24, 2009. The FLSA does not supersede any state or local law that is more favorable to employees. Therefore, in a state with a higher minimum wage, the employer must pay the higher rate.

In 2004 and 2006, Nevada’s voters approved an amendment to the Nevada Constitution (Article 15, Section 16) adding a new section regarding minimum wages. An employer must pay a certain wage to any employee for whom the employer provides health care benefits or a higher wage to any employee who does not receive health care benefits. The minimum wage is adjusted annually to the level of the federal minimum wage or by the cumulative increase in the cost-of-living index, whichever results in the higher amount. The adjustment, if any, is announced on April 1 by the Office of Labor Commissioner and is effective on July 1. Effective July 1, 2013, the State minimum wage is $7.25 per hour for employees who receive health care benefits and $8.25 for employees who do not receive health care benefits. “Health benefits” mean a health insurance plan that is available to the employee and the employee’s dependents at a total cost to the employee of not more than 10 percent of his or her gross taxable income from that employer.
An employee under the age of 18 who is employed by a nonprofit corporation for after-school or summer employment, or as a trainee, for not more than 90 days is exempt from Nevada’s minimum wage rules. In 2007, the Legislature clarified the relationship between clients and providers of rehabilitation services and training programs for handicapped persons. Such a relationship is not considered employment for purposes related to the minimum wage.

**OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY**

To prevent work-related illnesses, injuries, and occupational fatalities, federal and State laws set standards and establish enforcement programs for workplace health and safety. These laws address such subjects as asbestos exposure, blood-borne pathogens, exposure to other chemicals, guards on moving parts, hazard communication, and work in confined spaces.

The federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 created the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), a research agency. The law authorizes states to develop approved health and safety plans, if they cover public employees and provide protection equivalent to federal regulations. Nevada’s laws are found in Chapter 618 (“Occupational Safety and Health”) of NRS.

In response to a number of serious worker safety issues—including 12 fatalities in southern Nevada in 2008—the Legislature passed two measures in 2009 to promote safety on construction sites and to assist families affected by fatal construction accidents. Nevada law requires construction workers and their supervisors to take construction safety courses and obtain completion cards, or be subject to suspension or termination by their employers. A nonsupervisory construction worker must complete an approved 10-hour course in construction industry safety and health hazard recognition and prevention, known as an “OSHA-10 course.” A supervisory construction worker must complete a similar 30-hour course, known as an “OSHA-30 course.” The employee must present a completion card within 15 days of his or her employment date. If the employee does not present the card, the employer must suspend or terminate the employee.

**RIGHT TO WORK**

Nevada is a right-to-work state. Right-to-work laws prohibit agreements between labor unions and employers making membership in a union, or payment of union dues, a condition of employment. Fewer than half of the 50 states have such laws.

The federal Taft-Hartley Act authorizes individual states to adopt an “open shop” rule, under which an employee cannot be compelled to join a union or pay the equivalent of dues to a union, nor can the employee be fired if he or she joins the union. In other words, the employee has the right to work.

Nevada’s right-to-work law is found in NRS 613.230 through 613.300. The law was enacted by an initiative of the people and became effective in 1953. In the 1950s, voters defeated three initiatives aimed at repealing the law, and a fourth initiative failed for lack of a sufficient number of signatures. Since 1959, the Legislature has considered and rejected at least ten measures to amend or repeal the law, and in 1994, an initiative to repeal the law did not gain enough signatures to be placed on the ballot.
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

The federal Social Security Act of 1935 created the unemployment insurance (UI) system, which provides workers with partial replacement of wages lost as a result of involuntary unemployment. The UI system helps to dampen economic fluctuations by replacing a portion of workers’ wages during troughs in the business cycle, drawing on reserves built up during more favorable periods.

The UI system is a shared federal-state program financed jointly by federal and state payroll taxes. The Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) authorizes a tax to cover the costs of administering UI programs and a portion of the costs of extended UI benefits. The U.S. Department of Labor administers the federal components of the system.

Nevada’s Legislature enacted the Unemployment Compensation Law in 1937, declaring, “Economic insecurity due to unemployment is a serious menace to the health, welfare, and morals of the people of this state.” The Employment Security Division (ESD), Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, administers the system in Nevada.

To qualify for benefits, a person must be fully or partially unemployed, must have earned enough wages to qualify, and must be unemployed through no fault of his or her own. A claimant must be able to work, available for work, and actively seeking work. The amount of benefits depends on the wages the worker earned during a base period, usually defined as the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters preceding the claim. The maximum benefit is set at 50 percent of the statewide annual average weekly wage, and it is based on past earnings, up to a maximum of $417 per week. The duration of benefits is generally limited to 26 weeks unless extended by law.

An employer who pays wages of $225 or more during any calendar quarter for services performed in Nevada must register with the ESD and pay quarterly unemployment taxes. (Employees do not pay UI taxes.) The tax rate for new employers is 2.95 percent of a worker’s taxable wages, plus 0.05 percent for the Career Enhancement Program, a training program to foster job creation and provide more skilled workers. After being in the system for a minimum of 14 quarters, an employer receives an experience rating, which is a function of the excess of taxes paid over benefits charged to the employer’s account. The employer’s tax rate is then based on that rating, varying from 0.25 percent to 5.4 percent of taxable wages. In 2016, an individual’s taxable wages are capped at $28,200.

During the economic downturn in 2008 and 2009, both in Nevada and the nation as a whole, contributions from employers were not sufficient to cover the cost of providing benefits to the unemployed. As a result, in October 2009, the State began borrowing from the U.S. Department of the Treasury to continue payment of unemployment insurance benefits. As of 2015, the outstanding balance on this loan was approximately $355.8 million. To repay the principal, employers have been paying additional charges as required by the FUTA. The State General Fund has funded the interest on the loan.

To help limit the impact on the State General Fund, the Nevada Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 482 (Chapter 367, Statutes of Nevada 2013), which levied a temporary assessment on employers to pay the
interest on the loan. The ESD sent out a press release in June 2013 with details about the assessment, which will occur annually as long as it is necessary. According to the ESD, the average employer will pay approximately $25 per employee in temporary assessments.

To reduce the cost of this debt over the long term, the Legislature also approved S.B. 515 (Chapter 450, Statutes of Nevada 2013), which enabled the ESD to issue bonds to finance the outstanding loan principal and interest. If bonds are sold, the temporary assessment imposed under A.B. 482 and the FUTA charges would be discontinued, and employers would instead pay special bond contributions to repay the bonds. This would result in lower costs for most employers.

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION (INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE)**

The concept of compensating workers for on-the-job injuries is an ancient one, dating to ancient Arab, Chinese, Greek, and Roman law. The Code of Hammurabi, 1750 B.C., provided rewards for specific injuries (Guyton, G.P., “A Brief History of Workers’ Compensation,” The Iowa Orthopaedic Journal, 19 [1999]: 106-110). In the U.S., Wisconsin passed the first comprehensive industrial insurance law in 1911. Nevada passed its Industrial Insurance Act in 1913. The purpose of these laws revolves around economic efficiency and social justice.

The workers’ compensation system is a no-fault insurance system. Employers either purchase insurance or set up self-insurance accounts. Injured workers receive compensation to replace wages (“indemnity payments”) and to cover medical and rehabilitation costs. Second-injury funds, which cover benefits when a second injury proves incapacitating, are important in maintaining the employability of partially impaired workers. In exchange for covering all work-related injuries and occupational diseases, regardless of fault, employers are protected by the exclusive remedy provision, under which an injured worker cannot sue an employer in tort, even if the employer was in fact responsible.

Nevada authorized self-insurance for qualified employers in 1979. Prior to that time, the Nevada Industrial Commission (NIC) was the only provider of workers’ compensation insurance in Nevada. In 1981, the Legislature enacted laws replacing the NIC with the State Industrial Insurance System (SIIS), which began operation as a State-run insurance carrier in July 1982. At the same time, the Division of Industrial Relations (DIR) became the primary regulator of the State’s workers’ compensation program.

Prior to the 1993 Session, the Legislature learned that SIIS was insolvent, with an unfunded $2.2 billion liability. To address this problem, the Legislature enacted a comprehensive reform measure and adopted cost-savings provisions, including implementation of a managed care program, imposition of employer deductibles, and aggressive pursuit of fraud. The measure also reduced injured workers’ benefits by limiting stress as a compensable injury, limiting eligibility and compensation amounts for rehabilitation, and making other changes.

In 1995, the Legislature prohibited civil lawsuits against an insurer or third-party administrator (TPA) who in bad faith violates the workers’ compensation laws. Rather than allowing bad-faith lawsuits, the Legislature created a benefit penalty to be paid directly to an injured worker, and authorized the
Commissioner of Insurance to withdraw the self-insurance certificate of an employer for violations of laws intended to protect injured workers from unreasonable acts.

In 1999, the Legislature authorized privatization of SIIS, and in January 2000, SIIS became a private domestic mutual insurance company doing business as Employers Insurance Company of Nevada. Then, in 2007, the company converted to a publicly traded stock company and operates in Nevada under the name “Employers.”

The Commissioner of Insurance reviews and approves premium rates and must certify self-insured employers and associations that meet statutory requirements. The Commissioner also regulates managed care organizations and TPAs of self-insured programs.

The Office of the Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers, a State agency, represents claimants free of charge at appeals before the Hearings Division of Nevada’s Department of Administration. In selected cases, the Office also represents claimants in the district courts and the Supreme Court of Nevada.

Benefits under Nevada’s workers’ compensation system fall into three general categories:

1. Indemnity payments;
2. Medical benefits; and
3. Rehabilitation expenses.

Indemnity payments replace a portion of lost wages in temporary or permanent cases of partial or total disability. *Nevada Revised Statutes* establishes eligibility requirements and the amount and duration of benefits.

For permanent and temporary total disability (PTD and TTD), the benefit is generally two-thirds of the person’s wages at the time of his or her injury, not to exceed 150 percent of the average weekly wage, for the duration of the disability.

For permanent and temporary partial disability (PPD and TPD), the primary factor in determining the indemnity benefit amount is the extent of the injury. How this determination is made has been a major issue in workers’ compensation laws nationwide. Pursuant to S.B. 195 (Chapter 500, *Statutes of Nevada*) of the 2009 Session, the DIR must adopt regulations providing that the American Medical Association’s *Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, Fifth Edition*, must be applied to all PPD examinations.

When an injured worker is permanently and totally disabled (PTD) from an occupational injury or disease and can no longer work, he or she receives cash payments, based on wages at the time of the injury, for life (as long as the disability continues to exist). This benefit recognizes not only the inability to earn income but also the inability to contribute to a pension fund or accumulate savings for retirement.
When a worker is killed as the result of an accident in the course and scope of employment, Nevada law provides burial benefits and benefits to surviving spouses and dependents. Until 2007, the surviving spouse of the deceased worker received monthly compensation payments until death or remarriage. Senate Bill 3 (Chapter 214, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2007 Session removed the so-called “remarriage penalty” for a surviving spouse of a firefighter or police officer who remarries on or after October 1, 2007, and S.B. 363 (Chapter 503, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2009 Session removed the remarriage penalty for any surviving spouse who remarries on or after the same date.

The insurer pays medical benefits for all medically necessary procedures and devices related to the injured worker’s claim, with no deductible or copayment. A health care provider may not seek payment from the injured worker for any portion of the medical costs. The insurer also pays rehabilitation expenses when the nature of the injury prevents the injured worker from returning to pre-injury employment. Rehabilitation plans may include educational or vocational training, compensation payments (known as rehabilitation maintenance), or a lump sum payment in lieu of rehabilitation.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Division of Industrial Relations:  http://dir.nv.gov/.
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PROFESSIONS REGULATED IN NEVADA

Many types of businesses, occupations, and professions are regulated by government. Most of the laws regulating occupations in this State are found in Title 54 ("Professions, Occupations and Businesses") of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), which contains provisions governing more than 50 professions, occupations, and businesses. Of these, most occupations are regulated by independent boards or commissions, while the remainder are administered through State agencies or officials, or rely on local officials or civil action for enforcement.

In discharging these functions, regulatory bodies are mandated to enforce the provisions of State law for the protection and benefit of the public. For this reason, lawmakers in recent legislative sessions have required regulatory bodies to make more information about professional disciplinary proceedings readily available to the public.

Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Regulated by Independent Boards

The following table lists the 33 categories of professions in Title 54 of NRS that are regulated by independent boards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Regulated by Independent Boards</th>
<th>Chapter of NRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountants</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators of Facilities for Long-Term Care</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, Drug, and Gambling Counselors and Detoxification Technicians</td>
<td>641C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture, Interior Design, and Residential Design</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Trainers</td>
<td>640B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech-Language Pathologists, Audiologists, and Hearing Aid Dispensers</td>
<td>637B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbers and Barbering</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Court Reporters</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CREATION AND OPERATION OF INDEPENDENT BOARDS

The Legislature creates occupational and professional licensing boards and sets public policy governing them. The boards are invested with authority to adopt regulations regarding licensing and practice of the various professions, subject to review by the Legislature. Members are appointed by the Governor to fixed terms, generally running three or four years. Some boards have limits on the number of terms, or at least consecutive terms, a member may serve. When making appointments to professional licensing boards, the Governor is required to solicit nominees from professional associations but may appoint any statutorily qualified individual. A number of boards have statutes providing the Governor may remove a member for cause. Many, but not all, boards have public members in addition to members of the regulated profession or occupation. Some boards utilize the Office of the Attorney General for legal counsel; other boards retain private counsel, and a few boards use a combination of both.

Independent boards are funded by fees charged to licensees and do not receive State General Fund support. However, each board must submit financial accounting documents every fiscal year to the Legislative Auditor and the Chief of the Budget Division of the Office of Finance within the Office of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Regulated by Independent Boards (continued)</th>
<th>Chapter of NRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chiropractic</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractors</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetology</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentistry and Dental Hygiene</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensing Opticians</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Health Specialists</td>
<td>625A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funeral Directors, Embalmers, and Operators of Cemeteries and Crematories</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeopathic Medicine</td>
<td>630A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Architects</td>
<td>623A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage and Family Therapists and Clinical Professional Counselors</td>
<td>641A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massage Therapists</td>
<td>640C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Therapists</td>
<td>640A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometry</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriental Medicine</td>
<td>634A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopathic Medicine</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacists and Pharmacy</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Therapists</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians, Physician Assistants, Medical Assistants, Perfusionists, and Practitioners of Respiratory Care</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podiatry</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Investigators, Private Patrol Officers, Polygraphic Examiners, Process Servers, Repossessors, and Dog Handlers</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychologists, Licensed Behavior Analysts, Licensed Assistant Behavior Analysts, and Certified Autism Behavior Interventionists</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Workers</td>
<td>641B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinarians</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Governor. The Legislative Auditor may audit the fiscal records of any independent board when directed to do so by the Legislative Commission.

BUSINESSES AND PROFESSIONS REGULATED BY STATE AGENCIES

The following table indicates the 12 businesses or professions in Title 54 of NRS that are regulated by State agencies, along with NRS chapter and the agency with the regulatory authority:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Regulated by State Agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisers of Real Estate and Appraisal Management Companies (Chapter 645C of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Agencies (Chapter 649 of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietetics (Chapter 640E of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escrow Agencies and Agents (Chapter 645A of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Facilitators (Chapter 645G of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspectors of Structures and Energy Auditors (Chapter 645D of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpreters and Realtime Captioning Providers (Chapter 656A of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Laboratories (Chapter 652 of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Bankers (Chapter 645E of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Brokers and Mortgage Agents (Chapter 645B of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music Therapists (Chapter 640D of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Brokers and Salespersons (Chapter 645 of NRS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURSUITS REGULATED BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS OR PRIVATE LEGAL ENFORCEMENT

The following table lists the six businesses or occupations in Title 54 of NRS that are not regulated by a board or agency but instead rely on local officials or civil action for enforcement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Not Regulated by an Agency or Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dealers in Junk and Secondhand Materials; Scrap Metal Processors (Chapter 647 of NRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursement of Money by Construction Controls (Chapter 627 of NRS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Title 54 of NRS—Professions in Nevada Not Regulated by an Agency or Board
(continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profession</th>
<th>Enforcement Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Planners (Chapter 628A of NRS)</td>
<td>Civil Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locksmiths and Safe Mechanics (Chapter 655 of NRS)</td>
<td>Permit From County Sheriff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawnbrokers (Chapter 646 of NRS)</td>
<td>District Attorney, Sheriff, or Chief of Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Accommodations (Chapter 651 of NRS)</td>
<td>Criminal or Civil Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are numerous other business pursuits regulated to a greater or lesser extent by statute that are not generally considered under the occupational and professional title. These include such diverse enterprises as insurance agents, sellers of travel, and telemarketing.

**LICENSING REQUIREMENTS**

The majority of regulatory bodies establish some type of minimum qualifications for professional licensing. These qualifications can include minimum age limits; educational requirements; written, oral, or practical examinations; and background checks to ensure the applicant has not been disciplined or denied a license in another jurisdiction. Many bodies also require completion of annual or biannual continuing education courses to maintain and enhance skills. In general, boards do not provide for reciprocal licensing, that is, issuing a license to a practitioner who is already licensed in another jurisdiction.

**DISCIPLINARY POWERS OF REGULATORY BODIES**

Each licensing board or commission has the authority to suspend or revoke the license or certificate that permits a person to practice the regulated profession. Boards also have authority to impose a fine or civil penalty; place a member on probation; issue a public reprimand; and recover the costs of an investigation, hearing, or prosecution from a member of the profession. Regulatory bodies have specific procedures for conducting investigations and disciplinary hearings to ensure licensees are accorded due process before any penalties are imposed. Once disciplinary action is initiated by an independent board, all proceedings must be conducted in public. Additionally, results of proceedings conducted by independent boards must be reported quarterly to the Legislature, which then posts them on the Internet so the public has ready access to the records.

A number of boards also have specific authority to refuse issuance of a new license or renewal of an existing license or to impose limits or conditions on the use of a license or a member’s practice. Only a few licensing boards have authority to take other disciplinary actions, such as requiring certain competency examinations, training requirements, or supervision of a person’s practice. These actions are limited to the more specialized occupations such as dentists, physicians, and veterinarians.

Most licensing bodies have detailed statutory grounds for discipline. These grounds range from general prohibitions against fraud, deceit, habitual intoxication, repeated acts of malpractice, or...
conduct involving moral turpitude to profession-specific provisions such as falsifying an entry on a patient’s medical chart concerning a controlled substance (nurses), willful failure to pay for materials or services when due (contractors), or using a towel on one patron that has already been used on someone else unless the towel has been laundered (barbers).

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

A list of members and contact information for Nevada’s occupational and professional licensing boards may be viewed at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/Directory/index.html. Please note that the list is updated manually and may be out of date.

Copies of disciplinary records of the independent occupational and professional boards may be viewed at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/OL/A/.
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There are a number of important issues that impact housing in Nevada. In recent years, some of these issues have commanded considerable legislative attention; several are likely to continue to do so in future legislative sessions.

COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES

Over the last several decades, common-interest communities, often referred to as “homeowners’ associations” because associations are formed to manage the units or homes within them, have become an important feature of everyday life in Nevada. Currently, more than 3,000 associations are registered with the State.

While most associations are nonprofit corporations, they resemble small local governments in many ways. The Nevada Legislature adopted the Uniform Common-Interest Ownership Act in 1991, which has been codified in Chapter 116 (“Common-Interest Ownership [Uniform Act]”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Chapter 116 applies to most common-interest communities and their associations created within the State of Nevada.

Evolution of Legislation in Nevada

After addressing the initial legal establishment of common-interest communities in 1991, the Legislature was confronted with operational issues concerning the conduct of association business. Legislators were presented with many complaints regarding disputes over the proper interpretation and application of the declaration (also known as the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions) establishing a community, and over provisions of an association’s governing documents, which include bylaws, rules, and regulations.
Association members are supposed to have direct control over the executive board through an election process. However, members sometimes felt they were prevented from participating effectively in the governance process. For example, some boards never met or met infrequently. On occasion, critical association business was handled privately by individual board members without the knowledge of the other homeowners. Many lawmakers felt strongly that democratic principles should apply even in private associations because such organizations directly affect individuals in their most expensive and cherished possession—their homes. On the other hand, lawmakers sought to balance increases in homeowner involvement with the need for boards to operate efficiently and cost effectively.

As a result, changes were made regarding notification of matters relating to homeowners’ association meetings and other ownership proceedings. After further input from stakeholders, lawmakers recognized the need to expand and modify existing protections that ensure members retained ultimate control of their associations. When lawmakers heard testimony that indicated ongoing problems with obtaining access to association information and with proper financial management, they required an association to prepare and distribute operating and reserve budgets. They also required the executive board to conduct a study of the reserves at least once every five years and have financial statements audited.

In 2005, significant legislation was enacted to regulate the professionals hired to manage associations. The Legislature required professional certification for community managers and prohibited a community manager from accepting or soliciting any form of compensation that was based on the number or amount of fines imposed against owners or their guests or any percentage or proportion of those fines.

In an attempt to provide an expeditious, inexpensive, and simple mechanism for resolving disputes between owners and associations, the Legislature required that any civil action based on a claim relating to the association’s bylaws, rules, or procedures for changing assessments must be submitted to mediation or arbitration before the action is filed with a court.

To further assist unit owners residing in common-interest communities when conflicts arise with their association’s board or management, the Legislature created the Office of the Ombudsman for Owners in Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels and provided a funding mechanism. Although the Ombudsman does not regulate associations, such an official can be effective through superior knowledge of the applicable laws, education of board members and homeowners, and facilitation of private dispute resolution. In 2003, in order to give homeowners an expeditious and inexpensive forum to resolve disputes, a five-member Commission for Common-Interest Communities was also created. The Commission was later authorized to petition a district court for the appointment of a receiver to take over an association in certain circumstances.

Finally, the Real Estate Commission was authorized to subpoena books, records, and other information of an association. Upon request by a homeowner, a board must make available books, records, and other papers of the association. Lawmakers also required the Commission to establish standards of practice and disciplinary procedures for persons engaged in property management for associations.
Recent Legislation

Common-interest communities continue to be one of the fastest growing forms of housing in Nevada. During the 2009 Legislative Session, State lawmakers passed more than a dozen bills directly relating to associations. These measures were addressed continuing concerns regarding governance, particularly in the areas of board member conduct, election of board members, fees and penalties, financial accountability, and the rights of homeowners.

In the 2011 Session, the Legislature considered nearly two dozen measures concerning associations but enacted only a few. Some measures amended laws concerning association formation, management, and organization, while others addressed dispute resolution and the information that must be provided to prospective buyers.

Among the highlights of the new 2011 laws was Senate Bill 204 (Chapter 389, Statutes of Nevada), an omnibus common-interest community bill that placed limits on an association’s authority to amend a declaration, required certain associations to have an executive board, and subjected association officers and executive board members to conflict of interest rules for nonprofit corporations. The bill also revised the duties of an association concerning election requirements, enforcement actions, insurance requirements, meeting requirements, and voting by unit owners. The legislation also specified the extent to which a unit owner is liable for damages arising from the condition or use of common elements.

Mediation between and among homeowners and executive boards engendered much debate and deliberation by the Legislature in 2011. Senate Bill 254, which would have established a mandatory mediation program for certain claims of aggrieved persons and required a claimant to exhaust all administrative remedies before entering mediation, was approved by lawmakers but vetoed by the Governor.

In response to an increasing number of foreclosures by association in the wake of the recent recession, the 2013 Legislature approved S.B. 280 (Chapter 552, Statutes of Nevada). This measure prohibits an association from taking any action to collect a past due obligation from an unit owner, unless certain actions are taken within 60 days after an obligation is past due. Notice requirements were also established, along with a unit owner’s right to contest the obligation.

Other 2013 laws addressed friction in the interactions between associations and unit owners. These measures impose additional requirements on associations when providing written notice of alleged violations of rules and revise the procedures for resolving disputes over the application, enforcement, or interpretation of the governing documents. Disputes are now required to be submitted to mediation prior to commencement of a civil action in court or, if the parties agree, to a referee program that may be established by the Real Estate Division.

Additional reforms were enacted in 2015, when the primary focus of discussions relating to common-interest communities concerned so called “super-priority liens.” These are liens held by an association on the property in question for delinquent dues or other fees owed to the association by the unit’s owner. In the instance of a home foreclosure, these liens had been interpreted to take
first priority over any other encumbrance on the property, leading to the odd result that associations were sometimes foreclosing on properties worth thousands or hundreds of thousands of dollars and selling them for far less than they were worth in order to recover a much smaller amount of money. In these circumstances, the homeowner was left with nothing, as was the lender to whom any mortgage was owed on the property. This interpretation was confirmed in SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank (130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408 [2014]), when the Nevada Supreme Court determined that the foreclosure of the super-priority lien by the homeowners’ association extinguishes the first mortgage lien on the unit. Several pieces of legislation were introduced in 2015 with the intention of remedying this and other inequitable situations that had arisen through the years of the economic crisis and housing downturn.

Senate Bill 306 (Chapter 266, Statutes of Nevada 2015) made several changes to the laws governing foreclosure by an association, as well as the process for a notice of sale. For example, the bill allowed collection costs to be included within the scope of a unit-owners’ association’s super-priority lien but limited the allowable collection costs. The measure also allowed a unit owner or security holder to redeem a foreclosed unit by paying certain amounts set forth in the bill. If the required amounts are paid within 60 days after a sale, the unit owner or security holder gains ownership. However, after the 60-day redemption period ends, the purchaser at the foreclosure sale has clear title. In addition, if the first security holder pays the amount of a super-priority lien within five days prior to the sale, a foreclosure will not extinguish the first security interest.

Other bills enacted in 2015 addressed the period of time within which a declarant’s control of a unit-owners’ association must terminate, depending upon the size of the common-interest community; revised provisions concerning who may run for or serve on the executive board; prohibited an association from pursuing a constructional defect claim on behalf of itself or units’ owners, unless the claim pertains exclusively to the common elements of the association; and revised the bidding process for projects funded by associations, depending on the size of the association’s budget.

MANUFACTURED AND MOBILE HOMES

Manufactured homes are a major source of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income families. Approximately 70,000 of Nevada’s 1.2 million housing units are mobile homes. According to the AARP, around 48 percent of manufactured homes occupied as a primary residence are owned or rented by a person age 50 or older.

The Legislature has declared that manufactured and mobile homes may pose hazards to health, life, and safety if not properly installed, manufactured, and transported. To that end, extensive regulation of the industry has been provided in Nevada law, under the administration of the Manufactured Housing Division of the Department of Business and Industry. The Division has adopted regulations consistent with federal construction and safety standards.

To protect consumers, all dealers, distributers, installers, rebuilders, salespersons, and servicepersons must be licensed by the Division, and numerous grounds exist for disciplining licensees, such as deceptive advertising, failure to honor warranties, misrepresentation or failure to disclose, substandard or unsafe workmanship, and using unlicensed personnel. A serviceperson must enter into a written
agreement with the consumer that covers the cost, schedule, and scope of the work. A separate written agreement is required before the serviceperson does any additional work or adds charges. Civil penalties of not more than $1,000 for each violation may be assessed, to a maximum of $1 million for any related series of violations occurring within one year.

There is a $500,000 Account for Education and Recovery Relating to Manufactured Housing derived from licensing fees. The fund is designed to reimburse owners who have been harmed by a licensee and who have obtained a court judgment against the licensee. Actual damages of up to $25,000 may be paid from the fund upon order of the court issuing the judgment.

The Division has authority to inspect mobile home parks and approve or disapprove specifications and alterations. Additionally, the Division must adopt regulations governing the use and occupancy of mobile homes and the abatement of any substandard, unsafe, or unsanitary condition of a park. The Division may determine a mobile home to be a nuisance and order its demolition, removal, or repair.

The relationship between a manufactured home park owner and a tenant is also regulated by the Division and subject to statute. Approved applicants for residency have certain rights, such as the right to review the rental agreement for 72 hours, as well as park rules and other residency documents. Certain provisions in rental agreements are prohibited, such as confessions of judgment, exculpatory clauses favoring the landlord, waivers of rights and remedies, and additional charges for children or pets, unless some special service in that regard is provided. An individual may bring an action in court if the individual makes a payment toward purchase or placement of a home in a park in reliance on a written statement that proves to be false or misleading. A park owner must also provide certain information about applicable laws and how to contact the Division as well as the resident park manager. Managers and assistants must complete prescribed continuing education classes annually.

Parks may adopt rules concerning tenant use, but they must be: (1) adopted in good faith and not to avoid a legal obligation; (2) reasonably related to the purpose for which they were adopted; (3) sufficiently explicit to inform a tenant of what can or cannot be done; and (4) uniformly enforced. While parks may require the sale of a home to be approved by the park, consent to the sale cannot be unreasonably withheld. Furthermore, parks must allow tenants to display the national flag and political signs, within certain limitations.

If a park is changed to allow older persons only, existing tenants who no longer qualify to remain in the park are entitled to have the landlord pay to relocate their homes to a new location within 50 miles. Similarly, if a park is closed or condemned, tenants are entitled to relocation assistance or the fair market value of the home if: (1) the tenant chooses not to move the unit; (2) the unit cannot be moved without structural damage; or (3) there is no park within 50 miles that will accept the unit. The landlord must also pay for the cost of appraising a mobile home unit and the cost of disposal if it cannot be moved.

Each for-profit park must pay the Division $12 per lot annually for the Fund for Low-Income Owners of Manufactured Homes. This fund is used to assist qualifying owners with rental payments.

In 2011, legislation was enacted that required a landlord of a manufactured home park, who is closing or converting the park, to pay costs associated with moving a tenant’s manufactured home to a new location within 50 miles.
location that is within 150 miles of the manufactured home park. Under prior law, the landlord was required to pay the costs of moving a tenant’s home to a new location within 100 miles.

LANDLORD/TENANT

In addition to specific statutes addressing tenancy issues involving manufactured housing, Nevada has a general law regarding discrimination in housing known as the Nevada Fair Housing Law (NFHL). This law can be found in Chapter 118 (“Discrimination in Housing; Landlord and Tenant”) of NRS. The NFHL, administered by the Nevada Equal Rights Commission, establishes the rights and obligations of landlords and tenants. Among other matters, the NFHL:

- Defines certain prohibited acts and practices involving discrimination on the basis of ancestry, color, creed, disability, familial status, national origin, race, religion, or sex;
- Requires disclosure of the property tax portion of rent; and
- Provides rules governing the abandonment of real property by a tenant.

There are also numerous federal enactments aimed at preventing discrimination in housing. Among these are: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968; the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974; the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977; the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1974; and the Older Persons Act of 1995. These acts and their attendant regulations address discrimination on the basis of color, family status, handicap, national origin, race, religion, and sex. They prohibit denial of access to housing, blockbusting, and discrimination in regard to access, membership, or participation in multiple listing services and other real-estate-related services or organizations.

Nevada also has specific provisions addressing landlord/tenant issues arising from rental of dwellings, including:

- Content of rental agreements and prohibited provisions;
- Obligations of landlords, including advance notice of rent increases;
- Contact disclosure requirements for owners and managers, habitability, and rules about security deposits;
- Rights of landlords and tenants, such as a landlord’s right to access the dwelling, a surviving spouse’s right to terminate a lease, and tenants’ rights to display the United States flag; and
- Remedies for violations by a landlord or a tenant.

Because of the spike in residential foreclosures in Nevada over the last several years, the 2009 Legislature felt it necessary to make various changes to the foreclosure statutes. Of high concern was the eviction of tenants when a rental property goes into foreclosure. With so many
homes entering foreclosure, many tenants were caught off guard when they were served eviction notices. Through no fault of their own, the property they rented entered foreclosure, and they were required to vacate the premises.

Prior to 2009, tenants who resided in a foreclosed rental property only had three days to vacate the property. With passage of legislation in 2009, a tenant could be removed only after the expiration of a specified period not to exceed 60 days. Additionally, the law specified that a notice of foreclosure must not only be served on the property owner but also to a tenant who occupied the actual property entering foreclosure.

In 2011, the Legislature enacted measures to ensure tenants (both residential and commercial) were treated fairly by landlords, and landlords who acted in good faith were able to maintain their investments. One measure, S.B. 368 (Chapter 191, Statutes of Nevada), prohibited various forms of discrimination based on gender identity or expression and sexual orientation in certain real estate transactions. Among other provisions, the bill declared it to be the policy of the State of Nevada that all people shall, without discrimination, distinction, or restriction because of gender identity expression or sexual orientation, have equal opportunity to reasonably seek and obtain housing accommodations. The measure prohibited access to certain services associated with the sale or rental of a dwelling, discrimination involving the sale or rental of a dwelling, and eviction. The Nevada Equal Rights Commission may investigate and hold hearings with regard to these issues.

Another bill, Assembly Bill 226 (Chapter 56, Statutes of Nevada 2011), prohibited a landlord from: (1) interfering in the tenant’s use of the premises unless under certain circumstances; (2) removing items from the premises; (3) preventing a tenant’s access to commercial property; or (4) changing the door lock of a commercial property due to delinquency of payment without providing information to the tenant about how to obtain a new key. The landlord could also dispose of abandoned personal property if the landlord provided written notice by certified mail to the tenant.

In an effort to protect victims of domestic violence, the 2013 Legislature enacted a measure (A.B. 284 [Chapter 301, Statutes of Nevada 2013]) to allow for the early termination of a rental agreement if a tenant, cotenant, or household member is a victim of domestic violence. The bill established notice requirements, addressed liability for unpaid rental amounts, required a landlord to install new locks under certain circumstances, and limited the information a victim is required to disclose to prospective landlords.

One effect of Nevada’s foreclosure crisis was the rise in squatting on abandoned property, either by persons who continued to live in their home after foreclosure or others who chose to break into and reside in abandoned properties. In order to address the issue, the 2015 Legislature enacted A.B. 386 (Chapter 507, Statutes of Nevada). The bill created and defined the crimes and associated penalties for “housebreaking,” “unlawful occupancy,” and “unlawful reentry.”

**HOMESTEAD LAW**

The *Nevada Constitution*, which was adopted in 1864, provided for the exemption of homesteads from forced sale. The current version of this law is found in Chapter 115 (“Homesteads”) of NRS.
To be eligible for the homestead exemption, State law requires a person to declare a homestead and to record that declaration with the county recorder. During the 2009 Session, State lawmakers enacted a measure that required the Real Estate Division to create a standardized form for the Declaration of Homestead. This form is available, free of charge, by the Division and the recorder in each of Nevada’s counties.

The protection afforded by the homestead exemption does not apply to a mortgage used to purchase or improve the property, legal taxes imposed on the property, or prior liens. If a person accumulates other debts or defaults on a loan, or if a judgment is entered against the person in a suit, the exemption protects the homeowner. The exemption covers up to $550,000 equity in the property. Furthermore, Federal Bankruptcy Law acknowledges that a state law providing for a homestead exemption, such as Nevada’s, will be honored in any proceeding.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels

Pursuant to Chapter 116 of NRS, service of process and other communications upon the Commission may be made at the principal office of the Real Estate Division. The following is the proper routing for service of process and other communication upon the Commission:

Legal Administrative Officer
Real Estate Division
Department of Business and Industry
2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 303
Las Vegas, Nevada  89104
Telephone:  (702) 486-4036
Fax:  (702) 486-4067
Website:  http://red.nv.gov/Content/CIC/Commission

Ombudsman for Owners in Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels

The Department of Business and Industry published a document titled, Nevada Common-Interest Community Manual, which contains references to NRS and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) chapters relevant to common-interest communities. The publication is available through the Ombudsman for Owners in Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels.

Ombudsman for Owners in Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels
Real Estate Division
Department of Business and Industry
2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 202
Las Vegas, Nevada  89104
Telephone:  (702) 486-4480
Toll-free Telephone:  (877) 829-9907
Website:  http://red.nv.gov/Content/CIC/Office_of_the_Ombudsman
Office of the Attorney General

The Office of the Attorney General published a pamphlet titled, *Rules for Homeowners’ Associations*, which may be obtained by contacting any of its offices.

Research Division—Legislative Counsel Bureau

Chapter 116 (“The Uniform Common-Interest Ownership Act”) of NRS, may be viewed in its entirety at: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116.html](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116.html).

Chapter 116 of NAC may be viewed in its entirety at: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NAC/NAC-116.html](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NAC/NAC-116.html).

Chapter 116A (“Common-Interest Communities: Regulation of Community Managers and Other Personnel”) of NRS may be viewed in its entirety at: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116A.html](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116A.html).

Chapter 116B (“Condominium Hotel Act”) of NRS may be viewed in its entirety at: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116B.html](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-116B.html).
State Contractors’ Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henderson Office</th>
<th>Reno Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2310 Corporate Circle, Suite 200</td>
<td>9670 Gateway Drive, Suite 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson, Nevada 89074</td>
<td>Reno, Nevada 89521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (702) 486-1100</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 688-1141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (702) 486-1190</td>
<td>Fax: (775) 688-1271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Website: [http://www.nvcontractorsboard.com](http://www.nvcontractorsboard.com)

Manufactured Housing Division—Department of Business and Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carson City Office</th>
<th>Las Vegas Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1830 East College Parkway</td>
<td>2501 East Sahara Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suite 120</td>
<td>Suite 204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
<td>Las Vegas, Nevada 89104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-2940</td>
<td>Telephone: (702) 486-4135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-2949</td>
<td>Fax: (702) 486-4309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Code and Compliance</td>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>(702) 486-4135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Education</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>(702) 486-4135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord/Tenant and Compliance</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>(702) 486-4310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Rent Subsidy Program</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titles</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>(775) 684-2946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-mail: nmhd@mhd.state.nv.us
Website: [http://mhd.nv.gov/](http://mhd.nv.gov/)
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REGULATING INSURANCE

Historically, states have exercised their inherent “police power” to protect the public health, safety, and welfare when regulating insurance. Congress affirmed the states’ authority over insurance regulation in 1945 when it passed the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Further, 15 U.S.C. § 1011:

. . . declares that the continued regulation and taxation by the several States of the business of insurance is in the public interest, and that silence on the part of the Congress shall not be construed to impose any barrier to the regulation or taxation of such business by the several States.

This policy declaration has been interpreted to mean that the operations of the insurance industry are so vital to society they require public oversight and regulation.

Consequently, state legislatures are largely responsible for establishing the rules under which the complex insurance industry must operate in their respective states. Generally, states have created boards or commissions to oversee insurance companies doing business within their borders.

Regulating Insurance in the State of Nevada

Insurance, unlike most other financial services, is still primarily regulated by the State. Individual insurance companies are regulated by the state in which they are domiciled and are subject to the laws in other states where they do business. The Nevada Insurance Code (Title 57 of Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS]) sets forth the provisions and statutes that govern the business of insurance in the State of Nevada. The purpose of the Nevada Insurance Code, as defined by NRS 679A.140, is to:

- Protect policyholders and all having an interest under insurance policies;
- Implement the public interest in the business of insurance;
• Provide adequate standards of solidity of insurers, and of integrity and competence in conduct of their affairs in the home offices and in the field;

• Improve and thereby preserve State regulation of insurance;

• Ensure that policyholders, claimants, and insurers are treated fairly and equitably;

• Encourage full cooperation of the Commissioner of Insurance with other regulatory bodies, both of this State and other states and of the federal government;

• Ensure that the State has an adequate and healthy insurance market characterized by competitive conditions and the exercise of initiative;

• Prevent misleading, unfair, and monopolistic practices in insurance operations; and

• Continue to provide the State of Nevada with a comprehensive, modern, and adequate body of law, in response to the McCarran-Ferguson Act (Public Law 15, 79th Congress, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1011-1015, inclusive), for the effective regulation and supervision of insurance business transacted within or affecting interests of the people of this State.

DIVISION OF INSURANCE

The Division of Insurance of the Department of Business and Industry is responsible for protecting the rights of Nevada consumers in their experience with the insurance industry and for ensuring the financial solvency of insurers. Under the direction of Amy L. Parks, Nevada’s Acting Commissioner of Insurance, the Division regulates and licenses insurance agents, brokers, and other professionals; sets ethical and financial standards for insurance companies; and reviews rates. The Division also reviews programs operated by self-insured employers for workers’ compensation and investigates claims of insurance fraud.

Consumer Services

Consumers with complaints or with questions concerning insurance claims, companies, or policies can contact the Consumer Services Section of the Division of Insurance (contact information is provided at the end of this report). The Consumer Services Section can answer questions regarding problems with all types of insurance including: (1) auto insurance; (2) bail bonds; (3) health insurance; (4) homeowners insurance; (5) preneed and funeral plans; and (6) title insurance. In addition, the Section advises insurance companies and agents of their obligations and ensures compliance with the Nevada Insurance Code.

If a consumer has a complaint, a complaint form can be obtained by contacting the Consumer Services Section via telephone or on the Internet. Once the written complaint is received by the Division of Insurance, the Consumer Services staff will act as a liaison and work with the complainant and the company or agent to resolve the grievance.
Nevada Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Association Act

In 1973, the Nevada Legislature passed the Nevada Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Association Act (codified in Chapter 686C [“Nevada Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Association”] of NRS), requiring most insurance companies licensed in Nevada to become members of the Guaranty Association. The purpose of this association is to assure that Nevada policyholders will be protected, within limits, in the event that a member insurer becomes financially unable to meet its obligations. If this should happen, the Guaranty Association assesses its other member insurance companies for the money to pay the claims of insured persons who live in Nevada and, in some cases, to keep coverage in force.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS

Nevada is a member of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), which is the United States standard-setting and regulatory support organization created and governed by the chief insurance regulators from the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and 5 U.S. territories. Through the NAIC, state insurance regulators establish standards and best practices, conduct peer review, and coordinate their regulatory oversight. The NAIC advises individual state insurance departments on model laws in attempts to standardize insurance laws and regulations, but ultimately the states choose whether the law is accepted, revised and accepted, or ignored.

RELEVANT CONTACT INFORMATION

Nevada’s Division of Insurance
Barbara Richardson
Commissioner of Insurance
E-mail: cscc@doi.nv.gov
Website: http://doi.nv.gov

Carson City Office
1818 East College Parkway, Suite 103
Carson City, Nevada 89706
Telephone: (775) 687-0700
Toll-free Telephone: (888) 872-3234
Fax: (775) 687-0787

Las Vegas Office
2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 302
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Telephone: (702) 486-4009
Fax: (702) 486-4007
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PUBLICATION UTILITY REGULATION

American business in general is operated by private enterprise. However, in some instances, a product or service is of such fundamental importance to the welfare of citizens that it is deemed to be “affected with a public interest” and, therefore, subjected to pervasive governmental regulation to ensure availability at reasonable prices. The hallmark of this type of regulation is generally the granting of an exclusive geographical franchise to a single provider, coupled with a duty to serve all customers within the assigned territory. In exchange, the provider, normally referred to as a “utility,” is allowed the opportunity to earn a reasonable rate of return on “prudent” operations, the return being set by the regulators after administrative hearings. Usually, public utilities include energy, water, waste disposal, and transportation industries.

Since the 1970s, there has been a movement to “deregulate” segments of certain utility industries. The motivating idea has been a belief among some public policymakers that market forces are more efficient than governmental regulation in securing lower prices and fostering innovative new technologies. While results have varied from industry to industry, some critics have pointed to instances of increased price volatility and manipulation as well as a tendency towards consolidation rather than competition as reasons to retain governmental regulation.

The nature and extent of regulation varies from industry to industry. Generally, there is a certain amount of shared jurisdiction over public utilities by federal and state (and sometimes local) governmental bodies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Utility Regulation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Regulation of Energy Companies</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Regulation of Energy Companies</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Energy Policy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Energy Utilities</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV Energy</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Gas Corporation</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Energy Issues for the Future</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Facilities</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Metering</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Income Energy Assistance Programs</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of Universal Energy Charge Revenues</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Programs</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website References</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Staff Contacts</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossary of Acronyms</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The authority of these entities may overlap, and lines of demarcation between them may become blurred. Electric utilities are one of the most important types of public utility. They traditionally have been highly regulated but, in recent decades, have experienced varying degrees of deregulation.

**Federal Regulation of Energy Companies**

**Federal Power Act of 1935**
In the energy industry, regulation is largely divided along wholesale and retail lines. The federal government primarily regulates wholesale transactions while states generally oversee retail operations. Until 1927, state utility commissions regulated most aspects of electric utilities, including establishment of rates for interstate sales of electricity. In that year, the United States Supreme Court handed down a decision prohibiting state regulation of interstate electric rates on the grounds that such regulation created a burden on interstate commerce. However, no federal authority over interstate electric sales existed and, therefore, the ruling resulted in a regulatory gap. The Federal Power Act (FPA) of 1935 was enacted to address this situation. The FPA gave the federal government jurisdiction over transmission of electric energy in interstate commerce and the sale of electric energy at wholesale in interstate commerce.

In the 1970s, recessionary and inflationary pressures reduced electricity demand, and the resultant excess capacity in existing generation plants contributed to price increases to cover fixed operating costs. Additionally, foreign oil embargoes drove up the price of oil, the principal fuel used by many electrical utilities. Finally, environmental concerns, the prohibition of new natural gas usage for power generation, and nuclear power plant costs all led to increasing electricity prices for the first time in the industry’s history.

In addition, alternative sources such as geothermal, solar, and wind were encouraged. Some state commissions began mandating that specific percentages of new power come from these renewable sources, even though the cost per kilowatt hour was higher. States also began implementing integrated resource planning programs to coordinate demand side management (DSM) and supply side management techniques with environmental projects and renewable energy initiatives.

**Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978**
In 1978, Congress passed the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) in response to an ongoing energy crisis. Its goal was to reduce dependence on expensive foreign oil and to avoid repetition of the 1977 natural gas shortage by encouraging utilities to conserve gas and oil. This enactment created a new category of electric business: independent, unregulated companies known as qualifying facilities (QFs). These entities are permitted to build cogeneration plants that produce electricity and use otherwise wasted heat to generate steam. Facilities may also qualify by meeting specific energy requirements such as using prescribed types of renewable energy; for example, biomass, geothermal, solar, or wind. These QFs were granted the legal right to sell electricity to utilities at avoided cost. “Avoided cost” is the cost for the utility to self-generate or purchase power elsewhere.
About the time PURPA was enacted, traditional utilities were becoming reluctant to build new power plants due to declining demand, environmental concerns, and nuclear power problems. Concurrently, technological advances that utilize combined cycle natural gas turbines and circulating fluidized bed boilers allowed newer, smaller generating plants to be established more economically and with shorter lead times. Such conditions led to the rise of independent power producers (IPPs). These entities, also referred to as merchant power companies, build power plants for a fee and then sell the electricity to utilities at wholesale.

Early in the 1990s, proponents of the competitive market approach initiated steps to extend it to the electric industry. Congress responded by establishing a new national energy policy embodied in the Energy Policy Act (EPAct). The intent was for the electric industry to move toward a fully competitive market system, with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) being responsible for most of the implementation. The EPAct granted exemptions from certain federal requirements for a corporation whose exclusive business is ownership and operation of a generating plant that sells its power at wholesale. Such an entity is known as an exempt wholesale generator. Thus, EPAct created the potential for significant deviations from the traditional, vertically integrated pattern that had characterized the electric utility industry for many decades. The evolution beyond the traditional, vertically integrated industry structure has also fostered the growth of wholesale power marketers and brokers. Marketers purchase electricity from generators and then resell it to a utility; brokers do not actually take title to power but instead match wholesale buyers and sellers for a fee.

However, for all these new entities, generators, and intermediaries alike to compete effectively in the wholesale market, they need access to the nationwide transmission grid, which generally is owned by the vertically integrated utilities. Therefore, EPAct authorized FERC to order transmission-owning utilities to open their lines to parties who desire to buy or sell electricity at wholesale. Thus, EPAct greatly expands FERC’s jurisdiction over wholesale transactions. At the same time, EPAct provides that, “Nothing in this subsection shall affect any authority of any State or local government under State law concerning the transmission of electric energy directly to an ultimate consumer.”

This array of federal actions prompted debate at the state level on how to promote greater wholesale and retail competition among power producers and led to steps in many states to authorize retail electric competition. Nevertheless, in the aftermath of the problems experienced in California in 1999 and 2000, a number of states, including Nevada, largely abandoned deregulation at the retail level.

**Energy Policy Act of 2005**
In the early 2000s, facing renewed political instability in the Middle East that affected energy fuel supplies, Congress again began attempting to craft an updated national energy policy. Efforts to produce a comprehensive bill were hampered, in part due to competing regional demands and concerns that some segments of the energy sector were seeking subsidies that were too generous. The 109th Congress finally passed the Energy Policy Act of 2005, which was signed by the President. Some critics maintained the legislation did little to decrease the demand for foreign fuels while supporters pointed to increased incentives for domestic energy production and tax credits to encourage development of renewable energy. Clearly, however, most parties felt more remained to be done if the U.S. was to have a modern, comprehensive energy policy.
With oil prices nearing $100 per barrel and increasing concern about the impacts of global warming, Congress again devoted a great deal of attention to energy issues in 2007. The House of Representatives and the Senate passed energy bills with differing provisions. The final reconciled bill contained provisions increasing motor vehicle fuel efficiency standards and required use of biofuels such as corn ethanol and cellulosic ethanol. Increased appliance efficiency standards also were included. However, a key provision in the House bill to require 15 percent of all energy to come from renewable sources by 2020, along with an extension of tax credits for various renewables such as wind and solar, was deleted from the Senate bill in the face of a threatened presidential veto.

**American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009**
A severe recession during 2008 and 2009 caused Congress to shift its consideration from crafting a sustainable national energy policy to seeing energy as an economic recovery tool. Massive federal stimulus packages were enacted that included large amounts for research, development, and deployment of a wide variety of renewable energy technologies and domestic transportation fuel resources. Judgments on the success of these programs have been mixed. A lingering weak economy has shifted concern to longer term impacts of a growing national debt, leading to debate about continuing subsidies for renewable energy projects. Concomitantly, greatly increased domestic production of natural gas due to technological developments such as “fracking” have kept energy prices low, further reducing political support for alternative domestic energy sources. Despite continuing instability in foreign oil-producing regions and the accompanying volatility in commodity prices, these relatively low energy costs and concern about the fiscal impacts of government subsidies will likely reduce pressure on Congress to develop an updated comprehensive national energy policy.

**Clean Power Plan**
In August 2015, President Barack H. Obama and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced the Clean Power Plan, which created the first-ever national standards to address carbon pollution from power plants. The EPA reported in 2009 that greenhouse gas pollution negatively affects Americans’ health and welfare. The EPA noted carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is the most prevalent greenhouse gas pollutant, accounting for nearly three-quarters of global greenhouse gas emissions and, in 2013, 82 percent of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. Fossil fuel-fired plants, such as coal, oil, and natural gas, made up 31 percent of U.S. total greenhouse gas emissions in 2013. Currently, fossil fuels continue to be key components of America’s energy future, even with the transition to clean energy.

The goal of the Clean Power Plan is to reduce carbon emissions from the U.S. power sector to 32 percent below 2005 levels by 2030. Section 111 of the Clean Air Act provides the EPA with the authority to set new performance standards using a “best system of emission reduction.” Under this authority, the EPA sets national emission performance rates for fossil fuel fired power plants.

The Clean Power Plan provides guidelines for the development, submittal, and implementation of state plans that establish standards for performance in order to implement the interim and final CO$_2$ emission performance rates. States have flexibility in developing their plans and can tailor them to meet their respective energy, environmental, and economic needs and goals. States must submit a
final plan, or an initial submittal with an extension request, by September 6, 2016. Final complete plans must be submitted no later than September 6, 2018.

On February 9, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed implementation of the Clean Power Plan pending the resolution of legal challenges to the program in court. Five different stay applications were filed by 29 states and state agencies and numerous industry groups.

State Regulation of Energy Companies

In Nevada, public utilities are under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (PUCN). The Commission consists of three commissioners appointed to four-year terms by the Governor. The commissioners are assisted by professional staff consisting of attorneys, engineers, analysts, and economists. The PUCN sets retail rates for natural gas and electricity, and decisions of the PUCN are appealable to the courts. The Consumer’s Advocate of the Bureau of Consumer Protection within the Office of the Attorney General represents consumer interests before the PUCN.

The PUCN is charged with regulating public utilities in order to:

- Provide for fair and impartial regulation of public utilities;
- Provide for the safe, economic, efficient, prudent, and reliable operation and service of public utilities; and
- Balance the interests of customers and shareholders of public utilities by providing public utilities with the opportunity to earn a fair return on their investments while providing customers with just and reasonable rates.

The Commission is funded by a charge called the “mill assessment” on the gross operating revenues derived from intrastate operations of each public utility. A mill is one-tenth of one cent. The maximum mill assessment for the Commission is 3.50 mills; an additional assessment of 0.75 mills for the Consumer’s Advocate also is authorized.

STATE ENERGY POLICY

Nevada has a statutorily enacted energy policy statement in Nevada Revised Statutes 701.010, which is set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The Legislature finds that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Energy is essential to the economy of the State and to the health, safety and welfare of the people of the State.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) The State has a responsibility to encourage the maintenance of a reliable and economical supply of energy at a level which is consistent with the protection of environmental quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) The State has a responsibility to encourage the utilization of a wide range of measures which reduce wasteful uses of energy resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) The State and the public have an interest in encouraging public utilities to promote and take actions toward energy conservation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To implement this policy, the Legislature has created a number of programs and entities, including:

- The requirement of a comprehensive State energy plan developed by the Director of the Office of Energy in the Office of the Governor that promotes energy projects to enhance economic development in the State, encourages use of renewable energy, and fosters conservation of energy;

- Triennial integrated resource planning requirements designed to increase supply and decrease demand based on forecasts of future power usage while providing for the best combination of sources to meet those projected needs;

- A renewable portfolio standard (RPS) that requires power suppliers to gradually increase the percentage of electricity derived from renewable sources and energy efficiency measures from 20 percent in 2016 (the current level) to 25 percent in 2025;

- Incentive programs for installation of solar, wind, and small-scale waterpower generation systems; and

- A net metering program that allows customers to use renewable energy systems to generate up to 1 megawatt (MW) of power for which the customer receives credit from the utility.

In 1997, the Legislature authorized a transition to a competitive retail environment, which was refined in 1999. In the aftermath of the western energy crisis in 1999 and 2000, that process was first delayed and then largely reversed in 2001. However, large customers who use more than 1 MW of power can secure their own power sources if they meet certain conditions.

Several mining companies built their own power plants under these provisions. Newmont Mining Corporation built a 200-MW coal-fired facility near Battle Mountain, while Barrick Gold constructed a 115-MW combined cycle natural gas plant ten miles east of Reno.
In the first decade of the new century, the Legislature continued to refine policies fostering the growth of renewable energy and energy efficiency by enhancing existing programs and incentives and adopting new ones designed to encourage more use of clean, domestic energy sources.

Responding to the severe economic crisis, the 2009 Legislature focused policy directives on stimulating “green energy” jobs and on increasing the amount of renewable energy generated in Nevada, both for domestic consumption and for export, as part of long-term efforts to diversify the State’s economy.

STATE ENERGY UTILITIES

Formerly there were two major electric utilities in the State: Nevada Power Company in the southern portion of the State and Sierra Pacific Power Company in the north. These companies merged in 1999 and now both operate under the name of NV Energy. In May 2013, NV Energy was acquired by MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company. Southwest Gas Corporation supplies natural gas in the south, as does NV Energy in the north. Additionally, there are several rural electrical cooperatives and power districts. Formation of cooperatives and power districts must be approved by the PUCN but, thereafter, the Commission has little authority over these entities; instead, they are answerable to their members through an election process.

NV Energy

NV Energy covers a service territory of 45,592 square miles. The company supplies electricity to 2.4 million citizens, as well as some 40 million tourists each year. In 2007, Nevada reached its all-time peak in electric usage of 1,743 MW in northern Nevada and 5,866 MW in southern Nevada.

Southwest Gas Corporation

Southwest Gas Corporation is an investor-owned natural gas enterprise headquartered in Las Vegas, serving over 1.9 million customers in Arizona, Nevada, and parts of northeastern and southeastern California. The company has been one of the fastest growing natural gas suppliers for more than ten consecutive years, adding as many as 81,000 new customers per year at times. As of December 2014, 37 percent of Southwest Gas customers were located in Nevada. As with the electric utilities, recent economic conditions have slowed the growth rate.

POTENTIAL ENERGY ISSUES FOR THE FUTURE

Generation Facilities

While Nevada and the rest of the western states presently enjoy adequate power supplies, concerns exist about the need for additional generation in the region. Until the recent development of economic problems, Nevada was one of the fastest growing states in the country. As conditions improve, pressure on existing generation resources will increase. Concerns about the impacts of global warming have led to the cancelation of a number of proposed coal-fired power plants in the region, including several major projects in eastern Nevada, causing planners to stress the need for development of new sources of electric power. Responding to these challenges, Nevada has made significant progress with solar installations and new geothermal plants. Because of legislative policy initiatives, Nevada has also made significant strides with energy efficiency measures, helping to lessen the need for more electricity.

In compliance with Senate Bill 123 (Chapter 490, Statutes of Nevada 2013), NV Energy must retire or eliminate at least 800 MW of coal-fired generating facilities on or before December 31, 2019. In order to meet this requirement, NV Energy retired Units 1 through 3 of the Reid Gardner Station (330 MW) near Moapa in 2014. Unit 4 (255 MW) of the Reid Gardner Station is planned for retirement by December 31, 2017, and the Navajo Generating Station (2,250 MW) is planned for elimination in December 2019. NV Energy also must construct or acquire and own 550 MW of replacement planning capacity to replace retired coal generation. To meet this requirement, the company purchased Las Vegas Cogeneration Unit 2 (224 MW) in 2015 and will acquire Sun-Peak Generating Unit (222 MW) in mid-2016.

Under the provisions of S.B. 123, NV Energy also must acquire or construct 50 MW of renewable resources and issue three 100 MW request for proposals for renewable energy. The PUCN approved NV Energy’s request to build a 15 MW photovoltaic generating facility at Nellis Air Force Base. This project broke ground in March 2015. NV Energy is in the process of identifying opportunities for another 35 MW.

Net Metering

Nevada has abundant solar energy potential. Installation of rooftop solar and other distributed generation (DG) systems continues to increase in Nevada. The Solar Energy Industries Association reported Nevada ranks fifth in the country in installed solar capacity. There is enough solar energy...
(1,240 MW) installed to power 191,000 homes. The Legislature addressed rooftop solar and DG systems in 1997 when they first came to market by creating a billing system, known as “net metering,” to encourage the installation of larger DG systems on farms and ranches. Net metering allows residential and commercial customers who generate their own electricity with rooftop solar or other DG systems to receive credit at the full retail electric rate for any excess electricity they generate and sell to their local electric company via the grid. Over the years, the Legislature has made significant changes to net metering. Most recently, the 2015 Legislature passed S.B. 374 (Chapter 379, Statutes of Nevada), which changed the aggregate capacity limit for net metering from 3 percent of the total peak capacity for all utilities to a flat cap of 235 MW. The measure also required the development of a new net metering tariff to replace the existing net metering tariff after the 235 MW cap was met, which occurred in Fall 2015.

As directed under the provisions of S.B. 374, the PUCN established new net metering rules and rates on December 23, 2015. The PUCN’s order created new classes of residential and small commercial general service. On February 17, 2016, the PUCN issued a modified order that changed the structured transition to cost-based rates for net metering customers from the 5 years in the original order to 12 years.

LOW-INCOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

In 2001, the Legislature established the Universal Energy Charge (UEC) to provide assistance with rising power bills to low-income consumers. The UEC is a charge of 3.30 mills on each therm of natural gas sold at retail for consumption within Nevada, and 0.39 mills on each kilowatt-hour of electricity that the retail customer purchases for consumption within Nevada. The UEC does not apply to natural gas sold as a source of energy to generate electricity, or to any kilowatt of electricity used in electrolytic-manufacturing processes. Furthermore, the charges do not apply to public and municipal utilities, rural cooperatives, or general improvement districts. A quarterly cap of $25,000 is placed on the charges for each single retail customer or customers under common ownership and control. This cap affects commercial and industrial retail customers, not smaller residential customers.

The proceeds are remitted to the PUCN each quarter. The Commission is authorized to retain up to 3 percent of the amount collected as an administrative charge. Utilities may pass the charge through to ratepayers, provided it is set forth as a separate item on the utility bill. The average monthly UEC incurred by a typical residential customer is approximately $0.60 to $1.00.

DISTRIBUTION OF UNIVERSAL ENERGY CHARGE REVENUES

Seventy-five percent of the amount collected from the UEC is distributed to the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS), Department of Health and Human Services, to assist eligible households in paying for electricity and natural gas. The Division is authorized to use not more than 5 percent of the funds distributed to it for administrative expenses. The remaining 25 percent of the money is distributed to the Housing Division, Department of Business and Industry, for programs of energy conservation, weatherization, and energy efficiency. The Housing Division may use not more than 6 percent of the money distributed to it for administrative expenses. Additionally, 30 percent of
any unspent and unencumbered DWSS UEC funds must be transferred to the Housing Division at the end of a fiscal year for further energy conservation and efficiency aid to qualifying residential customers. Both the DWSS and the Housing Division limit eligibility for assistance to households with incomes less than 110 percent of the federally designated poverty level.

RELATED PROGRAMS

The DWSS also administers the federally funded Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP). This program is available to households with incomes less than 150 percent of the federally designated poverty level. The program year begins July 1, and applications are accepted through June 30 or until funds are exhausted, whichever comes first. Eligible households are awarded assistance once each program year. Additionally, the utilities have established funds composed of voluntary contributions from customers and matching company donations for the assistance of low-income consumers.
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GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

Acronyms are a convenient shorthand developed in many subject areas. The following glossary contains some of the most common acronyms encountered in the energy field.

BCP ................................................................................. Bureau of Consumer Protection
Btu ........................................................................................ British thermal unit
CRC .................................................................................... Colorado River Commission
DG ................................................................................................ distributed generation (system)
DOE ........................................................................... United States Department of Energy
DSM ................................................................................................ demand side management
EPA ........................................................................ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
F&PP ........................................................................................ fuel and purchase power
FERC ..................................................................... Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FPA ....................................................................................... Federal Power Act of 1935
IOU ................................................................................................ investor-owned utility
IPP ...................................................................................... independent power producer
ISO ...................................................................................... independent system operator
kW ................................................................................................................. kilowatt
kWh ........................................................................................................ kilowatt hour
LIHEAP ........................................................ Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program
MW ............................................................................................................. megawatt
MWh ..................................................................................................... megawatt hour
NERC ........................................................................ North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NOPR ................................................................................ Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
OASIS .............................................................................. Open Access Same-Time Information System
PEC ......................................................................................... Portfolio Energy Credit
PUCN ................................................................ Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
PUHCA ........................................................................ Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935
PURPA ........................................................... Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978
QF ..................................................................................................... qualifying facility
RPS ................................................................................................ Renewable Portfolio Standard
RTO ................................................................................ Regional Transmission Organization
SNWA ........................................................................... Southern Nevada Water Authority
TMWA .................................................................................... Truckee Meadows Water Authority
TRED .................................................... Temporary Renewable Energy Development Program
UEC ................................................................................................ Universal Energy Charge
WSCC ............................................................................... Western Systems Coordinating Council
There are three main types of depository institutions in the United States: (1) commercial banks; (2) credit unions; and (3) thrifts (including savings banks and savings and loan associations). These institutions have become more like each other in recent decades. However, they still differ in structure, specialization, and their regulatory and supervisory systems.

Both the federal government and the individual states charter depository institutions, creating what is known as a “dual” system. Federal agencies regulate the federally chartered institutions and also, to some degree, the state-chartered institutions. Where federal laws do not preempt their authority, state banking agencies regulate the state-chartered institutions. (See table at the end of this section.)
Commercial Banks

The federal Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) charters, regulates, and supervises the federally chartered banks, known as “national” banks. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) participate in the regulation of national banks as well as state-chartered banks. Membership in the Federal Reserve System is required for national banks and optional for state banks. Under the 1991 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act, state banks must apply to the FDIC for deposit insurance. Finally, the Division of Financial Institutions (commonly referred to as the Financial Institutions Division [FID]) of Nevada’s Department of Business and Industry charters and regulates State banks under the provisions of Title 55 (“Banks and Related Organizations”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS).

Credit Unions

Credit unions are cooperative nonprofit corporations organized for the benefit of their members. The National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) regulates federally chartered credit unions and, to some degree, federally insured state-chartered credit unions. Nevada also regulates state-chartered credit unions under the provisions of Chapter 678 (“Credit Unions”) of NRS.

Thrifts, Savings Banks, and Savings and Loan Associations

These institutions, originally established to promote personal savings and home ownership through mortgage lending, today provide a range of services similar to commercial banks. As a result of the national savings and loan crisis of the 1980s, the U.S. Congress abolished the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, created the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) of the U.S. Department of the Treasury, eliminated the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation (FSLIC), and transferred the FSLIC’s duties to the FDIC. Effective July 21, 2011, the OTS merged with the OCC. Currently, the OCC and the FDIC regulate federally chartered entities. In Nevada, the FID regulates state-chartered entities under the provisions of Chapter 673 (“Savings and Loan Associations”) and Chapter 677 (“Thrift Companies”) of NRS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS—REGULATORY AGENCIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS—REGULATORY AGENCIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| FDIC   | State-chartered banks not members of the Federal Reserve System; savings banks; foreign bank branches | [https://fdic.gov](https://fdic.gov)  
Call Center:  (877) 275-3342 |
| NCUA   | Federal credit unions; federally insured state-chartered credit unions | [https://www.ncua.gov](https://www.ncua.gov)  
Consumer Assistance:  (800) 755-1030 |
| FID    | State-chartered banks, credit unions, and thrifts | [http://www.fid.state.nv.us](http://www.fid.state.nv.us)  
(702) 486-4120  
(775) 684-2790 |

### NONDEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS

In addition to regulating banks, credit unions, and thrifts, the FID also oversees the activities of a number of financial businesses that do not take deposits from customers.

**Collection Agencies**

A collection agency is a person engaged, directly or indirectly, in collecting, soliciting, or obtaining payment of claims owed, or asserted to be owed, to another. Attorneys, banks, homeowners’ associations, real estate brokers, and certain others are generally not included in the definition. Statutes pertaining to collection agencies can be found in Chapter 649 (“Collection Agencies”) of NRS.

To conduct business in Nevada, a collection agency must either obtain a license from the FID or be certified by the FID as a foreign collection agency. Applicants for licensure must maintain a trust account in a bank or credit union located in Nevada; post a bond or other security of $35,000 to $60,000, depending on the amount of the balance of their trust account; have a licensed collection manager to oversee day-to-day operations; and meet other requirements. To be certified as a foreign collection agency, the agency must not have a business location in Nevada and must pay an annual fee.

**Debt-Management Services**

In 2009, Nevada adopted the Uniform Debt-Management Services Act, which is codified under Chapter 676A (“Uniform Debt-Management Services Act”) of NRS. Under the Act, the Commissioner of Financial Institutions regulates debt-management services including credit counseling, the development and implementation of debt-management plans, and debt settlement services. All such companies must register with the Commissioner and follow detailed requirements for registration, including a surety bond in the amount of at least $50,000.
The Act governs contracts between a debtor and a debt-management services company, prescribes the duties and obligations of a company, identifies prohibited activities, and grants the Commissioner investigative and regulatory authority, including the authority to regulate advertising. A violation of the Act is a deceptive trade practice.

**Installment Lenders**

Under the provisions of the Nevada Installment Loan and Finance Act, the State regulates businesses that make loans repayable in installments. The Act does not apply to depository institutions, development corporations, insurance companies, mortgage bankers and brokers, pawnbrokers, payday lenders, trust companies, or businesses that lend money on real property secured by a mortgage, unless they seek to evade its application by pretense or subterfuge.

Installment lenders who make or solicit loans in Nevada, or who are located in Nevada, must obtain a license from the FID. Licensees must pay an application fee and an annual fee and comply with the various requirements of Chapter 675 (“Installment Loans”) of NRS.

**Money Transmitters**

Any person who is in the business of selling or issuing checks, or of transmitting money or credits, must be licensed by the FID. This requirement, however, does not apply to depository institutions or telegraph companies. A licensee must post a bond or other security for each location covered by the license and otherwise comply with the statutory requirements in Chapter 671 (“Issuers of Instruments for Transmission or Payment of Money”) of NRS.

**Payday Lending**

The FID regulates businesses that make deferred deposit loans, high-interest loans, title loans, or operate check-cashing services. The Legislature has adopted comprehensive laws regarding such services intended to protect consumers from predatory and unfair lending practices. These laws also extend certain rights and privileges to members of the armed forces. Payday lending businesses must obtain a license from the FID and comply with the extensive provisions of State law in Chapter 604A (“Deferred Deposit Loans, High-Interest Loans, Title Loans and Check-Cashing Services”) of NRS.

Additionally, the law grants certain rights to customers, such as the right to pay off a loan before the due date, and prohibits certain activities by lenders, such as making a deferred deposit loan that exceeds 25 percent of a customer’s expected gross monthly income or threatening criminal prosecution.

The FID has enforcement powers, including the authority to impose fines. Loans made in violation of the law are void, and customers may bring civil action to enforce the law, seeking actual, consequential, and punitive damages and attorney’s fees.
Private Professional Guardians

The 2015 Legislature passed Assembly Bill 325 (Chapter 409, Statutes of Nevada), which required the FID to regulate and license a person engaging in the business of a private professional guardian. Under existing laws, the court may appoint a private professional guardian to act as a fiduciary for a person or estate. “Fiduciary” means a trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of an estate, personal representative, conservator, assignee for the benefit of creditors, receiver, depositary, or person that receives on deposit money or property from a public administrator or from another fiduciary.

Trust Companies

Trust companies are corporations and limited liability companies that act as fiduciaries. Nevada’s laws regarding trust companies do not apply to depository institutions, fiduciaries under a court trust, licensed real estate brokers, and various others.

Trust companies must obtain a license from the FID, maintain a minimum amount of stockholder equity, pay annual fees, and otherwise comply with the laws in Chapter 669 (“Trust Companies”) of NRS.

MORTGAGE LENDING

As a separate unit of the Department of Business and Industry, the Division of Mortgage Lending (commonly referred to as the Mortgage Lending Division [MLD]) oversees Nevada’s mortgage bankers, brokers, and agents, as well as escrow agencies and agents. Loan modification consultants, foreclosure consultants, and other people who provide similar services are also regulated by the MLD. The Legislature created the MLD during the 2003 Legislative Session to improve the regulation of the mortgage lending industry, previously under the authority of the FID. The MLD is supported by fees and does not receive any money from the State General Fund.

Mortgage Bankers

A mortgage banker is a person who buys or sells notes secured by liens on real property, makes loans with his or her own money secured by liens on real property, originates commercial mortgage loans on behalf of an institutional investor, and does not act as a mortgage broker (unless properly licensed to do so). The laws applicable to mortgage bankers do not apply to depository institutions, individuals or couples acting on their own, real estate brokers, and various others.

Mortgage bankers must obtain a license from the MLD, pay application fees and annual fees, keep complete and suitable records of all transactions, submit annual statements and audits of trust accounts to the MLD, and comply with other requirements in Chapter 645E (“Mortgage Bankers”) of NRS.
**Mortgage Brokers**

A mortgage broker is a person who serves as an agent for any person in an attempt to obtain a loan secured by a lien on real property, serves as an agent for a person who has money to loan for such a purpose, makes such loans, buys or sells notes secured by liens on real property, or offers for sale certain securities and makes investments in promissory notes secured by liens on real property. The term “mortgage broker” does not include mortgage bankers. Moreover, as with mortgage bankers, the laws applicable to mortgage brokers do not apply to depository institutions, real estate brokers, individuals or couples acting on their own, and various others.

A mortgage broker must meet specified educational requirements, pass a written examination, obtain a license from the MLD, pay application fees and annual fees, satisfy continuing education requirements, keep complete and suitable records, submit annual statements and audits of trust accounts, and comply with extensive additional statutory provisions as found in Chapter 645B (“Mortgage Brokers and Mortgage Agents”) of NRS.

**Mortgage Agents**

A mortgage agent is an employee or independent contractor of a mortgage broker authorized to engage in the broker’s activities (other than clerical or ministerial tasks) on the broker’s behalf. Mortgage agents must obtain a license from the MLD, pay application fees and annual fees, and satisfy the continuing education requirements of the MLD. An agent may not be associated with more than one broker at a time, and the broker must exercise reasonable supervision over all of the broker’s agents. Statutes governing the conduct of mortgage agents can also be found in Chapter 645B of NRS.

**Escrow Agencies and Agents**

An escrow transaction is one involving the sale, transfer, or lease of property from one person to another, in which money or evidence of title is delivered to a third person to hold until the conditions of the transaction are satisfied. It includes the collection of payments and the performance of related services by a third person in connection with a loan secured by a lien on real property and the performance of the services of a construction control.

An escrow agent is someone engaged in the business of administering escrows, and an escrow agency is a business that either employs one or more escrow agents or consists of an agent acting on his or her own behalf. An escrow agent or agency must obtain a license from the MLD, post a bond or other security payable to the State of Nevada, pay required fees, and comply with the statutes in Chapter 645A (“Escrow Agencies and Agents”) of NRS.

**Foreclosure and Loan Modification Consultants**

A foreclosure consultant is someone who offers to perform, for compensation on behalf of a homeowner, any activity related to preventing or postponing a foreclosure sale. A loan modification consultant is any person who offers, for compensation, to assist homeowners adjust the terms of their mortgage loan in a manner that is not provided for in the original or previously modified mortgage agreement.
Pursuant to Chapter 645F (“Mortgage Lending and Related Professions”) of NRS and the corresponding Chapter 645F of Nevada Administrative Code, foreclosure consultants and loan modification consultants must obtain a license from the MLD, pay applicable fees, post a corporate surety bond payable to the State of Nevada, demonstrate a history of reputable behavior, and comply with all other statutory and regulatory requirements.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND MORTGAGE LENDING—CURRENT ISSUES

**Mortgage Lending Concerns**

The foreclosure crisis seems to be winding down in some parts of the country. In November 2015, RealtyTrac reported foreclosure starts dropped to the lowest level since May 2005. However, Nevada’s foreclosure level remains high, and the State posted the nation’s highest foreclosure rate for the first time since September 2014. In February 2016, 1 in every 723 Nevada housing units had a foreclosure filing, more than twice the national average.

Mortgage problems impact many people and institutions, in addition to the borrower and lender. They impose substantial costs on third parties and arguably affect all segments of the population due to their effects on capital markets. The fiscal and financial effects of foreclosures include:

- Impacts on borrowers: Loss of their down payment and principal paid; penalties and fees charged during delinquency and default; legal fees; increased future borrowing costs; and moving expenses;

- Impacts on lenders: Reduced value of assets held; increased servicing costs; high opportunity costs of money tied up in foreclosure; and weaker performance on portfolios generally;

- Impacts on neighbors: Lower rents collected by landlords; reduced sales at local businesses; negative effects on property values and prices; and costs associated with quality-of-life issues, including increased crime rates; and

- Impacts on government: Increased costs of community development, fire, legal, police, sanitation, and other services; loss of tax revenue from defaults; slower growth (or decline) in residential property valuation and tax base; and reduced sales tax receipts.

In response to the wave of foreclosures, the 2009 Nevada Legislature created the State of Nevada Foreclosure Mediation Program (FMP) to directly address the foreclosure crisis by providing homeowners and lenders with an opportunity to discuss alternatives to foreclosure. Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 marked the fifth year of the program. In FY 2014, the FMP transitioned to automatically enrolling homeowners in mediation after the filing of a Notice of Default (NOD). The FMP revised forms and changed its case management system to accept NOD filing data from lenders electronically, which improved the processing of cases and reduced the time required to schedule mediations.

The FMP mediators handled 2,102 cases in FY 2014. A total of 1,834 mediations were held and 292 mediations were not. Of the 292 mediations not held, 111 homeowners withdrew from mediation.
prior to completion. The remaining 181 mediations were not held for various reasons. Of the mediations held, 503 of the mediations resulted in an agreement being reached, and 1,391 concluded with no agreement being reached.

During the 2013 Session, the Legislature passed the “Homeowner’s Bill of Rights” (Senate Bill 321 [Chapter 403, Statutes of Nevada]). The measure requires the lender of a residential mortgage loan to notify the borrower about foreclosure prevention alternatives at least 30 days before recording a NOD. The lender must contact the borrower, or make a good faith effort to do so, before recording a NOD, to explore options to avoid a foreclosure sale. The lender must provide the borrower with information on foreclosure prevention alternatives not later than five business days after recording a NOD. Further, the bill imposes all of these requirements on an action to foreclose on a real mortgage in court and, for owner-occupied housing, makes a judicial foreclosure action subject to the FMP.

DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS AND FEDERAL PREEMPTION OF STATE AUTHORITY

Over a period of many years, the U.S. government has preempted many aspects of state authority over banks, thrifts, lending, and related matters. This is a complex, controversial, and constantly changing issue. The level of preemption, which began over 70 years ago, has increased in recent years:

- In 1978, in the matter of Marquette National Bank of Minneapolis v. First of Omaha Service Corporation, the U.S. Supreme Court allowed national banks to take the “most favored lender” status from their home state across state lines, and preempt the laws of a borrower’s home state. Subsequently, many national banks established their headquarters in states that eliminated or raised their usury limits, such as Delaware and South Dakota.

- In 1980, through the enactment of the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act, the Congress removed state interest ceilings on loans secured by first mortgages on homes and preempted state limits on lenders’ ability to assess points, finance charges, and other charges related to annual percentage rates.

- In 1982, Congress enacted the Alternative Mortgage Transaction Parity Act, which preempted the states’ authority to limit terms on alternative mortgages, including negative amortization clauses, variable rate loans, and balloon payment provisions. The law applied to all housing creditors.

- In 1996, in Smiley v. CitiBank (South Dakota), N.A., the U.S. Supreme Court approved a definition of interest rates including charges for annual fees, cash advances, late payments, membership fees, returned checks, and spending over one’s limit. In combination with the Marquette National Bank decision, this decision made national banks’ fees exportable.

- In 1996, the OTS claimed authority to occupy the entire field of lending regulation for federal savings associations and granted preemption rights to operating subsidiaries of a depository institution. Furthermore, in 2004, the OCC published broad preemption regulations.

- The Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994, as amended in 1997, also known as the Riegle-Neal Act, preempted certain state authority in the area of interstate branching.
In 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court held in *Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.* that the bank’s mortgage business, whether conducted by Wachovia Bank or its subsidiary, Wachovia Mortgage, is subject to OCC regulation and not state licensing, reporting, and visitation requirements, which the Supreme Court said are preempted by the National Bank Act of 1864.

According to the National Conference of State Legislatures:

> Federal preemptions adopted through regulation, legislative enactments, or adverse judicial determinations have far-reaching consequences. They impose liabilities on states. They curtail state creativity and state authority, and they often seek uniformity when uniformity is not necessarily the most effective means for resolving issues.

In addition, the National Consumer Law Center has stated that federal preemption has harmed consumers by allowing credit card abuses, irresponsible mortgage lending, overdraft fees, and predatory consumer lending.

In the dissenting opinion on the *Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.* decision, Justice John Paul Stevens argued that the Supreme Court had preserved the dual banking system for many years, permitting preemption of nondiscriminatory state law only when that law incapacitated a national bank or would forbid or significantly impair its activities. The dissent said that the National Bank Act did not support preemption, nor had the Congress delegated preemptive authority to the OCC.

Some analysts are concerned that the *Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.* decision would encourage the OCC and the OTS (now merged into the OCC) in granting powers to national banks and thrifts and their operating subsidiaries and preempting state laws in such areas as consumer protection.

**2015 LEGISLATION AFFECTING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND MORTGAGE LENDING**

*State of Nevada Foreclosure Mediation Program—Senate Bill 512*

Senate Bill 512 (Chapter 517, *Statutes of Nevada*) allowed homeowners to obtain a recommendation from a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) approved housing counselor to participate in foreclosure mediation if they are at risk of defaulting on a home loan. The HUD housing counselor must determine whether a homeowner is at financial risk of losing the home and is unable to make a mortgage payment within the next 90 days. The homeowner must file a form with a mediation administrator electing to enter into mediation and must pay his or her share of the mediation fee. Good faith mediation engaged in by a lender and a homeowner under the provisions of this bill satisfies the pertinent mediation requirements under Nevada law.

The measure also eliminates the mediation program completely as of June 30, 2017. The last date a homeowner may enroll in the program is December 31, 2016, and a person who initiates a foreclosure after December 1, 2016, need not provide notice of the program.
Deficiency Judgments—Assembly Bill 195

Assembly Bill 195 (Chapter 149, Statutes of Nevada) revised provisions governing the amount of a deficiency judgment to be awarded by a court after the foreclosure of a mortgage or deed of trust. Such judgments are those issued against a borrower when his or her foreclosure sale did not produce enough funds to pay the underlying loan in full. The bill revises a provision in current law that limits the amount a person who acquires the right to obtain a deficiency judgment from another person may obtain. This applies only to certain deficiency judgments awarded after the passage of this bill involving the enforcement of debt secured by certain residential property and any debt secured by any other property if the promissory note or guaranty evidencing the debt was executed before July 1, 2011.

Additionally, the bill revised provisions governing the amount a person holding a junior lien on real property may recover in a civil action. The measure provided the money judgment obtained by a creditor with a junior mortgage or lien on real property may not exceed the amount of the consideration paid by the creditor for the right to enforce the obligation secured by the junior mortgage or lien.

Wholesale Lenders and Mortgage Servicers—Assembly Bill 480

Assembly Bill 480 (Chapter 477, Statutes of Nevada) provided for the regulation of out-of-state wholesale lenders and mortgage servicers. The bill required out-of-state wholesale lenders to be licensed as mortgage brokers or mortgage bankers.
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FDIC ................................................................. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FID ................................................................. Financial Institutions Division
FMP ............................................................... Foreclosure Mediation Program
FSLIC ..................................................... Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation
HUD ......................................................... U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
MLD ............................................................ Mortgage Lending Division
NCUA .......................................................... National Credit Union Administration
NOD ............................................................... Notice of Default
OCC ............................................................. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OTS ............................................................. Office of Thrift Supervision
A safe and efficient transportation system is an integral part of Nevada’s economic well-being and quality of life. The State’s transportation system serves a growing population and economy spread across a vast geographic landscape. Nevada’s boundaries cover approximately 109,781 square miles, making it the seventh largest state in the nation. In contrast, Nevada has the 36th largest population, with approximately 2.89 million residents, according to the United States Census Bureau’s 2015 population estimates. Roughly 90 percent of Nevadans live in one of three metropolitan areas: Las Vegas; Reno/Sparks; or Carson City. The remaining 10 percent are dispersed widely in communities throughout the State. The diverse characteristics of the State are served by a transportation system that ranges from high-speed, ten-lane freeways to nonmotorized trails and paths just a few feet wide.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Nevada’s Department of Transportation (NDOT) is responsible for the planning, construction, operation, and maintenance of transportation systems within the State. Its major responsibilities involve highways, railroads, and mass transit. Additional NDOT responsibilities include, but are not limited to, improving safety along roadways, maintaining the highways, replacing and rehabilitating bridges, establishing recreational trails, funding eligible airport improvement projects, and assisting with rail service.
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The Department of Transportation’s mission is to provide a better transportation system for Nevada through unified and dedicated efforts. Each year, NDOT identifies transportation projects, programs, and funding sources for transportation facilities. Most of the projects are developed in cooperation with other transportation providers such as the regional planning organizations, local airport authorities, and local governments.

The responsibility for roads and highways is divided between local governments and the State. Nevada’s Department of Transportation is responsible for the construction, improvement, and maintenance of the State’s 5,937-mile highway system, which includes interstate highways and frontage roads, U.S. highways, State highways, and farm-to-market roads. In addition, local governments maintain 37,418 miles of county roads and city streets, bringing the total number of public road miles in Nevada to an estimated 42,815 miles. Nevada’s maintained, functionally classified roads contain 2,503 miles of principal arterials, 1,621 miles of minor arterials, and 5,708 miles of connectors. In addition to the roadway system, NDOT inspects approximately 1,994 bridges that are open to the public. The NDOT maintains 1,164 of these bridges; the rest are maintained by counties, local agencies, or private owners.

The Board of Directors of NDOT oversees the distribution of funding for road construction and maintenance. The Board historically consisted of four constitutional officers, including the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and State Controller, as well as one appointed member from each of the State’s three highway districts. However, in 2013, the Legislature approved a plan to replace the Attorney General with an additional appointed member from Clark County in an effort to give the State’s most populous highway district a larger voice on funding.

Funding for the State of Nevada’s transportation system is derived from a variety of revenue sources, including federal, State, and local option resources. The revenues are collected through a variety of mechanisms including, but not limited to, fuel taxes, vehicle privilege taxes, licenses, registrations, and motor carrier fees.

State highways maintained by NDOT are financed with dedicated highway-user revenue and federal funds. No General Fund (general tax) revenue is used. State and federal highway funds are principally derived from vehicle fuel tax and registration fees. In addition, Article 9, Section 5 of the Nevada Constitution requires that the revenues from fuel taxes and other fees and charges for the operation of a motor vehicle be used for highway construction, maintenance, repair, and administration.

The following comprise the State Highway Revenue sources:

- Federal Highway Trust Fund—Fuel tax and other highway-user revenue collected by the federal government is placed in the Federal Highway Trust Fund. The federal gasoline tax is 18.4 cents per gallon. Congress allocates these funds to the states per provisions in the “Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for the Users” and annual appropriations bills. Federal funds are available only for reimbursement of expenditures on approved projects. Federal aid is not available for routine maintenance, administration, or other nonproject-related costs. To acquire federal funds, the State generally must pay 5 percent to 20 percent of the project’s cost.
- State Highway Fund—The Fund was established in *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) 408.235. It is a special revenue fund established to account for the receipt and expenditure of dedicated highway-user revenue. The Highway Fund primarily finances NDOT. However, the bulk of the operating costs of Nevada’s Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) and the Department of Public Safety are also financed by appropriations from the Highway Fund. Typically, there also are minor appropriations or transfers to other agencies for their services, including the Department of Administration, the Office of the Attorney General, the State Public Works Board, and the Nevada Transportation Authority. The Fund is composed of the following taxes and fees:

  - **Gasoline Tax**—The Nevada Legislature first enacted a gasoline tax in 1923. The balance of these revenues went to the counties and was based upon the number of licensed vehicles in each county. Today, the statewide tax on gasoline is 24.805 cents per gallon, with 17.65 cents going to the State Highway Fund, 6.35 cents going to cities and counties, 0.75 cents to the State Petroleum Cleanup Trust Fund, and a 0.055-cent inspection fee directed to the State Department of Agriculture. Additionally, certain counties impose up to 9 cents per gallon in optional fuel taxes.

  - **Special Fuel Taxes**—Taxes on special fuels are distributed to the State Highway Fund. Currently, the State taxes diesel fuel at a rate of 27.75 cents per gallon; propane (liquefied petroleum gas) at a rate of 22 cents per gallon; and methane (compressed natural gas) at a rate of 21 cents per gallon.

  - **Vehicle Registration and Permit Taxes**—The annual registration rate for a vehicle varies depending primarily on the weight of the vehicle. The rate is $33 for passenger cars, recreational vehicles, and motor homes and $17 per 1,000 pounds of declared gross vehicle weight for large commercial trucks.

  - **Driver’s License Fees**—The fee for a driver’s license varies depending on the type of vehicle: $23.50 for operating a passenger car; $108 for operating a commercial vehicle; and $5.50 for a motorcycle endorsement. The non-commercial driver’s license fee decreases to $18.50 for people over 65 years of age.

  - **Governmental Services Taxes (GST)**—The basic GST rate is 4 percent of the vehicle’s depreciated assessed valuation. Initial valuation of the vehicle is 35 percent of the manufacturer’s suggested retail price, without accessories. An optional supplemental rate of 1 percent of the vehicle’s depreciated assessed valuation is assessed in Churchill, Clark, and White Pine Counties. The supplemental GST funds are returned to the counties to be used for road construction and maintenance. For vehicles registered at a DMV office, 94 percent of the GST is distributed to school districts and local governments and 6 percent to the Motor Vehicle Fund as a collection commission to support the operations of the DMV. For vehicles registered at a County Assessor’s office, 94 percent of the GST is distributed to school districts and local governments, and 6 percent is retained as a collection commission (5 percent to the county general fund and 1 percent to the Motor Vehicle Fund).
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

The State controls the manner and use of the highways by the public through the DMV. The DMV maintains 18 offices Statewide and is organized into the following eight divisions:

1. Division of the Office of the Director;
2. Division of Compliance Enforcement;
3. Division of Field Services;
4. Division of Central Services and Records;
5. Division of Management Services and Programs;
6. Division of Information Technology;
7. Administrative Services Division; and
8. Motor Carrier Division.

Nevada’s motor vehicle laws are primarily contained in Chapters 481 through 486 of NRS, which address: vehicle registration; vehicle titles; consignment of vehicles; licensing and regulation of vehicle manufacturers, rebuilders, distributors, dealers, brokers, salespersons, and lessors; special license plates; antitheft laws; operators’ licenses; vehicular accidents and financial responsibility; civil and criminal liability with regard to vehicle operators; vehicle size, weight, and load restrictions and limits; vehicles and the powers of State and local authorities; driving schools and driving instructors; body shops; garages; and salvage vehicles.

Under Chapter 483 (“Drivers’ Licenses; Driving Schools and Driving Instructors”) of NRS, the first accumulation of 12 demerit points in any 12-month period will result in suspension of operating privileges for 6 months. Demerit points are assessed for moving traffic convictions based on the violation conviction date. When 12 months have elapsed from the date of a conviction, the demerits for that violation are deleted from the total demerits accumulated. Convictions remain part of a person’s permanent driving record.

DRIVERS’ LICENSES AND DRIVER AUTHORIZATION CARDS

Drivers’ Licenses

To obtain a Nevada driver’s license, it is necessary to be at least 16 years old and have completed an approved driver education course. An exemption is available if a driver education course is not offered within a 30-mile radius of a person’s residence, and if the person completes an additional 50 hours of supervised driving. Driver education is not required for a beginning driver 18 years of age or older. A person 18 years of age must obtain an instruction permit before taking a skills test; complete the application form and provide proof of full legal name, age, and Social Security number; and pass the knowledge, vision, and skills test. A new driver is issued a driver’s license, which is valid for four years and expires on the person’s birthday.
Nevada uses the graduated driver licensing (GDL) system for new drivers under the age of 18. The GDL system is a program that allows novice drivers to gain knowledge and driving experience while under the supervision of an experienced mentor as they progress through the learning stages of driving. Under the GDL system, a novice driver needs to be accompanied by a qualified instructor, parent, or legal guardian over 21 years of age. A person must complete a course in driver education. In addition, 50 hours of behind-the-wheel experience, which must include 10 hours of night driving, is required prior to applying for a license. Finally, a number of passenger restrictions and instructional permit holding periods are required for drivers under the age of 18.

Two versions of driver’s license are available in Nevada: a “REAL ID” license and a standard license. In 2005, Congress passed the REAL ID Act, enacting federal law that sets identification standards for state drivers’ licenses that are used for boarding an aircraft, entering a federal building where identification is required, or entering a nuclear power plant. States were required to implement a minimum set of national standards for issuing a REAL ID, and Nevada came into compliance with the Act on November 12, 2014. Nevadans currently may choose to obtain a REAL ID driver’s license or identification (ID) card, or they may choose a standard license or ID card marked with “Not for Federal Official Use.” The latter meets Nevada’s proof of identity standards and will be accepted as identification for all uses until October 1, 2020, when it will no longer be accepted for boarding aircraft or entering federal facilities.

**Driver Authorization Cards**

In 2013, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 303 (Chapter 282, *Statutes of Nevada*) authorizing Nevada residents to show documents such as a birth certificate or passport from a foreign government to obtain a driver authorization card. While the card only authorizes driving—it may not be used for identification, voter registration, or services—it also offers some protection for undocumented immigrants, prohibiting the DMV from releasing information related to a driver’s legal presence or immigration status.

**NEVADA’S DRUNK DRIVING LAWS**

The drinking age in Nevada is 21 years of age. It is *illegal per se* (presumed illegal) to drive a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) at or above 0.08 percent in Nevada. In 1998, as part of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, or TEA-21, a new federal incentive grant program was created to encourage states to adopt a 0.08 percent BAC *illegal per se* level. In October of 2000, Congress passed 0.08 percent BAC as the national standard for impaired driving as part of a law providing appropriations to the U.S. Department of Transportation for Fiscal Year (FY) 2001. States that did not adopt 0.08 percent BAC by October 1, 2003, had 2 percent of certain highway construction funds withheld each year, with the penalty increased to 8 percent by FY 2007.

In 2003, the Nevada Legislature lowered the legal blood alcohol limit for drivers from 0.10 percent to 0.08 percent as a condition to receiving federal funding for the construction of highways in this State.
**Driving Under the Influence**

Nevada’s driving under the influence (DUI) laws and their respective penalties include fine or forfeiture, jail or imprisonment, suspension or revocation of driving privileges, alcohol assessment, and demerit points. A combination of these penalties commonly is imposed for a specific offense. The following table summarizes Nevada’s basic DUI penalties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEVADA BASIC DUI PENALTIES</th>
<th>JAIL OR PRISON TIME</th>
<th>FINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First without injury (misdemeanor)</td>
<td>2 days to 6 months in jail or 48 to 96 hours of community service</td>
<td>$400 to $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second within 7 years without injury (misdemeanor)</td>
<td>10 days to 6 months in jail or residential confinement</td>
<td>$750 to $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third or subsequent within 7 years without injury (category B felony)</td>
<td>1 to 6 years in prison</td>
<td>$2,000 to $5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any offense resulting in death or substantial bodily harm (category B felony)</td>
<td>2 to 20 years in prison</td>
<td>$2,000 to $5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any subsequent offense following a felony offense of DUI</td>
<td>2 to 15 years in prison</td>
<td>$2,000 to $5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Nevada, there is no differentiation between a third or subsequent (fourth, fifth, et cetera) offense. In addition, the time period for a subsequent DUI offense to be added to an earlier DUI offense is seven years. Nevada law does not provide for enhanced penalties if the subsequent offense occurs earlier within the seven-year period, unless a person has been convicted of a felony offense of DUI in Nevada or another state. Under this circumstance, any subsequent DUI offense is punishable as a felony regardless of whether the subsequent offense occurred within the last seven years.

“Vehicular homicide” is defined as the death of another person proximately caused by a person who has previously committed at least three offenses of DUI or a controlled or prohibited substance and drives while under the influence. The crime is a category A felony punishable by imprisonment in the State prison for life with the possibility of parole or a definite term of 25 years. The number of offenses is determined in the same manner as DUI; however, the offenses are not restricted to offenses committed within the immediately preceding seven years. “Homicide by vessel” is defined as the death of another person proximately caused by a person who has previously committed at least three offenses of operating a vessel under the influence and who operates a vessel under the influence in violation of law. This crime is a category A felony and is punishable in the same manner prescribed for vehicular homicide.
Courts may order a third time offender of a DUI to a treatment program (NRS 453.580) for a minimum of three years based on a report from a counselor or physician who diagnoses a drug or alcohol abuse problem. If the court orders the offender to a treatment program, further proceedings are suspended, and the offender is placed on probation upon condition that the treatment program is completed. If the program is successfully completed, the conviction is reduced to a second-offense violation, which is a misdemeanor. However, for purposes of additional penalties imposed for subsequent DUI offenses, the offense will count as a third offense. If the program is not successfully completed or the offender is not accepted for treatment, the sentence must be served; however, the court has the discretion to reduce the sentence of imprisonment for the time served.

Implied Consent

Nevada has an implied consent statute (NRS 484C.160) for an individual who refuses a lawful request by a police officer to submit to an evidentiary test of the individual’s blood, urine, breath, or other bodily substance. This means that a person driving or in actual physical control of a vehicle is deemed to have given consent to breath or blood testing. Refusal is grounds for an arrest. A police officer may use reasonable force to obtain blood samples from such a person.

REGULATION OF MOTOR CARRIERS AND TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANIES

The Nevada Transportation Authority (NTA) and the Taxicab Authority, within the Department of Business and Industry, regulate providers of transportation services in Nevada. While the NTA is responsible for regulating a variety of motor carriers and other transportation services, the Taxicab Authority specifically regulates taxicabs in Clark County.

Nevada Transportation Authority

The NTA provides oversight for fully and partially regulated motor carriers, including operators of limousines, taxicab services operating outside of Clark County, transporters of household goods, operators of tow cars, and charter bus services, among others. In 2015, the Legislature authorized the NTA to permit and regulate transportation network companies (TNCs)—entities such as Uber and Lyft—which generally use smartphone technology to connect passengers to drivers who provide transportation services. This change is discussed in more detail in the “Major Policy Issues of the 2015 Legislative Session” section of this report.

The NTA consists of three commissioners appointed by the Governor, whose regulatory activities generally fall into three broad categories: (1) accepting and reviewing applications; (2) patrolling, conducting law enforcement activities, and investigating complaints; and (3) ensuring compliance with existing statutes and regulations.

Taxicab Authority

The Taxicab Authority regulates the taxicab industry in counties with a population of 700,000 or more—currently, Clark County. Its regulatory activities include licensing and permitting, vehicle inspection, public safety dispatch, and compliance and enforcement.
The Taxicab Authority is governed by a five-member board appointed by the Governor. The board conducts hearings and renders decisions regarding the administration and enforcement of provisions contained in Chapter 706 ("Motor Carriers") of NRS, as well as the issuance and transfer of certificates of public convenience for taxicab companies. The board determines the number of taxicabs authorized per certificated company and the fares to be charged, and it hears appeals involving the issuance, suspension, and revocation of drivers’ permits.

MAJOR POLICY ISSUES OF THE 2015 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Transportation Network Companies

After much debate and deliberation, the Legislature passed Assembly Bill 176 (Chapter 279, Statutes of Nevada), authorizing the NTA to permit and regulate TNCs and TNC drivers in Nevada. The bill specified that TNCs are not subject to the provisions of existing law that govern motor carriers (Chapter 706 of NRS). However, drivers of TNCs are subject to requirements such as background checks and motor vehicle insurance conditions. In addition, TNCs must collect and report certain information to the NTA and, in turn, the NTA must evaluate whether current background checks and insurance coverage requirements sufficiently protect public safety. The Legislature also enacted a 3 percent excise tax on the total fare charged by both TNCs and common motor carriers; revenue generated is directed to the State Highway Fund and the State General Fund.

In September 2015, the NTA adopted necessary regulations for the operation of TNCs in Nevada (LCB File No. R029-15), and Lyft and Uber became the first TNCs licensed in the State.

Taxicabs

The Legislature made a few changes regarding the taxicab industry in Clark County as well. The law now provides that: (1) a person in Clark County may operate a taxicab as an independent contractor; (2) taxicabs may use a system other than a taximeter to indicate passenger fare; and (3) the Taxicab Authority may no longer limit the geographical area in which taxicab service is provided. The Legislature also prohibited an employee of a taxicab from acting as a TNC driver during the same time the employee is being paid to drive a taxicab.

Department of Motor Vehicles Information Technology System Modernization

The 2015 Legislature appropriated nearly $38 million over the 2015-2017 Biennium to the DMV to modernize its antiquated information technology (IT) system. In testimony, representatives of the DMV indicated that since technology has changed drastically over the past 16 years, the existing IT system has reached its capacity to meet the demands of DMV customers. The new funding allows the DMV to implement an integrated system that is easy to configure and maintain, as well as automated tools to test, monitor, and manage the system in real time. An additional $1 “technology” fee on certain DMV transactions was also approved, through June 30, 2020, to help pay for the technology overhaul.
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Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

As unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), or drones, have gained traction in a variety of personal and commercial enterprises, the Legislature considered how best to ensure public safety and the right to privacy. The resulting law prohibits the operation of a drone: (1) within 500 horizontal feet or 250 vertical feet of a critical facility in absence of written consent; (2) within 5 miles of a public airport under certain circumstances; or (3) for the purpose of discharging a weapon. In addition, a person may bring an action for trespass against the owner of a drone that flies over their property, in certain circumstances. The Legislature also outlined the circumstances under which a law enforcement agency may operate a UAV in Nevada, and it required the Department of Public Safety to establish a registry of UAVs owned by State agencies, if funding is available.

Veterans

Nevada has a long-standing tradition of recognizing military veterans through the DMV. To ensure that veterans are able to receive all the benefits afforded to them, the Legislature required the DMV to place a veteran status designation on drivers’ licenses and identification cards upon the request of a qualified individual. In addition, existing law directs the DMV to inscribe special license plates with the international symbol of access for disabled veterans. However, not all veterans have been able to prove that they qualify for the designation because the discharge form the law required was issued only after 1950. This omission leaves out a number of veterans discharged in earlier years. In 2015, the Legislature resolved this situation by allowing veterans to submit “satisfactory evidence” of an honorable discharge or other honorable separation to the DMV to prove their veteran status.

FEDERAL UPDATE

On December 4, 2015, the U.S. Congress passed the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, a five-year, $305 billion funding reauthorization package to fund roads, bridges, transit systems, and railroads across the nation. The bill is the longest reauthorization of federal transportation funding in more than a decade, and it replaces numerous stopgap bills that funded the Highway Trust Fund in recent years.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Q: What is a REAL ID and how do I get one?
A: REAL ID is a coordinated effort by the states and the federal government to improve the reliability and accuracy of state-issued identification documents. Congress passed the REAL ID Act in 2005, enacting federal law that sets identification standards for state drivers’ licenses that are used for boarding an aircraft, entering a federal building where identification is required, or entering a nuclear power plant.

Nevadans may currently choose to obtain a REAL ID driver’s license or ID card, or they may choose a standard license or ID card marked with “Not for Federal Official Use.” A standard license meets Nevada’s proof of identity requirements and will be accepted as identification for all uses until October 1, 2020, when it will no longer be accepted for boarding aircraft or entering federal facilities.
To obtain a REAL ID license or ID card, a person must present proof of identity, a Social Security number, and two residency documents in person at the DMV. The one-time fee for upgrading a standard ID or license to a REAL ID or license is $9.25 or $13.25 for a commercial license. Additional information is available on the DMV’s website: [http://dmvnv.com/realid.htm](http://dmvnv.com/realid.htm).

Q: **How can I get a refund for cancelling my vehicle registration?**
A: If you cancel your vehicle registration, you may take the license plates to a full-service DMV office or mail the plates, decal, and signed letter requesting cancellation to the DMV. You will receive a credit for the unused portion of the current registration fees, which can be used toward the registration or renewal of another vehicle registered in your name. For additional information, visit: [http://www.dmvnv.com/platesurrender.htm](http://www.dmvnv.com/platesurrender.htm).

Q: **How can I change my name?**
A: To legally change your name, you must first change your name in person or via mail with your local Social Security Office. You must then visit a DMV office to have a new driver’s license or ID card issued. More information is available from the DMV: [http://www.dmvnv.com/namechange.htm](http://www.dmvnv.com/namechange.htm).

**ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

- Nevada’s Department of Transportation: [http://www.nevadadot.com/](http://www.nevadadot.com/)
- Department of Motor Vehicles: [http://dmvnv.com/](http://dmvnv.com/)
- Nevada Transportation Authority: [http://nta.nv.gov/](http://nta.nv.gov/)
- Taxicab Authority: [http://taxi.nv.gov/](http://taxi.nv.gov/)
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Nevada’s Department of Education (NDE) supervises and regulates public elementary and secondary education in the State and is headed by the Superintendent of Public Instruction. The Department is responsible for regulating and supporting the State’s 17 school districts and its public schools. In Nevada, responsibility for the education of elementary and secondary students is divided or shared among the State, local school districts, and charter schools.

CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS AND HISTORY

The Nevada Constitution, Article 11, Section 2, makes the State responsible for the establishment of the public school system.

In general, the Nevada Legislature has four primary responsibilities for public education: (1) providing for a uniform system of common schools; (2) prescribing the manner of appointment and duties of the Superintendent of Public Instruction; (3) indicating specific programs and courses of study; and (4) maintaining overall budget authority and establishing guaranteed per pupil funding.

Over the years, the Nevada Legislature has adopted a body of law within Title 34 (“Education”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) regarding the system of public schools. Sections of Title 34 address the State administrative organization; the local administrative organization; financial support of the school system; the system of public instruction; examinations, courses, standards, and diplomas; textbooks; personnel; pupils; school property; and the education of pupils with disabilities.

During its biennial sessions, the Legislature acts upon numerous policy and fiscal measures dealing with public education. The two standing committees dealing with policy matters are the Senate Committee on Education and the Assembly Committee on Education. Bills requiring substantive funding are processed by the two appropriations committees—the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means. During the interim period between legislative sessions, fiscal matters related to education are managed by the Interim Finance Committee; both education fiscal and policy issues are discussed by the Legislative Committee on Education.
GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT

State Board of Education and the Superintendent of Public Instruction

The State Board of Education consists of voting members elected by the voters in each of the State’s four congressional districts, and three members appointed by the Governor. In addition to the voting members, the Board includes four nonvoting members appointed by the Governor after being nominated by various entities specified in the statute.

The Governor appoints the Superintendent of Public Instruction from a list submitted by the State Board of Education. The Superintendent has the authority to enforce the K through 12 education laws in Nevada and ensure the duties and responsibilities of various councils and commissions are carried out.

School Districts

Under the authority granted to it by the Nevada Constitution, the Legislature established a system of school districts to provide for a mechanism of local control. The Nevada Legislature, in a Special Session held in 1956, made extensive changes to the structure of Nevada’s public school system. Among other changes, the Legislature eliminated the 208 legally active local school districts that had existed in Nevada and replaced them with just 17 districts, each of which is coterminous with county boundaries.

Under current law, boards of trustees are composed of either five or seven members; districts with more than 1,000 pupils have seven-member boards. Members serve four years, and vacancies are filled by the remaining trustees at a public meeting with the appointee serving until the next general election. Except in certain circumstances, members of Nevada’s 17 local school boards are elected “at large” in each school district. Nevada school district boards of trustees carry out a number of policy roles, which include: (1) approving curriculum; (2) enforcing courses of study prescribed by statute and administering the State system of public instruction; (3) establishing district policies and procedures; and (4) providing oversight of the school district’s funds and budget.

History of the Governance Structure in Nevada

Historical information about the structure of Nevada’s public school system may be found in the Legislative Counsel Bureau’s (LCB’s) issue paper titled, History of Selected Components of Nevada’s Public Elementary-Secondary Education Governance Structure. This document may be accessed in the Research Library of the LCB or at the following website: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/ResearchBriefs/HistoryEdGovernStruct.pdf.

ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND CHARACTERISTICS

According to NDE, in School Year (SY) 2014-2015 there were 459,172 pupils enrolled in public schools. Charter school enrollment represents 28,975 of these students. According to the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) of the United States Department of Education, from school years 2012 to 2025, Nevada’s pre-K through 12 enrollments in public schools is expected to increase by 26 percent. Enrollment growth has had a profound impact upon both district staffing and
infrastructure in Nevada, especially in Clark County. Throughout the 1990s until SY 2001-2002, enrollment in Nevada grew by an average of 5 percent per year. In SY 2002-2003, enrollment growth began to level off, with 4 percent growth in SY 2002-2003. Since then, enrollment has increased at an average rate of approximately 1 percent per year.

![Public School Enrollment—Percent Growth Per Year](image)

Source: NDE.

Part of Nevada’s enrollment growth has involved an increase in ethnic minority student populations, particularly those requiring special instruction to gain language proficiency, referred to as English language learners (ELLs). An ELL is an individual who was not born in the U.S., whose native language is a language other than English, who comes from an environment where a language other than English is dominant, or who is an American Indian or Alaska Native and who comes from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on his or her level of English language proficiency.

As of SY 2013-2014, 19 percent of Nevada students were classified as ELLs. The Legislature sought to address this issue through the passage of Senate Bill 504 (Chapter 515, Statutes of Nevada 2013). This legislation:

- Provided for a comprehensive program targeting the needs of ELLs;
- Created a 16-member English Mastery Council, tasked with providing recommendations to policy-making entities;
- Required the establishment and implementation of policies to support the successful education of ELLs; and
• Appropriated approximately $50 million over the 2013-2015 Biennium to support ELL programs.

The 2015 Nevada Legislature built upon this foundation of support for ELLs by creating a new Victory schools program with the passage of S.B. 432 (Chapter 389, Statutes of Nevada); expanding the Zoom schools program with the passage of S.B. 405 (Chapter 335, Statutes of Nevada) to include middle and high schools and increasing funding for the program to a total of $100 million; and creating the “Read by Three” program with the passage of S.B. 391 (Chapter 334, Statutes of Nevada).

Students—Limited English Proficient Enrollment
Percentage of Public School Students Who Are ELL by State, SY 2012-2013


FINANCING

The Nevada Plan is the means used to finance elementary and secondary education in the State’s public schools. The document may be accessed in the Research Library of the LCB or at the following website: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/NevadaPlan/Nevada_Plan.pdf.

Through the Nevada Plan, the State develops a guaranteed amount of funding for each of the local school districts, and the revenue, which provides the guaranteed funding, is derived from both State and local sources. On average, this guaranteed funding contributes approximately 75 percent to 80 percent of school districts’ general fund resources. The Nevada Plan funding for the districts
consists of State support received through the Distributive School Account\(^1\) (DSA) and locally collected revenues from the Local School Support Tax (sales tax) and the Ad Valorem Tax (property tax).

In Nevada, each school district’s guaranteed level of funding is determined by multiplying the basic support per pupil by weighted enrollment. Weighted enrollment (used to distribute DSA funds to school districts) equals a full count of pupils enrolled in grades 1 through 2, net of transfers, and including children with disabilities enrolled in special education programs within a district or charter school, and six-tenths of the count of pupils enrolled in kindergarten or programs for three- and four-year-olds with disabilities. Special need preschoolers are counted as six-tenths of a pupil because they typically attend school for half of a day or less.

In addition to revenue guaranteed through the *Nevada Plan*, school districts receive other revenue considered “outside” the *Nevada Plan*. Revenues outside the formula, which are not part of the guarantee but are considered when calculating each school district’s relative wealth, include the following: 50 cents of the Ad Valorem Tax on property; the share of basic government services tax distributed to school districts; franchise tax; interest income; tuition; unrestricted federal revenue, such as revenue received under Public Law 81-874 in lieu of taxes for federally impacted areas; and other local revenues.

In addition to revenues recognized by the *Nevada Plan*, school districts receive “categorical” funds from the federal government, State, and private organizations that may only be expended for designated purposes. Examples include the State-funded Class-Size Reduction program, Early Childhood Education, remediation programs, and student counseling services. Federally funded programs include the Title I program for disadvantaged youngsters, the No Child Left Behind Act, the Race to the Top program, the National School Lunch program, and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. Categorical funds must be accounted for separately in special revenue funds. Funding for capital projects, which may come from the sale of general obligation bonds, “pay-as-you-go” tax levies, or fees imposed on the construction of new residential units also are accounted for in separate funds (the Capital Projects Fund and Debt Service Fund).\(^2\)

**CHARTER SCHOOLS**

Charter schools are independent public schools, responsible for their own governance and operation. In exchange for this independence, there is increased accountability for their performance. The first charter school legislation in Nevada was enacted in 1997 through the passage of S.B. 220 (Chapter 480, *Statutes of Nevada*), and Nevada’s charter school law was substantially amended in subsequent sessions. While private schools can “convert” to a charter school, homeschools may not.

---

\(^1\)The DSA is financed by legislative appropriations from the State General Fund and other revenues, including a 2.25-cent tax on out-of-state sales, an annual slot machine tax, mineral land lease income, and interest from investments of the State Permanent School Fund.

\(^2\)Fiscal Analysis Division, LCB, 2012.
Until the 2011 Legislative Session, local school boards, the State Board of Education, and institutions of the Nevada System of Higher Education were authorized to be sponsors of charter schools in Nevada. Through the passage of S.B. 212 (Chapter 381, Statutes of Nevada), the 2011 Legislature created the State Public Charter School Authority (SPCSA) to replace the State Board as a sponsor. In addition to sponsoring charter schools, the SPCSA is expected to act as a model of best practices for all charter schools in Nevada.

Each charter school is overseen by a governing body, which must include teachers and may include parents or representatives of nonprofit organizations, businesses, or higher education institutions. The 2013 Legislature passed Assembly Bill 205 (Chapter 484, Statutes of Nevada), requiring Nevada’s charter schools to begin operating under performance-based contracts, rather than written charters. Critical to accountability, charter school contracts include performance measures for student achievement and proficiency, attendance and re-enrollment rates, graduation rates, financial and governance outcomes, and indicators that are specific to a school’s mission.

The 2015 Legislature enacted S.B. 509 (Chapter 516, Statutes of Nevada), giving the SPCSA limited regulatory authority, which is intended to improve the quality and diversity of Nevada’s charter schools. Some of the bill’s provisions include:

- Allowing nonprofit charter management organizations—which are among some of the most effective charter school operators nationally—to operate in Nevada;

- Providing additional options when a charter school persistently underperforms; and

• In the event it is necessary to terminate a school’s contract or charter, allowing the reconstitution of the school’s board of directors and the retention or termination of employees.

Charter schools receive the full per-pupil State funding for their students. School districts are obligated to share any State or federal funds, such as for special education students, on a proportional basis. There is no tuition to attend a charter school, but students may pay fees for certain activities—just as they would in a traditional school.

The SPCSA was created as a Local Education Agency (LEA), as defined in federal law, for the schools it sponsors. This LEA status allows it to receive and distribute State and federal categorical aid, such as Title I funds for disadvantaged students, to its State-sponsored charter schools. Under Nevada’s previous structure, federal law prohibited our State-sponsored charter schools from receiving such funding.

To provide more access to funding resources for charter schools, the 2013 Legislature enacted S.B. 384 (Chapter 335, Statutes of Nevada), which provides charter schools access to the public bond market, enabling them to raise capital for improved facilities and to repay their debt over longer periods.

The 2015 Legislature enacted A.B. 351 (Chapter 412, Statutes of Nevada), requiring existing charter schools seeking bond funding to be rated three-star or better, for two consecutive school years, before being able to access public bonds.

Nevada has more than 40 charter schools in operation, the majority of which are sponsored by the SPCSA. The SPCSA is effectively Nevada’s third largest school district. It also is the State’s fastest growing district and is projected to surpass Washoe County in size by 2019.

![Charter School Enrollment in Nevada SY 2008–2009 Through SY 2014–2015](image-url)

Source: NDE.
GRADUATION STANDARDS

Default Curriculum
Pursuant to NRS 389.018, high school pupils must enroll in four credits of English; four credits of mathematics, including Algebra I and geometry; three credits of science, including two laboratory courses; and three credits of social studies, including American government, American history, and world history or geography. This default curriculum includes more credits than are required for a diploma, but a pupil may request a modified course of study as long as it satisfies at least the requirements for a standard high school diploma or an adjusted diploma, as applicable.

Diplomas
There are currently four types of high school diplomas granted in Nevada: (1) standard; (2) advanced; (3) adult; and (4) adjusted. A standard diploma is awarded upon successful completion of 22.5 units (15 credits for required courses and 7.5 elective credits) and passage of the High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE)—for students graduating before SY 2017—or a series of end-of-course exams for students graduating thereafter. Beginning with SY 2014-2015, each pupil enrolled in grade 11 also is required to take the college and career readiness assessment administered pursuant to NRS 389.807.

Graduation Rate
According to data released by NDE, the statewide public high school graduation rate is 70.77 percent for the class of 2015, which is slightly higher than the rate of 70 percent for the class of 2014. Nevada’s graduation rate is calculated using a formula known as the adjusted cohort graduation rate; this formula is used by all states to enable comparability. As detailed in the NCES publication, The Condition of Education, across the U.S., an average of 81 percent of high school students graduate on time with a regular diploma. This publication is available at: http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_coi.asp.

HISTORY OF TESTING IN NEVADA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Following several sessions of discussion, the 1977 Legislature adopted a mandated student testing program—the Nevada Proficiency Examination—to provide a statewide measure of student accountability that was not previously available. Since 1977, the Legislature has required statewide testing.

Nevada Education Reform Act
The 1997 Nevada Education Reform Act (NERA) increased testing requirements as a part of the revised accountability program for public schools. The NERA also established a policy linkage between the proficiency testing program and school accountability by creating a procedure for ranking schools based on their average test scores. Schools designated “in need of improvement” were required to prepare plans for improvement and to adopt proven remedial education programs based upon needs identified using the average test scores. In the 1999 Session, the Legislature added a requirement for criterion-referenced tests (CRTs) linked to the academic standards for selected grades and required that the HSPE be revised to measure the performance of students on the newly adopted academic standards starting with the class graduating in 2003.
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001
To comply with the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), the Legislature enacted S.B. 1 (Chapter 1, Statutes of Nevada, 19th Special Session) during a special session in 2003. The measure modified the NERA to add tests aligned to the State academic standards in reading and mathematics for grades 3 through 8. Further, the Legislature made substantive revisions to the linkage between these tests and the State accountability system to meet federal requirements for making Adequate Yearly Progress and imposing sanctions on schools and school districts that are consistently unsuccessful in meeting their target increases in student progress. The standards-based CRTs required by NCLB are linked to the school accountability program and are considered “high stakes” for schools and districts. The standards-based CRTs have been expanded to include a science examination at grades 5 and 8.

Since 1979, the HSPE has been a “high stakes” test for individual students since a passing score is required as a condition for high school graduation and for eligibility in the State’s Governor Guinn Millennium Scholarship Program. Historically, the HSPE included math, reading, and writing examinations; however, beginning with the class of 2010, a science test was included in the examination. The 2013 Legislature enacted A.B. 288 (Chapter 506, Statutes of Nevada), resulting in: (1) the phase-out of the HSPE; and (2) the addition of required end-of-course exams.

The system of student assessments in Nevada’s K through 12 schools is in the midst of a significant transformation. With the 2013 Legislature’s action to eliminate the HSPE, the State Board of Education outlined a plan to transition from the current system to a new one, under the basic tenet that a student’s testing requirements (i.e., the assessment and standards of performance or “cut scores”) should not be changed while he or she is in high school. Therefore, although the transition to new assessments in grades 3 through 8 can take place in one year, the transition to new high school graduation requirements will require time.

Common Core State Standards
The adoption of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS, also referred to as the Nevada Academic Content Standards) by Nevada in 2010 prompted reconsideration of the State’s overall testing scheme. Nevada joined the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC), a multi-state organization united in developing computer-adaptive assessments that are aligned with the CCSS. Criterion-referenced tests are designed to measure student achievement against an objective criteria, instead of against the performance of other students, as is the case with norm-referenced tests. For many years, Nevada has administered a series of CRTs to students in grades 3 through 8.

Beginning with SY 2014-2015, Nevada’s legacy system of CRTs has been replaced with assessments created through the SBAC for English (including writing) and mathematics.

EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL

Highly Qualified Teachers
A key focus in Nevada is the importance of highly qualified teachers. Highly qualified teachers must have: (1) a bachelor’s degree; (2) full State certification or licensure; and (3) proof that they know each subject they teach. Under NCLB, all teachers of core academic subjects were to be highly...
qualified by SY 2005-2006. For SY 2014-2015, approximately 94 percent of core class teachers in Nevada met the criteria for highly qualified teachers. For elementary schools, 94 percent of teachers met the criteria.

![Core Subject Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers](image)


**Average Teacher Salaries**
Teacher pay is often viewed as a major factor in attracting qualified people to the profession. Statewide actual average teacher salaries for FY 2014 were $53,095 ($74,077 with benefits). Teacher salaries are budgeted at an average of $55,240 ($76,908 with benefits) in FY 2016 and $56,345 ($78,308 with benefits) in FY 2017, based on a 2 percent increase in average salaries related to merit increases for attaining additional education and for additional years of service. Average teacher salaries are impacted by the number of teachers who leave service, the number of new teachers hired, the number of teachers who are no longer eligible for merit adjustments, and collective bargaining agreements.

**Collective Bargaining**
The State budget often funds pay raises for education personnel. Salary increases used by the Legislature to construct the budget, however, are not necessarily passed on to school district employees. Rather, salaries for teachers are set at the school district level, utilizing the collective bargaining process outlined in Chapter 288 (“Relations Between Governments and Public Employees”) of NRS. Following the lead of other states, the Nevada Legislature adopted the Local Government Employee-Management Relations Act in 1969 to regulate collective bargaining between local units of government and their employees, including school districts and teachers. The requirements for recognition of an employee organization and definitions of bargaining units are set forth in Chapter 288 of NRS. There are 17 organizations representing teachers, 1 in each school district.

Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau
Policy and Program Report, April 2016
Nevada Teaching Personnel and Student Enrollment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Teaching Personnel (full-time equivalent)*</td>
<td>20,950</td>
<td>21,744</td>
<td>22,908</td>
<td>23,423</td>
<td>21,993</td>
<td>21,104</td>
<td>21,839</td>
<td>21,132</td>
<td>20,695</td>
<td>21,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Teaching Personnel: Percent Change From Previous Year</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Student Enrollment</td>
<td>400,083</td>
<td>412,395</td>
<td>424,766</td>
<td>429,362</td>
<td>433,371</td>
<td>428,947</td>
<td>437,149</td>
<td>439,634</td>
<td>445,707</td>
<td>451,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Student Enrollment: Percent Change From Previous Year</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Teaching Personnel includes elementary school teachers, middle school teachers, secondary school teachers, special education teachers, and occupational teachers.


ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- The 2015 Bulletin of the Legislative Committee on Education may be ordered from LCB’s Publications Office (LCB Bulletin No. 15-9, Education, January 2015). The Bulletin may also be found online at: http://leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/InterimReports/2015/Bulletin15-09.pdf.

- Nevada’s Department of Education website features a number of resources related to the implementation of legislation passed in the 2015 Legislative Session. This data may be found online at: http://www.doe.nv.gov/Legislative/Materials/.

- Data concerning Nevada’s public education system, including national and western states comparisons may be found in the Nevada Education Data Book, available through LCB’s Publications Office, or online at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/EdDataBook/.

- Additional data specific to Nevada schools and districts may be found at NDE’s accountability website (“Nevada Report Card”): http://www.nevadareportcard.com/.
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Postsecondary education in Nevada is provided chiefly by the institutions of the public Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE). Nevada’s nonpublic higher education sector is comprised of two nonprofit, private four-year colleges; several for-profit, two-year and four-year institutions; and numerous proprietary institutions.

NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION

The NSHE consists of two research universities, one State college, four community colleges, and one research institute (http://system.nevada.edu/). It is governed by the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada, which has stated the following mission:

*The mission of the Nevada System of Higher Education is to provide higher education to the citizens of the state at an excellent level of quality consistent with the state’s resources. It accomplishes this mission by acquiring, transmitting, and preserving knowledge throughout the region, nation, and world. The System provides an educated and technically skilled citizenry for public service, economic growth and the general welfare, contributes to an educated and trained workforce for industry and commerce, facilitates the individual quest for personal fulfillment, and engages in research that advances both theory and practice.*
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Campuses

The System’s four-year institutions include the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR), and the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV). Nevada State College (NSC) in Henderson offers baccalaureate degrees with special emphasis in nursing and teacher education. The System’s two-year institutions include the College of Southern Nevada with three main campuses and seven extension sites. Truckee Meadows Community College includes a main campus and four satellite sites in Reno. Western Nevada College has a main campus in Carson City, one satellite campus each in Fallon and Douglas County, and one instructional center each in Fernley and Yerington. Great Basin College, in Elko, operates four branch campuses and numerous satellite centers. In 2007, the Board of Regents approved name changes to reflect their authorization of selected baccalaureate degrees at three of the two-year institutions, thus dropping the word “community” from their names.

Finally, the Desert Research Institute in Reno is the nonprofit research campus of the NSHE, which is overseen by the Chancellor and the Board of Regents.

The following graph shows the fall headcount and average annual full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollments for the system, since 2001.

The Fall 2014 headcount enrollment for the NSHE was 106,360 students at all institutions, including all divisions and degree levels. According to the NSHE Office of Academic and Student Affairs, the calculations to determine FTE are based upon 15 credit hours for undergraduate students, 12 credit hours for master’s level students, and 9 credit hours for doctoral students.
Governance of the NSHE

The NSHE is governed by an elected 13-member Board of Regents. Article 11 of the Nevada Constitution provides for the establishment and administration of the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada. Section 4 of Article 11 provides that the State university shall be controlled by a Board of Regents whose duties shall be prescribed by law. Section 5 authorizes the Legislature to establish normal schools (teacher training institutions) and other grades, from the primary to university levels, as needed. Section 6 directs the Legislature to appropriate revenues for the support and maintenance of the schools and university. Finally, Section 7 provides that the Board of Regents is to control and manage the affairs and funds of the university under such regulations as may be provided by law.

Because of the constitutional status of the Board of Regents, the Nevada Supreme Court has ruled on the question of the freedom of Regents from legislative control. The first major opinion stems from a 1947 act of the Legislature, establishing a board of advisory regents. The Court ruled that the advisory board violated the constitutional provision that the State university be controlled by the Board of Regents. Legislative Counsel has stated:

The Nevada Supreme Court has interpreted the Nevada Constitution as vesting the Board of Regents with exclusive executive and administrative control of the university subject to the “right of the legislature to prescribe duties and other well-recognized legislative rights.” [King v. Board of Regents, 65 Nev. 533, 565, 569 (1948)]

The second opinion resulted from a 1979 Board of Regents policy, enacting mandatory faculty retirement. Because Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 281.370 prohibits the discharge of a person because of age, a suit was brought by a university professor. In 1981, the Court modified the King ruling somewhat. Legislative Counsel stated:

The Nevada Supreme Court subsequently carved out an exception to its holdings in King when it required the [NSHE] to comply with policies that are imposed on a statewide basis. In Oakley, the Court held that a state statute . . . may be applied constitutionally to the [NSHE] because the statute “reasonably and properly impose[d] . . . the same obligation that it impose[d] on other state, county and municipal boards.” However, the Court noted that “the legislature may not invade the constitutional powers of the Board through legislation which directly interferes with essential functions of the University.” [Board of Regents v. Oakley, 97 Nev. 605 (1981)]

Most statutes relating specifically to the Regents and the NSHE are codified in Chapter 396 (“Nevada System of Higher Education”) of NRS. In addition to the overall control of the NSHE interpreted by the Supreme Court, the Board of Regents by statute may:

- Direct the NSHE, composed of branches and facilities, as the Regents deem appropriate;
- Prescribe rules for its own government;
Education

- Employ a Chancellor of the System and establish personnel contract policies;
- Receive and disburse State appropriations to the NSHE;
- Accept property in the name of the NSHE;
- Admit students without discrimination; and
- Determine the courses of study and issue diplomas.

Admission

The Board of Regents’ general admission policy encourages member institutions to increase student participation and completion of degrees by minority groups, women, and members of other protected classes. Online application to the institutions is available through their individual websites.

Universities

To qualify for admission to the two universities, an applicant must be a graduate of an accredited or approved high school with a minimum of 13 high school credits in specified subjects and at least an overall 3.0 weighted grade point average (GPA). Effective Fall 2013, students seeking university admission must take the SAT and achieve a combined score on the SAT Critical Reading and Math sections of at least 1040, or take the ACT assessment and achieve a composite score of at least 22. This provision is not applicable to international or transfer students. A student may also submit a Nevada Advanced High School Diploma as qualification for admission. Students with a transferable associate’s degree from a NSHE community college will be admitted into the universities regardless of their GPA at the community college.

A student who does not meet the university admission requirements may be admitted through other criteria, such as a combination of test scores and GPA that indicate a potential for success, special talents or abilities in the visual or performing arts or athletics, overcoming adversity or special hardship, or other special circumstances. The number of students admitted under these criteria must not exceed 15 percent of the previous year’s admissions.

Nevada State College

Incoming freshmen must have graduated from an accredited high school with a minimum GPA of 2.0 plus a minimum of 12 high school credits in specified subjects. High school students who are at least 15 years of age may be enrolled as non-degree students in a maximum of six undergraduate credits or equivalents per semester. Students who have completed the junior year of high school with at least a 2.0 GPA may be provisionally admitted.

Two-Year Colleges

Effective Fall 2012, the four community colleges require all applicants seeking a degree or certificate to qualify for admission by showing they are a graduate of a high school or its equivalent or a qualified international student. Admission to a NSHE community college implies general admission to the college only and does not constitute admission to a specific curriculum or course of study that may require additional admission criteria.
Tuition and Fees

Pursuant to NRS 396.540, tuition at all NSHE institutions is free to legal residents of Nevada; however, registration fees apply. Nonresident students pay tuition in addition to the registration fees that are required of residents. The Board of Regents establishes the tuition and fee rates for all NSHE institutions, and the Legislature concurs in the rates used to establish General Fund Appropriations as part of the NSHE budget.

The following tables provide registration fees and nonresident tuition rates for School Years 2016-2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universities (undergraduate)</td>
<td>$199.25</td>
<td>$207.25</td>
<td>$215.50</td>
<td>$224.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universities (graduate)</td>
<td>$264.00</td>
<td>$264.00</td>
<td>$269.25</td>
<td>$274.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC (undergraduate)</td>
<td>$141.75</td>
<td>$146.75</td>
<td>$151.75</td>
<td>$157.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Colleges (lower division)</td>
<td>$88.00</td>
<td>$91.50</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$98.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Colleges (upper division)</td>
<td>$143.75</td>
<td>$149.50</td>
<td>$155.50</td>
<td>$161.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time*, Universities</td>
<td>$13,910</td>
<td>$13,910</td>
<td>$14,188</td>
<td>$14,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time*, NSC</td>
<td>$10,686</td>
<td>$11,113</td>
<td>$11,558</td>
<td>$12,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time*, Community Colleges</td>
<td>$6,645</td>
<td>$6,645</td>
<td>$6,778</td>
<td>$6,913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nonresident tuition is assessed on the basis of full-time or part-time enrollment. Full-time nonresident tuition rates are assessed to students enrolled in seven or more credits. Part-time students pay on a per-credit basis.*


Articulation

Articulation refers to the process whereby individual higher education institutions agree to accept for transfer the academic credits earned at other higher education institutions. The Board of Regents has established a NSHE Articulation Board to review and evaluate articulation policies and formulate additional policies. The Board of Regents also has mandated a webpage outlining the transfer process and protections given to baccalaureate-degree-seeking students. The site includes the rights and responsibilities of a transfer student and the responsibilities of all NSHE institutions.
The NSHE general credit transfer policy for all campuses provides that students who transfer with a NSHE associate degree of arts, business, science, or applied science are considered to have satisfied lower-division curricular requirements necessary for admission to upper-division study with full junior status. Students who complete baccalaureate level credits without receiving an associate degree may transfer the credits to the State college and universities at a minimum as general elective credits.

All baccalaureate academic majors at a university or college must have transfer agreements with NSHE community colleges. These agreements must provide clear information for community college students as to which courses will transfer efficiently to another NSHE institution within each major. Information on these agreements must be available to all students on each campus.

Financial Aid

All State-supported institutions are accredited by organizations recognized by the United States Department of Education and, therefore, are eligible to participate in federal financial aid programs, which include grants, loans, and work study. Forty percent of the State’s annual revenues from the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement have been allocated to fund the Governor Guinn Millennium Scholarship, which is overseen by the State Treasurer. In the 26th Special Session, however, the Legislature revised the allocation to permit money in the Millennium Scholarship Trust Fund to be used for any other purpose authorized by the Legislature.

Statutorily, any qualifying Nevada high school graduate who has resided in this State for a minimum of two years may use the scholarship funds to attend a State institution or a nonprofit accredited institution organized in Nevada. To be eligible, a student must obtain a specified high school GPA or college entrance exam score and apply for the scholarship within a designated number of years following graduation. To retain the scholarship, a postsecondary student must maintain a certain GPA and remain enrolled in at least 9 credits if attending a community college or 12 credits if attending another eligible institution.

The 2015 Legislature established Nevada’s first statewide need-based student financial aid program, called the Silver State Opportunity Grant Program, and appropriated $5 million to the program for the 2015-2017 Biennium. The program provides grants to pay a portion of the cost of education for eligible students enrolled in a State or community college within the NSHE.

In addition to these scholarship and grant programs, individual NSHE institutions allocate some of their resources to financial aid in the form of scholarships, grants, employment, and loans.

Financing the NSHE

The 2015 Legislature approved a higher education budget totaling $1.702 billion over the 2015-2017 Biennium. This includes State General Fund support equaling $1.095 billion, which is 12.7 percent more than in the previous biennium. Also approved were non-General Fund revenues totaling $595.9 million from authorized sources including student registration fees, nonresident tuition, and miscellaneous student fees.
The following table summarizes the change in NSHE appropriations for the 2015-2017 Biennium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$971,300,000</td>
<td>$1,094,700,000</td>
<td>$123,400,000 (+12.7 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>$10,800,000</td>
<td>$10,900,000</td>
<td>$100,000 (+0.9 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$514,100,000</td>
<td>$595,900,000</td>
<td>$81,800,000 (+15.9 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,496,200,000</td>
<td>$1,701,500,000</td>
<td>$205,300,000 (+13.7 percent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau, Nevada Legislative Appropriations Report, 2015.

The 2015 Legislature approved the Governor’s recommendation to continue funding policies adopted by the 2013 Legislature, which focused primarily on the adoption of a new funding formula to distribute State General Fund dollars to institutions within the NSHE. Under the new system, colleges and universities are rewarded for student achievement. The following summarizes the major components of the NSHE funding formula as approved by the 2015 Legislature:

**Weighted Student Credit Hour (WSCH)**

General Fund appropriations approved for the 2015-2017 Biennium instructional budgets of UNLV, UNR, College of Southern Nevada, Great Basin College (GBC), Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC), Western Nevada College (WNC), and NSC are primarily based upon the distribution of completed WSCHs by Nevada resident students; nonresident students are excluded. Consistent with the policy adopted by the 2013 Legislature, the value of each WSCH is uniform across all institutions and calculated by dividing the available General Fund appropriations in each fiscal year, less any “pre-formula allocations,” by the total number of Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 WSCHs. After calculating the value of the WSCH, the legislatively approved budget reallocates available General Fund appropriations through the formula. Available General Fund appropriations are determined through the traditional base, maintenance, and enhancement methodology, less pre-formula allocations, such as small institution and research operations and maintenance funding.

**Weighting of Credit Hours**

The credit hour weighting taxonomy approved by the 2013 Legislature has been maintained. Student credit hours are weighted by the discipline clusters and academic levels developed by the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems and modified by the 2011-2012 Interim Committee to Study the Funding of Higher Education.

**Projection of Weighted Student Credit Hours**

The FY 2014 WSCHs tied to “F” grades resulting from students’ lack of effort or attendance are excluded during the 2015-2017 Biennium. A further caseload adjustment was made for such “F” grades occurring between FY 2012-2014. Consistent with the legislative policy established during the 2013 Legislature, the 2015 Legislature approved utilizing FY 2014 WSCHs to reallocate General Fund appropriations through the funding formula in FY 2016 and FY 2017.
Small Institution Funding
General Fund appropriations totaling $2 million in each fiscal year of the 2015-2017 Biennium will be provided to GBC and WNC for small institution funding. This funding is a pre-formula allocation recognizing that all institutions have certain fixed administrative costs, regardless of institution size. However, the larger institutions have sufficient fee revenue not to require additional General Fund support.

Mitigation Funding for GBC and WNC
The Governor recommended the elimination of $5.3 million in one-time General Fund appropriations for GBC ($3 million) and WNC ($2.3 million); these appropriations were approved by the 2013 Legislature to offset the General Fund reductions to GBC and WNC as a result of the WSCH formula. Contrary to the Governor’s recommendation, the 2015 Legislature added $3 million for GBC and $2 million for WNC over the 2015-2017 Biennium to mitigate the reduction in General Fund appropriations. Without this mitigation funding, GBC would have experienced a reduction in General Fund appropriations of 16.5 percent in FY 2016 and 16.1 percent in FY 2017. WNC would have experienced a reduction of 12.9 percent in FY 2016 and 12.4 percent in FY 2017.

Research Space Operations and Maintenance Funding
General Fund appropriations of $9.1 million were approved for research space operations and maintenance for UNLV ($5 million) and UNR ($4.1 million) in each year of the 2015-2017 Biennium. The approved funding level represents a combined annual increase of 6.8 percent compared to FY 2015. This funding was distributed as a pre-formula allocation, prior to the calculation of the WSCH.

Performance Funding Pool Set-Aside
Consistent with the policy adopted by the 2013 Legislature, a 10 percent ($40.4 million) and 15 percent ($61 million) set-aside of General Fund appropriations was approved for the performance funding pool in FY 2016 and FY 2017, respectively. In order to allow sufficient advance budget planning, the performance funding earned for a fiscal year is based upon actual performance from two years earlier and largely derived from the number of students graduating or earning certificates. Each institution’s performance is measured through a year-over-year comparison, thus each institution is “competing” against its own prior performance rather than against other institutions.

As reported by NSHE, all institutions except UNLV achieved their performance targets in FY 2014, which determined performance funding for FY 2016. Additionally, both GBC and TMCC achieved performance above the targets by an amount sufficient to receive 100 percent of the performance funding in FY 2016 and to earn back unearned funds of $15,083 for GBC and $12,091 for TMCC from FY 2015.

NONPUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

Most postsecondary education in Nevada is provided by State-supported institutions. A number of two-year and four-year nonpublic institutions in Nevada are regionally accredited by organizations recognized by the U.S. Department of Education. Nevada’s Commission on Postsecondary Education (http://www.cpe.state.nv.us/) is the sole authority for licensing a proprietary postsecondary educational institution in this State.
Colleges and Universities

Nevada has one accredited private, nonprofit, four-year degree-granting institution—Sierra Nevada College—which was founded in 1969 and is located in Incline Village. Since the college’s inception, many academic programs have been integrated with the environment of the Lake Tahoe Basin. Another accredited, nonprofit institution—Roseman University of Health Sciences—began in Las Vegas as the Nevada College of Pharmacy, enrolling its first class in 2001. Emphasizing health care professions, the university offers degrees in nursing and business as well as other postdoctoral training and continuing education.

According to the U.S. Department of Education, several accredited private, for-profit, four-year institutions operate in Nevada, including:

- The Art Institute of Las Vegas;
- DeVry University;
- ITT Technical Institute; and
- The University of Phoenix.

Other accredited private, for-profit institutions in Nevada include:

- The Advanced Training Institute;
- Career College of Northern Nevada;
- Everest College;
- Healthcare Preparatory Institute;
- Kaplan College; and
- Nevada Career Institute.

Proprietary Schools

Proprietary schools represent another form of postsecondary education. Such schools may be distinguished from other educational entities based mostly on their for-profit education and training programs. According to the Commission on Postsecondary Education, many of these for-profit schools provide training in a variety of occupational fields including, but not limited to, bartending, construction, culinary arts, and real estate.

COLLEGE SAVINGS PLANS

Nevada’s two college savings plans are codified in Chapter 353B (“College Savings Plans of Nevada”) of NRS.
Nevada Prepaid Tuition Program

In 1997, the Legislature enacted Senate Bill 271 (Chapter 687, Statutes of Nevada), providing for a prepaid tuition program to be administered by the State Treasurer. Family members can choose to pay a lump sum, spread the payment out over five years with 60 equal payments, or pay each month from the time of enrollment until the child is ready to start college. This program is fully transferable to private or public out-of-state colleges and universities and can be transferred to another family member. The purchaser does not have to pay federal tax on any interest earned or the increased contract value each year. This program qualifies under Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code. Additional information may be obtained at the State Treasurer’s website: http://www.nevada treasurer.gov/prepaid_Tuition/Prepaid_Home/.

Nevada College Savings Program

The Legislature provided for a college savings program in 2001 with the enactment of Assembly Bill 554 (Chapter 445, Statutes of Nevada). The State Treasurer was authorized to adopt regulations to establish a qualified tuition program pursuant to Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code. To implement this program, the State Treasurer has contracted with private providers to offer these savings plans. Additional information is available at: http://www.nevadatreasurer.gov/CollegeSavings/CSP_Home/.

ACTIONS OF THE 2015 LEGISLATURE

The 2015 Nevada Legislature considered a number of bills relating to the State colleges and universities and approved a higher education budget totaling $1.702 billion over the 2015-2017 Biennium. Significant legislation related to postsecondary education included:

- Assembly Bill 150 (Chapter 207, Statutes of Nevada) extended student eligibility for the Governor Guinn Millennium Scholarship to students who do not meet the minimum high school GPA requirement but who receive a college entrance examination score that meets the approved minimum score established by the Board of Regents;

- Senate Bill 76 (Chapter 298, Statutes of Nevada) revised various provisions governing Nevada’s participation in the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education;

- Senate Bill 128 (Chapter 373, Statutes of Nevada) increased from 6 to 9 the number of credit hours in which a Millennium Scholar must enroll if attending a community college. The bill also increased from 12 to 15 the maximum number of semester credit hours that may be funded on behalf of a Millennium Scholar enrolled in a community college;

- Senate Bill 195 (Chapter 375, Statutes of Nevada) moved the Office of the Western Regional Higher Education Compact to the Office of the Governor and requires the Governor to propose a budget for the Office and appoint its Director;
• Senate Bill 227 (Chapter 387, Statutes of Nevada) created the Silver State Opportunity Grant Program to be managed by the Board of Regents. Grants may be awarded to eligible students enrolled in community and State colleges that are part of the NSHE; and

• Senate Bill 514 (Chapter 534, Statutes of Nevada) provided start-up costs for the development of a medical school at UNLV, with State General Fund support of $1.2 million in FY 2016 and $7.1 million in FY 2017. Additional General Fund appropriations of $18.4 million over the biennium support start-up costs for the new medical school, and $3.8 million over the biennium supports the expansion of undergraduate and graduate medical education for the University of Nevada School of Medicine.
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GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

ACT ................................................................. college entrance and placement examination produced by American College Testing, Inc.

ECS ............................................................ Education Commission of the States

GED ........................................................... General Educational Development credential

GPA ................................................................ grade point average

ICR .............................................................................................. indirect cost recovery

IPEDS ........................................................... Integrated Postsecondary Education Data Systems

NCES ................................................................. National Center for Education Statistics

NCHEMS ........................................ National Center for Higher Education Management Systems

NSHE ................................................................. Nevada System of Higher Education

SAT ................................................................. college entrance and placement examination produced by the Educational Testing Service

SHEEO ........................................................... State Higher Education Executive Officers

WICHE .............................................................. Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education
The Legislature has declared that “the gaming industry is vitally important to the economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.” Nevada has developed a comprehensive system designed to regulate many of the aspects of gaming, including the taxation of gaming establishments. *Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS)* 463.0129 sets forth the State’s policy concerning gaming. The statute stresses strict regulation of the gaming industry by means of licensing, controlling, and assisting activities related to gaming.

**HISTORY OF GAMING IN NEVADA**

Gaming has always played a significant role in the history of Nevada. Gaming was widespread during the State’s frontier days, particularly in the mining camps. With the discovery of the Comstock Lode in 1859 came a population explosion in western Nevada. The Comstock Lode was the largest and wealthiest gold and silver deposit in the United States. The money and people that occupied the area were accompanied by one of the few forms of recreation available to the miners—gambling. The gambler held a respected position in society. One of the most famous residents of Nevada, Mark Twain (then known as Samuel Clemens), described the role of the gambler in his book *Roughing It*, as follows:

In Nevada, for a time, the lawyer, the editor, the banker, the chief desperado, the chief gambler, and the saloon-keeper, occupied the same level in society, and it was the highest.

Gaming also has been controversial to one degree or another. In 1861, the Territorial Legislature prohibited all forms of gaming and provided criminal penalties. Conducting a gambling game was a felony punishable by a fine of not more than $5,000 and up to two years imprisonment. Betting was
punishable by a fine of not more than $500 and up to six months imprisonment. The law was generally ignored, and various forms of gaming, particularly faro, poker, and roulette, were widely and openly played.

**The Initial Legalization of Gaming**

In 1869, the Legislature, notwithstanding Governor Henry Goode Blasdel’s veto, passed a bill legalizing gaming and providing for its regulation. The fee for a gaming license was set at $1,000 in counties with fewer than 2,000 registered voters and $1,600 in more populous counties. The State and the counties split the license fees evenly. Although gaming could not be conducted in the front room of a saloon, and minors under 17 years of age could not participate, the State did little to regulate gaming. Revenues generated from gaming license activities were an insignificant part of the overall State budget.

In 1879, the Legislature prohibited cheating in licensed games. Until that time, the problem of cheating had been settled privately between the participants. In 1905, in response to falling gaming license revenues, Nevada legalized nickel slot machines. Although gaming was tolerated during the heyday of mining, Nevada later began to develop an economy that was not entirely dependent upon mining. In addition, antigaming movements began to strengthen.

**The Prohibition of Gaming**

The Women’s Civil League and the Anti-Gambling League of Reno lobbied feverishly to repeal the gaming laws. Their persistence paid off when, in 1909, the Legislature enacted a law prohibiting all forms of gaming after October 1, 1910. In 1911, the prohibition was briefly repealed but was reimposed in 1913. From 1913 to 1931, gaming was illegal in Nevada. However, the ban was unevenly enforced, and illegal gaming establishments continued to operate in many cities.

The year 1931 was an eventful one throughout the U.S. It was a tough year in Nevada as a severe drought gripped the State. Mining had plunged to a new low, cattle were selling for 3 cents per pound, and the nation was in the throes of the worst economic depression the country had ever seen. With the federal government owning approximately 86 percent of the land in Nevada, there was little room for further development of agriculture, and the property tax base was limited.

**The Relegalization of Gaming**

In 1931, 17 Senators and 37 Assemblymen gathered in the old Nevada Capitol for the 35th Legislative Session. Phil Tobin, a freshman Republican Assemblyman from Humboldt County in northern Nevada, introduced the gambling bill that would change the face and fate of Nevada. The opposing sides of the gambling question conducted a brief but furious debate before the Committee on Public Morals. The bill’s supporters backed Assemblyman Tobin’s stand that gambling was too common to ignore and that it went untaxed. Antigambling groups argued that gambling was a vice, pure and simple, and would attract gangsters and bring shame to Nevada.
The supporters of Assembly Bill 98 (Chapter 99, Statutes of Nevada 1931) were victorious as it was passed by the Legislature (Senate vote: 13 to 3; Assembly vote: 24 to 1) and signed by Governor Fredrick B. Balzar on March 19, 1931. Nevada would never be the same.

Between 1931 and 1945, the State left the regulation of gaming up to the local governments and did not attempt to directly tax gaming establishments. As tourists began traveling to the renowned Las Vegas area and full-service casinos began springing up at a rapid rate, the State (in 1945) required licenses for casinos and imposed a 1 percent tax on gross revenues. During the decade between 1945 and 1955, however, there were acknowledged shortcomings in the State’s ability to regulate the burgeoning industry.

The Modern Regulation of Gaming

In 1955, the Legislature organized the State Gaming Control Board to regulate the industry. Comprehensive background checks of license applicants were also instituted. The Nevada Gaming Commission, which is responsible for overseeing the activities of the Board, was organized in 1959. In 1961, the Legislature created the Gaming Policy Committee, with authority to hold hearings—at the call of the Governor—on gaming policy and make recommendations to the Board and the Commission. (These entities are discussed in more detail in the next section of this report.)

Throughout the early years of gaming, the State continually revised the gaming regulatory scheme. A major change occurred in 1967 when corporate gaming was authorized by the Legislature through Senate Bill 470 (Chapter 534, Statutes of Nevada 1967). These statutes allowed public corporations to own and operate gaming facilities. Until then, each stockholder had been subject to a background investigation. The following session in 1969, S.B. 353 (Chapter 220, Statutes of Nevada 1969) revised these statutes, giving the State of Nevada greater control over corporate licensees. This change made it much easier for the industry to raise capital and paved the way for the enormous expansion of gaming in years to come.

The history of gaming in Nevada is a colorful one. Much of the success of gaming can be attributed to a unique balance that has been reached in regulating the industry. The State has consistently sought to balance competing interests. The need for tax revenue is balanced with the need to create an economic and tax environment that promotes gaming. The need to ensure that gaming is conducted fairly is balanced with the need to avoid overregulation.

THE REGULATION OF GAMING IN NEVADA

As the history of gaming demonstrates, the regulation of gaming is vital to its success. The Nevada Legislature has provided the regulatory framework by establishing a complex statutory system.

The Role of NRS

To effectuate this State's policy on gaming, the Legislature has adopted various laws that strictly control gaming. Chapter 463 (“Licensing and Control of Gaming”) of NRS, referred to as the “Nevada Gaming Control Act,” is the principal authority in gaming regulation. The Act addresses

In order to implement these laws, various agencies have been created and authorized to adopt regulations to further the laws that generally regulate the gaming industry.

State Gaming Agencies

The principal State agencies concerned with gaming control are the Nevada Gaming Commission and the Nevada Gaming Control Board. The three primary responsibilities of these agencies are to assure: (1) gaming is conducted honestly; (2) the industry is free from organized crime and corruption; and (3) the State receives its full entitlement of gaming tax revenues.

In addition to these agencies, the Gaming Policy Committee was created to discuss matters of gaming policy and make recommendations to the Commission and Board.

Nevada Gaming Control Board

The Nevada Gaming Control Board, which is comprised of three individuals appointed by the Governor, was established in 1955 as the agency charged with the full-time administration of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and its corresponding regulations. In addition, the Board establishes rules and regulations for all tax reports submitted to the State by gaming licensees.

In terms of the gaming license application process, the Board conducts a thorough investigation of the qualifications of each applicant before any license is issued or other required approval is granted. After completing the investigation, the Board recommends that the Nevada Gaming Commission deny, limit, condition, restrict, or approve any license, registration, or finding of suitability. Investigations are conducted by the Board’s staff. The Board’s staff is divided into six divisions: (1) Administration; (2) Audit; (3) Enforcement; (4) Investigations; (5) Tax and License; and (6) Technology.

Nevada Gaming Commission

The Nevada Gaming Commission was created by the 1959 Nevada Legislature. It is a five-member lay body appointed by the Governor. The primary responsibilities of the Commission include acting on recommendations of the Nevada Gaming Control Board in licensing matters and ruling over gaming employee registration appeal cases. The Commission is the State’s final administrative authority and is empowered to accept, deny, or modify the recommendations of the Board on any particular license application. The Commission also has the power to approve, restrict, limit, condition, deny, revoke, or suspend any current gaming license.
In addition, the Commission is required to pass regulations, including those prescribing the method and form of applications, information required, fingerprinting of applicants, and procedure for a licensing hearing.

Gaming Policy Committee
Created in 1961, Nevada’s Gaming Policy Committee is an administrative body consisting of government, public, and industry representatives who are charged with the responsibility of recommending gaming policy. The committee consists of 12 members: the Governor (Chair), a Commission member, a Board member, a member of the Senate, a member of the Assembly, two members of the general public, two representatives of nonrestricted gaming licensees, one representative of restricted gaming licensees, one enrolled member of a Nevada Indian tribe appointed by the Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada, Inc., and one representative of academia who possesses knowledge of matters related to gaming. In general, only the Governor may call meetings of the Gaming Policy Committee. After discussing matters of gaming policy, the Committee may make recommendations. These recommendations are advisory only and are not required to be implemented by the Board or Commission. In 1997, the Gaming Policy Committee received the statutory responsibility to hear appeals of decisions by local governing bodies to grant or deny a petition to designate certain locations as gaming enterprise districts.

GAMING LICENSES

Two types of gaming licenses are issued in Nevada—a “nonrestricted license” and a “restricted license.” A nonrestricted license, or a “nonrestricted operation,” means one of the following:

1. A State gaming license for, or an operation consisting of, 16 or more slot machines;

2. A license for, or operation of, any number of slot machines together with any other game, gaming device, race book, or sports pool at one establishment;

3. A license for, or the operation of, a slot machine route;

4. A license for, or the operation of, an inter-casino linked system; or

5. A license for, or the operation of, a mobile gaming system.

A restricted license or “restricted operation,” means a State gaming license for, or an operation consisting of, not more than 15 slot machines and no other game or gaming device at an establishment in which the operation of slot machines is incidental to the primary business of the establishment. Effective January 1, 2014, in a county whose population is 100,000 or more (currently Clark and Washoe Counties), a restricted license may only be granted at certain establishments if it contains:

1. A minimum of 2,500 square feet of space available for patrons;

2. A permanent, physical bar; and

3. A restaurant that meets certain requirements.
**Gaming**

**Gaming Employee Registration**

The Nevada Gaming Control Board also administers the statewide Gaming Employee Registration Program. In Nevada, a person cannot be employed as a gaming employee unless the person has registered as a gaming employee. Applications for registration are filed through the licensee for whom the applicant will start working, unless otherwise filed with the Board as prescribed by regulation (see NRS 463.335 for additional information).

The Board must conduct an investigation of each applicant to determine eligibility, including a criminal history background check. Fees charged for the issuance of a gaming employee registration are limited to the equivalent of actual investigation and administration costs.

**TAXES AND REVENUE**

Gaming taxes and sales tax are the State’s two largest revenue sources. In the 2015-2017 Biennium, State gaming taxes are forecast to comprise 19.6 percent of the State’s General Fund revenue.

The largest source of gaming revenue is the monthly percentage fee based on gross gaming revenue from nonrestricted licensees. The rate of the fee is 3.5 percent for the first $50,000 during the month, plus 4.5 percent of the next $84,000, plus 6.75 percent of revenue exceeding $134,000 (NRS 463.370). Other sources of gaming revenue include license fees, quarterly fees on games, slot fees, and gaming penalties.

**Gaming/Live Entertainment Tax**

As of January 1, 2004, the Legislature (in 2003) repealed the Casino Entertainment Tax and replaced the tax with the Live Entertainment Tax (LET); this tax is not limited to gaming establishments. Exemptions from the tax are outlined in NRS 368A.200. Senate Bill 266 (Chapter 538, Statutes of Nevada 2015) revised the LET by clarifying the definition of “live entertainment” and simplifying the tax rate. The single rate of 9 percent is applied on tickets to events with live entertainment in a facility with more than 200 seats.

**2015 SIGNIFICANT GAMING LEGISLATION**

In an effort to confront unprecedented domestic and global competition, the Legislature considered innovative opportunities for the gaming industry. Several major bills were approved by the 2015 Legislature that have the potential to alter Nevada’s gaming environment.

**Interactive Gaming**

To capture the interest of the technologically savvy, S.B. 9 (Chapter 108, Statutes of Nevada) encouraged the development of skill-based gaming that is more similar to video games.


**Pari-Mutuel Wagering**

Senate Bill 443 (Chapter 330, *Statutes of Nevada*) authorized legal sports books to accept wagers from Nevada business entities. This allows for the legal investment in sports betting funds that are based in the State of Nevada.

Senate Bill 445 (Chapter 331, *Statutes of Nevada*) required the Nevada Gaming Commission to adopt regulations relating to global risk management of a race book or sports pool. This bill established the framework allowing companies to manage financial exposure associated with sports wagering, whether or not the book is located in Nevada.

**Other Gaming Bills**

With gaming now legal in many other states, the State Gaming Control Board changed its name to Nevada Gaming Control Board in A.B. 40 (Chapter 274, *Statutes of Nevada*). This bill also granted a limited exception to the Board from the Open Meeting Law, sunsetting in 2019.

The Legislature passed S.B. 38 (Chapter 296, *Statutes of Nevada*), requiring the registration of club venue employees, if the venue is located on the premises of a nonrestricted gaming establishment, and the registration of persons who manufacture, sell, or distribute gaming equipment.

Senate Bill 124 (Chapter 117, *Statutes of Nevada*) authorized the Nevada Gaming Control Board to allow a licensee to move its establishment to a location within one mile of its existing location and transfer its nonrestricted license, if the move is necessary because the existing location is adjacent to a military installation and the federal government has determined that the land is necessary for the expansion of the installation.

Senate Bill 409 (Chapter 469, *Statutes of Nevada*) ensured that gaming licensees have the information they need to make informed hiring decisions by allowing a credit reporting agency to report on bankruptcies older than ten years, and other civil judgments older than seven years, that are incurred by a person who is seeking employment with a gaming licensee or employment in a position that is directly connected to the licensee’s operations.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Extensive information regarding the gaming industry in Nevada is available through its regulatory bodies:

* **Nevada Gaming Commission**
  Tony Alamo, M.D. (Chair)
  John T. Moran Jr.
  Philip M. Pro
  Randolph J. Townsend
Nevada Gaming Control Board
A. G. Burnett (Chair)
Terry Johnson
Shawn R. Reid

Office Address:
1919 College Parkway
Carson City, Nevada  89706

Mailing Address:
P.O. Box 8003
Carson City, Nevada  89702

Telephone (Commission):  (775) 684-7750
Telephone (Board):  (775) 684-7700

Website:  http://gaming.nv.gov/
(Includes links to other state, federal, and international bodies involved in the regulation of gaming.)

Gaming Associations
A great deal of information regarding gaming in Nevada and throughout the country is available through the industry itself. Two examples of gaming organizations follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>American Gaming Association</th>
<th>Nevada Resort Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>799 Ninth Street, Northwest</td>
<td>900 South Pavilion Center Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suite 700</td>
<td>Suite 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.  20001</td>
<td>Las Vegas, Nevada  89144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:  (202) 552-2675</td>
<td>Telephone:  (702) 735-4888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website:  <a href="https://www.americangaming.org/">https://www.americangaming.org/</a></td>
<td>Fax:  (702) 735-4620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Website:  <a href="http://www.nevadaresorts.org/">http://www.nevadaresorts.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Glossary of Gaming Terms

While the terms in the Glossary are based on the definitions used in *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) and the regulations (Reg.) of the Nevada Gaming Commission and Nevada Gaming Control Board, the definitions are not always verbatim reproductions of the legal definitions. Always consult the actual statute or regulation for technical purposes.

**Ante**
A player’s initial wager or predetermined contribution to the pot prior to the dealing of the first hand. (Reg. 23.020[1])

**Authorized Player**
A person who has registered with the operator of interactive gaming to engage in interactive gaming. (Reg. 5A.020[1])

**Banking Game**
Any gambling game in which players compete against the licensed gaming establishment rather than against one another. (NRS 463.01365)

**Black Book**
A term used in popular parlance for the list of excluded persons established by NRS 463.151. (See “Excluded Person.”)

**Board**
The Nevada Gaming Control Board. (NRS 463.0137)

**Call**
A wager made in an amount equal to the immediately preceding wager. (Reg. 23.020[2])

**Candidate**
Any person who the Board believes should be placed on the list of persons who are to be excluded or ejected from licensed gaming establishments. (Reg. 28.020[1] and [3])

**Card Game Shill**
An employee engaged and financed by the licensee as a player for the purpose of starting and/or maintaining a sufficient number of players in a card game. (Reg. 23.020[3])

**Cashless Wagering System**
A method of wagering and accounting:

1. In which the validity and value of a wagering instrument or wagering credits are determined, monitored and retained by a computer operated and maintained by a licensee which maintains a record of each transaction involving the wagering instrument or wagering credits, exclusive of the game or gaming device on which wagers are being made. The term includes computerized systems which facilitate electronic transfers of money directly to or from a game or gaming device; or
2. Used in a race book or sports pool in which the validity and value of a wagering instrument or wagering credits are determined, monitored, and retained on a computer that maintains a record of each transaction involving the wagering instrument or wagering credits and is operated and maintained by a licensee. (NRS 463.014)

Casino
The room or rooms wherein gaming is conducted and includes any bar, cocktail lounge, or other facilities housed therein as well as the area occupied by the games, except restricted gaming operations as defined by NRS 463.0189. (Reg. 1.065)

Cheat
To alter the elements of chance, method of selection, or criteria which determine the result of a game, the amount or frequency of payment in a game, the value of a wagering instrument, or the value of a wagering credit. (NRS 465.015[1])

Chip
A nonmetal or partly metal representative of value issued by a licensee for use at table games or counter games at the licensee’s gaming establishment. (Reg. 12.010[3])

Commission
Nevada Gaming Commission. (NRS 463.0145)

Communications Technology
Any method used and the components employed by an establishment to facilitate the transmission of information, including, without limitation, transmission and reception by systems based on wire, cable, radio, microwave, light, optics, or computer data networks, including, without limitation, the Internet and intranets. (NRS 463.016425[2])

Covered Asset
Any tangible or intangible asset specifically designed for use in, and used in connection with, the operation of an interactive gaming facility that, after December 31, 2006, knowingly and intentionally operated interactive gaming that involved patrons located in the U.S., unless and to the extent such activity was licensed at all times by a state or the federal government, including, without limitation:

1. Any trademark, trade name, service mark, or similar intellectual property under which an interactive gaming facility was identified to the patrons of the interactive gaming facility;

2. Any information regarding persons via a database, customer list, or any derivative of a database or customer list; and

3. Any software or hardware relating to the management, administration, development, testing, or control of an interactive gaming facility. (NRS 463.01464)
Covered Person
Any person who:

1. Has at any time owned, in whole or in significant part, an interactive gaming facility or an entity operating an interactive gaming facility that after December 31, 2006, knowingly and intentionally operated interactive gaming that involved patrons located in the U.S., unless and to the extent such activity was licensed at all times by a state or the Federal Government;

2. After December 31, 2006, acted, or proposed to act, on behalf of a person described in paragraph 1 and knowingly and intentionally provided, or proposed to provide, to such person any services as an interactive gaming service provider, with knowledge that the interactive gaming facility’s operation of interactive gaming involved patrons located in the U.S.; or

3. Purchased or acquired, directly or indirectly:
   (a) In whole or in significant part, a person described in paragraph 1 or 2; or
   (b) Any covered assets, in whole or in part, of such person. (NRS 463.014645)

Daily Double
A wager requiring the selection of the winners of two separate program events designated by the licensee as a daily double. (Reg. 26.030[3])

Disseminator
Any person who furnishes an operator of a race book, sports pool, or gambling game with information relating to horse racing or other racing which is then used to determine winners of, or payoffs on, wagers accepted by the operator. The term does not include a person who provides a televised broadcast without charge to any person who receives the broadcast. (NRS 463.0147)

Drop
1. For table games, the total amount of money, guaranteed drafts, chips, tokens, and wagering vouchers contained in the drop boxes and any electronic money transfers made to the game through the use of a cashless wagering system.

2. For slot machines, the total amount of money, tokens, and wagering vouchers contained in the drop box, and any electronic money transfers made to the slot machine through the use of a cashless wagering system. (Reg. 1.095)

Drop Box
1. For table games, a locked container permanently marked with the game, shift, and a number corresponding to a permanent number on the table.

2. For slot machines, a container in a locked portion of the machine or its cabinet used to collect the money and tokens retained by the machine that is not used to make automatic payouts from the machine. (Reg. 1.100)
Event
An individual race, game, or contest wherein pari-mutuel wagering is conducted upon the competing entrants. (Reg. 26.030[15])

Exacta
The selection, in order of finishing, of the entrants finishing first and second in a given event. (Reg. 26.030[5])

Excluded Person
Any person who has been placed upon the list of persons who are to be excluded or ejected from licensed gaming establishments by the Board and who has failed to timely request a hearing as provided in NRS 463.153, or who remains on the list after a final determination by the Commission. (NRS 463.151) (Reg. 28.020[2] and [3]) (See “Black Book.”)

Foreign Gaming
The conduct of gaming outside this State. (NRS 463.680[1])

Game of Skill
A game in which the skill of the player, rather than chance, is the dominant factor in affecting the outcome of the game as determined over a period of continuous play. (NRS 463 not codified yet)

Gaming Device
Any object used remotely or directly in connection with gaming or any game which affects the result of a wager by determining win or loss and which does not otherwise constitute associated equipment. (NRS 463.0155)

Gaming Enterprise District
An area that has been approved by a county, city, or town as suitable for operating an establishment that has been issued a nonrestricted license. (NRS 463.0158)

Gaming or Gambling
To deal, operate, carry on, conduct, maintain, or expose for play any game as defined in NRS 463.0152, or to operate an inter-casino linked system. (NRS 463.0153)

Hand
One game in a series, one deal in a card game, or the cards held by a player. (Reg. 23.020[7])

Independent Agent
Any person who:

1. Approves or grants the extension of gaming credit on behalf of a State gaming licensee or collects a debt evidenced by a credit instrument; or
2. Contracts with a State gaming licensee or its affiliate to provide services outside of Nevada consisting of arranging complimentary transportation, food, lodging or other services, or any combination thereof, whose combined retail price per person exceeds $1,000 in any seven-day period for guests at a licensed gaming establishment. (NRS 463.0164[1])

**Information Service**
A person who sells and provides information to a licensed sports pool that is used primarily to aid the placing of wagers on events of any kind. The term includes, without limitation, a person who sells and provides any:

1. Line, point spread, or odds;

2. Information, advice, or consultation considered by a licensee in establishing or setting any line, point spread, or odds; or

3. Advice, estimate, or prediction regarding the outcome of an event. (NRS 463.01642)

**Interactive Gaming**
The conduct of gambling games through the use of communications technology that allows a person, utilizing money, checks, electronic checks, electronic transfers of money, credit cards, debit cards, or any other instrumentality, to transmit to a computer information to assist in the placing of a bet or wager and corresponding information related to the display of the game, game outcomes, or other similar information. The term:

1. Includes, without limitation, Internet poker.

2. Does not include the operation of a race book or sports pool that uses communications technology approved by the Board pursuant to regulations adopted by the Commission to accept wagers originating within this State for races, or sporting events or other events. (NRS 463.016425[1])

**Interactive Gaming Account**
An electronic ledger operated and maintained by an operator of interactive gaming wherein information relative to interactive gaming is recorded on behalf of an authorized player including, but not limited to deposits, withdrawals, amounts wagered, amounts paid on winnings, and adjustments to the Account. (Reg. 5A.020[3])

**Interactive Gaming Facility**
Any Internet website, or similar communications facility in which transmissions may cross any state’s boundaries, through which any person operates interactive gaming through the use of communications technology. (NRS 463.016427[1])

**Interactive Gaming Service Provider**
A person who acts on behalf of an establishment licensed to operate interactive gaming and:
1. Manages, administers, or controls wagers that are initiated, received, or made on an interactive gaming system;

2. Manages, administers, or controls the games with which wagers that are initiated, received, or made on an interactive gaming system are associated;

3. Maintains or operates the software or hardware of an interactive gaming system;

4. Provides the trademarks, trade names, service marks, or similar intellectual property under which an establishment licensed to operate interactive gaming identifies its interactive gaming system to patrons;

5. Provides information regarding persons to an establishment licensed to operate interactive gaming via a database or customer list; or

6. Provides products, services, information, or assets to an establishment licensed to operate interactive gaming and receives therefor a percentage of gaming revenue from the establishment’s interactive gaming system. (NRS 463.677[5][a])

**Inter-Casino Linked System**

A network of electronically interfaced similar games which are located at two or more licensed gaming establishments that are linked to conduct gaming activities, contests, or tournaments. (NRS 463.01643)

**Inter-Operator Poker Network**

A pool of authorized players from two or more operators collected together to play the game of poker on one interactive gaming system. (Reg. 5A.020[6])

**Layoff Bets**

Books may accept wagers placed by other books. Books may place wagers only with other books. A book that places a wager shall inform the book accepting the wager that the wager is being placed by a book and shall disclose its identity. (Reg. 22.110)

**Mobile Gaming**

The conduct of gambling games through communications devices operated solely in an establishment which holds a nonrestricted gaming license and which operates at least 100 slot machines and at least one other game by the use of communications technology that allows a person to transmit information to a computer to assist in the placing of a bet or wager and corresponding information related to the display of the game, game outcomes, or other similar information. (NRS 463.0176)

**Nonrestricted License or Nonrestricted Operation**

1. A State gaming license for, or an operation consisting of, 16 or more slot machines;
2. A license for, or operation of, any number of slot machines together with any other game, gaming device, race book, or sports pool at one establishment;

3. A license for, or the operation of, a slot machine route;

4. A license for, or the operation of, an inter-casino linked system; or

5. A license for, or the operation of, a mobile gaming system. (NRS 463.0177)

**Off-Track Pari-Mutuel System**
A computerized system, or component of such a system, that is used with regard to a pari-mutuel pool to transmit information such as amounts wagered, odds, and payoffs on races. (NRS 464.005[2])

**Off-Track Pari-Mutuel Wagering**
Any pari-mutuel system of wagering approved by the Commission for the acceptance of wagers on horse or dog races which take place outside of this State or sporting events. (NRS 464.005[3])

**Operator of an Inter-Casino Linked System**
A person who, under any agreement whereby consideration is paid or payable for the right to place an inter-casino linked system, engages in the business of placing and operating an inter-casino linked system upon the premises of two or more licensed gaming establishments, and who is authorized to share in the revenue from the linked games without having been individually licensed to conduct gaming at the establishment. (NRS 463.01805)

**Operator of a Slot Machine Route**
A person who, under any agreement whereby consideration is paid or payable for the right to place slot machines, engages in the business of placing and operating slot machines upon the business premises of others at three or more locations. (NRS 463.018)

**Operator of a System**
A person engaged in providing an off-track pari-mutuel system. (NRS 464.005[4])

**Pari-Mutuel System of Wagering**
Any system whereby wagers with respect to the outcome of a race or sporting event are placed in a wagering pool conducted by a person licensed or otherwise permitted to do so under State law, and in which the participants are wagering with each other and not against that person. The term includes off-track pari-mutuel wagering. (NRS 464.005[5]) (See next entry.)

**Pari-Mutuel Wagering (Chapter 466 [“Horse Racing”] of NRS)**
A system of placing wagers on a horse race whereby the wager is placed at a window and equipment is used to pay a person’s winnings in the precise amount of money wagered by persons who did not win, after deducting taxes owed and commissions charged by the race track. (NRS 466.028) (See previous entry.)
Pot
The total amount anted and wagered by players during a hand. (Reg. 23.020[8])

Poker
The traditional game of poker, and any derivative of the game of poker as approved by chairman and published on the Board’s website, wherein two or more players play against each other and wager on the value of their hands. For purposes of interactive gaming, poker is not a banking game. (Reg. 5A.020[9])

Preferred Guest
Any person, 21 years of age or older, who receives complimentary transportation, food, lodging, or other consideration with a retail price over $1,000 in any seven-day period from a licensed establishment as an inducement to gamble. (Reg. 25.010[3])

Progressive Payoff Schedule
A game or machine payoff schedule, including those associated with contests, tournaments, or promotions, that increases automatically over time or as the game(s) or machine(s) are played. (Reg. 5.110[1][d])

Qualified Organization
A bona fide charitable, civic, educational, fraternal, patriotic, political, religious, or veterans’ organization that is not operated for profit. (NRS 463.4093)

Quinella
The selection of the entrants finishing first and second in any order in any given event. (Reg. 26.030[13])

Race Book
The business of accepting wagers upon the outcome of any event held at a track which uses the pari-mutuel system of wagering. (NRS 463.01855)

Rake-Off
A percentage of the total amount anted and wagered by players during a hand in a card game. (Reg. 1.150)

Registered as a Gaming Employee
Authorized to be employed as a gaming employee in this State. (NRS 463.01858)

Representative of Value
Any instrumentality used by a patron in a game whether or not the instrumentality may be redeemed for cash. (NRS 463.01862)

Resort Hotel (Chapter 463 [“Licensing and Control of Gaming”] of NRS)
Any building or group of buildings maintained as a hotel where sleeping accommodations are furnished to the transient public and that has:
1. In a county whose population:
   (a) Is 700,000 or more, more than 200 rooms available for sleeping accommodations; or
   (b) Is 100,000 or more and less than 700,000, more than 300 rooms available for sleeping accommodations;

2. At least one bar with permanent seating capacity for more than 30 patrons that serves alcoholic beverages sold by the drink for consumption on the premises;

3. At least one restaurant with permanent seating capacity for more than 60 patrons that is open to the public 24 hours each day and 7 days each week; and

4. A gaming area within the building or group of buildings. (NRS 463.01865)

**Resort Hotel (Chapter 466 [“Horse Racing”] of NRS)**

Any building or group of buildings maintained as a hotel where sleeping accommodations are furnished to the transient public and that has:

1. More than 1,000 rooms available for sleeping accommodations;

2. At least one bar with permanent seating capacity for more than 30 patrons that serves alcoholic beverages sold by the drink for consumption on the premises;

3. At least one restaurant with permanent seating capacity for more than 60 patrons that is open to the public 24 hours each day and 7 days each week; and

4. A gaming area within the building or group of buildings. (NRS 466.029)

**Restricted License or Restricted Operation**

A State gaming license for, or an operation consisting of, not more than 15 slot machines and no other game or gaming device, race book, or sports pool at an establishment in which the operation of slot machines is incidental to the primary business of the establishment. (NRS 463.0189)

Effective January 1, 2014, in a county whose population is 100,000 or more (currently Clark and Washoe Counties), a restricted license may only be granted at certain establishments if it contains:

1. A minimum of 2,500 square feet of space available for patrons;

2. A permanent, physical bar; and

3. A restaurant which meets certain requirements. (NRS 463.161[2])

**Slot Machine**

Any mechanical, electrical, or other device, contrivance, or machine which, upon insertion of a coin, token, or similar object, or upon payment of any consideration, is available to play or operate, the play or operation of which, whether by reason of the skill of the operator in playing a gambling game which is presented for play by the machine or application of the element of chance, or both, may deliver or entitle the person playing or operating the machine to receive cash, premiums, merchandise,
tokens, or anything of value, whether the payoff is made automatically from the machine or in any other manner. (NRS 463.0191)

**Sports Pool**
The business of accepting wagers on sporting events or other events by any system or method of wagering. (NRS 463.0193)

**Temporarily Registered as a Gaming Employee**
Authorized to be employed as a gaming employee in this State from the date of submitting a complete application for registration or renewal of registration for a period not to exceed 120 days following receipt of the complete application by the Board, including classifiable fingerprints, unless otherwise suspended. (NRS 463.01955)

**Token**
A metal representative of value issued by a licensee for use in slot machines or for use in slot machines and at table games or counter games at the licensee’s gaming establishment. (Reg. 12.010[4])

**User**
An operator of a race book, sports pool, or gambling game who is licensed in this State and receives and displays a live broadcast within this State and uses information contained in the broadcast to determine winners of, or payoffs on, wagers the operator accepts. (NRS 463.4218)

**Wager**
A sum of money or representative of value that is risked on an occurrence for which the outcome is uncertain. (NRS 463.01962)

**Wagering Credit**
A representative of value, other than a chip, token, or wagering instrument that is used for wagering at a game or gaming device, race book, or sports pool and is obtained by the payment of cash or a cash equivalent, the use of a wagering instrument or the electronic transfer of money. (NRS 463.01963)

**Wagering Instrument**
A representative of value, other than a chip or token, that is issued by a licensee and approved by the Board for use in a cashless wagering system. (NRS 463.01967)
Voting is the cornerstone of our democracy. President Franklin D. Roosevelt captured this sentiment and the importance of direct elections in a 1936 speech when he said:

Inside the polling booth, every American man and woman stands as the equal of every other American man and woman. There they have no superiors. There they have no masters save their own minds and consciences.

To ensure Nevadans continue to enjoy an accessible, transparent, and fair election system, it is vital that the Legislature, the Secretary of State, and local election officials keep pace as new technologies become available and our State’s demographics continue to change. Staying current with case law relating to elections and campaign finance and monitoring election changes at the federal level also are very important.

The Secretary of State and the county election officials are responsible for ensuring that Nevada has an accessible, transparent, and fair election system. In Clark and Washoe Counties, the county election official is the Registrar of Voters, who is appointed by the county commission. In the other 15 counties, the county election official is the county clerk, who is an elected official.

VOTER ELIGIBILITY AND VOTER REGISTRATION

Qualified Electors and Applications to Register to Vote
Qualifications to register to vote and cast a ballot are set forth in the Nevada Constitution and in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Specifically, Article 2, Section 1 of the Nevada Constitution sets forth the “right of suffrage” by declaring:
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All citizens of the United States (not laboring under the disabilities named in this constitution) of the age of eighteen years and upwards, who shall have actually, and not constructively, resided in the state six months, and in the district or county thirty days next preceding any election, shall be entitled to vote for all officers that now or hereafter may be elected by the people, and upon all questions submitted to the electors at such election; provided, that no person who has been or may be convicted of treason or felony in any state or territory of the United States, unless restored to civil rights, and no person who has been adjudicated mentally incompetent, unless restored to legal capacity, shall be entitled to the privilege of an elector.

Several provisions in NRS discuss residency, when it is gained or lost, and how qualified electors residing outside of Nevada (such as military personnel) may register to vote. Nevada law also requires the Secretary of State to prescribe a standard voter registration form and sets forth the contents of the voter registration application. After the form is received and processed by the county election office, the county election officer provides a voter registration card to the applicant.

National Voter Registration Act of 1993
Many of Nevada’s provisions concerning voter registration were added to NRS in 1993 and 1995 in response to the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) of 1993, commonly known as the “Motor Voter Act.” All states approved legislation in the mid-1990s to comply with the NVRA requirements. The Act provided a set of national voter registration standards designed to improve registration access and established a national mail-in voter registration application. Many of the NVRA requirements were incorporated into two comprehensive election and voter registration measures in Nevada adopted in the mid-1990s—Senate Bill 250 (Chapter 523, Statutes of Nevada 1993), and Assembly Bill 619 (Chapter 608, Statutes of Nevada 1995). These measures set out new requirements authorizing voter registration not only at the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), but also at “offices that provide public assistance as are designated by the Secretary of State” and at “each office that receives money from the State of Nevada to provide services to persons with disabilities in this State.” In 2011, the Legislature added military recruiting offices to the list of approved voter registration agencies.

Nevada law provides that county election officers must make mail-in voter registration applications available at various public places in their respective counties. Similarly, Nevada Administrative Code 293.425 requires county clerks and voter registrars to make voter registration applications available to individual candidates, political parties, civic groups, and groups organized for or against questions on a ballot. Groups requesting more than 50 applications must complete a request form setting forth how the applications will be distributed.

To ensure that new voter registration applications are processed properly, Nevada law requires that the following notice to the applicant be placed on applications to register to vote by mail:

**NOTICE:** You are urged to return your application to register to vote to the County Clerk in person or by mail. If you choose to give your completed application to another person to return to the County Clerk on your behalf, and the person fails to deliver the application to the County Clerk, you will not be registered to vote. Please retain the duplicate copy or receipt from your application to register to vote.
This language was added to the law in 2001 to remind voters of the risks involved with relying on another person to return their voter registration application. A person who registers to vote by mail without providing a form of identification and who is voting for the first time since registering to vote, may only vote at a polling place if he or she presents an acceptable form of identification at the polling place. However, the person may vote by mail (absentee) if identification is provided to the county election officer. If the voter cannot provide identification at the first election after he or she registers, he or she may cast a provisional ballot.

In recent years, online voter registration has become a popular method of registration. Nevada law now requires the Secretary of State to prescribe procedural and system requirements to be used for any system of online voter registration offered by a county clerk. A person who registers online must vote in person and present an accepted form of identification at the first election after he or she registers or, if identification is not provided, he or she may cast a provisional ballot. However, the person may vote by mail (absentee) if identification is provided to the county election officer.

Statewide Voter Registration System and Online Voter Registration
In October 2002, the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) was passed by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by President George W. Bush. This Act requires states, among other things, to implement statewide voter registration lists. Pursuant to NRS 293.675, the Office of the Secretary of State is charged with establishing and maintaining this statewide list, which may be maintained on the Internet, in consultation with each county and city clerk and voter registrar. The Secretary of State’s office and Nevada’s county election officials have implemented this statewide system, which serves as a uniform, centralized, and computerized voter registration list.

Under this system, a person can register to vote anywhere in Nevada, and registration information is available on election department computers in all 17 counties. The centralized database is linked to the DMV and the Social Security Administration to help verify the accuracy of information contained in the voter registration application. The State Registrar of Vital Statistics also provides death record information to the Office of the Secretary of State to assist in maintaining the statewide voter registration list.

Online voter registration is available statewide through the Secretary of State’s website at https://nvsos.gov/sosvoterservices/Registration/step1.aspx.

Voter Registration Figures
According to statistics compiled by the Secretary of State’s office, as of March 2016, a total of 1,504,088 people were registered to vote in Nevada. This includes 610,094 Democrats and 509,087 Republicans. In addition, 291,789 people were registered as Nonpartisan, 69,507 as Independent American, 12,118 as Libertarian, and 11,493 as Other. Pursuant to NRS 293.530, registered voters shall be designated as “inactive” in Nevada if they do not respond to an address verification card sent by their local clerk or registrar. As of March 2016, among the registered voters above, 249,538 voters are currently considered “inactive.” If an inactive voter fails to vote in an election before the polls close on the day of the second general election after the mailing of the verification card, their voter registration may be cancelled.
Legislative Declaration of Voters’ Rights  
NRS 293.2546

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 required, among other things, states to post at each polling location a list of voter instructions specifying certain guarantees afforded to the voter. These include the right for each voter to receive a sample ballot, having the ability to vote using a provisional ballot, and the right to a uniform, statewide standard for counting and recounting all votes accurately. Specifically, Title 52, section 21082 of the United States Code requires that “general information on voting rights under applicable Federal and State laws, including information on the right of an individual to cast a provisional ballot and instructions on how to contact the appropriate officials if these rights are alleged to have been violated” must be posted at every polling place.

In accordance with HAVA, states across the country developed and adopted these polling place posting requirements in their own statutes, often referring to them as a “Voters’ Bill of Rights.” Nevada adopted a voters’ bill of rights as well in Assembly Bill 235 (Chapter 132, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2003 Legislative Session. Many of the guarantees set forth in A.B. 235 in 2003 were long-standing provisions already in Nevada law. The measure was approved unanimously in both houses and is codified in NRS 293.2546, which states:

The Legislature hereby declares that each voter has the right:

1. To receive and cast a ballot that: (a) Is written in a format that allows the clear identification of candidates; and (b) Accurately records the voter’s preference in the selection of candidates.
2. To have questions concerning voting procedures answered and to have an explanation of the procedures for voting posted in a conspicuous place at the polling place.
3. To vote without being intimidated, threatened or coerced.
4. To vote on election day if the voter is waiting in line at his or her polling place to vote before 7 p.m. and the voter has not already cast a vote in that election.
5. To return a spoiled ballot and is entitled to receive another ballot in its place.
6. To request assistance in voting, if necessary.
7. To a sample ballot which is accurate, informative and delivered in a timely manner.
8. To receive instruction in the use of the equipment for voting during early voting or on election day.
9. To have nondiscriminatory equal access to the elections system, including, without limitation, a voter who is elderly, disabled, a member of a minority group, employed by the military or a citizen who is overseas.
10. To have a uniform, statewide standard for counting and recounting all votes accurately.
11. To have complaints about elections and election contests resolved fairly, accurately and efficiently.

PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS, EARLY VOTING, AND VOTER TURNOUT

Primary Elections
Nevada operates under a “closed primary” election system. In a fully closed primary election system, voters must register with a political party in advance of the primary election. Members of political parties can vote only for candidates on their party’s ballot and any nonpartisan offices appearing on the primary election ballot. The party’s election is closed to members of other political parties. Independent candidates and candidates representing minor political parties only appear on the general election ballot. Nonpartisan offices include city and town officers, county sheriffs, the judiciary, members of boards of trustees for public hospitals, members of the State Board of Education, members of the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada, and school board members.
For over 80 years (with the exception of 1954), primary elections had been held on the first Tuesday of September in each even-numbered year. In 2006 and 2008, primary elections in Nevada were held on the twelfth Tuesday before the general election. The 2005 Legislature approved this change because local election officials were finding it increasingly difficult to meet an ever-growing list of important federal and State election deadlines relating to ballot preparation and voting by overseas military personnel. In 2009, for these same reasons and to shorten the primary election season and improve voter turnout, the Legislature voted to move primary elections to the second Tuesday in June. Pertinent filing and reporting deadlines were adjusted accordingly. For 2016, the primary election will be held on June 14.

General Elections
General elections at the State and county level, according to NRS 293.12755, are held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November in an even-numbered year. Polls for all primary and general elections must open at 7 a.m. and close at 7 p.m. For 2016, the general election will be held on November 8.

Local Elections
Some incorporated cities in Nevada hold municipal elections in the spring of each odd-numbered year. The cities of Carlin, Elko, Reno, Sparks, and Wells, however, have amended their city charters and ordinances to coincide their elections with the State and county election schedule. The consolidated municipality of Carson City also follows the State and county election cycle, and a number of “general law” cities (those operating under Chapter 268 [“Powers and Duties Common to Cities and Towns Incorporated Under General or Special Laws”] of NRS) have amended their city ordinances to hold general elections in concert with the State and county election cycle.

In 2011, the Legislature approved A.B. 132 (Chapter 218, Statutes of Nevada), which allows certain charter cities to move their elections to the statewide cycle by ordinance and to shorten future terms of office as necessary to accomplish the switch. Currently, unless following the State and county election cycle, primary city elections are held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in April (NRS 293C.175), and general elections for cities are held on the second Tuesday after the first Monday in June (NRS 293C.140).

Early Voting
Since its inception in 1993, early voting by personal appearance in Nevada has become quite popular. In both the 2014 Primary and General Elections, over 48 percent of all votes were cast early. The two-week period for early voting by personal appearance begins on the third Saturday preceding a primary or general election and extends through the Friday before election day (Sundays and holidays excepted). The county election officer has the option to offer early voting on Sundays and holidays within the early voting period. The locations, dates, and hours of early voting must be published in a newspaper of general circulation during the week before the early voting period and at least once each week during the period.

All voters may cast their ballots during this 14-day period before election day at one of many early voting sites. Typically, counties and cities establish a permanent early voting site within the election office. Larger counties and cities often set up additional temporary early voting locations in
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community centers, libraries, shopping malls, and supermarkets. Hours of operation for these satellite locations are set by each individual county. County and city clerks must adopt rules or regulations setting forth the criteria for selecting permanent and temporary early voting polling places and inform the local governing body of the location of each polling place for early voting.

Statewide Voter Turnout
Historically, voter turnout is significantly greater in presidential election years. In 2014, which was a non-presidential election year, general election voter turnout fell to 45.56 percent of active voters from 80.79 percent in 2012. However, voter turnout at the 2014 Primary Election was 19.27 percent of active registered voters, compared to 18.87 percent in 2012.

ABSENTEE BALLOTS AND ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES OR WHO ARE ELDERLY

Absentee Ballots Generally
Like other states, Nevada offers voting by absentee ballot. Any registered voter who provides sufficient written notice may request an absentee ballot any time before 5 p.m. on the seventh day preceding any election. Nevada does not require the applicant to provide a reason for requesting an absentee ballot, and a registered voter living overseas may request an absentee ballot electronically.

Absentee Ballots for Persons With Disabilities or Who Are Elderly
Nevada law makes numerous accommodations for persons with disabilities or who are elderly to receive absentee ballots, including an option to request a late absentee ballot if a voter falls ill or becomes disabled and is unable to go to the polls on election day. Accommodations also are made for a registered voter with a physical disability who is unable to mark or sign a ballot or use a voting device without assistance. Such a voter may submit a written statement requesting that he or she receive an absentee ballot for all elections occurring in the following year (NRS 293.3165). The statement must designate the person who will assist the registered voter in marking and signing the absentee ballot on behalf of the registered voter.

Accommodations at Polling Places for Persons With Disabilities or Who Are Elderly
Polling places in Nevada must be completely accessible to persons with disabilities or who are elderly. Nevada law provides that the county clerk or voter registrar must establish at least one polling place for a precinct in any residential development exclusively for seniors, provided that: (1) more than 100 residents of the development are registered to vote; (2) there is a common area which is adequate for a polling place; and (3) the owner of the development consents to establishing a polling place on the property. While separate polling places may be established in senior communities, it should be noted that all polling places must have at least one voting booth designated for use by a voter who is elderly or a voter with a disability. A voter who is elderly or has a disability must be able to vote with the same privacy as any other voter.

In 2015, the Legislature adopted two measures that addressed accommodations and assistance at the polling place for the elderly or persons with a disability. First, S.B. 248 (Chapter 242, Statutes of Nevada) revised existing provisions allowing assistance in casting a ballot to a person with a disability or a person with an inability to read or write English. Previously, the law required the voter to sign a
statement under penalty of perjury that he or she required such assistance. The bill removed the discretion of an election board to require a person with a disability or an inability to read or write English to sign such a statement. Instead, under S.B. 248, a person is entitled to assistance in casting a ballot, if such a need is apparent or known to the election board at the polling place, and may request assistance in voting in any manner.

Currently, voters are required to sign an electronic or paper pollbook. The Legislature recognizes that signatures can change over time, even due to a physical disability. If a voter’s signature does not match the signature in the pollbook or if the voter cannot sign his or her name due to physical limitations, A.B. 462 (Chapter 509, Statutes of Nevada 2015) required the voter to provide personal data verifying his or her identity or provide proof of identification as set forth in Nevada’s existing voter registration provisions. If the voter’s signature has changed in comparison to the application to register to vote, the bill also required a voter to update his or her signature using a form prescribed by the Secretary of State.

At each polling place, the county clerk or voter registrar is encouraged to post instructions for voting printed in at least 12-point type in a visible location and to provide ballots in alternative audio and visual formats. Finally, any registered voter who is unable, by reason of a physical disability or an inability to read or write English, to mark a ballot or cast a vote without assistance is entitled to assistance from a consenting person of his or her own choice. The voter may not, however, utilize the assistance of his or her employer or an agent of his or her labor organization.

FILING OFFICERS AND DEADLINES FOR DECLARING CANDIDACY

Filing Officers
The Secretary of State is the filing officer for statewide offices and any office that is elected from districts comprised of more than one county. The county election official is the filing officer for offices elected from districts comprising only one county or part of one county. The city clerk is the filing officer for municipal elections.

Candidacy Deadlines
The first day of candidate filing in statewide and county elections, excluding judicial offices other than municipal judge, begins on the first Monday in March and ends at 5 p.m. on the second Friday after the first Monday in March. For 2016, the candidate filing period runs from March 7 through March 18. The candidate filing period for city elections extends from 70 days before the primary city election through 5 p.m. on the 60th day before the primary city election. A candidate for State or county office may withdraw his or her candidacy in writing within seven days (excluding weekends and holidays) after the last day for filing. Candidates for city offices may withdraw from the election within two days after the last day to file.

All candidates must file a declaration of candidacy form. Candidates for the office of State Senator or State Assembly Member also must complete a “declaration of residency,” which requires the candidate to list his or her residences since November 1 of the year preceding the election.
CAMPAIGN PRACTICES

The activities and behavior of political candidates are governed primarily in Chapter 294A (“Campaign Practices”) of NRS. Candidates are expected to conduct campaign activities in a professional and ethical manner and are encouraged to sign and file the “Code of Fair Campaign Practices.” In addition to requiring reporting of campaign contributions and expenses, Nevada’s campaign finance laws provide guidance on the proper use of campaign funds and set forth procedures for appropriate campaign activity.

Code of Fair Campaign Practices

There are basic principles of decency, honesty and fair play which every candidate for public office in the State of Nevada has a moral obligation to observe and uphold, in order that, after vigorously contested but fairly conducted campaigns, the voters may exercise their constitutional right to vote for the candidate of their choice and that the will of the people may be fully and clearly expressed on the issues.

THEREFORE:

1. I will conduct my campaign openly and publicly and limit attacks against my opponent to legitimate challenges to my opponent’s voting record or qualifications for office.

2. I will not use character defamation or other false attacks on a candidate’s personal or family life.

3. I will not use campaign material which misrepresents, distorts or otherwise falsifies the facts, nor will I use malicious or unfounded accusations which are intended to create or exploit doubts, without justification, about the personal integrity of my opposition.

4. I will not condone any dishonest or unethical practice which undermines the American system of free elections or impedes or prevents the full and free expression of the will of the voters.

I, the undersigned, as a candidate for election to public office in the State of Nevada, hereby voluntarily pledge myself to conduct my campaign in accordance with the principles and practices set forth in this Code.

Date ___________________________ Signature of Candidate

Campaign Accounts

Every candidate shall open and maintain a separate account in a financial institution for the deposit of any campaign contributions, within one week of receiving a minimum of $100. The candidate shall not commingle the money in the account with money collected for other purposes. It is unlawful for a candidate to spend money received as a campaign contribution for his or her personal use.

Candidates and public officers may also establish a legal defense fund, which is an account established to defray attorney’s fees or other legal costs incurred by the candidate or public officer if he or she becomes subject to a civil, criminal, or administrative claim arising from a campaign, the electoral process, or the performance of his or her official duties. The candidate or public officer must notify the Secretary of State of the creation of such a fund and report any contributions received or expenditures made from the fund.
Campaign Contribution Limits
A person shall not make a contribution to a candidate for any office, except a federal office, in an amount that exceeds $5,000 for the primary election and $5,000 for the general election. There are no constitutional or statutory limits on contributions made to groups such as political parties, committees sponsored by political parties, or committees for political action.

Every candidate who is defeated at a primary or general election, withdraws his or her candidacy, is removed from the ballot by court order, or otherwise is not elected must dispose of campaign contributions that were not committed previously for expenditure. Options for such disposition include returning the money to contributor, contributing the money to other political campaigns or political parties, or donating the money to any tax-exempt or nonprofit entity.

In 2015, the Legislature approved S.B. 293 (Chapter 249, Statutes of Nevada), which placed a limit on how long a person, including a former public officer who qualifies as a candidate by receiving one or more contributions in excess of $100, may essentially hold on to a campaign contribution before disposing of it. Specifically, the candidate or public officer must dispose of all contributions that have not been spent or committed for expenditure within four years after receipt of the contribution, if he or she does not file a declaration or acceptance of candidacy or appear on the ballot at any election. The bill also provided that a former public officer who has any unspent campaign contributions as of October 1, 2015, shall, on or before September 30, 2017: (1) file a declaration or acceptance of candidacy; (2) appear on a ballot at any election; or (3) dispose of his or her unspent contributions as set forth in Nevada law. The bill specified that such former public officers are subject to campaign finance reporting requirements for as long as they have unspent campaign contributions.

Campaign Contributions and Expenditures
In Nevada, all candidates for office at a primary or general election are required to file a number of Campaign Contributions and Expenses (C&E) Reports throughout the election cycle. Five reports must be filed during the election cycle, even if there are no contributions or expenses or the candidate withdraws or ends the campaign, is defeated in the general or primary election, or is removed from the ballot for any reason. Every candidate must report contributions and expenses in excess of $100, including multiple contributions from a single contributor that cumulatively exceed $100. The aggregate total must be reported for contributions less than $100, but contributions and expenses less than $100 need not be itemized.

Reports also must be filed showing how any remaining funds were disposed of or used. State law restricts the manner in which unspent contributions may be disposed. The reports must be filed with the filing officer with whom the candidate filed his or her declaration of candidacy or acceptance of candidacy. Beginning in 2012, except under certain circumstances, candidates are required to file Campaign C&E Reports online with the Secretary of State using the AURORA Campaign Finance Disclosure system (http://nvsos.gov/SOSCandidateServices/AnonymousAccess/CEFDSearchUU/Search.aspx#individual_search). A candidate who submits an affidavit stating that he or she cannot submit the filing via the Internet may do so in person, via fax, or by mail.

The due dates for Campaign C&E Reports for the 2016 election cycle are:
The Secretary of State may impose civil penalties ranging from $25 to $100 per day up to a maximum of $5,000 on any candidate who fails to file a Campaign C&E Report or who files the report late.

**Contributions in Excess of $10,000 Report**

Every candidate who receives contributions in excess of $10,000 in any year before the year of an election is required to file a Campaign C&E Report for that year and every year thereafter up to the election. This “off-year” report must be filed with the appropriate filing officer on or before January 15 of the year immediately after the year for which the report is made. The report must include all contributions received and expenditures made in that year.

**Restrictions on Receipt of Campaign Contributions Prior to Legislative Session**

A legislative caucus, legislator, Governor, Governor-Elect, Lieutenant Governor, and Lieutenant Governor-Elect may not receive or solicit a contribution during the period beginning 30 days before and ending 30 days after a regular session of the Legislature. If a special session is called, none of the above persons may receive or solicit a campaign contribution during the period beginning the day after the Governor issues a proclamation calling for a special session or the Secretary of State receives petitions from Legislature calling itself into special session and ending 15 days after the final adjournment of a special session.

**Financial Disclosure Statements**

Nevada law requires the filing of financial disclosure statements with information on general income sources, debt, business ventures, real estate, and contributions of certain gifts. The following individuals must file such statements: (1) every candidate for public office who, if elected, is entitled to receive compensation of $6,000 or more annually for serving in the office; (2) every elected public officer; and (3) every appointed public officer who is entitled to receive compensation of $6,000 or more annually for serving in the office. According to NRS 281.561(3), a person elected as a supervisor of a conservation district pursuant to NRS 548.285, does not have to file a financial disclosure statement.

Financial disclosure statements for all candidates for public office must be filed with the Secretary of State no later than the tenth day after the last day to qualify for office (ten days after the close of candidate filing). Elected public officers must file the statement on or before January 15 of each year of their term, and appointed public officers must file within 30 days of their appointment and annually.
thereafter on or before January 15. An elected or appointed public officer who leaves office must file
the statement on January 15 of the year immediately following his or her leaving the office. Civil penalties imposed for late filing or a failure to file range from $25 to $2,000.

The Office of the Secretary of State issues a Financial Disclosure Statement Guide containing general information for candidates and public officers, setting forth applicable deadlines for filing the financial disclosure statement, detailing what items must be disclosed and reported, and providing instructions for filing the statement electronically. This guide is available at: http://nvsos.gov/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=4082.

Campaign Guide
Every election cycle, the Office of the Secretary of State issues a Campaign Guide containing general information for candidates, setting forth applicable deadlines, addressing the conduct of a campaign, and explaining campaign finance requirements. The Campaign Guide 2016 is available at: http://nvsos.gov/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=4084.

RECALL OF PUBLIC OFFICERS

Recall is a procedure that allows citizens to remove and replace a public official before the end of a term of office. Historically, recall has been used most frequently at the local level. By some estimates, three-fourths of recall elections nationwide are at the city council or school board level. Recall differs from impeachment in that it is a political device, while impeachment is a legal process. The recall started as a local phenomenon, in Los Angeles, California, in 1903. Provisions addressing the recall of public officers in Nevada are set forth in Article 2, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution and Chapter 306 ("Recall of Public Officers") of NRS.

The Recall in Nevada
According to the National Conference of State Legislatures, Nevada is 1 of 19 states and the District of Columbia that authorizes the recall of statewide public officers.¹ Even more states have recall provisions for local elected officials. The State’s recall provisions were added to the Nevada Constitution in 1912 by a vote of the people after being proposed and approved by the Legislature during the 1909 and 1911 Sessions. Despite the increased use of recalls in the last several decades, the success rate remains generally low.

The Recall Process
The first step in the recall process is the filing of a “notice of intent” to recall a public officer with the same filing officer with whom the subject of the recall filed his or her declaration of candidacy. Once the notice of intent has been filed, a recall petition may be circulated for signatures. The petition must contain the signatures of at least 25 percent of the number of registered voters in the State, county, or district that the public officer represents. Only registered voters who actually voted in the election in

¹For more information regarding recall, please refer to the National Conference of State Legislatures (www.ncsl.org) and https://ballotpedia.org/Recall.
which the subject of the recall was elected may sign a petition to recall. The signatures are examined and verified to determine the sufficiency of the petition. Once the signatures have been verified, the results of the examination are forwarded to the Secretary of State who then finds the petition either sufficient or insufficient.

Between 10 and 20 days after the Secretary of State gives notice that the petition is sufficient, the filing officer must issue a call (an announcement of the date) for the special recall election. The recall election must take place within 30 days after the filing officer issues the call.

A ballot for the recall of a public officer may vary in form depending on several circumstances. If the public officer who is the subject of the recall chooses not to resign and there are no other candidates for the recalled office, the words “For Recall” and “Against Recall” must be placed on the ballot as voting choices. If there are other candidates to appear on the ballot, the names of the public officer subject to the recall and any other authorized candidate must appear.

A person may be nominated as a candidate for the office involved in the recall through a petition process. This petition must be signed by at least 25 percent of the registered voters who actually voted for the office in question at the last general election.

The Office of the Secretary of State issues a Recall Guide every election cycle. The guide is available online at http://nvsos.gov/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=3790.

**HISTORY OF INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM IN THE U.S.**

The initiative and referendum (I&R) process was popularized during the late 1890s and early 1900s when the Populist Party was gaining influence on the American political scene. Its platform included women’s suffrage, direct election of U.S. Senators, and the use of I&R. The initiative is a procedure whereby citizens, through a petition process, place measures on the ballot proposing changes or additions to laws or state constitutions. A referendum typically allows the citizens to register, through a vote of the people, their support or disapproval of a current law or statute and may be either advisory or binding in nature.

In 1897, Nebraska became the first state to allow I&R for city elections and, in 1898, South Dakota became the first state to adopt a statewide I&R. Nevada adopted its popular referendum at the 1904 General Election; however, it was not until 1912 that Nevada adopted its statewide initiative process.

Interestingly, most of the states that have adopted I&R are west of the Mississippi River. Some theorize that the expansion of I&R in the West fits more with Westerners’ independent, populist belief system. For the most part, I&R operated quietly in the background of state politics for much of the twentieth century. However, during the last three decades, it has come back into vogue.

---

2In *Strickland v. Waymire*, 126 Nev. 230, 240 (2010), the Nevada Supreme Court held that Section 9 of Article 2 of the *Nevada Constitution* provides that, “[w]hile all registered voters can vote at a special recall election, only voters who voted at the relevant baseline election can qualify a recall petition” by signing a petition for the recall.
Nationwide, the popularity of initiative and referendum appears to have peaked in the 1990s; however, a large number of initiative proposals still qualify for the ballot and a significant amount of money is spent on the process every election cycle. According to the Initiative and Referendum Institute, since the inception of the initiative in 1898, there have been over 2,400 initiative measures on ballots in the 24 states that allow initiatives and referenda. Nearly half of these initiative measures (about 1,100) appeared on the ballot in the last 35 years.

THE INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM PROCESS IN NEVADA

There are two types of initiatives—direct and indirect. In Nevada, a direct initiative seeks to amend the Nevada Constitution, while an indirect initiative seeks to amend an existing statute. The direct initiative involves a petition process which, if successful, goes directly on the ballot at the next general election. The indirect initiative, however, involves the consideration and input of the Legislature. In other words, an initiative proposal to change Nevada law does not go directly to the ballot. In the indirect initiative process, a proposed initiative (if the petition has enough qualified signatures) is first referred to the Legislature.

In Nevada, statewide I&R petitions may address only one subject and matters necessarily connected with that subject. An explanation of the effect of the petition also must appear on each signature page of the petition. Nevada law further requires the Secretary of State to post a copy of the initiative or referendum petition, the description of the effect of the petition proposal, and the fiscal note on the Secretary’s website. Article 19, Section 2 of the Nevada Constitution and provisions in Chapter 295 (“Certain State and Local Ballot Questions”) of NRS also provide for I&R at the county and city level, although the filing, signature requirements, approval process, and time frames vary from the statewide I&R process. Finally, every election cycle, the Office of the Secretary of State publishes the Initiative & Referendum Guide, which is available online at http://nvsos.gov/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=4080.

Constitutional Amendments
An initiative petition to amend the Nevada Constitution must be signed by a number of registered voters equal to 10 percent or more of the number of voters who voted at the last statewide general election. This number (55,234 for 2016) must be divided equally (13,809) among Nevada’s four “petition districts.” Before any initiative petition to amend the Nevada Constitution may be circulated for signatures, a copy of the petition, including a description 200 words or less of the effect of the petition, must be filed with the Secretary of State no earlier than September 1 of the year prior to the election. The petition may then be circulated for signatures until the third Tuesday in June of the following year (the election year), at which time it must be submitted to the appropriate county election offices for signature verification. For 2016, the last day for petitioners to submit a constitutional initiative to the county election office is June 21. Upon completion of the signature verification process, all petitions must be filed by the county election officer with the Secretary of State no later than 90 days before the general election (August 10, 2016). If it is determined that the petition contains a sufficient number of valid signatures, the initiative question will appear on the general election ballot. An initiative petition to amend the Nevada Constitution must be approved in identical form at two successive elections before becoming law.
Over the years, voters have considered numerous initiative proposals to amend the Nevada Constitution. Key proposals that have been approved address a number of different topics, including eminent domain, taxation, term limits, the definition of marriage, medical marijuana, and the minimum wage.

**Enacting or Amending a State Statute**

An initiative petition also may be used to enact a new statute or to amend an existing one. The same number of registered voters that is required to sign a constitutional initiative also is required to sign a statutory initiative. Proponents must first file a copy of the petition, including a description 200 words or less of the effect of the petition, with the Secretary of State no earlier than January 1 of the year prior to the next legislative session. The petition may then be circulated for signatures until the second Tuesday in November, at which time it must be submitted to the county election offices for signature verification. Upon completion of the signature verification, all petitions to amend or create a statute must be filed by the counties with the Secretary of State no later than 30 days before the start of the next legislative session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature Requirements for Statewide Initiative and Referendum Petitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Voter Turnout in the 2014 General Election 552,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ten Percent of Total Voter Turnout in the 2014 General Election 55,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Signatures Required to be Gathered From Each of Nevada’s Four Petition Districts 13,809</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Initiative & Referendum Guide, issued by Barbara K. Cegavske, Secretary of State, State of Nevada; Article 19, Sections 1 and 2 of the Nevada Constitution; NRS 293.127563(2) and 295.012.*

If the petition contains a sufficient number of valid signatures, the Secretary of State shall transmit the initiative proposal to the Legislature as soon as it convenes. The Legislature must either enact or reject the petition without amendment within the first 40 days of the legislative session. Depending on the Legislature’s action, the proponents may continue the process by placing it on the ballot. If the Legislature defeats or fails to act on the initiative proposal within the first 40 days, it is automatically placed on the ballot at the next general election for consideration by the voters. Some states, including Nevada, allow their legislatures to place an alternative measure (regarding the same subject) on the ballot to be considered along with an initiative question.

If the Legislature enacts the statute proposed in the petition and it is approved by the Governor, it becomes law. It should be noted that a statutory initiative approved by the voters cannot be amended, annulled, or repealed by the Legislature within three years from the date it takes effect.

**Geographic Distribution Requirement for Petition Signatures**

Until the early 2000s, Nevada was one of ten states that had a “geographic distribution” signature requirement to qualify petitions for the ballot, whereby signatures had to be gathered in 75 percent (13 out of 17) of Nevada’s counties. In a challenge to this provision, a federal judge agreed with plaintiffs who argued that requiring the collection of signatures in different areas of the State gave added weight or influence to voters’ signatures in rural areas and diminished the relative weight of voters’ signatures in urban centers.
The current statewide petition signature requirement was set forth in S.B. 212 (Chapter 460, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2009 Legislative Session. The bill required the Legislature to create petition districts from which signatures for a petition for initiative must be gathered. The bill defined “petition district” to mean congressional district until July 1, 2011, at which time the Legislature must have established petition districts for the period after that date. In 2011, the Legislature confirmed, with the passage of S.B. 133 (Chapter 320, Statutes of Nevada), that it wanted to continue using congressional districts as petition districts. Today, an initiative petition must be signed by a number of registered voters equal to at least 10 percent of the voters who voted in the last preceding general election divided equally among Nevada’s congressional districts.\(^3\)

The Referendum in Nevada
A referendum typically allows citizens to register, by a vote of the people, their support or disapproval of a law or statute. In some states, the referendum is advisory in nature and does not create or abolish any laws. However, in Nevada, a referendum is binding and serves either to “set in stone” a particular statute (except by another vote of the people) or to render a law or resolution void.

The first day a statewide referendum can be filed is August 1 in the year prior to the next general election. In order to qualify for the ballot, a statewide referendum must be signed by a number of registered voters equal to 10 percent or more of the number of voters who voted at the last statewide general election divided equally among the petition districts. The petition may be circulated for signatures until the third Tuesday in June of the following year, at which time it must be submitted to the appropriate county election offices for signature verification. If there are enough valid signatures, the referendum to approve or disapprove a current State law shall be placed on the general election ballot.

Expenditure Reporting for Ballot Measures
The Nevada Legislature frequently approves legislation intended to help address another concern regarding initiatives—identifying who pays for campaigns supporting or opposing them. In 2011, the Legislature approved A.B. 81 (Chapter 501, Statutes of Nevada), which required persons, committees for political action, and political parties that expend more than $100 on public communication advocating for or against a question or candidate to disclose their identity on the communication itself, be it a mailer, billboard, radio or television commercial, or other form of public communication.

Opinions Relating to Initiative and Referendum
Opinions concerning the I&R process vary widely. While many view the I&R process as a fair way for citizens to directly influence the lawmaking process, others believe that I&R diminishes the political strength and traditional power of legislative bodies. Others observe that I&R has become an avenue for well-financed special interests to pursue their agendas in State and local politics. Advocates for I&R argue that the use of the initiative is positive, and citizens are using it as a tool to implement new laws and reforms that the Legislature is unable or unwilling to enact. Critics of the I&R process counter that the process asks voters to make simple “yes” or “no” decisions about

---

\(^3\)On March 14, 2012, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed a lower court’s ruling that Nevada’s geographic distribution signature requirement does not violate the Equal Protection Clause or the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.
complex issues without the benefit of consideration of competing needs and other impacts by an elected body or expert analysis.

The I&R process continues to generate activity at the legislative level as states address issues such as the: (1) prevention of fraud in the signature gathering process; (2) disclosure of information about who is behind initiative campaigns; and (3) addition of flexibility to the process to accommodate more debate, deliberation, and compromise.

**ACTIONS OF THE 2015 LEGISLATURE**

During the 2015 Legislative Session, the Senate and Assembly Committees on Legislative Operations and Elections considered legislation relating to elections, campaign practices, and initiative and referendum. Some of these approved measures that have not been referenced previously in this report are described below.

Regarding election administration and procedures, the 2015 Legislature passed the following provisions:

- **Assembly Bill 462 (Chapter 509, *Statutes of Nevada*)** was a “housekeeping” bill requested by Nevada’s county clerks and voter registrars that addressed small, yet sometimes very important, election administration matters. The measure made modifications to provisions relating to ballot printing, maintaining a pollbook, the appointment of election boards, and precinct size.

- **Senate Bill 499 (Chapter 525, *Statutes of Nevada*)** extended the deadlines by which petitions from minor political parties and independent candidates must be filed and shortened the deadlines by which the county clerks must verify the signatures on those petitions. The dates by which challenges to the qualification of a minor party to place names of candidates on the ballot and for challenges to the candidacy of an independent candidate were also revised. The bill further provided that the district court in which the challenge is filed must give priority to the proceedings. Finally, the bill changed, from the fourth Friday in June to the fourth Friday in July, the deadline for filling vacancies in nonpartisan offices or nominations for nonpartisan offices and nominations for certain partisan offices.

- **Senate Bill 5 (Chapter 295, *Statutes of Nevada*)** and S.B. 499 changed the manner in which candidates are nominated for placement on the general election ballot. For major political party candidates, S.B. 499 simplified existing law to provide that if a major political party has two or more candidates for a particular office, the person who receives the highest number of votes at the primary election must be declared the nominee to the general election. Senate Bill 5 set forth this same process for candidates in a judicial primary election. This is sometimes called the “50 plus 1” provision that some municipal elections already use; except in this instance, the one major party nominee appears on the general election ballot. Meanwhile, S.B. 5 addressed the nomination of candidates for nonpartisan office by providing a “50 plus 1” provision for nonpartisan races. The distinction between S.B. 499 and S.B. 5 is that the nonpartisan candidate for any office other than a judicial office can be declared the winner at the primary election.
Assembly Bill 94 (Chapter 454, Statutes of Nevada), as well as A.B. 462, authorized each county and city clerk to establish a system to distribute sample ballots by electronic means to each registered voter who chooses to receive a sample ballot in this manner. The system may include e-mail or electronic access through a website. The county election officials and the Legislature are very concerned about maintaining the confidentiality of voters’ e-mail addresses. Therefore, the measures declared that an e-mail address provided by a registered voter is confidential and not a public record and may not be disclosed by the county or city clerk or voter registrar. The e-mail address may only be used to distribute a sample ballot electronically and to communicate with the voter regarding the voting process.

Assembly Bill 23 (Chapter 336, Statutes of Nevada) revised the date of certain general city elections from the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June to the second Tuesday after the first Monday in June and made conforming changes to the charters of Boulder City, Caliente, Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, and Yerington. The bill also revised a provision in current law to allow only voters who voted at the relevant preceding election to sign a recall petition.

The Legislature approved the following measures relating to campaign practices:

- Assembly Bill 63 (Chapter 105, Statutes of Nevada) clarified that if a candidate for elective office ends a campaign without officially withdrawing his or her candidacy and subsequently is elected to office, the candidate must resume filing campaign contribution and expenditure reports starting with the next report due after the election to office.

- Assembly Bill 23, in addition to making changes relating to election administration, provided that a committee for the recall of a public officer must file contribution and expenditure reports, regardless of the outcome of the efforts to circulate the recall petition. The measure also incorporated the definition of the term “independent expenditure” into the definition of “committee for political action” as it relates to expenditures made by an organization or entity whose primary purpose is not to affect the outcome of any election or ballot question. Finally, contribution limits currently tied to the dates for convening and adjourning the legislative session were changed to calendar years.

- Senate Bill 104 (Chapter 110, Statutes of Nevada) provided an exception to the requirement that political advertisements disclose the name of the person or entity who paid for such advertising and include a statement indicating that the advertisement was approved by a candidate. This exception applies to any statement or communication appearing on any article of clothing, regardless of its cost, and on certain other forms of advertising including buttons, pens, candy, jar openers, and balloons having a retail value of less than $5 each.

**ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

The Secretary of State’s website includes an “Election Center” page (http://nvsos.gov/index.aspx?page=3) with information for voters and candidates, as well as ballot questions (past and present) and political party and committee information. The website also contains statistics on voter turnout and registration and a searchable database for Campaign C&E Reports.
Information on statewide ballot questions or past initiatives and referenda, as well as lists of candidates, and important election dates is available on the Research Division’s “Vote Nevada” webpage (https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/VoteNV/index.cfm).

KEY ELECTION OFFICIALS IN NEVADA

The following table contains the primary officials responsible for election administration in this State as of April 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECTION ADMINISTRATION</th>
<th>Primary Officials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbara K. Cegavske</td>
<td>Wayne Thorley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
<td>Deputy Secretary of State for Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 3</td>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89701</td>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-7195</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-7195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:sosmail@sos.nv.gov">sosmail@sos.nv.gov</a></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:nvelect@sos.nv.gov">nvelect@sos.nv.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carson City</th>
<th>Churchill County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susan Merriwether, Clerk-Recorder</td>
<td>Kelly G. Helton, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>885 East Musser Street, Suite 1028</td>
<td>155 North Taylor Street, Suite 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89701</td>
<td>Fallon, Nevada 89406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 887-2260</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 423-6028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 887-2146</td>
<td>Fax: (775) 423-7069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:recorder@carson.org">recorder@carson.org</a></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:clerktreas@churchillcounty.org">clerktreas@churchillcounty.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.carson.org">http://www.carson.org</a></td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.churchillcounty.org">http://www.churchillcounty.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clark County</th>
<th>Douglas County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joseph P. Gloria, Registrar of Voters</td>
<td>Kathy Lewis, C.P.A., Clerk-Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County Election Center</td>
<td>1616 Eighth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>965 Trade Drive, Suite A</td>
<td>Old Courthouse, Second Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Las Vegas, Nevada 89030</td>
<td>P.O. Box 218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address: P.O. Box 3909</td>
<td>Minden, Nevada 89423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas, NV 89127</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 782-9014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (702) 455-2784</td>
<td>Fax: (775) 782-9016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (702) 455-2793</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:Klewis@douglas.nv.us">Klewis@douglas.nv.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:jpg@clarkcountynv.gov">jpg@clarkcountynv.gov</a></td>
<td>Website: <a href="https://cltr.douglasnv.us">https://cltr.douglasnv.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:elinfo@clarkcountynv.gov">elinfo@clarkcountynv.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/election">http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/election</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elko County</th>
<th>Esmeralda County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Fosmo, Clerk</td>
<td>LaCinda (Cindy) Elgan, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550 Court Street, Third Floor</td>
<td>233 Crook Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko, Nevada 89801</td>
<td>P.O. Box 547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 753-4600</td>
<td>Goldfield, Nevada 89013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 753-4610</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 485-6309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:cfosmo@elkocountynv.net">cfosmo@elkocountynv.net</a></td>
<td>Fax: (775) 485-6376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.elkocountynv.net">http://www.elkocountynv.net</a></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:celgan@citlink.net">celgan@citlink.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.accessesmeralda.com">http://www.accessesmeralda.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ELECTION ADMINISTRATION

### Primary Officials (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Official Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eureka County</td>
<td>Beverly (Bev) Conley, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
<td>County Courthouse, 10 Main Street, P.O. Box 677, Eureka, Nevada 89316</td>
<td>(775) 237-5262</td>
<td>(775) 237-6015</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bconley.eect@eurekanv.org">bconley.eect@eurekanv.org</a></td>
<td><a href="http://co.eureka.nv.us">http://co.eureka.nv.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt County</td>
<td>Tami Rae Spero, County Clerk</td>
<td>50 West Fifth Street, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445</td>
<td>(775) 623-6343</td>
<td>(775) 623-6309</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tami.spero@hcnv.us">tami.spero@hcnv.us</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.hcnv.us">http://www.hcnv.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lander County</td>
<td>Sadie Sullivan, Clerk</td>
<td>315 South Humboldt Street, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820</td>
<td>(775) 635-5738</td>
<td>(775) 635-0394</td>
<td><a href="mailto:landercountyclerk@gmail.com">landercountyclerk@gmail.com</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.landercountynv.org">http://www.landercountynv.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County</td>
<td>Lisa C. Lloyd, County Clerk</td>
<td>181 North Main Street, Suite 201, P.O. Box 90, Pioche, Nevada 89043</td>
<td>(775) 962-8077</td>
<td>(775) 962-5180</td>
<td><a href="mailto:llloyd@lincoln_nv.com">llloyd@lincoln_nv.com</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.lincolncountynv.org">http://www.lincolncountynv.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon County</td>
<td>Nikki Bryan, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
<td>27 South Main Street, Yerington, Nevada 89447</td>
<td>(775) 463-6501</td>
<td>(775) 463-5305</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nbryan@lyon-county.org">nbryan@lyon-county.org</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.lyon-county.org">http://www.lyon-county.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral County</td>
<td>Christopher Nepper, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
<td>105 South A Street, Suite 1, P.O. Box 1450, Hawthorne, Nevada 89415</td>
<td>(775) 945-2446</td>
<td>(775) 945-0706</td>
<td><a href="mailto:clerk-treasurer@mineralcountynv.org">clerk-treasurer@mineralcountynv.org</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.mineralcountynv.us">http://www.mineralcountynv.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nye County</td>
<td>Sandra (Sam) L. Merlino, Clerk</td>
<td>1520 East Basin Avenue, Pahrump, Nevada 89060</td>
<td>(775) 751-7040</td>
<td>(775) 751-7047</td>
<td><a href="mailto:smerlino@co.nye.nv.us">smerlino@co.nye.nv.us</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.nye-county.net">http://www.nye-county.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing County</td>
<td>Lacey Donaldson, Clerk/Treasurer</td>
<td>398 Main Street, P.O. Box 820, Lovelock, Nevada 89419</td>
<td>(775) 273-2208</td>
<td>(775) 273-3015</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ldonaldson@pershingcounty.net">ldonaldson@pershingcounty.net</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.pershingcounty.net">http://www.pershingcounty.net</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ELECTION ADMINISTRATION

### Primary Officials (continued)

### Storey County
Vanessa Stephens, Clerk-Treasurer
26 South B Street, Drawer D
Virginia City, Nevada 89440
Telephone: (775) 847-0969
Fax: (775) 847-0921
E-mail: clerk@storeycounty.org
Website: http://www.storeycounty.org/

### Washoe County
Luanne Cutler, Registrar of Voters
1001 East Ninth Street, Room A-135
Reno, Nevada 89512
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 11130
Reno, Nevada 89520
Telephone: (775) 328-3670
Fax: (775) 328-3747
E-mail: electionsdepartment@washoecounty.us
Website: https://www.washoecounty.us/voters

### White Pine County
Nichole Baldwin, Clerk
801 Clark Street, Suite 4
Ely, Nevada 89301
Telephone: (775) 293-6509
Fax: (775) 289-2544
E-mail: wpclerk@whitepinecountynv.gov
Website: http://www.whitepinecounty.net/

## RESEARCH STAFF CONTACTS

### Michael J. Stewart
Deputy Research Director
E-mail: Michael.Stewart@lcb.state.nv.us

### Carol M. Stonefield
Chief Principal Policy Analyst
E-mail: Carol.Stonefield@lcb.state.nv.us

### Research Division
Legislative Counsel Bureau
Telephone: (775) 684-6825
Fax: (775) 684-6400
Following the events of September 11, 2001, the federal government created the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to provide a unifying core for the vast national network of organizations and institutions involved in keeping our nation safe.

The Nevada Legislature already had concluded the 2001 Session when the terrorist attacks occurred. Thus, before the next session in 2003, the Legislature had time to carefully review the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, the recommendations of the National Conference of State Legislatures’ Task Force on Protecting Democracy, and the laws of other states, to craft legislation specifically tailored to Nevada’s needs.

In order to facilitate coordination between federal, state, and local emergency management and law enforcement agencies, the Governor of Nevada created the Office of Homeland Security and the Joint Information Center to ensure quick and effective responses to catastrophic events within the State. As of 2011, the functions of the Office of Homeland Security and the Joint Information Center are housed within the Division of Emergency Management in the Department of Public Safety.

NEVADA COMMISSION ON HOMELAND SECURITY

As an additional resource in the fight against terrorism, the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security was created by the Nevada Legislature in 2003. The Governor appoints 16 voting members who serve at the pleasure of the Governor. The voting members must include the sheriffs and fire chiefs of Clark and Washoe Counties and an employee of the City of Las Vegas. Additional voting members include a representative from each of the following: the Clark County medical community, the broadcaster community, and tribal governments. The Governor or the Governor’s designee serves as the chair of the Commission, and the chair appoints the vice chair.

Nonvoting members include the head of Nevada’s office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, a representative of the DHS, and the Chief of the Division of Emergency Management. Additionally, the Senate Majority Leader and the Speaker of the Assembly each appoint one legislator from his or her respective house to serve as a nonvoting member of the Commission.
The duties of the Commission include:

- Making recommendations to the Governor, the Legislature, State agencies, local governments, businesses, and private citizens about actions to be taken to protect against terrorism;

- Making recommendations, through the Division of Emergency Management, on the use of money received by the State from homeland security grants or related programs;

- Proposing goals and programs to counteract acts of terrorism;

- Ensuring the safety of Nevada’s residents and the critical infrastructures of the State by identifying the susceptibility of those infrastructures to terrorist acts;

- Examining the use and deployment of response agencies;

- Reviewing the interoperability of the State’s communications systems and the efficacy of emergency (911) telephone systems, including establishment of a State plan for the compatibility and interoperability of the State’s information and communication systems for response agencies and advising the Governor about such systems with particular emphasis on public safety radio systems;

- Coordinating between government agencies to avoid duplication; and

- Submitting an annual briefing to the Governor on the assessment of the State’s preparedness, including an assessment of response plans and vulnerability assessments of utilities, and public and private entities.

**RECENT LEGISLATIVE ACTION**

*Nevada Commission on Homeland Security*

In the 2011 Session, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 549 (Chapter 474, *Statutes of Nevada*), which made several changes to the Commission and its duties. The bill increased the size of the Commission by adding two voting members: one to represent the broadcaster community and one to represent tribal governments in Nevada. Additionally, the Chief of the Division of Emergency Management was added as a nonvoting member.

The measure spelled out the process and respective responsibilities of the Division, the Governor, and the Commission for making recommendations and decisions about homeland security grants. The Commission’s duties were amended to include an annual briefing to the Governor on the State’s level of preparedness. Chapter 239C (“Homeland Security”) of *Nevada Revised Statutes* was revised to include tribal governments on a par with local governments in the State’s homeland security provisions to ensure that tribal governments are included in the planning and other activities undertaken by the Division and the Commission.
Disclosure of public documents related to homeland security requires balancing the State’s public records law that favors disclosure with the need for maintaining confidentiality to prevent certain information from falling into the wrong hands. Assembly Bill 549 clarifies the level of access to certain confidential documents by the Legislative Auditor. In addition, vulnerability assessments and emergency response plans submitted by utilities and public and private entities were added to the list of documents that may be declared confidential by executive order. Every ten years, the Governor must review the documents declared by executive order to be confidential and decide whether there is a continued need for confidentiality.

In a related measure, the 2013 Legislature approved Senate Bill 39 (Chapter 219, Statutes of Nevada), which provides that any committee appointed by the Chair of the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security enjoys the same exemptions from Nevada’s Open Meeting Law as does the Commission itself, provided the Commission approves of the Commissioner’s action in advance.

**Emergency Response and Disaster Preparedness**

Nevada has seen its fair share of disasters ranging from massive floods and devastating fires to large scale acts of violence. From a national perspective, the inadequacy of governmental response to past disasters at all levels illustrated the need to continually review and update Nevada’s emergency response planning and preparedness. In recent years, the Legislature has enacted several bills to address gaps in emergency response efforts. Examples include:

- Senate Bill 144 (Chapter 187, Statutes of Nevada 2009)—requiring a State plan addressing notice of actual or suspected bombs to public safety bomb squads and clarifying responsibilities in such situations; and

- Senate Bill 147 (Chapter 140, Statutes of Nevada 2009)—addressing the role of broadcasters and their equipment during an emergency.

Assembly Bill 98 (Chapter 216, Statutes of Nevada 2011), titled the “Uniform Emergency Volunteer Health Practitioners Act,” provides for advance registration of health practitioners and the adoption of regulations so that, in an emergency, Nevada is prepared to handle the surge of medical personnel who come to assist from other states.

In an effort to provide for greater coordination between emergency response agencies, the 2015 Legislature approved Assembly Bill 90 (Chapter 69, Statutes of Nevada), which creates the Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System within the Division of Emergency Management. The bill creates an advisory committee, designated the Intrastate Mutual Aid Committee, to: (1) advise and assist the Chief of the Division with the implementation and evaluation of the System; and (2) develop comprehensive guidelines and procedures regarding, among other things, requests and recordkeeping for intrastate mutual aid. The measure also authorizes the Governor to request interstate mutual aid pursuant to the Emergency Management Assistance Compact.
Finally, with the rise of school shootings across the United States, including specific events in Nevada, the 2015 Legislature sought to ensure that schools in the State are taking the necessary steps to plan for unforeseen events. Senate Bill 205 (Chapter 44, Statutes of Nevada 2015) requires Nevada’s Department of Education to develop a model plan for the management of a crisis or an emergency involving a public or private school. This model plan must include certain procedures, plans, and information, including threats or hazards listed in the local county hazard mitigation plan, and be utilized by each school district, charter school, and private school in the development of local plans.

REAL ID ACT OF 2005

On May 11, 2005, President George W. Bush signed into law the REAL ID Act of 2005, which was attached to the “Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Tsunami Relief, 2005” (H.R. 1268, Public Law 109-13). Title II of REAL ID, “Improved Security for Drivers’ Licenses and Personal Identification Cards,” repeals the provisions of a December 2004 law that established a cooperative state-federal process to create federal standards for drivers’ licenses and instead imposes prescriptive federal driver’s license standards.

The REAL ID Act places federal requirements on all state drivers’ licenses. The goal of the Act is to combat terrorism, identity theft, and other crimes by strengthening the integrity and security of drivers’ licenses and state-issued identification cards. The Act will require persons to have a compliant card to board an aircraft or to enter a nuclear power plant or certain federal buildings.

As of October 1, 2020, the federal government will require drivers’ licenses or identification (ID) cards to be REAL ID compliant to board an aircraft or enter federal facilities where ID is required. Key measures that increase the level of assurance for a document include the following:

- Information and security features that must be incorporated into each card;
- Documentation and verification of an applicant’s identity, date of birth, Social Security number, and legal presence in the United States;
- Checks to ensure that an individual does not hold multiple drivers’ licenses in other states; and
- Minimum security standards for issuance of licenses and ID cards.

Under Nevada law, applicants for drivers’ licenses and ID cards are already required to submit proof of identity, date of birth, Social Security numbers, and lawful status. According to Nevada’s Department of Motor Vehicles, to obtain a REAL ID license or ID card, you must present proof of identity, a Social Security number, and two proofs of residence in person at the Department.
ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- Department of Motor Vehicles:  http://dmvnv.com/realid.

RESEARCH STAFF CONTACTS

Jennifer Ruedy
Principal Research Analyst
E-mail:  Jennifer.Ruedy@lcb.state.nv.us

Jered M. McDonald
Senior Research Analyst
E-mail:  Jered.McDonald@lcb.state.nv.us

Research Division
Legislative Counsel Bureau
Telephone:  (775) 684-6825
Fax:  (775) 684-6400
Nevada’s State government is organized into three branches: (1) the Legislative Branch, which creates the laws; (2) the Executive Branch, which enforces the laws; and (3) the Judicial Branch, which interprets the laws. The Nevada Legislature is the political institution closest to the people. Not only does it enact laws, the Legislature creates the machinery for carrying out those enactments. The Legislature establishes departments, boards, commissions, and bureaus and defines the scope of their powers and responsibilities. In addition, the Legislature sets down the fundamental rules of government in Nevada in the form of administrative procedures acts, civil service rules, and election laws.

**LEGISLATIVE STRUCTURE**

Nevada has a two-house (bicameral) Legislature consisting of the Senate and the Assembly. The two houses jointly are designated by the *Nevada Constitution* as “The Legislature of the State of Nevada.” According to the *Nevada Constitution*, “. . . no persons charged with the exercise of powers properly belonging to one of these departments shall exercise any functions, appertaining to either of the others . . .” except in certain specified instances.
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Size and Apportionment

Unlike some states, Nevada does not fix the number of its Senators and members of the Assembly in its constitution. Instead, the Nevada Constitution sets a maximum limit of 75 legislators from the combined total of the two houses. No minimum limit is set on the size of the Legislature, but the Constitution provides “. . . the number of Senators shall not be less than one-third nor more than one-half of that of the members of the Assembly.” The actual size of the Legislature is set by statute. Since 1983, the Nevada Legislature has had a 21-member Senate and a 42-member Assembly.

The Nevada Constitution states that Senators and members of the Assembly must be apportioned among the several counties of the State or among legislative districts in accordance with law. The United States Supreme Court has held that both houses of state legislatures must be apportioned on a population basis under the principle of one person, one vote. Membership in both houses of the Legislature is apportioned geographically throughout the State on the basis of population. Normally, the Legislature redistricts once every ten years during the session next following the federal decennial census, as required by the Nevada Constitution. The federal decennial census was held in 2010, and at public hearings both before and during the 2011 Legislative Session, members of the Legislature heard from dozens of citizens regarding the redistricting process. To facilitate public involvement and understanding of the process, the Legislature provided public workstations for mapping in both its Carson City and Las Vegas offices. Additionally, the Legislature included comprehensive information on its website that featured a dedicated reapportionment and redistricting webpage for meeting announcements, submitted fact sheets, historical data, informational items, plans, and reports.

The Nevada Legislature, however, was unable to complete the legislative and congressional redistricting process during the 120-day regular session. Two redistricting measures, Senate Bill 497 and Assembly Bill 566, were approved by the Legislature, generally on party-line votes, on May 10 and May 25, 2011, respectively. However, both bills were vetoed by the Governor and returned to the Legislature. No attempts were made to override or sustain those vetoes during the regular session. When Governor Brian Sandoval indicated that he would not call the Legislature into special session for redistricting, the task fell to the courts. Following a number of hearings, judicial briefs, motions, and pleas, the First Judicial District Court Judge appointed three Special Masters to accomplish redistricting. As directed by the court, the Special Masters held public hearings in Las Vegas and Carson City on October 10 and 11, 2011, respectively. Their report and completed plans were submitted to the District Judge on October 14, 2011. Following certain plan changes requested by the court, an order adopting and approving the Special Masters’ report and redistricting maps as modified by the court was filed on October 27, 2011. An addendum to the October 27, 2011, order to facilitate the transitional period was filed on December 8, 2011. When no appeals were filed to these court orders, they and their redistricting plans were considered to be adopted.

The plan includes four congressional districts (an increase of one), while the size of the Nevada Legislature is retained at 63–21 members in the Senate and 42 members in the Assembly. Archived information on reapportionment and redistricting can be found on the Nevada Legislature’s website at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Districts/Reapp/2011/index.cfm.
Membership Qualifications

To be eligible to serve as a Senator or member of the Assembly, a person must be at least 21 years of age, a qualified elector in the respective county and district, and an actual citizen resident of Nevada for a minimum of one year next preceding the election. However, the Nevada Constitution declares that “[e]ach House shall judge of the qualifications, elections and returns of its own members . . . and with the concurrence of two thirds of all the members elected, expel a member.”

No person holding a federal office of profit (with the exception of postmasters earning less than $500 per year or commissioners of deeds) or a lucrative office under any other power may serve as a legislator. Persons are also disqualified from holding legislative office if they have been convicted of embezzlement of public funds or bribery in the procurement of election or appointment to office. A legislator may not be appointed to any civil office of profit in the State that was created, or the salary for which was raised, during the legislator’s term of office and for a period of one year after the expiration of the term.

Members of the Assembly are elected every two years by the qualified electors in their respective districts. Senators, on the other hand, serve four-year terms, which are staggered so that, as near as possible, one-half of the number of Senators is elected every two years. A constitutional amendment approved by the voters in 1996 limits legislators to 12 years of service in each house (six terms for members of the Assembly and three terms for Senators). Therefore, a legislator may serve up to 12 years in one house and up to another 12 years in the other house. As applied to members of the Legislature, term limits first had an impact during the 2010 election cycle.

Members of both houses are elected on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of even-numbered years, at intervals of two or four years, depending upon the house in question. Their terms of office begin on the day following their election, but members typically are not sworn in by oath of office until the first day of the legislative session (first Monday of the following February for a regular session).

Legislator Compensation and Allowances

Legislators are paid a salary for the first 60 days of a regular session and for up to 20 days of a special session. The daily salary for legislators is $146.29. Thus, for the 2015 Regular Session, a legislator received a maximum salary of $8,777.40; for a special session in 2015 or 2016, the maximum salary is $2,925.80.

Legislators receive additional payments for their travel and per diem during a legislative session. The per diem, which is intended to cover the legislator’s lodging, meals, and incidental expenses, is equal to the federal rate for the Carson City area, which is currently $148. This per diem amount is paid each day that the Legislature is in session.

For travel to and from Carson City for the legislative session and for a presession orientation conference, each legislator is entitled to one day’s per diem plus reimbursement of actual travel
expenses. Each legislator whose permanent residence is more than 50 miles from Carson City, and who enters into a lease or other agreement for housing during session, is also entitled to a supplemental housing allowance during the session. This allowance is equal to the fair market rent for a one-bedroom unit in Carson City, as published and revised each year by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The most recent rate for the Carson City area is $684 per month. Costs associated with travel during a session (moving expenses, housing and furniture rental, and travel related to legislative business) are reimbursed, subject to an overall limit of $10,000 during a regular session and $1,200 during a special session.

In addition to these amounts, each legislator is entitled to communications allowance of $2,800 and a postage allowance of $60. Legislators who chair standing committees or hold leadership positions are entitled to an additional $900 allowance. Each member also is entitled to a certain number of business cards, stationery, and envelopes from the State Printing Office of the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB).

When the Legislature is not in session, each Senator and member of the Assembly is entitled to receive a salary and the per diem allowance and travel expenses provided by law for each day of attendance at a conference, meeting, seminar, or other gathering at which the legislator officially represents the State of Nevada or its Legislature. The salary per day varies depending on the activity but does not exceed $146.29.

**Ethics and Conflicts of Interest**

*Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) expands upon what constitutes legislators’ and other public officers’ breaches of ethics and conflicts of interest in the “Nevada Ethics in Government Law” (Chapter 281A of NRS). This law contains a code of ethical standards for a public officer relative to: (1) accepting gifts, services, favors, employment, or honoraria; (2) negotiating or executing contracts in which the public officer has a significant pecuniary interest; (3) accepting compensation from private sources for the performance of public duties; (4) using information acquired through public duties to further the pecuniary interests of himself or herself or other persons or business; (5) suppressing any governmental report that might tend to affect unfavorably the officer’s pecuniary interests; and (6) using government time, property, or equipment for the private benefit of the public officer.

During the 2009 Session, the Legislature enacted legislation codifying the constitutional doctrines of separation of powers and legislative privilege and immunity, protecting legislators from having to defend themselves from various legal challenges when they perform certain legitimate legislative activities. The measure also clarifies that statutory provisions concerning disclosure, voting, and abstention do not apply to State legislators or allow the Commission on Ethics to exercise jurisdiction over State legislators with regard to these activities.

In addition to the general requirements of the code of ethical standards, the Nevada Ethics in Government Law requires the disclosure of any significant pecuniary interest in matters under consideration. The law further specifies that a public officer of the Legislative Branch shall not vote upon or advocate the passage or failure of, but may otherwise participate in, the consideration of a matter with respect to which the independence of judgment of a reasonable person in the same position...
would be materially affected by: (1) acceptance of a gift or loan; (2) pecuniary interest; or (3) commitment in a private capacity to the interest of others.

Standing Rule 23 of both houses addresses legislators’ ethics. In each house, a Committee on Ethics will be established to consist of members appointed by both majority and minority party leadership. Standing Rule 23 provides in part that:

. . . if a Legislator knows he or she has a conflict of interest . . . the Legislator shall make a general disclosure of the conflict of interest on the record in a meeting of a committee or on the floor . . . as applicable . . . . If, on one or more prior occasions during the current session of the Legislature, a Legislator has made a general disclosure of a conflict of interest on the record in a meeting of a committee or on the floor . . . the Legislator is not required to make that general disclosure at length again regarding the same conflict of interest if . . . the Legislator makes a reference on the record to the previous disclosure.

Further, Standing Rule 23 provides in part that:

[i]n determining whether to abstain from voting upon, advocating or opposing a matter concerning which a Legislator has a conflict of interest . . . the Legislator should consider whether: (a) The conflict impedes his or her independence of judgment; and (b) His or her interest is greater than the interests of an entire class of persons similarly situated.

This rule does not prohibit a legislator from requesting or introducing legislation or require a legislator to take any particular action before or while requesting or introducing a legislative measure.

**Financial Disclosure**

Every candidate for the Legislature is required to file financial disclosure statements with the Secretary of State. Such statements must be filed no later than the tenth day after the closing of candidate filing and then once a year thereafter, including the year that the term expires, on or before January 15.

Under the law, statements of financial disclosure are required to contain specified information concerning the candidate’s: (1) length of residence in Nevada and the legislative district; (2) sources of income; (3) real estate holdings valued at $2,500 or more (except for a personal residence); (4) specified creditors to whom the candidate or members of the candidate’s household owe more than $5,000; (5) certain gifts received by the candidate with a value of $200 or more; (6) a list of all business entities in which the candidate or a member of the candidate’s household is involved as a trustee, beneficiary, director, officer, owner, partner, or shareholder of at least 1 percent of the stock; and (7) a list of all public offices presently held by the candidate for which financial disclosure statements are required. A legislator who fails to file the statement of financial disclosure in a timely manner is subject to a civil penalty and payment of court costs and attorney’s fees.
In 2015, the Legislature revised the reporting requirements for legislators, public officers, and candidates for public office. These individuals must now disclose any educational or informational meetings or trips provided by interested persons, including lobbyists and any group of persons acting together, if they have a substantial interest in legislative, administrative, or political actions of a public officer.

**Officers and Employees**

Each house of the Legislature employs such staff as is necessary to its operation. During the legislative session, this staff expands to approximately 210 committee managers, secretaries, assistants, and others who ensure that the session functions smoothly. Several positions are permanent and full-time when the Legislature is not in session: the Secretary of the Senate, the Chief Clerk of the Assembly, and at least one executive assistant and one technical assistant for each house.

The legislative employees are under the supervision of the elected officer of each house. In the Senate, this is the Secretary; in the Assembly, the Chief Clerk. The Secretary of the Senate and the Chief Clerk of the Assembly are elected as officers by the members of the houses they serve. They, in turn, supervise the work of the legislative employees.

The Secretary and Chief Clerk perform many varied duties. They are present at each daily session of their respective houses, and during those sessions they “read” each bill and resolution—though in greatly abbreviated form—to the members of the house. The Secretary and Chief Clerk maintain all records of the Senate and Assembly, supervise compilation of the daily journals and histories of their respective houses, and advise the officer of each house on matters of parliamentary procedure or the house rules.

When the Legislature is not in session, the permanent legislative officers and employees assist legislative leaders with administrative matters that arise during the interim, oversee the publication of the final certified journals and histories, speak with school and civic groups about the legislative process, represent the State at national conferences of legislative officers, and prepare for the next session. Although the legislative officers and employees are not part of the LCB, their offices are located in the Legislative Building.

**INTEREST GROUPS AND MEDIA**

**Press**

The news corps is an important adjunct to the Legislature. Public awareness is vital to the democratic process, and it is the function of the press to present, analyze, and interpret the news so that the public is informed and can, therefore, more effectively express itself to and through its elected representatives. Press representatives are granted official accreditation in each chamber through adoption of a simple motion to accredit named individuals at the beginning of the session or at selected times during the session. Space in each chamber is provided for members of the news media to televise or otherwise cover legislative proceedings.
Lobbyists

Legislative agents or representatives, commonly known as lobbyists, represent various organizations, interests, and causes before the Legislature. Like the news media, they are important to the legislative process as sources of information, channels of communication between constituents and their representatives, and major protagonists in efforts to influence legislation. They frequently point out concerns in bills, suggest amendments, provide valuable testimony, and in general assist the Legislature in assessing the merits of proposed legislation.

The activities of lobbyists in Nevada are controlled by the “Nevada Lobbying Disclosure Act” (Chapter 218H of NRS). The law requires lobbyists to register with the Director of the LCB and provide various information about themselves and the groups or individuals they represent. A lobbyist must file a report each month during a legislative session and within 30 days after the close of a session concerning his or her lobbying activities. Each report must include the total expenditures for the month and, if the lobbyist had expenditures of $50 or more during the month, the report must itemize expenses in connection with any event hosted by an organization that sponsors the registrant; expenditures for entertainment, gifts, and loans; and other expenditures directly associated with legislative action. With the exception of expenditures associated with a function to which every legislator was invited, the reports must identify the legislators on whose behalf the expenditures were made. Data on each lobbyist’s personal expenditures for food, lodging, and travel expenses or membership dues are not required in the monthly reports. Violation of the Act is a misdemeanor.

Revisions enacted by the Legislature in 2015 require lobbyists to disclose expenditures made for educational or informational meetings, events, or trips provided to legislators, public officers, and candidates. The Legislature also revised the definitions of “expenditure” and “gift” as those terms relate to reporting by lobbyists and public officers. A lobbyist shall not knowingly or willfully give any gift to a member of the Legislative Branch or a member of the legislator’s family, and a member shall not accept any gift from a lobbyist. This prohibition applies whether or not the Legislature is in session.

Other sections in NRS also address improper influence exerted upon legislators. For example, any person who interferes with the legislative process is guilty of a gross misdemeanor. Any person who improperly obtains money or other things of value to influence a member of a legislative body in regard to any vote or legislative action is also guilty of a gross misdemeanor. It is a misdemeanor to misrepresent any fact knowingly when testifying or otherwise communicating to a legislator, though witnesses are absolutely privileged to publish defamatory material that is relevant to a proceeding. Moreover, both the giving of a bribe to a legislator and receiving a bribe are crimes against the legislative power and are subject to severe punishments under the law. Although lobbying activities are customarily prohibited on the floor of both chambers, lobbyists may appear before any committee of the Legislature.

Upon leaving office, some legislators have chosen to become lobbyists. To slow down this practice, known as “the revolving door,” the Legislature enacted legislation in 2015 to prohibit any former legislator from receiving compensation to lobby before the Legislature for a period beginning when the legislator leaves office and ending at the adjournment of the next regular session. Exemptions are...
provided for a former legislator if lobbying is a duty of the individual’s full-time employment and the former legislator does not act as a lobbyist for any other employer or client.

LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURE

Regular sessions of the Nevada Legislature are held biennially in odd-numbered years, convening on the first Monday in February after the election of members of the Senate and Assembly. During the interim between regular sessions, the Governor may call a special session by proclamation. Because the voters approved an amendment to the Nevada Constitution in 2012, the Legislature may call itself into a special session if two-thirds of the members of each house make the request by signing a petition.

Legislative activities, including committee hearings, are open to the public. The Nevada Constitution also stipulates that neither house may, without the consent of the other, adjourn for more than three days nor move to any place other than where it is holding its session. The Joint Standing Rules of the Senate and Assembly specify that one or more adjournments, for a duration of more than three days, may be taken to permit standing committees, select committees, or the LCB to prepare the matters respectively entrusted to them for the consideration of the Legislature as a whole. The total time taken for all such adjournments is not to exceed 20 days during any regular session. The 1991, 1993, and 1995 Legislatures adjourned for two weeks early in the session to allow the Senate Committee on Finance and Assembly Committee on Ways and Means to work full-time on the review of proposed State agency budgets. During this same period, the remaining “money” committees of the Legislature held hearings on bills and other legislative matters in the Las Vegas area. Beginning in 1999, the two “money” committees have conducted informational hearings in Carson City during the two weeks immediately preceding the start of session.

In the case of a disagreement between the two houses with respect to the time of the Legislature’s final adjournment, the Governor is constitutionally empowered to adjourn the Legislature to such a time as deemed proper, but not beyond the time fixed for the meeting of the next Legislature.

Legislator Duties

The Nevada Constitution vests the lawmaking authority for the State in the Nevada Legislature. Generally, the Legislature is empowered to enact the laws of the State; levy taxes on individuals, businesses, property, and sales; appropriate the funds collected for the support of public institutions and the administration of State government; propose amendments to the constitutions of the U.S. and Nevada; and consider legislation proposed by initiative petitions. In addition, the Legislature is directed to establish a State university; a public school system; and a statewide, uniform system of county and township government. The Legislature also has the power to create, revise, or abolish certain county positions; determine the compensation of legislative officers and employees, certain State officials, Supreme Court Justices, and judges in the district courts and court of appeals, and specified county officers; decide the winner of a tied election for a district or State office or the office of U.S. Senator or Representative; impeach the Governor, other State officials, or any judge, except a justice of the peace; and pardon, reprieve, or compel the enforcement of a sentence for the conviction for treason. The Legislature also provides oversight of the Executive and Judicial Branches of
government through the budget and audit processes and reviews the regulations developed by State agencies.

Most of the Legislature’s work, however, consists of generating, revising, and occasionally repealing the laws of the State. Through a process defined by the *Nevada Constitution*, State law, and legislative rules, the members of the Legislature consider over 1,000 bills and resolutions throughout each regular session.

During the session, legislators have several responsibilities. They shepherd the measures they introduce through the legislative process by providing testimony at hearings, working with others to improve the legislation, and encouraging their colleagues to vote in favor of their bills. Legislators also serve on the committees that review each piece of legislation. Each legislator is typically assigned to three standing committees. As committee members, legislators listen to and question witnesses about the provisions of a measure, participate in subcommittees created to focus on a specific bill or issue, and vote on whether the bill or resolution should be transmitted to the full house.

Legislators are required to attend the daily meetings of their respective houses, commonly referred to as “floor sessions.” In order for a bill or joint resolution to pass, the *Nevada Constitution* requires that a majority of the members elected to the body vote for the measure. Bills or joint resolutions which create, generate, or increase public revenue through taxes, fees, or similar mechanisms require approval by two-thirds of the members elected in each house unless the measure is referred to the voters by a majority vote. All votes on final passage are by roll call and are recorded in the journal of the chamber taking the action.

At times, all legislators may be required to participate in a committee of the whole. Such a committee is usually formed only once or twice during a session. Much more common are the conference committees, formed to resolve differences between amendments proposed by each house to the same bill. Occasionally, legislators may be assigned to a joint committee of the two houses.

When not on the floor or in meetings, legislators confer with constituents who call or visit, with lobbyists who represent organizations or certain opinions, and with staff who provide assistance and requested information. Legislators are frequently asked to speak to various groups and attend numerous community functions, most often on days when the Legislature is not in session.

When the session ends, legislators continue to make speeches, assist constituents, serve on special legislative committees, and compile information in preparation for the next session. Often, legislators serve as facilitators among various groups. For example, a legislator might contact a government agency on behalf of a constituent or bring opposing factions together to solve a problem. In addition, legislators monitor the implementation of certain bills passed during the preceding session. In this capacity, a legislator might attend a hearing conducted by a State agency formulating pertinent regulations.

Between sessions, a legislator may serve on one or more interim committees. Some of these committees study a specific subject, provide oversight of ongoing issues, or are part of national
organizations that bring together legislators from the various states to discuss similar problems. Permanent committees of the Legislature are created through statute. Temporary committees usually originate in concurrent resolutions passed in one session and are dissolved by the beginning of the next.

The foregoing description of legislative responsibilities is not comprehensive. Like employees in the private sector, legislators are often responsible for other duties as assigned. Any legislator who chairs a committee or assumes a leadership role conducts those duties in addition to the ones mentioned. Legislators are also expected by their political parties and communities to perform certain functions, such as attending party caucuses and important local events. In addition, most legislators hold full-time jobs and must fulfill their responsibilities to their employers. Although Nevada prides itself on having a citizen Legislature, it demands a significant commitment of time and effort from each of its citizen representatives.

**Session Deadlines**

Sessions are limited to 120 calendar days following the approval by voters of a constitutional amendment in 1998. Previous sessions were unlimited in length following the repeal in 1958 of a constitutional provision setting a 60-day maximum limit on the duration of a session. Since 1958, there has been only one regular session of fewer than 60 days, that being the single annual session of 1960, which lasted 55 days. Between 1975 and 1997, regular sessions in Nevada ran between 113 and 169 days.

Prior to each session, the Legislative Commission’s Committee to Consult with the Director considers methods of improving the operation of the session. The recommendations of the Committee to the next Legislature may affect many procedural rules, including limitations on the number of bills that may be requested; deadlines for the submission, introduction, and passage of legislation; and the procedure for obtaining waivers. These procedures are generally contained in the Joint Standing Rules of the Senate and Assembly, which are adopted at the beginning of each session. Measures within the jurisdiction of the Senate Committee on Finance or the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, bills required to carry out the business of the Legislature, and concurrent or simple resolutions are commonly exempted from these limitations. Also exempt are emergency requests submitted by the Majority Leader of the Senate, the Speaker of the Assembly, and the Minority Leaders in the Senate and the Assembly.

**LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU**

In March of 1945, the Nevada Legislature recognized a need for more information and assistance to deal with increasingly complex tasks. This need is described in the preamble to the bill creating the Legislative Counsel Bureau:

At each biennial session of the legislature, that body is confronted by requests for legislation expanding and changing the functions of and increasing the appropriations of numerous offices, departments, institutions, and agencies of the state government;
and . . . not withstanding the information provided by the messages and budgets of the governor and the reports of public officers, it is impossible for the legislature or its committees to secure sufficient information to act advisedly on such requests in the time limited for its sessions.

The 1945 law establishing the LCB charged it with assisting the Legislature to find facts concerning government, proposed legislation, and various other public matters.

During the next several years, the duties of the LCB and its staff were modified and expanded. In 1963, the Nevada Legislature reorganized the LCB, giving it structure and responsibilities similar to those it has today. One part of this change was the incorporation of the Statute Revision Commission into the LCB as the Legal Division. The Statute Revision Commission was originally created by the Nevada Supreme Court in 1951 and became involved in bill drafting as an adjunct to its statute revision work. The 1963 legislation also added a Fiscal and Auditing Division and a Research Division.

Today, the LCB consists of the Legislative Commission, the Interim Finance Committee, a Director, and five divisions (Administrative, Audit, Fiscal Analysis, Legal, and Research). The divisions are made up of professional nonpartisan staff who provide a variety of services to legislators. The following sections describe activities of these units.

**Legislative Commission**

The LCB is supervised by the Legislative Commission, which also takes actions on behalf of the Legislative Branch of government when the full Legislature is not in session. At every regular session of the Legislature, the Senate and the Assembly each designate six members and their alternates to serve on the Commission. Between sessions, the Commission meets every few months to provide guidance to staff of the LCB and to deal with other interim matters. A prominent subcommittee to the Commission reviews the permanent administrative regulations of Executive Branch agencies.

A primary responsibility of the Commission is to provide oversight to and establish the priorities for interim studies. During each regular session, the Legislature passes several bills and resolutions directing the Legislative Commission to study particular subjects and report when the Legislature reconvenes. The Commission accomplishes its tasks by creating subcommittees drawn from the entire membership of the Legislature and assigning staff resources to the subcommittees. The interim subcommittees hold hearings, direct research, and deliberate on proposed legislation for the next session of the Legislature.

Typically, every member of the Legislature is involved in interim subcommittee work between sessions. In addition, several ongoing statutory committees meet regularly, including, but not limited to, the Committees on Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice, Education, Energy, Health Care, High-Level Radioactive Waste, Public Lands, the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Marlette Lake Water System, and Senior Citizens, Veterans and Adults With Special Needs.
**Interim Finance Committee**

In 1969, the Legislature created the Interim Finance Committee to function within the LCB between sessions and administer a contingency fund. This fund was set up to provide provisional funds for State agencies when the Legislature is not in session. The Interim Finance Committee also reviews State agency requests to accept certain gifts and grants, to modify legislatively approved budgets, and to reclassify State merit system positions in certain circumstances. Composed of the members of the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means who served during the preceding session, the Interim Finance Committee makes final decisions for the Legislature during the period between regular sessions and endeavors to maintain an adequate fund balance to meet unforeseen financial emergencies.

**Director**

The Director is the Chief Executive Officer of the LCB and supervises all of its daily administrative and technical activities. The Legislative Commission appoints the Director and, in turn, the Director, with the Commission’s approval, appoints the chiefs of the various divisions. The Director serves as Secretary to the Legislative Commission, has the statutory responsibility of registering lobbyists, and consults with a committee of the Legislative Commission concerning the general management, organization, and function of the LCB and the necessary preparations for the next regular legislative session.

**Administrative Division**

The Administrative Division provides operating and technical support to the other divisions of the LCB and to the Legislature. The Division is responsible for accounting and human resources; lobbyist registration; audio and video services; communications equipment; control of inventory; information technology services; janitorial services; maintenance and remodeling of buildings; maintenance of legislative grounds and vehicles; purchasing; legislative police; parking; shipping and receiving; utilities; and warehouse operations.

The Chief of the Administrative Division oversees the units of the Administrative Division that are based in Carson City, and the Legislative Services Officer oversees the Las Vegas Office.

**Las Vegas Office of the Legislative Counsel Bureau**

The Las Vegas Office provides complete assistance to legislators with full access to all LCB information and services, including computer laptop support and videoconferencing capabilities. Similar information assistance is provided to the public, subject to legislative priorities. Meeting rooms are also available at this location for viewing and participating in videoconference hearings during the session and the interim. In addition, legislators may reserve a room for meetings with constituents or other legislative purposes.
Audit Division

The Audit Division performs audits of the Executive and Judicial Branches of State government. The Audit Division also performs special audits of local governments as required by legislation or at the direction of the Legislative Commission. The purpose of the legislative audits is to improve government by providing independent and reliable information to the Legislature about the operations of State agencies, programs, and functions. The findings of the Audit Division are published in audit reports, which include constructive suggestions for improvements.

Audit reports are presented to the Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission, and later to the full Commission, at public meetings. After becoming a public document, the reports are distributed to legislators, State and local officials, and the public. The Legislative Auditor is the Chief of the Audit Division.

Fiscal Analysis Division

The Fiscal Analysis Division provides the Legislature with the capability for independent review and analysis of budgetary, tax, and fiscal matters. The Division examines the Governor’s Executive Budget and suggests possible changes, provides expenditure and revenue analyses to aid the legislative budget and tax committees, and assists the Legislature in the interpretation of factual data related to fiscal aspects of the operation of State and local governments. The Division is headed by the Senate Fiscal Analyst and the Assembly Fiscal Analyst. The Division serves as the primary staff to the Interim Finance Committee, the Senate Committee on Finance, the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, the Senate Committee on Revenue, the Assembly Committee on Taxation, and interim studies relating to budget or tax issues.

Legal Division

The Legal Division, with a staff of lawyers, paralegals, editors, indexers, and document technicians, drafts bills and resolutions, reviews administrative regulations, and provides certain other legal assistance when requested. The Division is headed by the Legislative Counsel. The Legislative Counsel is the legal adviser to the Legislative Branch of State government and provides legal counsel for legislative committees. Just as the Attorney General responds to requests within the Executive Branch, the Legislative Counsel issues opinions during the legislative sessions and the interim upon the request of a member or committee of the Legislature, the Legislative Commission, or LCB staff. The Legislative Counsel also represents the interests of the Legislature in court and administrative proceedings.

The Legislative Counsel is responsible for assimilating, codifying, indexing, and publishing the Advance Sheets, Statutes of Nevada, Nevada Revised Statutes with Annotations, Nevada Administrative Code, and several compilations of selected portions of NRS, as well as creating and publishing the Bill Index and Tables during the legislative sessions. As part of the Legal Division, the State Printing Office prints copies of bills, statutes, and other legislative publications, and provides printing services to the divisions of the LCB and other State agencies. The Legal Division also sells and provides customer service for these publications as well as souvenirs of the Nevada Legislature and the State of Nevada in the Gift Shop.
Research Division

The Research Division functions as the policy analysis arm of the Legislature. The Division’s primary responsibilities include: responding to legislators requesting general information and policy development; serving as lead staff (committee policy analysts) to standing committees of both houses (except appropriations and revenue committees); functioning as the primary administrative and policy staff for most interim study committees between legislative sessions; and assisting legislators in responding to their constituents’ problems with State and local government agencies. Legislative documents and research materials are available through the Division’s Legislative Research Library.

The Research Division also answers requests for information from national organizations, government agencies in Nevada and other states, and the public. In addition, the Division prepares numerous publications, reports, and issue papers relating to public policy. The Research Director administers the Division.

SUMMARY

The staff services of the LCB are furnished throughout the year for any legislator. Legal advice, fiscal information, and background research are furnished upon request. Services of a more extensive nature are executed when the Legislature so orders by means of a law or resolution. Between sessions, such projects may be requested through the Legislative Commission.

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU

- Appropriations Report: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/Appropriation%20Reports/.
- Research Briefs or Issue Papers on issues determined to be of interest during the next legislative session: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/ResearchBriefs/index.html.
- Bulletins—reports from interim committees: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/DivStudyLegReport.cfm.
- Policy and Program Reports: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/PandPReport/index.html.
- End of Session Speech (only available to legislators).
- Summary of Legislation: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/SoL/index.html.

You may also search for individual bill summaries at: http://search.leg.state.nv.us/ResearchBillSummaries/BillSummaries.html.
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- **Nevada Revised Statutes and Statutes of Nevada**: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/law1.cfm](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/law1.cfm).

- Bill Indexes of the Senate and Assembly (various indexes are available under the Tables and Index heading on this page): [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/78th2015/Reports/](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/78th2015/Reports/).

- Histories of the Senate and Assembly: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/78th2015/History/](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/78th2015/History/).


**LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU DIRECTOR AND DIVISION CHIEFS**
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<table>
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<tr>
<th>Rick Combs, Director</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-6600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roger Wilkerson, Chief</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-1350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Melisa Aguon, Legislative Services Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (702) 486-2800</td>
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Most states have enacted so-called “open meeting” laws (also known as sunshine laws) requiring governmental entities to give advance notice of meetings and agendas, to permit public attendance and participation, and to keep records of such meetings.

Nevada’s Open Meeting Law (OML) was first enacted in 1960 and is codified in Chapter 241 (“Meetings of State and Local Agencies”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Nevada has one of the strongest open meeting laws in the United States because there are so few exceptions to the general rule that all meetings of public bodies must be open to the public. To that end, courts construe the OML liberally in favor of the public and will not imply exceptions to the general rule.

Nevada’s Office of the Attorney General convenes a task force in between legislative sessions to review the OML and has submitted a bill draft request in recent sessions to make various changes to Chapter 241 of NRS. Their office assists public bodies in complying with the OML by publishing the Nevada Open Meeting Law Manual (OML Manual) and an OML “compliance checklist,” which are both available on the following website: http://ag.nv.gov/About/Governmental_Affairs/OML/.

### Definitions

Defining terms as clearly as possible is critical to the application of the OML, especially defining “deliberate,” “public body,” and “meeting.” “Deliberate” is defined in NRS 241.015(2) as follows: “collectively to examine, weigh and reflect upon the reasons for or against the action. The term includes, without limitation, the collective discussion or exchange of facts preliminary to the ultimate decision.” The term “public body” is broadly defined in NRS 241.015(4) to include:
. . . Any administrative, advisory, executive or legislative body of the State or a local government consisting of at least two persons which expends or disburses or is supported in whole or in part by tax revenue or which advises or makes recommendations to any entity which expends or disburses or is supported in whole or in part by tax revenue or which advises or makes recommendations to any entity which expends or disburses or is supported in whole or in part by tax revenue, including, but not limited to, any board, commission, committee, subcommittee or other subsidiary thereof and includes an educational foundation as defined in subsection 3 of NRS 388.750 and a university foundation as defined in subsection 3 of NRS 396.405, if the administrative, advisory, executive or legislative body is created by: (1) The Constitution of this State; (2) Any statute of this State; (3) A city charter and any city ordinance which has been filed or recorded as required by the applicable law; (4) The Nevada Administrative Code; (5) A resolution or other formal designation by such a body created by a statute of this State or an ordinance of a local government; (6) An executive order issued by the Governor; or (7) A resolution or an action by the governing body of a political subdivision of this State . . . .

The definition further provides that a public body includes:

. . . Any board, commission or committee consisting of at least two persons appointed by: (1) The Governor or a public officer who is under the direction of the Governor, if the board, commission or committee has at least two members who are not employees of the Executive Department of the State Government; (2) An entity in the Executive Department of the State Government consisting of members appointed by the Governor, if the board, commission or committee otherwise meets the definition of a public body pursuant to this subsection; or (3) A public officer who is under the direction of an agency or other entity in the Executive Department of the State Government consisting of members appointed by the Governor, if the board, commission or committee has at least two members who are not employed by the public officer or entity . . . .

Finally, the definition also provides that a “public body” includes “. . . a limited-purpose association that is created for a rural agricultural residential common-interest community as defined in subsection 6 of NRS 116.1201.”

The definition of public body was amended significantly in 2011 to add provisions clarifying the method by which the public body is created and to specify that boards, commissions, and other committees appointed by the Governor are also considered public bodies. Because the definition of public body includes subcommittees and advisory committees, they are subject to the open meeting provisions to the same extent as the public body that created them. Executive directors and the staff of executive or other governmental agencies are not subject to the OML, and most private nonprofit organizations are not considered public bodies.
Since open government is the goal, formal or informal polling of members to reach a decision, whether by telephone, by mail, electronically, or through a group of meetings attended by less than a full quorum, is considered a violation of the OML.

### What is a meeting under the Open Meeting Law?

As defined in subsection 3 of NRS 241.015, a “meeting” is:

The gathering of members of a public body at which a quorum is present, whether in person or by means of electronic communication, to deliberate toward a decision or to take action on any matter over which the public body has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power.

A meeting also includes:

Any series of gatherings of members of a public body at which:

(I) Less than a quorum is present, whether in person or by means of electronic communication, at any individual gathering;

(II) The members of the public body attending one or more of the gatherings collectively constitute a quorum; and

(III) The series of gatherings was held with the specific intent to avoid the provisions of this chapter.

Excluded from the definition of a meeting is a:

. . . gathering or series of gatherings of members of a public body . . . at which a quorum is actually or collectively present, whether in person or by means of electronic communication:

(1) Which occurs at a social function if the members do not deliberate toward a decision or take action on any matter over which the public body has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power.

(2) To receive information from the attorney employed or retained by the public body regarding potential or existing litigation involving a matter over which the public body has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power and to deliberate toward a decision on the matter, or both.

### EXCEPTIONS

There are specific statutory exceptions for certain entities from the OML, including the Legislature, certain meetings of the State’s Commission on Ethics, the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security and committees appointed by the Chair of the Commission, student expulsion hearings by school boards, certain labor negotiations, and investigative hearings of the Nevada Gaming Control Board. Closed sessions may also be held, for limited purposes, by the Certified Court Reporters’ Board of Nevada, the Public Employees’ Retirement Board, the State Board of Pharmacy, the Nevada Tax Commission, and public housing authorities. Portions of disciplinary hearings conducted by occupational and licensing boards are also exempt unless the licensee requests an open meeting. An agency or board may close a portion of a meeting to receive information deemed confidential by law. Although judicial proceedings are exempt from open meeting requirements, statute requires sitting courts to be open to the public, with some exceptions. In 2013, the Legislature amended the OML to clarify that any other provision of law which exempts a meeting, hearing, or proceeding from the
requirements of the OML, or otherwise authorizes or requires a closed meeting, hearing, or proceeding, prevails over the general provisions of the OML. These changes and others can be found in Assembly Bill 65 (Chapter 193, Statutes of Nevada).

The OML authorizes, but does not require, the conduct of closed sessions by a public body to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence, or the physical or mental health of a person. During a closed session, a public body generally may not take action of any sort and minutes must still be taken. The 2015 Legislature enacted Senate Bill 70 (Chapter 226, Statutes of Nevada), which requires a public body to include on its agenda the name of a person who may be the subject of any type of administrative action, including administrative actions that are not adverse to a person, such as appointment of the person to a position.

In 2001, Nevada’s OML was amended to provide a limited exemption for communications between a public body and its legal counsel on potential or existing litigation. Attorney-client discussions are not considered meetings and, therefore, no notice or agenda is required. However, according to the most recent edition of the OML Manual (June 2012), a public body may only take action on potential or existing litigation matters in an open meeting.

In 2005, the Legislature made several significant changes relating to closed meetings, including allowing a person who is the subject of a closed meeting to waive closure of the meeting and requiring a public body to honor such a request. Meetings may not be closed to discuss the character, conduct, or competence of an appointed public officer or a person who serves at the pleasure of a public body or as a chief executive or administrative officer. This includes county and city managers, school district superintendents, and university and college presidents. These changes and others were made in S.B. 267 (Chapter 466, Statutes of Nevada).

As the result of litigation over a Nevada Tax Commission decision made in a closed session, A.B. 433 (Chapter 296, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2007 Legislative Session clarified when the Tax Commission may meet in closed session. The bill also clarified that any meeting of a public body closed pursuant to a specific statute may only be closed to the extent specified in law. In addition to clarifying the use of taxpayer records by the Tax Commission, S.B. 33 (Chapter 160, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2011 Legislative Session clarified that a taxpayer may request a closed hearing of the Commission in writing not later than 14 calendar days before the date of the hearing or, if authorized by the Executive Director for good cause shown, not later than 5 calendar days before the hearing.

In the 2009 Session, S.B. 267 (Chapter 419, Statutes of Nevada) clarified that workshops and public hearings on proposed regulations by State agencies, as required by Chapter 233B (“Nevada Administrative Procedure Act”) of NRS, are subject to the provisions of the OML.

Assembly Bill 59 (Chapter 383, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2011 Legislative Session clarified that proceedings of a public body that are quasi-judicial in nature are subject to the OML. Exceptions to this provision are meetings of the State Board of Parole Commissioners when acting to continue, deny, grant, or revoke parole of a prisoner. Finally, S.B. 187 (Chapter 368, Statutes of Nevada 2011)
provided that subject to the OML are panels charged with evaluating whether prisoners who were convicted of certain sexual offenses are likely to reoffend in a sexual manner.

RECENT LEGISLATION

Assembly Bill 65 of the 2013 Session revised the OML to prohibit a member of a public body from designating another person to attend a meeting in his or her place unless expressly authorized to do so by the provisions of law or other legal authority that created the public body. When permitted, such a designation must be made in writing or made on the record at a meeting of the public body. The same measure also provides that a quorum of members may be present at a meeting by means of electronic communication as long as all members of the public body and members of the public present at the meeting can hear or observe and participate in the meeting. Additionally, the bill provides that governing bodies of cities with populations of 45,000 or more must post meeting materials to their websites at the same time they are provided to members of the governing body or, if the material is provided at the meeting, not later than 24 hours after the meeting.

Senate Bill 33 (Chapter 262, Statutes of Nevada 2015) authorized the board of hospital trustees of a county hospital to hold a closed meeting to discuss: (1) providing a new service or materially expanding an existing service or (2) acquiring an additional facility or materially expanding an existing facility.

In addition to the provisions previously described, S.B. 70 of the 2015 Session made various changes to the OML to make government more transparent, including revising the public notice requirements for public meetings and requiring a public body to approve the minutes of its public meeting not later than 45 days after the meeting or at the next meeting of the public body, whichever occurs later.

Senate Bill 158 (Chapter 84, Statutes of Nevada 2015) required a local government employer to make the following documents available to the public not less than three business days before a public hearing by its governing body to approve a collective bargaining agreement: (1) the proposed agreement and any exhibits or other attachments to the proposed agreement; (2) any modifications to a previous agreement; and (3) the fiscal impact of the agreement.

LEGISLATURE

Although the Legislature is specifically exempted from the OML in paragraph (a) of subsection 2 of NRS 241.016, the Legislature has a long-standing tradition of voluntary compliance. When the Legislature is not in session, the ongoing statutory committees and interim studies or task forces voluntarily comply with the OML. During legislative sessions, the standing rules of the Senate and Assembly require all legislative committees to “be open to the public.” As a matter of practice, legislative committees generally provide three days’ advance notice and post agendas. However, due to the 120-day limit on legislative sessions, advance notice and availability of agendas may be shortened significantly near certain bill passage deadlines and the end of the session to ensure that committees are able to move bills to the Senate or Assembly floor for action in a timely fashion.
NOTICE OF MEETINGS

In order to give the public an opportunity to observe or participate in meetings of public entities, the law requires that notice must be given not less than three full working days prior to the meeting. Thus, if a meeting is to be held on a Wednesday, notice must be given not later than 9 a.m. on the preceding Friday. Notice is given by posting notice in at least four places, one of which should be the principal office of the public body, and by mailing copies of the notice to any person who requests notification of meetings. If the public body maintains a website, then supplemental notice must be posted on the website.

In 2013, A.B. 445 (Chapter 363, Statutes of Nevada) was approved, requiring the Department of Administration to establish a location on the State’s official website on which public bodies must post notices of open meetings not later than 9 a.m. on the third working day before a meeting. These notices must include a link to the public body’s website or an e-mail address for contacting the public body. See the following link: http://nv.gov/.

Notice must include the time, location, and date of the meeting, and the agenda for the meeting. The agenda shall consist of a clear and complete statement of the topics to be considered and shall designate those items on which action may be taken. If a meeting will be closed to consider the character, conduct, competence, or health of a person, or if administrative action may be taken against a person during a meeting, the agenda must include the name of any such person.

Two measures approved in 2011 made notable changes to the meeting notice requirements set forth in the OML. Specifically, A.B. 59 required meeting agendas to include “for possible action” next to agenda items on which action may be taken and to state whether there is any time, place, or manner of restrictions on public comments. Agendas must also include a notice that items may be taken out of order, combined, or removed. In addition, A.B. 257 (Chapter 459, Statutes of Nevada) required meeting agendas to provide at least two periods for public comment—one at the beginning of the meeting before any action items and one prior to adjournment. Alternatively, the public body may choose to include public comment after each action item on the agenda but before the public body takes action on the item. Finally, the public body must, at some time before adjournment of the meeting, allow the general public to comment on any matter that is not specifically included as an action item on the agenda. The 2015 Legislature further required a public body to document in writing its compliance with the posting requirements according to specific provisions in S.B. 70.

The public body must also provide, upon request and at no charge to the requestor, a copy of the agenda and any ordinances or regulations or other supporting materials to be discussed at the meeting. Special notice requirements apply in certain situations, such as a meeting to acquire property by eminent domain or a closed meeting to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence, or the physical or mental health of a person.

Emergencies sometimes arise that necessitate either a meeting on less than three days’ notice or the late addition of an agenda item to an already scheduled meeting. The statutes do not define “emergency,” but the guidance in the OML Manual limits emergencies to situations where:
• The need to discuss or act on an item is truly unforeseen at the time the agenda was posted or the meeting was called; and

• The item is truly of such a nature as to require immediate action.

Although not required by law, the OML Manual recommends providing as much advance supplementary notice of emergency meetings or agenda additions as possible to the public and news media to comply with the spirit of the law.

MEETING RECORDS

For the members of the public who cannot attend a meeting, the OML requirements to maintain records of meetings provide an important substitute. Minutes must be prepared for all meetings of a public body and must include the: (1) date, time, and place of the meeting; (2) names of the members present and absent; and (3) substance of all matters proposed, discussed, or decided. Upon the request of a member, the minutes shall also include a record of the vote taken on a matter and any other information the member wishes to include. At the request of a member of the public, the minutes shall include the substance of remarks made by the member of the public or a copy of prepared written remarks if submitted for inclusion.

Since October 1, 2005, public bodies have been required to make an audio recording of a meeting or provide a transcript prepared by a certified court reporter. However, if a public body is prevented from doing so due to factors beyond its control, such as power outages or mechanical breakdowns, the failure will not be considered a violation of the OML. Recordings and transcripts must be preserved for at least one year and made available to the public.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

A member of the public who believes that the OML has been violated may file a complaint, subject to certain deadlines, with Nevada’s Attorney General. The Attorney General will investigate the complaint and provide an opinion as to whether a violation occurred. If the Attorney General is of the opinion that a violation has occurred, he or she may file litigation to enforce the OML. In addition, a public body may ask the Attorney General for an advisory opinion relating to compliance with, or interpretation of, the OML.

However, Attorney General opinions are advisory, not binding, and only a court can determine whether or not a violation of the OML has occurred. The OML decisions are available online from the Attorney General’s website at: http://ag.nv.gov/About/Governmental_Affairs/OML_Opinions/.

PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION

The OML recommends corrective action for violations of the law to mitigate the effect of a violation. For example, improper notice can be corrected by rescheduling the meeting. The law states that actions taken in violation of the OML are void. Suits alleging violations may be brought by private citizens or the Attorney General. Any member of a public body who knowingly violates the open
meeting statutes, or wrongfully excludes a person from a meeting, is subject to misdemeanor criminal
sanctions (up to six months in jail and/or a fine of not more than $1,000). A member of a public body
who is convicted of a violation of the OML must vacate his or her office.

Assembly Bill 59 of the 2011 Legislative Session also made several changes and additions to
provisions relating to OML violations. The measure added a civil penalty of not more than $500 for
any member of a public body who participates in an action in violation of the OML with knowledge of
the violation. The action may be brought by the Attorney General in any court and must be
commenced within one year of the action in violation of the law.

Assembly Bill 59 also clarified that the Attorney General shall investigate and prosecute any violation
of the OML and is authorized to issue subpoenas when investigating OML complaints. A public body
is required to include on its next agenda an acknowledgement of the Attorney General’s findings and
conclusions relating to a violation of the OML.

In 2013, A.B. 65 amended these provisions by stipulating that the Attorney General may decide not to
prosecute a public body’s violation of the OML if the body takes corrective action within 30 days of
the alleged violation and the corrective action takes place in a public meeting for which the item has
been clearly agendized. The corrective action is deemed to be prospective.
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PUBLIC RECORDS

Throughout the country, lawmakers must strike the delicate balance between protecting access to government documents and restricting the availability of certain personal and sensitive information included in those documents. Concerns regarding homeland security and identity theft coupled with the public’s “right to know” make this balance particularly difficult to achieve.

Nevada’s Public Records Law—What Is a Public Record?

Chapter 239 (“Public Records”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) governs the handling of public records for State and local governments in Nevada. The original public records law was created by the 1911 Nevada Legislature, and for many years, the law simply stated that “all books and records of the state and county officers . . . shall be open at all times during office hours to inspection by any person, and the same may be fully copied.” While the law stated that records were to be open and publicly accessible, no definition existed explaining precisely what constituted a public record. Indeed, since 1913, over two dozen Attorney General’s opinions have attempted to clarify the intent of the public records law, determine whether a particular document constitutes a public record, and define when a public record should be stored and preserved.

Years of legal opinions, court rulings, and interpretations finally led to some clarification of the law in the 1960s when the 1965 Legislature passed Assembly Bill 19 (Chapter 46, Statutes of Nevada), which provided that all public records are open except those “declared by law to be confidential.” Even today, no broad definition of “public record” is provided in Chapter 239 of NRS. Historically, provisions throughout NRS have set forth a host of documents that are considered confidential, and the 2013 Legislature compiled a list of confidential documents within NRS 239.010 (A.B. 31, [Chapter 414, Statutes of Nevada]). These range from documents at Nevada’s Department of Motor Vehicles containing personal information, blood bank data, and certain work permit information, to data contained in welfare assistance documents, well owner records, and some occupational licensing documents.
Defining precisely what constitutes a public record has been a difficult debate for quite some time. Two legislative interim studies—one during the 1981-1982 Interim and one during the 1991-1992 Interim—tackled this complex issue. Much of what was discussed during these interim studies (especially during the latter study) has shaped Chapter 239 of NRS in its current form. The current law, set forth in subsection 1 of NRS 239.010, stipulates that:

. . . unless otherwise declared by law to be confidential, all public books and public records of a governmental entity must be open at all times during office hours to inspection by any person, and may be fully copied or an abstract or memorandum may be prepared from those public books and public records. Any such copies, abstracts or memoranda may be used to supply the general public with copies, abstracts or memoranda of the records or may be used in any other way to the advantage of the governmental entity or of the general public.

The law goes on to say that public records must be supplied in any medium in which the record is “readily available.” Also provided in the law are legal protections for requesters of public records who may be denied the inspection or copy of a record. In such cases, the requester may apply to the district court in the county in which the book or record is located for an order permitting the requester to inspect or copy it. A requester may also apply to the district court for authorization to inspect or copy a confidential public record, if that document has been in the custody of a governmental entity for at least 30 years, or if the person to whom the record relates is deceased (whichever is later).

ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS

The head of each agency, board, bureau, commission, department, division, or any other unit of the Executive Branch of State government, except the Nevada System of Higher Education, is required to designate one or more employees to act as a records official for the agency (NRS 239.008). The Administrator of the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records, Department of Administration, in cooperation with the Attorney General, must prescribe by regulation the forms to be used both to request a public record and for the agency to respond to the request and the procedures that must be followed by a records official to comply with a public records request. These forms and procedures must be available on the agency’s website. Sample forms and information related to public records requests is available on the website of the Attorney General: http://ag.nv.gov/Contact/Public_Records_Requests/.

A public book or record must be made available upon request if it is readily available, and a public officer or employee must prepare copies of public records upon request. State law established in 1997 allows a governmental entity to charge a fee for copies of records, not exceeding the actual cost of the copy, unless a specific statute or regulation sets a different fee, and it requires the entity to prepare
and post a list of such fees or to give notice as to where a list may be obtained. A public body is required, upon request, to provide a copy of the minutes from, or a recording of, a public meeting free of charge. Statutory caps on fees that may be charged for copies have been established for certain records, such as those in the custody of a law library or prepared by a county clerk. For a public records request regarding information from a geographic information system, a governmental entity may charge an additional fee of not more than 50 cents per page if complying with the request requires the extraordinary use of personnel or technological resources.

Chapter 239 of NRS sets forth requirements for the microfilming of records or saving records in an electronic recordkeeping system prior to their destruction. The Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records is authorized to provide, upon request, microfilming and digital imaging services for the records of the Legislative and Judicial Branches of State government, and must provide digital imaging services to local governments. For transcripts of administrative proceedings, a governmental entity must charge the fee per page established by its contract with the court reporter and remit that fee to the court reporter.

RECORDS RETENTION AND DISPOSAL

In 1993, the Nevada Legislature created the Committee to Approve Schedules for the Retention and Disposal of Official State Records. This Committee is comprised of six members, including the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, a representative of the general public appointed by the Governor, and within the Department of Administration, the Director of the Department, the Administrator of the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records, and the Administrator of the Division of Enterprise Information Technology Services. The Committee is charged with reviewing and approving (or disapproving) the records retention and disposal schedules that must be developed by each State agency, board, and commission. Prior to 1993, the State Board of Examiners reviewed and approved records retention schedules. Provisions also exist to protect documents that have historic or unique value as determined by the submitting State or local government agency and the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records.

Assembly Bill 135 (Chapter 405, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2015 Session required the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records to develop and, under certain circumstances, conduct a program of education and training concerning the retention and disposition of official State records for the employees of agencies, boards, and commissions that are required to have a schedule approved by the Committee to Approve Schedules for the Retention and Disposition of Official State Records. The head of such an agency, board, or commission must: (1) require, under certain circumstances, that certain employees complete the training program; and (2) issue a letter of reprimand to an employee who knowingly and willfully disposes of an official State record in a manner contrary to an approved records retention schedule or take more severe disciplinary action against such an employee in appropriate circumstances.

Such retention and disposal schedules apply to the following “official state record” items as defined in NRS 239.005:

1. Papers, unpublished books, maps, and photographs;
2. Information stored on magnetic tape or computer, laser, or optical disc;

3. Materials that are capable of being read by a machine, including microforms and audio/visual materials; and

4. Materials that are made or received by a State agency and preserved by that agency or its successor as evidence of the organization, operation, policy, or any other activity of that agency or because of the information contained in that material.

The State Publications Distribution Center, created within the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records, is responsible for the distribution of State and local government publications to designated depository libraries. Senate Bill 20 (Chapter 154, Statutes of Nevada 2013) revised provisions governing the submission of certain publications to the Center, increasing the emphasis on electronic versions and fewer hard copies.

Court Records
Court records, as defined in NRS 239.110, may only be destroyed in accordance with a schedule for retention and disposition approved by the Nevada Supreme Court. Records of the Supreme Court and district courts may only be destroyed after a copy of the record is saved on microfilm or in an electronic recordkeeping system. Records of the municipal and justice courts may be destroyed without making a copy.

Local Governments
Local governments are authorized to “establish a program for the management of records, including the adoption of schedules for the retention of records and procedures for microfilming.” These procedures must be approved by the local governing body and comply with the applicable provisions in Chapter 239 of NRS. Local governments are also permitted to submit historical records to the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records for safekeeping. Finally, the law sets forth procedures for replicating or restoring important lost or destroyed public records and makes it a category C felony to steal, forge, deface, falsify, or obliterate a public record. Local governments must address the management of public records in the form of video recordings. The 2015 Legislature authorized law enforcement agencies (A.B. 162 [Chapter 147, Statutes of Nevada]) to require certain uniformed peace officers to wear personal event recording devices while on duty, and required each uniformed peace officer employed by the Nevada Highway Patrol who routinely interacts with the public to wear the devices (S.B. 111 [Chapter 533, Statutes of Nevada]). These devices are better known as “body-worn cameras.” Law enforcement agencies are required to adopt policies and procedures relating to the use of the body-worn cameras, including accommodating public record requests without compromising information protected by confidentiality laws.

HOMELAND SECURITY AND PUBLIC RECORDS
Since the tragic attacks on September 11, 2001, legislators across the country have grappled with the growing need to limit public exposure to sensitive documents while, at the same time, protecting the public’s “right to know.” Many Nevada lawmakers immediately were concerned that the amount and specificity of the information available under public records provisions could lead to a disruptive
or disastrous attack on the State’s infrastructure. In response to these and other concerns, the Nevada Legislature, along with more than two dozen other states, passed laws limiting access to the most sensitive documents that could be used to plan and coordinate terrorist attacks.

In 2003, the Legislature approved legislation providing for the confidentiality of certain documents or records, including, among other things, drawings, maps, or plans of security systems and important public buildings and facilities. Computerized and electronic records were also addressed in the 2003 legislation. The 2015 Legislature enacted further safeguards with S.B. 481 (Chapter 532, Statutes of Nevada) to prohibit a county, incorporated city, or regional transportation commission from creating, maintaining, or displaying a comprehensive model or map of the physical location of all or a substantial portion of the facilities of a public utility, public water system, or video service provider.

Nevada law now stipulates that records and portions of records assembled by the Division of Enterprise Information Technology Services that would, if in the wrong hands, create a substantial likelihood of threatening the safety of the general public are confidential and not subject to inspection by the general public. These records include: (1) information regarding the infrastructure and security of information systems, including access codes, passwords, and programs; (2) assessments and plans that relate to the vulnerability of an information system; and (3) results from security tests of information systems that may reveal specific vulnerabilities. The Administrator of the Division must maintain a list of each record that has been determined to be confidential. The list must be prepared and maintained so as to indicate the existence of a particular record without revealing its contents. At least once each biennium, the Administrator shall review the list of confidential documents to determine whether records should remain on the list, no longer be deemed confidential, or be considered obsolete. The 2013 Legislature expanded the inspection authority of the Administrator of the Division of State Library, Archives and Public Records to include confidential or privileged information, with certain requirements, in records in the custody of State or local governmental agencies, while prohibiting the Administrator from disclosing certain information (A.B. 45 [Chapter 18, Statutes of Nevada]).

In the 2011 Session, the Legislature made changes and clarifications to the provisions related to documents prepared for the purpose of preventing or responding to acts of terrorism, which are declared confidential by the Governor through an executive order and are protected from discovery or subpoena. Inspection of such confidential documents and records is limited to public safety and public health personnel. In 2011, A.B. 549 (Chapter 474, Statutes of Nevada) expanded the authority of the Governor by adding vulnerability assessments and emergency response plans prepared by private businesses, public entities, and utilities to the list of documents and records eligible for protection by the Governor. The bill also clarified that the Legislative Auditor has access to confidential materials when conducting a postaudit, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION IN GOVERNMENT RECORDS

For many years, lawmakers have demonstrated concern over the use and possible dissemination of personal information held by State and local governments. Particular focus has been given to
the protection of Social Security numbers, addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers. In the late 1990s, legislation was approved prohibiting some State government agencies from requiring the use of Social Security numbers on certain documents and forms, including drivers’ licenses, identification cards, and voter registration applications.

Several measures approved during the 2005 Legislative Session set forth further protections and guidelines relating to the use and access of personal information. Specifically, A.B. 334 (Chapter 486, Statutes of Nevada) provided that if the Social Security number of a person is required on government documents filed after January 1, 2007, it must be kept confidential by the government agency except as necessary to carry out a specific law, for the administration of a public program, or for use on a grant application. The measure also required government agencies, by 2017, to eliminate Social Security numbers in documents filed prior to 2007. Assembly Bill 600 (Chapter 324, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2007 Session was a follow-up measure to A.B. 334 that provided additional protections to personal information beyond the previously protected Social Security numbers on documents submitted to a public entity. The bill allowed a person to request the removal of personal information in certain government documents, gave immunity to governmental agencies regarding the disclosure of personal information, and provided that the last four digits of a Social Security number are not considered personal information. County recorders, citing the volume of documents and cost of redaction, testified in support of S.B. 364 (Chapter 542, Statutes of Nevada 2013) to make the task of redacting confidential information contained in documents submitted prior to 2007 permissive rather than mandatory.

In recent years, the Legislature has approved legislation prohibiting, with some limited exceptions, the disclosure of names, addresses, telephone numbers, and other personal information collected by local governments in connection with the registration for a recreational or instructional activity, event, or facility. Nevada law now expressly prohibits a local government from requiring a Social Security number for such purposes. In addition, a Social Security number is no longer required on marriage certificates and lists of divorces or annulments.

Several measures approved by the Legislature in 2005, 2007, and 2009 addressed the confidentiality of information contained in local government records. Of particular concern to the law enforcement community was A.B. 142 (Chapter 384, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2005 Legislative Session, which allowed peace officers and judges to request a court order directing that their personal information contained in county assessor records be made confidential. The release of personal information contained in government records was also covered in A.B. 188 (Chapter 307, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2005 Session. The bill required a governmental entity to maintain a secure database of e-mail addresses and telephone numbers of persons who provide such information to the entity. Under this measure, the database is not considered a public record. However, the database may be disclosed in response to a court order or by the governmental entity upon a finding that such disclosure is necessary to protect the public safety or to assist in a criminal investigation.

Access to certain personal information kept by financial institutions was the subject of S.B. 101 (Chapter 457, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2009 Session. The bill stipulates that Chapter 239A (“Disclosure of Financial Records to Governmental Agencies”) of NRS does not prohibit the
Administrator of the Securities Division of the Office of the Secretary of State from requesting of a financial institution, and the institution from responding, as to whether a person has an account with that financial institution and, if so, any identifying numbers of the account. The bill also increases, from 60 days to 90 days, the period that the court may delay the notification to a customer that a subpoena for the financial records of the customer has been issued and increases, from 30 days to 45 days, the period for any additional extension of such a delayed notification.

Sometimes efforts to protect personal information can have unintended consequences. According to testimony in support of A.B. 97 (Chapter 203, Statutes of Nevada 2015), the clerks of district courts in some rural areas were requiring a court order to inspect a will filed with the clerk, resulting in costly delays to access a deceased family member’s will. This bill clarified the circumstances by which the will of a deceased person delivered to the clerk of a district court becomes a part of the permanent record maintained by the clerk of the court. As part of the permanent record, such a will is open to inspection unless the will is sealed pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court rules.
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The issue of governmental ethics is complex and often controversial. Indeed, maintaining public trust in our process of representative democracy is vital to the success of our governing system. In a speech at Lexington, Kentucky, in May 1829, American statesman and former Speaker of the United States House of Representatives Henry Clay said, “Government is a trust, and the officers of the government are trustees; and both the trust and the trustees are created for the benefit of the people.”

Assuring the public’s confidence in government requires elected officials and all who work in public service to place the public’s interest over personal interests and exhibit the highest levels of ethical standards and behavior. Laws exist in all 50 states to clarify ethical matters such as personal financial disclosures, honoraria, conflicts of interest, gifts, and unwarranted privileges.

ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT

The Nevada Ethics in Government Law is set forth in Chapter 281A (“Ethics in Government”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). These provisions were created by the Legislature in the late-1970s to separate the roles of persons who are both public servants and private citizens and ensure that public officers and employees exercise their duties for the sole benefit of Nevada’s residents. These statutes also govern policies regarding personal financial disclosure, abstentions in voting by elected public officers, and the operations of Nevada’s Commission on Ethics. In 2015, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 60 (Chapter 198, Statutes of Nevada) to clarify certain definitions and procedures relating to ethics in State government.
Public Policy for Nevada Ethics in Government Law

The Nevada Legislature adopted certain findings and declarations to govern the administration and implementation of the ethics laws. These provisions are codified in NRS 281A.020, which is set forth below:

NRS 281A.020 Legislative findings and declarations.

1. It is hereby declared to be the public policy of this State that:
   (a) A public office is a public trust and shall be held for the sole benefit of the people.
   (b) A public officer or employee must commit himself or herself to avoid conflicts between the private interests of the public officer or employee and those of the general public whom the public officer or employee serves.

2. The Legislature finds and declares that:
   (a) The increasing complexity of state and local government, more and more closely related to private life and enterprise, enlarges the potentiality for conflict of interests.
   (b) To enhance the people’s faith in the integrity and impartiality of public officers and employees, adequate guidelines are required to show the appropriate separation between the roles of persons who are both public servants and private citizens.
   (c) In interpreting and applying the provisions of this chapter that are applicable to State Legislators, the Commission [on Ethics] must give appropriate weight and proper deference to the public policy of this State under which State Legislators serve as “citizen Legislators” who have other occupations and business interests, who are expected to have particular philosophies and perspectives that are necessarily influenced by the life experiences of the Legislator, including, without limitation, professional, family and business experiences, and who are expected to contribute those philosophies and perspectives to the debate over issues with which the Legislature is confronted.
   (d) The provisions of this chapter do not, under any circumstances, allow the Commission to exercise jurisdiction or authority over or inquire into, intrude upon or interfere with the functions of a State Legislator that are protected by legislative privilege and immunity pursuant to the Constitution of the State of Nevada or NRS 41.071.

Constitutional Doctrine of Separation of Powers

In December 2008, the First Judicial District Court in Carson City found that, in light of the constitutional doctrine of separation of powers and legislative privilege and immunity, any inquiry into the ethical propriety of legislative actions concerning disclosure, voting, and abstention must be conducted by the Legislative Branch and cannot be conducted by an administrative agency of the Executive Branch, such as the Commission on Ethics. In response, the 2009 Legislature passed S.B. 160 (Chapter 257, Statutes of Nevada), which implemented in statute the constitutional doctrine of separation of powers and legislative privilege and immunity, protecting legislators from having to defend themselves from various legal challenges when they perform certain actions—such as speech, deliberation, and debate—within the sphere of legitimate legislative activity. Additionally, the measure clarified that statutory provisions concerning disclosure, voting, and abstention do not apply to State legislators or allow the Commission on Ethics to exercise jurisdiction over State legislators with regard to these activities.
**Definition of Public Officer**

Senate Bill 160 also amended certain provisions concerning public officers’ and employees’ ability to contract with governmental agencies under certain circumstances, and it clarified the definition of “public officer” in the Ethics Law by making it consistent with the definition of the term as it is found in NRS 281A.160.

**NRS 281A.160 “Public officer” defined. [Effective January 1, 2014.]**

1. “Public officer” means a person who is:
   (a) Elected or appointed to a position which:
      (1) Is established by the Constitution of the State of Nevada, a statute of this State or a charter or ordinance of any county, city or other political subdivision; and
      (2) Involves the exercise of a public power, trust or duty; or
   (b) Designated as a public officer for the purposes of this chapter pursuant to NRS 281A.182.

2. As used in this section, “the exercise of a public power, trust or duty” means:
   (a) Actions taken in an official capacity which involve a substantial and material exercise of administrative discretion in the formulation of public policy;
   (b) The expenditure of public money; and
   (c) The administration of laws and rules of the State or any county, city or other political subdivision.

3. “Public officer” does not include:
   (a) Any justice, judge or other officer of the court system;
   (b) Any member of a board, commission or other body whose function is advisory;
   (c) Any member of a special district whose official duties do not include the formulation of a budget for the district or the authorization of the expenditure of the district’s money; or
   (d) A county health officer appointed pursuant to NRS 439.290.

4. “Public office” does not include an office held by:
   (a) Any justice, judge or other officer of the court system;
   (b) Any member of a board, commission or other body whose function is advisory;
   (c) Any member of a special district whose official duties do not include the formulation of a budget for the district or the authorization of the expenditure of the district’s money; or
   (d) A county health officer appointed pursuant to NRS 439.290.

**Code of Ethical Standards**

In Nevada, public officers and employees are governed by a “Code of Ethical Standards” (NRS 281A.400) that is intended to prevent abuse of public office by prohibiting situations in which conflicts of interest may arise.

**Gifts**

A public officer or employee shall not seek or accept any gift, service, favor, or employment, which would improperly influence a reasonable person to depart from the faithful and impartial discharge of his or her public duties. A gift does not include a political contribution related to a campaign, a commercially reasonable loan made in the ordinary course of business, the cost of entertainment including food or beverages, or anything of value received from a family member.
Unwarranted Privileges
A public officer or employee shall not use his or her position in government to secure unwarranted privileges, preferences, exemptions, or advantages for himself or herself, family members, or a business entity in which the public officer or employee has a significant interest.

Contracting With Government
With certain exceptions, a public officer or employee shall not bid on or enter into a contract between a governmental agency and any business in which the public officer or employee has a significant pecuniary interest. A member of a local legislative body shall not sell goods or services to a local agency over whom the body has jurisdiction, unless: (1) the member is the sole source of supply within the jurisdiction; (2) public notice is given; (3) the member discloses the significant pecuniary interest; and (4) the local legislative body approves the purchase according to law.

A member of any board, commission, or similar body who is engaged in a business regulated by that board, commission, or body may, in the ordinary course of his or her business, bid or enter into a contract with a governmental agency (other than the board) if the member has not taken part in developing the contract plans and the member will not be personally involved in opening, considering, or accepting offers. Finally, certain public officers or employees may bid on or enter into a contract with a governmental agency if the contracting process is controlled by rules of open competitive bidding, the rules of open competitive bidding are not employed due to an emergency situation, or the sources of supply are limited. The public officer or employee must not take part in developing the contract plans or specifications, and the public officer or employee may not be personally involved in opening, considering, or accepting offers.

The Commission on Ethics may provide a public officer or employee relief from strict application of the prohibition if the individual requests an opinion and the Commission determines that neither the public nor the agency would be adversely affected.

Private Compensation for Performing Public Duties
A public officer or employee shall not accept any salary, retainer, or other compensation from any private source for the performance of his or her duties as a public officer or employee.

Private Use of Confidential Information
A public officer or employee who acquires, through his or her public duties, any information which by law or practice is not at the time available to the people generally shall not use the information to further the pecuniary interests of himself or herself or any other person or business entity.

Suppressing Information for Pecuniary Interests
A public officer shall not suppress any governmental report or other document because it might tend to affect unfavorably his or her pecuniary interests.

Misuse of Government Resources
A public officer or employee, except State legislators who are subject to certain restrictions as described in the following paragraph, shall not use governmental time, property, equipment, or other
facility to benefit his or her personal or pecuniary interest. However, a limited use of governmental property and equipment is permissible if: (1) a policy allowing that use in emergency situations has been established; (2) the use does not interfere with the performance of duties; (3) the cost or value related to the use is nominal; and (4) the use does not create the appearance of impropriety. If a governmental agency incurs a cost as a result of a use specified above or ordinarily would charge a member of the general public for the use, the public officer or employee shall promptly reimburse the cost or pay the charge to the governmental agency.

Misuse of Government Resources (Legislator)
A State legislator shall not use governmental time, property, or equipment for a nongovernmental purpose or the private benefit of the State legislator or another person. However, a limited use of State property and resources is permissible if: (1) the use does not interfere with the performance of the State legislator’s duties; (2) the cost or value related to the use is nominal; and (3) the use does not create the appearance of impropriety. Ethics laws do not prohibit legislators from using, for nongovernmental purposes, mailing lists, computer data, or other information lawfully obtained from a governmental agency if the lists, data, and information are also available to members of the general public. The use of telephones and other means of communication are permitted if there is not a special charge for that use. Furthermore, a member of the Legislature shall not require or authorize a legislative employee, while on duty, to perform personal services or assist in a private activity, except in rare situations where the employee’s service is necessary for the legislator or employee to perform his or her duties or when the service has been established as legislative policy. Finally, a legislator shall not attempt to benefit personally or financially through the influence of a subordinate or seek other employment or contracts through the use of the State legislator’s official position.

Lobbying by Local Elected Officials
A member of a local legislative body may not represent or counsel a private person for compensation before another local agency whose jurisdiction includes any part of the same county in which the member serves. The Commission may relieve the member of this prohibition under certain circumstances.

Honoraria
Nevada Revised Statutes 281A.510 prohibits a public officer or employee from accepting or receiving an honorarium, which is defined as “the payment of money or anything of value for an appearance or speech by the public officer or public employee in the officer’s or employee’s capacity as a public officer or public employee.” Certain things are excluded from the definition of “honorarium,” such as: (1) payment of the actual and necessary costs incurred by the public officer or employee or his or her spouse or staff for transportation, meals, and lodging while away from the public officer’s or employee’s residence; (2) compensation which would otherwise be earned in the normal course of the public officer’s office or employment; (3) a fee for a speech that is related to the public officer’s outside occupation; and (4) a fee for a speech or presentation delivered to an organization of legislatures, legislators, or other elected officials.
Financial Disclosure Statement

Most states require some form of personal financial disclosure for public officers. In Nevada, the Financial Disclosure Statement includes information regarding general income sources, debt, business ventures, real estate, and contributions of certain gifts. The following individuals must file Financial Disclosure Statements with the Secretary of State: (1) every candidate for public office who, if elected, is entitled to receive compensation of $6,000 or more annually for serving in the office in question; (2) every elected public officer; and (3) every appointed public officer who is entitled to receive compensation of $6,000 or more annually for serving in the office in question. Any elected supervisor of a conservation district is exempt from the requirement to file a financial disclosure statement.

Nevada Revised Statutes 281.581 provides civil penalties for willful failure to file a statement of financial disclosure. The following table sets out those penalties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure to File a Financial Disclosure Statement</th>
<th>Civil Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 days late</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 20 days late</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 30 days late</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 45 days late</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 or more days late (or not filed)</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to elected public officers and candidates for public office, all other public officers who receive an annual compensation of $6,000 or more must also file the statement with the Secretary of State. Candidates for public office must file the statement no later than the tenth day after the last day to qualify for office (ten days after the close of candidate filing). Meanwhile, elected public officers must file the statement on or before January 15 of each year of their term, and appointed public officers must file within 30 days of their appointment and annually thereafter on or before January 15.

Abstention and Disclosure

The provisions concerning the following disclosure do not apply to State legislators or allow the Commission on Ethics to exercise jurisdiction or authority over State legislators. The responsibility of a State legislator to make disclosures concerning gifts, loans, interests, or commitments and the responsibility of a State legislator to abstain from voting upon or advocating the passage or failure of a matter are governed by the Standing Rules of the Senate and Assembly, which are adopted, administered, and enforced exclusively by the appropriate bodies pursuant to Section 6 of Article 4 of the Nevada Constitution.

Opinions issued by the Commission on Ethics have stated that the burden is appropriately on the public officer to disclose private commitments and the effect those private commitments have on the decision-making process. The public officer should make a proper determination regarding abstention where a reasonable person’s independence of judgment would be materially affected by
those private commitments. It should be noted that NRS provides some minor exceptions and parameters to the abstention requirements for certain local policy-making boards.

### What Are the Standards for Abstention and Disclosure by Public Officers, Employees, and Legislators?

**Abstention:** In addition to the requirements of the “Code of Ethical Standards,” a legislator or public officer is prohibited from voting upon or advocating the passage or failure of a matter if the legislator or public officer, in the “judgment of a reasonable person in the public officer's situation,” would be materially affected by the legislator’s or public officer’s:

- Acceptance of a gift or a loan;
- Pecuniary interest; or
- Commitment in a private capacity to the interests of others.

It should be noted that even if a legislator must abstain, the legislator may provide factual information to colleagues as long as the legislator remains a provider of facts and does not engage in statements of advocacy regarding the matter.

Regarding disclosure for public officers and employees, NRS 281A.420 provides that:

. . . a public officer or employee shall not approve, disapprove, vote, abstain from voting or otherwise act upon a matter:

(a) Regarding which the public officer or employee has accepted a gift or loan;
(b) In which the public officer or employee has a significant pecuniary interest; or
(c) Which would reasonably be affected by the public officer’s or employee’s commitment in a private capacity to the interests of another person, without disclosing information concerning the gift or loan, significant pecuniary interest or commitment in a private capacity to the interests of the person that is sufficient to inform the public of the potential effect of the action or abstention upon the person who provided the gift or loan, upon the public officer’s or employee’s significant pecuniary interest, or upon the person to whom the public officer or employee has a commitment in a private capacity.

A public officer must make such a disclosure at the time, and each time, the matter is considered unless the public officer is a member of the Legislature.

### Nevada’s Commission on Ethics

Nevada’s Commission on Ethics (NRS 281A.200 through 281A.300) is responsible for the enforcement of the Code of Ethical Standards. The mission of the Commission on Ethics is to “enhance the public’s faith and confidence in government by ensuring that public officers and public employees uphold the public trust by committing themselves to avoid conflicts between their private interests and their public duties.” The Commission enforces guidelines set forth by the Legislature to separate the roles of persons who are both public servants and private citizens while at the same time
ensuring that public officers and public employees retain the public trust by exercising their powers and duties for the sole benefit of the people of the State.

Established by the Nevada Legislature in 1975, the Commission on Ethics is an independent executive commission of State government and serves in a “quasi-judicial” capacity. The Commission has a full-time staff including an Executive Director and a General Counsel. The Attorney General may appoint a deputy to act in place of the Commission’s counsel or employ outside legal counsel if the Commission counsel is unable to act on a particular matter. The Commission has eight members, four appointed by the Legislative Commission and four appointed by the Governor, who each serve four-year terms. Main functions performed by the Commission include:

1. Interpreting and providing guidance to public officers and employees on Nevada’s “Ethics in Government” laws;

2. Investigating and adjudicating third-party ethics complaints against public officers and employees for violating certain ethics provisions; and

3. Educating public officers and employees regarding ethical provisions and prohibitions under Nevada law.

**Procedures**

In 2015, the Legislature enacted A.B. 60 to revise the procedures of the Commission. The Commission is required to determine whether it has jurisdiction concerning a third-party request and to complete its investigation and make a recommendation within the time provided, unless the public officer or employee waives the time limit.

The investigative file includes any information provided to or obtained by an investigatory panel. All information not included in the investigative file is confidential until a panel determines there is cause for an opinion or the public officer or employee authorizes disclosure. A person who makes a third-party request may ask that his or her name be kept confidential in certain circumstances. The Commission must maintain confidentiality if the requester works for the same public employer as the subject of the request. The Commission may maintain confidentiality if the requester establishes evidence of a threat of physical force or violence. When the Commission does not disclose a requester’s name, the Commission may not render an opinion unless it has sufficient evidence from other sources. If the Commission intends to use the person’s testimony, it must disclose the person’s name prior to the hearing.

First-party requests of current public officers or employees are confidential. Such individuals may disclose the request to certain persons without waiving the confidentiality of the request or any related opinions or record.
Willful Violations of Ethics Laws

As defined in NRS 281A.170, a “willful violation” is one where a public officer or employee: (1) intentionally or knowingly acted; or (2) intentionally or knowingly failed to act, where action was called for by law. A willful violation does not include any act or failure to act that does not result in a sanctionable violation. Nevada Revised Statutes 281A.480 requires a public officer or employee to establish by sufficient evidence that he or she satisfied the following requirements to overcome the statutory presumption that his or her actions were willful:

1. The public officer or employee relied in good faith upon the advice of the legal counsel retained by his or her public body, agency, or employer, provided that the advice was obtained before the public officer or employee acted or failed to act; and

2. Based upon a reasonable legal determination, the act or failure to act was not contrary to a prior published opinion issued by the Commission, which was available publicly on the Commission’s website.

The Commission must consider various aggravating and mitigating factors when determining whether a violation is willful. The Commission must also treat comparable situations in a comparable manner and ensure that the disposition of the matter bears a reasonable relationship to the severity of the violation.

Pursuant to the provisions of NRS 281A.480, if the Commission finds that a legislator has committed one or more willful violations of the ethics laws, the Commission shall submit its opinion to the leadership of the appropriate body of the Legislature for consideration.

Federal Ruling on Nevada Ethics in Government Law

In 2011, the United States Supreme Court upheld certain provisions of Chapter 281A of NRS in Nevada Commission on Ethics v. Carrigan. Six years earlier, Michael A. Carrigan was a member of the Sparks City Council when a development company applied to the city for approval of a hotel/casino project. The developer hired a consultant who had served previously as Carrigan’s manager during his election campaigns. Carrigan sought the advice of the Sparks City Attorney regarding his potential conflict of interest. The City Attorney advised Carrigan that his obligations under the law would be discharged by publicly disclosing his relationship before voting on the matter, which Carrigan did.

The Commission on Ethics censured Carrigan for failure to abstain from voting, holding that his previous relationship with the consultant created a disqualifying relationship. Carrigan challenged the Commission’s ruling, asserting that Nevada’s ethics statutes violated his First Amendment rights. The Nevada Supreme Court struck down a portion of NRS 281A.420 as unconstitutionally overbroad, holding elected officials have a First Amendment right to vote on official business. The U.S. Supreme Court reversed the State court and held that those empowered with legislative authority have no First Amendment right in a legislative vote. Legislative power is not personal to the legislator; it belongs to the people.
**Whistle-Blower Statutes**

First enacted in 1991, the Nevada “whistle-blower” statutes (NRS 281.611 through 281.671) originally provided protection only for State government employees who report government waste or wrongdoing. Whistle-blower protection was expanded in 2001 to include local government employees. The Legislature has declared that the public policy of the State is to encourage State and local officers and employees to disclose improper governmental action and that the Legislature will protect the rights of persons making such disclosures (NRS 281.621).

Separate and apart from the whistle-blower statutes in Chapter 281 of NRS, other provisions of the law protect police officers who report improper governmental action. In addition, certain types of disclosures of wrongdoing are protected; for example, specific protection is provided for school personnel reporting testing irregularities, persons reporting violations of occupational health and safety laws, and persons filing complaints against long-term care facilities.

Nevada whistle-blower statutes define “improper governmental action” as actions taken in the performance of official duties, whether or not the action is within the scope of employment, that are:

- In violation of State law or regulation;
- For local government officers and employees, in violation of local ordinances;
- In abuse of authority;
- A substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; or
- A gross waste of money.

State or local officers or employees are prohibited from using their position to prevent disclosure of an improper governmental action by another officer or employee. Governmental officers or employees who report improper governmental action are protected from “reprisal or retaliatory action” by their employer for two years after the disclosure.

Officers or employees who believe that they have been subjected to reprisal or retaliatory action within two years of a disclosure of improper governmental action must file a written appeal with either the Division of Human Resource Management within Nevada’s Department of Administration or the entity designated by the local ordinance, as applicable. State law requires local governments to adopt procedures for hearing appeals of local government officers and employees alleging reprisal or retaliatory action, subject to certain minimum State standards.

What Types of Conduct Are Considered Retaliation or Reprisal in Nevada?

Examples of conduct considered a reprisal or retaliatory action include:

- Refusal to assign meaningful work;
- Issuance of reprimands or poor evaluations;
- Demotions or reductions in pay;
- Denial of promotions;
- Suspension, transfer, or dismissal; or
- Conditions creating an adverse working environment.
For State appeals, if the appeal is filed within ten days of the alleged reprisal or retaliatory action, a hearing is scheduled before a hearing officer to take evidence and testimony. If the hearing officer finds that the whistle-blower has been subjected to reprisal or retaliation, then the hearing officer may issue an order directing the person responsible for the reprisal to refrain from such action. The hearing officer shall also file a copy of the decision with the Governor or elected State person who supervises the person found responsible. Details of the appeals process for State officers and employees are spelled out in Chapter 281 of *Nevada Administrative Code*.

Although not traditionally considered whistle-blower protection, any person who, in good faith, communicates information or a complaint to a legislator, officer, or employee of the federal or State government in Nevada on a matter of reasonable concern to that entity is protected from personal or civil liability by NRS 41.635 through 41.670.

**WEBSITES AND CONTACTS**

**Ethics in Government**  
Commission on Ethics  
Telephone: (775) 687-5469  
Website: [http://ethics.nv.gov](http://ethics.nv.gov)

**Whistle-Blower Law**  
Division of Human Resource Management  
Department of Administration  
Telephone: (775) 684-0150  
Website: [http://hr.nv.gov/](http://hr.nv.gov/)

**FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS**

*Ethics in Government*

**Q:** How can I request an opinion concerning an ethics issue?  
**A:** Legislators may contact either the Commission on Ethics (for questions regarding nonlegislative actions) or the Legal Division of the Legislative Counsel Bureau for assistance with ethics-related matters. Clarification on legislator conflicts of interest, abstentions, and other legislative actions are to be handled by the Legal Division. Other public officers are encouraged to contact the Commission on Ethics regarding alleged ethics violations. The Executive Director of the Commission is also authorized to conduct training on Nevada’s ethics laws for public agencies.

**Q:** Where can I get copies of ethics opinions?  
**A:** Previously issued opinions of the Commission on Ethics can be found on the Commission’s website, organized by number and also by the statutory provision the opinion is interpreting. There are also annotations to recent ethics opinions in NRS following the pertinent sections.
Q: Who are the members of the Commission on Ethics?
A: Cheryl A. Lau, Esq. (Chair)  Barbara Gruenewald, Esq.
Keith A. Weaver, Esq. (Vice Chair)  James M. Shaw
John C. Carpenter  Daniel Stewart, Esq.
Magdalena M. Groover

Q: Does Nevada have a “cooling-off” period or other provisions concerning a conflict of interest in future business opportunities for a public officer or employee?
A: Yes. The *Nevada Constitution* sets forth a one-year “cooling-off” period for legislators. Specifically, Article 4, Section 8, provides that:

No Senator or member of Assembly shall, during the term for which he shall have been elected, nor for one year thereafter be appointed to any civil office of profit under this State which shall have been created, or the emoluments of which shall have been increased during such term, except such office as may be filled by elections by the people.

In addition, the 2015 Legislature enacted A.B. 273 (Chapter 216, *Statutes of Nevada*) to prohibit a former legislator from receiving compensation to lobby before the Legislature for a period beginning when the legislator leaves office and ending at the adjournment of the next regular session. An exemption is provided if lobbying is a duty of the former legislator’s full-time employment and the former legislator does not act as a lobbyist for any other employer, client, or client of his or her employer.

A “cooling-off” period for some former public officers and employees in certain agencies is set forth in Chapter 281A of NRS. A cooling-off period limits a former public officer or employee from going to work for a specified period of time in a business or industry regulated by the public officer’s or employee’s former employer. The provision primarily affects former members of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, former members of the Nevada Gaming Control Board, and any public officer or employee who seeks employment in a business for which the officer or employee: (1) formulated policy contained in State administrative regulations; (2) had control over an audit, decision, or investigation affecting the business; or (3) possesses knowledge of trade secrets of a direct business competitor.

In addition to the cooling-off period for business and industry, a public officer or employee must refuse any offer or promise of future employment, a business opportunity, money, or anything of value from a person who has provided a bid on a purchasing contract to be awarded by a public body. An employee must report such an offer to his or her supervisor or agency head within 72 hours. In addition, a State or local government or any public officer or employee shall not offer or provide to a bidder any proprietary information regarding the contract or details regarding a bid on the contract from another person, and the bidder is prohibited from requesting such information.

A member of a local legislative body is prohibited from representing a private interest before any other local agency in the same county and may not sell services or goods to the local body of
which he or she is a member unless that person is the sole source of that commodity. A person may seek relief from the strict application of the law from the Commission on Ethics.

**Whistle-Blower Law**

**Q:** What advice should I give to someone who has or may have a whistle-blower complaint?

**A:** If the person is a State employee, that person should be immediately directed to Division of Human Resource Management within Nevada’s Department of Administration for assistance in obtaining the correct forms and filing the complaint. Because State employees only have ten days after an act of reprisal or retaliation in which to file a complaint, any potential whistle-blower should be advised about the short deadline for filing a complaint and the need for prompt action. It may also be advisable to suggest that the employee consider consulting a private attorney or the State of Nevada Employees Association for assistance.

If the person is a local government employee, that person should immediately contact his or her human resources department for assistance with filing a complaint. Each local jurisdiction is required to adopt procedures for whistle-blower complaints, and each local jurisdiction may have a different deadline and procedures. Again, it may also be advisable to suggest that the employee consider consulting a private attorney or a representative of the employee’s collective bargaining unit for assistance.
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Although the recent economic downturn hit the State hard, Nevada has remained one of the fastest growing states over the past decade with an 18 percent increase in population from 2004 to 2014, and it is projected to grow by an additional 4.8 percent by the end of the decade. The State’s current population is approximately 2.8 million. Nevada ranks 43rd in the nation in population density (25.9 persons per square mile) but, paradoxically, it ranks very high in the percentage of persons living in metropolitan areas and is one of the most urbanized states in the country. One reason for the high level of urbanization is that more than 85 percent of Nevada is owned by the federal government and therefore most of the State’s population is concentrated in its cities and towns. Clark County accounts for over 72 percent of the State’s entire population, and Washoe County accounts for more than 15 percent, leaving less than 13 percent of the population in the remaining 15 counties.

Like the federal system, Nevada State government has three branches: Executive, Judicial, and Legislative. The Executive Branch, primarily directed by the Governor, is responsible for carrying out the laws enacted by the Legislature and providing statewide services to the people of Nevada. Nevada’s 17 counties, along with over two dozen cities and towns, provide additional services and governance at the local level. Other forms of local government include general improvement districts, local improvement districts, and school districts.

**EXECUTIVE BRANCH**

The Executive Branch consists of the elected offices created by the *Nevada Constitution*: Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, State Controller, and Attorney General. The persons who hold these offices are also referred to as constitutional officers.
**Constitutional Officers**

Constitutional officers are elected for four-year terms, and their duties are set forth in the *Nevada Constitution* and in statute. Their duties can be summarized as follows:

- **Governor**—acts as the chief executive of the State.

- **Lieutenant Governor**—presides over the Nevada Senate and casts a vote in the case of a tie on a question, fills any vacancy during the term of the Governor, and chairs the Commission on Tourism.

- **Secretary of State**—is responsible for overseeing elections, commercial recordings, securities, notaries, and the establishment, operation, and maintenance of a state business portal.

- **State Treasurer**—oversees the State Treasury, sets investment policies for State funds, and administers the Unclaimed Property Division and the Governor Guinn Millennium Scholarship Program, along with the other college savings programs.

- **State Controller**—is responsible for paying the State’s debts, including State employees’ salaries, maintains the official accounting records, and prepares the annual statement of the State’s financial status and public debt.

- **Attorney General**—acts as the chief law enforcement officer, provides legal services to the State and State agencies, and defends or prosecutes litigation involving the State or its agencies.

The Governor, Secretary of State, and Attorney General sit on the State Board of Examiners, which is charged with reviewing tort claims, independent contracts, leases, and requests for payments from specified accounts.

**Governor and Executive Branch Departments**

As the head of the Executive Branch, the Governor oversees a number of statutorily created departments to carry out the laws. Many of the departments are further divided into divisions with specialized duties and expertise. During the 2011 Legislative Session, several departments were dismantled and consolidated into other departments or divisions within departments. The Nevada Legislature also combined the duties of various divisions into departments and eliminated certain boards and commissions in an effort to reorganize and streamline State government. In addition, several State-level commissions were shifted under the purview of the Office of the Governor. The departments with directors appointed by the Governor are:

- Administration;

- Agriculture;

- Business and Industry;
• Conservation and Natural Resources;
• Corrections;
• Employment, Training and Rehabilitation;
• Health and Human Services;
• Motor Vehicles;
• Public Safety;
• Taxation;
• Tourism and Cultural Affairs;
• Veterans Services; and
• Wildlife.

The Governor also appoints the Adjutant General, who serves as the Chief of Staff to the Governor, the Director of the Office of the Military, the Director of the Office of Finance, and the Commander of the Nevada National Guard. The Director of the Department of Transportation is selected by the Board of Directors of the Department, of which the Governor is a member. Four of the seven members of the State Public Works Board are appointed by the Governor, two members are legislative appointments, and the Director of the Department of Administration is the seventh member.

In addition to these executive departments, the Governor also has appointing authority for numerous agencies or commissions, as well as more than 80 independent boards, commissions, councils, and professional occupational licensing boards. The Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission reviews each board and commission in the State and makes recommendations for improvement, consolidation, or other action. The Sunset Subcommittee is also charged with reviewing tax abatements, exemptions, and set-asides for such entities, and making recommendations for termination, modification, or other action. Each interim, no fewer than ten boards and commissions must be reviewed, and each board and commission must be reviewed at least every ten years. Following a review, the Sunset Subcommittee must make its recommendations to the Legislature no later than June 30 of each odd-numbered year. Please refer to the Directory of State and Local Government on the Legislature’s website (http://www.leg.state.nv.us) for lists of various State entities, including agencies, commissions, and divisions.

The State Board of Education consists of elected and appointed members and adopts regulations as required and establishes policies to govern the administration of all functions of the State relating to supervision, management, and control of public schools not conferred by law on some other agency. The Board consists of four members elected by the voters in each congressional district and three members appointed by the Governor. In addition to the seven voting members, the Board...
includes four nonvoting members appointed by the Governor. The Governor appoints the Superintendent of Public Instruction from a list of persons submitted by the Board. The budget for the State Board and Department of Education is part of the Executive Budget.

The Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) is governed by a 13-member elected Board of Regents that oversees NSHE and appoints the Chancellor. Although NSHE operates as part of the Executive Branch, it has a unique hybrid status due to a certain level of independence granted to NSHE by the Nevada Constitution.

Many State departments, boards, and commissions have authority to adopt regulations to carry out their duties. These regulations are codified and compiled in the Nevada Administrative Code. The process for review and adoption of regulations is controlled by Chapter 233B (“Nevada Administrative Procedure Act”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS).

The Governor plays a pivotal role in the legislative process. One of the Governor’s most important duties is to prepare and present the two-year (biennial) Executive Budget to the Legislature for its consideration and action each session. The Nevada Constitution gives the Governor the power to veto a bill, but the Governor does not have line-item veto powers. After a veto by the Governor, the bill is returned to the Legislature for a possible vote to override the veto. If the Governor fails to sign or veto a bill within a certain number of days after delivery, the bill becomes law without the Governor’s signature. Historically, very few bills are vetoed. In 2009, however, the Governor set a veto record, and the Legislature set a record in the number of vetoes it overrode. Governor Jim Gibbons vetoed 48 bills from the 2009 Legislative Session, 41 of which were vetoed during the session. The Legislature overrode the Governor’s veto on 25 of these bills and sustained the Governor’s veto on the remaining 16 bills. The last 7 bills were vetoed after the Legislature had adjourned sine die and were returned to the 2011 Session. The 2011 Legislature did not consider any returning bills from 2009 in order to sustain or override the Governor’s vetoes. In 2011, Governor Brian Sandoval vetoed 28 bills, none of which were overridden. In 2013, Governor Sandoval vetoed 17 bills and, again, none of these vetoes were overridden. In 2015, Governor Sandoval vetoed 7 bills, all of which will return to the Legislature in the 2017 Session.

HOME RULE LIMITS

Home rule refers to the concept of local self-government and the powers granted to the citizens of a local area to structure, organize, and empower their local government. The Nevada Constitution, in Article 8, Section 8, states:

“The legislature shall provide for the organization of cities and towns by general laws and shall restrict their power of taxation, assessment, borrowing money, contracting debts and loaning their credit, except for procuring supplies of water; provided, however, that the legislature may, by general laws, in the manner and to the extent therein provided, permit and authorize the electors of any city or town to frame, adopt and amend a charter for its own government, or to amend any existing charter of such city or town.”
Article 4 of the *Nevada Constitution* requires the Legislature to establish a uniform system of county government (Section 25); requires the Legislature to provide for the election of boards of county commissioners and to prescribe by law their duties and compensation (Section 26); and gives the Legislature power to prescribe, among other things, the duties and compensation of certain county officers, including clerks, recorders, auditors, sheriffs, district attorneys, and public administrators (Section 32). However, there are no constitutional or statutory provisions in Nevada relating to home rule authority for county governments, and therefore, Nevada is considered a state without home rule for local governments. In other words, county and city governments generally have only those powers that are granted to them by the Legislature.

Often debated is the effect of Dillon’s Rule on Nevada’s counties and other local governments. Without home rule, the general application of Dillon’s Rule is to limit the powers of counties, cities, and towns. According to *Black’s Law Dictionary*, Sixth Edition, Dillon’s Rule is a rule from an 1868 Iowa case (*Merriam v. Moody’s Executors*, 25 Iowa 163, 170 [1868]) that is used in interpreting statutes delegating authority to local government. The rule states:

[A] municipal corporation possesses and can exercise the following powers and no others: First, those granted in express words; second, those necessarily implied or necessarily incident to the powers expressly granted; third, those absolutely essential to the declared objects and purposes of the corporation—not simply convenient, but indispensable.

The lack of home rule in Nevada typically generates a significant amount of legislation each session as counties and cities seek to expand or clarify their powers. In the 2011 and 2013 Sessions, the Legislature declined to approve any major home rule bills, but it did grant local governments increased powers in specific instances. In the 2015 Session, the Legislature agreed to allow an exception to Dillon’s Rule that grants local governing bodies additional autonomy in limited circumstances. With the passage of Assembly Bill 493 (Chapter 465, *Statutes of Nevada*), and Senate Bill 29 (Chapter 416, *Statutes of Nevada*), the governing bodies of cities and counties, respectively, are authorized, with certain exceptions, to exercise all powers necessary or proper to address matters of local concern for the effective operation of city or county government, whether or not the powers are expressly granted by the Legislature. Matters of local concern include any matters that primarily affect or impact areas located in the city or county, or persons who reside, work, or visit the city or county, and that do not have a significant effect or impact on areas located in other cities or counties. These powers remain subject to all federal and State constitutional, statutory, and regulatory provisions. The bills also expressly prohibit cities and counties from enacting certain ordinances or regulations, including, but not limited to, the imposition of a tax or conducting an election without legislative approval.

**COUNTY GOVERNMENTS**

With the admission of Nevada as a state in 1864, the *Nevada Constitution* declared that existing counties, towns, and cities shall continue. However, the continued existence of the counties,
including their boundaries, county seats, and powers, is within the control of the Legislature. The names, county seats, and boundaries of the 17 counties are set by statute. Counties may be abolished by an act of the Legislature, but such an act does not become effective until approved by a majority of the voters in the affected county. The creation of the county commissions, and provisions relating to ordinances, general and financial powers, regulatory powers, and other matters, are found in Chapter 244 (“Counties: Government”) of NRS. Counties are granted the authority to levy taxes for the purposes prescribed by law and to the extent authorized by law.

Because laws cannot be written to apply to a particular county or group of counties, certain provisions of NRS may apply only to certain subsets of counties based on the population of the county at the time of the preceding national decennial census. At each legislative session immediately following the decennial census (the 2011 Legislative Session, for example), the Legislature considers a “population bill,” which shifts certain population thresholds higher, based on current census data, to accommodate Nevada’s growth. Assembly Bill 545 (Chapter 253, Statutes of Nevada 2011) retained, with limited exceptions, the applicability of provisions in Nevada law only to those counties (and cities, if applicable) that they were intended to affect. The following table sets forth the population thresholds by which certain legislation is applied as set forth in A.B. 545:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION RANGE</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>POPULATION (2010)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700,000 or more</td>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>1,951,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 or more - Less than 700,000</td>
<td>Washoe</td>
<td>421,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55,000 or more - Less than 100,000</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>55,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47,500 or more - Less than 55,000</td>
<td>Lyon</td>
<td>51,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elko</td>
<td>48,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45,000 or more - Less than 47,500</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>46,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 or more - Less than 45,000</td>
<td>Nye</td>
<td>43,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 or more - Less than 30,000</td>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>24,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000 or more - Less than 20,000</td>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>16,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 or more - Less than 15,000</td>
<td>White Pine</td>
<td>10,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,500 or more - Less than 9,000</td>
<td>Pershing</td>
<td>6,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lander</td>
<td>5,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>5,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>4,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000 or more - Less than 4,500</td>
<td>Storey</td>
<td>4,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3,000</td>
<td>Eureka</td>
<td>1,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Esmeralda</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITIES AND TOWNS

Nevada law also provides for the creation of cities and towns. Cities can be incorporated by a special act (often called “charter cities”) or incorporated by general law through the petition process.
as set forth in Chapter 266 ("General Law for Incorporation of Cities and Towns") of NRS. There are 12 charter cities: Boulder City, Caliente, Carlin, Carson City, Elko, Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, Reno, Sparks, Wells, and Yerington. General law cities include: Ely, Fallon, Fernley, Lovelock, Mesquite, West Wendover, and Winnemucca. Cities must have at least 1,000 residents to incorporate, and a city will be disincorporated automatically if the population drops below 150.

The Legislature sets the structure and powers of city councils and grants various powers as codified in Chapter 268 ("Powers and Duties Common to Cities and Towns Incorporated Under General or Special Laws") of NRS. Cities are categorized for different purposes as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORIES OF CITIES (NRS 266.055)</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>50,000 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5,000 to 49,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4,999 or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The population of Nevada’s incorporated cities and their respective categories are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTER CITIES</th>
<th>2010 POPULATION (CATEGORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder City</td>
<td>15,023 (II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caliente</td>
<td>1,130 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlin</td>
<td>2,368 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>55,274 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko</td>
<td>18,297 (II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>257,729 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>583,756 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Las Vegas</td>
<td>216,961 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno</td>
<td>225,221 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparks</td>
<td>90,264 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>1,292 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yerington</td>
<td>3,048 (III)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL LAW CITIES</th>
<th>2010 POPULATION (CATEGORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ely</td>
<td>4,255 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallon</td>
<td>8,606 (II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernley</td>
<td>19,368 (II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovelock</td>
<td>1,894 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite</td>
<td>15,276 (II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Wendover</td>
<td>4,410 (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnemucca</td>
<td>7,396 (II)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nevada law also provides for the formation of two types of unincorporated towns: (1) those with a town board form of government; and (2) those formed under the Unincorporated Town Government
Law. In Clark and Washoe Counties, unincorporated towns may be formed only under the provisions of the Unincorporated Town Government Law. Towns in other counties may choose to operate under either type.

The town board form of government allows the unincorporated town to be governed by an independent governing board whose powers are granted by State law rather than county ordinance. Towns established under the Unincorporated Town Government Law, however, are governed by the board of county commissioners rather than by an independent town board. A town board is established to assist the county commission by acting as a liaison between the commissioners and the residents of the town.

**LAND USE AND PLANNING**

The Nevada model for planning and land use decisions assigns primary responsibility to the counties. In NRS 321.640, the Legislature finds that unregulated growth and development will harm the public safety, health, comfort, and general welfare and that counties and cities have responsibilities for guiding development within their boundaries while coordinating with other planning processes. State participation is limited to coordinating information, acquiring and using federal lands and, if requested, providing assistance in areas of critical environmental concern and resolving inconsistencies between local plans.

State law sets many of the parameters and requirements related to land use and zoning matters in counties and cities. Still, most of the substantive decision making regarding development approvals and land use designations are made at the local level. Chapter 277 (“Cooperative Agreements: State, Counties, Cities, Districts and Other Public Agencies”) through Chapter 279B (“Rehabilitation of Abandoned Residential Property”) of NRS set forth the general requirements for land use and planning by local governments and cover such topics as: (1) cooperative agreements among State and local governments, subdivisions, and planning commissions; (2) the preparation and filing of tentative and final maps, regional and master plans, and variances; (3) the handling of enforcement issues and planned development; (4) regional planning commissions and impact fees; (5) redevelopment; and (6) the rehabilitation of property and abandoned property in residential neighborhoods.

**Regional Planning**

In response to specific areas of concern and to facilitate coordination among local governments, the Legislature has authorized three major regional planning entities as follows:

1. The Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition in Clark County has a ten-member board with representatives from Clark County, the Clark County School District, and the Cities of Boulder City, Henderson, Las Vegas, and North Las Vegas. Created in 1999, the Coalition’s regional plan was approved by the Legislature in March 2001. To address the demands of continued urbanization, the comprehensive regional policy plan must include elements related to air quality, conservation, land use and development, population, public facilities and services, strategies to
promote the interspersion of new housing and businesses in established neighborhoods, and transportation. Further, the Coalition must define a “project of regional significance” and is required to review local master or facility plans for conformance with regional plan policies no fewer than every five years. The local air pollution control agency and regional transportation commission are required to coordinate with the Coalition to achieve integrated long-range air quality planning. Regional plan requirements and other provisions governing the Coalition are set forth in Chapter 278 (“Planning and Zoning”) of NRS.

In conjunction with the creation of the Coalition, Section 2 of S.B. 191 (Chapter 481, Statutes of Nevada 1999) required a person proposing a project of significant impact within the Las Vegas urban growth zone, as defined in NRS 463.3094, to prepare an impact statement for the local government with authority over the project.

2. Through a bi-state compact with California as ratified by the United States Congress, the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) has jurisdiction over the California and Nevada portions of the Lake Tahoe Basin. The Tahoe Regional Planning Compact was first enacted in 1969, but the California and Nevada Legislatures, with the approval of Congress, agreed upon extensive amendments to the Compact in 1980. The TRPA has a 14-member appointed board, with 7 members from California and 7 members from Nevada. The Tahoe Regional Planning Compact is codified in NRS 277.200. For more information on the TRPA, see the 2016 Policy and Program Report titled, “General Environmental Issues and Matters Concerning Lake Tahoe.”

3. The Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency (TMRPA) within Washoe County has a ten-member governing board consisting of representatives from Washoe County and the Cities of Reno and Sparks. The TMRPA was created in 1989, and the regional plan requirements and other provisions are codified in Chapter 278 of NRS. The regional plan, as adopted and updated by TMRPA, focuses on the coordination of local government planning as it relates to implementation strategies, infrastructure, land use, and resource management. After the 2002 update of the regional plan, litigation between the member entities of the TMRPA resulted in a settlement agreement and judicial oversight of the settlement. Key elements of the settlement include adjustments to spheres of influence and service areas, cooperative planning, and regional plan amendments.

OTHER FORMS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT

In addition to counties, cities, and towns, there are other types of local government. Nevada Revised Statues authorizes the creation of general improvement districts (GIDs), local improvement districts, tourism improvement districts, and special districts. General improvement districts can be created by a resolution of the county commission or by a petition presented by an owner of property in the district. These districts usually are governed by elected boards of trustees. Services provided by GIDs may include cemeteries, emergency medical services, fire protection, recreation facilities, sanitation, sewer, sidewalks, storm drainage, television and radio, utilities, and water. Funding for GIDs can come from ad valorem taxes, connection and usage fees, and special assessments. Such
districts also have borrowing powers and may issue revenue bonds and securities, in addition to other forms of financing.

Special districts can also be created by the county commission or by petition filed with the county commission. Special districts include GIDs as well as water and sanitation districts, swimming pool districts, municipal power districts, or any other quasi-municipal districts, with the exception of local improvement districts. Local improvement districts are governed by Chapter 309 (“Local Improvement Districts”) of NRS and may be proposed by a majority of the owners of land to be benefited by the construction of power plants and distribution of electrical energy, sewer systems, or the acquisition or construction of water systems. School districts are also considered local governments in Nevada, and the boundaries of the 17 school districts are the same as county boundaries. The size and election procedures for school boards are set in statute and are based, in part, upon the size of the county school district.

UNFUNDED MANDATES

Unfunded mandates are a continuing concern of Nevada’s local governments. Just as the federal government imposes unfunded mandates on the states, the Legislature may require local governments to provide new services or to expand existing programs without identifying a source of funding. The Nevada Legislature enacted legislation relating to unfunded mandates in 1993 and 1999. Assembly Joint Resolution No. 8 (File No. 190, Statutes of Nevada) in 1993 urged Congress not to require the states to provide services or benefits unless it provides related funding. Senate Bill 381 (Chapter 419, Statutes of Nevada) in 1993 required that a source of revenue be authorized by statute if the Legislature mandates a local government to take an action which requires additional funding. This requirement is codified in NRS 354.599. However, the Legislature sometimes exempts bills from this statutory requirement. In 1999, the Legislature enacted S.B. 471 (Chapter 282, Statutes of Nevada) requiring a disclosure on the face of each legislative measure that contains an unfunded mandate placed upon a local government, as codified in NRS 218D.270.

STATE AND LOCAL EMPLOYEES

The Executive Branch of State government employs over 15,000 people and is one of the largest single employers in Nevada. The combined employment figure for State and local governments (full- and part-time employees) is over 100,000 persons. To provide health insurance and other benefits to State employees, the Legislature created the Public Employees’ Benefits Program (PEBP). For more information, see the 2016 Policy and Program Report on PEBP. The State also provides retirement benefits for its employees in conjunction with local governments. Retirement benefits for State and local employees are provided by the Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS), and participation in PERS is mandatory for all State and local government employers and employees. For more information, see the 2016 Policy and Program Report on PERS.

Collective Bargaining for Local Government Employees

The Local Government Employee-Management Relations Act was enacted in 1969 (and codified in Chapter 288 [“Relations Between Governments and Public Employees”] of NRS) to allow collective
bargaining for local government employees and address strikes by public employees. The Act created the three-member Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board (EMRB), which is appointed by the Governor. Chapter 288 of NRS legislatively declares it to be the public policy of the State that strikes by State or local government employees are illegal (NRS 288.230). Collective bargaining is defined in NRS 288.033 as “a method of determining conditions of employment by negotiation between representatives of the local government employer and employee organizations, entailing a mutual obligation . . . to meet at reasonable times and bargain in good faith . . . .”

Mandatory subjects of collective bargaining (NRS 288.150) include: (1) salaries or wages, sick leave, vacation, holidays, and leaves of absence; (2) insurance benefits; (3) hours of work required (by day or week) and safety of employees; (4) discharge and disciplinary procedures; (5) methodology for classification of employees in a bargaining unit; (6) deduction of employee organization dues and protection from discrimination due to participation in employee organizations; (7) procedures and requirements for the reopening of collective bargaining agreements that exceed one year in duration for certain negotiations during periods of fiscal emergency; (8) grievance or arbitration procedures for resolution of disputes over agreements; and (9) for teachers, preparation time, classroom materials and supplies, transfers and reassignments, and workforce reduction procedures. Additionally, each school district must establish, and negotiate through a collective bargaining agreement, programs for performance pay and for recruitment and retention of licensed educational personnel.

Matters not subject to collective bargaining and reserved to the local government employer include: (1) transfer or reassignment (except as to teachers, as a form of discipline, or when a school is converted to an achievement charter school or designated as a turnaround school); (2) workforce reduction due to lack of work or money except as limited by bargained procedures; (3) safety of the public; and (4) determination of staffing levels, content of workday, quality and quantity of services to the public, and means and methods of offering services to the public. Supervisory employees are prevented from being members of an employee organization. Also excluded from participating in future collective bargaining agreements are local government employees who are attorneys, doctors, and physicians assigned to a civil department or agency. A collective bargaining agreement that exceeds one year in length must include provisions for reopening the agreement during fiscal emergencies to consider measures relating to revenue shortfalls.

Local government employers may take whatever action is necessary—including suspension of a collective bargaining agreement—in emergency situations such as civil disorder, military actions, natural disasters, or riots. Chapter 288 of NRS also spells out procedures for: (1) recognition of employee organizations; (2) determination of bargaining units; (3) submittal of disputes to mediation, fact finding, or arbitration; (4) timelines for such actions; and (5) the role of the EMRB in appeals and other matters. Finally, arbitrations, EMRB deliberations, fact finding, mediations, and negotiations are not required to be open to the public (NRS 288.220).

Efforts to Extend Collective Bargaining to State Employees
The Legislature has considered bills extending collective bargaining to State employees beginning in the 1970s, but only two such bills have passed:
1. Assembly Bill 130 in 1991 was vetoed by Governor Robert J. Miller, and the veto was sustained in 1993; and

2. Assembly Bill 395 in 2009 was vetoed by Governor Jim Gibbons, and the 2011 Nevada Legislature did not take action to sustain or override the veto.

WEB SITES AND CONTACTS

State of Nevada:  http://www.nv.gov (with links to constitutional officers and State agencies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE GOVERNMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Brian Sandoval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-5670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark A. Hutchison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-7111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara K. Cegavske</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-5708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Schwartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-5600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Knecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 North Carson Street, Suite 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-5632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Paul Laxalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 North Carson Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 684-1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Association of Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Fontaine, Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304 South Minnesota Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 883-7863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website:  <a href="http://www.nvnaco.org">http://www.nvnaco.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wes Henderson, Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 South Curry Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada  89703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 882-2121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website:  <a href="http://www.nvleague.com">http://www.nvleague.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# REGIONAL PLANNING ENTITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tahoe Regional Planning Agency</th>
<th>Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joanne Marchetta, Executive Director</td>
<td>Nicole Maldonado, Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 5310</td>
<td>500 South Grand Central Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stateline, Nevada 89449</td>
<td>Las Vegas, Nevada 89155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 588-4547</td>
<td>(702) 455-3135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.trpa.org">http://www.trpa.org</a></td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.snrpc.org">http://www.snrpc.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly H. Robinson, Executive Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105 Terminal Way, Suite 316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno, Nevada 89502</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(775) 321-8385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://www.imrpa.org">http://www.imrpa.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXECUTIVE BUDGET

The major fiscal document used by legislators is the Executive Budget, which is prepared by the Budget Division in the Governor’s Office of Finance. The Executive Budget contains appropriation and expenditure figures for past fiscal years, agency requests for the upcoming biennium, and gubernatorial recommendations to endorse or modify agency budget requests. Also included are program statements that preface the detailed fiscal information for the various departments, boards, commissions, and other State agencies. The Executive Budget is organized functionally, by agency, with summaries relating to personnel, operating expenses, travel, and requests for equipment.

Starting in 1993, each budget account was segregated into base and current services level budgets, and all requested program enhancements were separately identified. In addition, quantitative indicators of each program’s performance were added to the budget document in 1993.

In the 2011 Session, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 248 (Chapter 137, Statutes of Nevada) and made significant changes to the required budget components. The budgetary message portion of the Executive Budget was expanded to include: (1) a summary of the long-term performance goals for core and other governmental functions; (2) an explanation of how the budget will provide adequate funding and enable progress toward the goals; and (3) an outline of any other important budget features. A second budget component must spell out, for each long-term performance goal and for each intermediate goal, an explanation of how intermediate goals will be met and how progress will be made toward long-term goals. In the 2013 Session, A.B. 333 (Chapter 168, Statutes of Nevada) added a new budget component for analyses prepared by the Offices of Energy and Economic Development on the costs and benefits of each economic development incentive approved by the respective Offices in the immediately preceding two fiscal years.
In the 2015 Session, A.B. 469 (Chapter 343, Statutes of Nevada) moved the Budget Division in the Department of Administration to the newly created Office of Finance in the Office of the Governor.

The introductory materials located at the front of the Executive Budget have general data relating to taxation, revenue, and fiscal trends. This information is useful in understanding the factors involved in calculating budget projections, and it is also useful as a statistical reference on the State of Nevada.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS DIVISION**

The Fiscal Analysis Division of the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) provides the Legislature with the capability for independent review and analysis of budgetary and fiscal matters. The Fiscal Analysis Division examines the Executive Budget and suggests possible changes, provides expenditure and revenue projections to aid the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, and assists the Legislature in the interpretation of factual data related to the fiscal aspects of the operation of State and local government.

Other duties of the Fiscal Analysis Division, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 218F.600, include:

- Analyzing the history and probable future trends of the State’s financial position in order that a sound fiscal policy may be developed and maintained;
- Analyzing appropriation and revenue bills and bills with a fiscal impact; and
- Examining State agencies with regard to their activities and duplication of efforts between them.

The Fiscal Analysis Division prepares a Fiscal Report each session, which summarizes the financial status of the State and the Governor’s budget recommendations for the next biennium. The report contains general information, including highlights of the various governmental functions, and focuses on changes and the expansion of existing programs and recommended new programs.

After each legislative session, the Fiscal Division prepares and publishes the Appropriations Report, which describes in detail the fiscal actions of the Legislature, all appropriation and authorization acts, and changes to the State tax and revenue structure. This report highlights legislative budget actions and serves as a valuable reference document. The link to the 2015 Appropriation’s Report is: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/fiscal/Appropriation%20Reports/2015AppropriationsReport/2015_78th_AppropriationsReport.pdf.

These reports, as well as other reports prepared by the Fiscal Analysis Division, are available through the Legislature’s website at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/.

**STATE REVENUE**

General Fund revenue for the State of Nevada is made up primarily of taxes from various sources, along with non-tax sources such as licenses, fees, and fines.

**NEVADA GENERAL FUND REVENUE**

**ADJUSTED* ECONOMIC FORUM FORECAST, 2015-2017 BIENNIUM**

![Graph showing the breakdown of Nevada General Fund revenue by category.]

**ESTIMATED GENERAL FUND REVENUE: 2015-17 BIENNIAL**

**ADJUSTED ECONOMIC FORUM MAY 1, 2015, FORECAST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxes:</th>
<th>Millions</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Other Taxes:</th>
<th>Millions</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Gaming Taxes (2.)</td>
<td>$1,471.4</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>Mining Tax (1.)</td>
<td>$390.0</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Use Taxes (1.)</td>
<td>$2,258.4</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>Annual Slot Tax Transfer</td>
<td>$10.0</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Premium Taxes (2.)</td>
<td>$681.2</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>Other Tobacco Tax</td>
<td>$25.4</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Tax (1.)</td>
<td>$348.0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>Branch Bank Excise Tax</td>
<td>$5.0</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Entertainment Tax:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Subtotal Other Taxes</td>
<td>$80.4</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming Establishments (1.)</td>
<td>$221.3</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>Subtotal Taxes</td>
<td>$7,047.8</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Gaming Establishments (1.)</td>
<td>$73.1</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Tax (1.)</td>
<td>$1,899.9</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>Non-Tax Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Business Tax (MBT) (1)(2)(3.)</td>
<td>$239.7</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Car Excise Tax (1.)</td>
<td>$36.6</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Licenses (1.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Property Transfer Tax</td>
<td>$146.5</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>Fees and Fines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business License Fee (1.)</td>
<td>$208.0</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>Use of Money and Property</td>
<td>$132.2</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Tax</td>
<td>$38.8</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenues (1.)</td>
<td>$78.1</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental Services Tax (GST) (1.)</td>
<td>$95.5</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>Subtotal Non-Tax Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total General Fund - Before Tax Credit Programs</td>
<td>$7,500.8</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tax Credit Programs</td>
<td>-$156.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total General Fund - After Tax Credit Programs</td>
<td>$7,344.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for legislative actions approved by the 2015 Legislature (78th Session).
(1.) Denotes a revenue source affected by legislative actions approved by the 2015 Legislature. See Table 2 on page 18 for a description of the legislative action and the estimated impact for each revenue source.
(2.) The Gaining Percentage Fee Tax, the Modified Business Tax, and the Insurance Premium Tax may be impacted by one or more tax credit programs approved by the Legislature during the 2013 and 2015 Regular Sessions and the 2014 Special Session. See Table 3 on page 24 and the General Fund Revenues Table on page 7 for information on the tax credit programs and their estimated impact.
(3.) The amount shown for the Modified Business Tax (MBT) represents the estimates for the nonfinancial, financial, and mining portions of the MBT based on the legislative actions approved by the 2015 Legislature, including the credit of up to 50% of the Commerce Tax against the MBT.

Article 10 (“Taxation”) of the *Nevada Constitution* contains, among other things, a prohibition on an inheritance tax and a prohibition on a tax upon the “wages or personal income of natural persons.” The general constitutional rule is that the Legislature “shall provide by law for a uniform and equal rate of assessment and taxation, and shall prescribe such regulations as shall secure a just valuation for taxation of all property, real, personal and possessor. . . .” However, the *Nevada Constitution* contains sections qualifying the general rule, including a specific provision on the taxation of minerals.
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and exemptions for certain types of property. Article 9 (“Finance and State Debt”) of the Constitution relates to the use of license and registration fees for motor vehicles and excise taxes on gasoline and other motor vehicle fuels for public highways, with certain qualifications.

Article 4 (“Legislative Department”) of the Constitution was amended in 1996 to require a two-thirds majority of each house for any bill or resolution that “creates, generates, or increases any public revenue in any form, including but not limited to taxes, fees, assessments and rates, or changes in the computation bases for taxes, fees, assessments and rates.”

Statutory provisions governing taxation are found primarily in Title 32 (“Revenue and Taxation”) of NRS. Prior to each legislative session, the Fiscal Analysis Division prepares an updated version of its biennial publication titled, Revenue Reference Manual. The Manual is available on the Division’s website at http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/, and it provides detailed information on the State’s major General Fund tax revenue sources, along with information on:

- Tax legislation history;
- Tax exemptions and abatements;
- Local government finance issues; and
- Education funding information.

ECONOMIC FORUM

The Economic Forum was created by the Legislature during the 1993 Legislative Session (NRS 353.226 through 353.229). The Economic Forum is responsible for providing forecasts of the State’s General Fund revenues for each biennium budget period. By statute, the Economic Forum is required to provide forecasts on or before December 3 of even-numbered years and on or before May 1 of odd-numbered years. The December 3 estimates are used by the Governor in preparing budget recommendations presented to the Legislature in January of odd-numbered years. The May 1 forecast is the final official revenue estimate that must be used by the Legislature in balancing General Fund appropriations with projected General Fund revenues for each biennial budget period.

The Economic Forum is a five-member committee whose members cannot be employees or officers of the State Government. The Governor appoints three members to the Forum, and the Majority Leader of the Senate and Speaker of the Assembly each appoint one member. The Forum is assisted in preparing the forecast by a seven-member Technical Advisory Committee on Future State Revenues made up of the Senate and Assembly Fiscal Analysts; the Chief of the Budget Division; the head of the Research Division of the Employment Security Division within the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation; the State Demographer; the Vice Chancellor for Finance of the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE); and the Chair of the Committee on Local Government Finance.

By law, the Economic Forum also is required to meet on or before June 10 of each even-numbered year, and December 10 of each odd-numbered year, and to report to the Interim Finance Committee (IFC) on these meetings. At these meetings, the Economic Forum receives an update on the status of
actual State General Fund revenue collections compared to its most recent forecast and considers information on current economic indicators. These additional meetings are not used for making or modifying forecasts.

The reports of the Economic Forum are available on the Legislature’s website under “Interim Info” at:  http://www.leg.state.nv.us/. Additional information on the Economic Forum is available through the Fiscal Division’s website at:  http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/Economic%20Forum/.

BUDGET CALENDAR

Budget preparation typically begins in the spring of an even-numbered year when the Budget Division issues instructions to Executive Branch agencies. Agency budgets must be submitted for review to the Budget Division and the Fiscal Analysis Division by September 1. On or before December 3, the Economic Forum must prepare a written report of its projections of economic indicators and estimate of future State revenue and present the report to the Governor and the Legislature. This estimate of revenue must be used by the Budget Division in preparing a final version of the proposed Executive Budget, which must be submitted to the Legislature not later than 14 calendar days before the start of the regular legislative session. See the State Budget Act at NRS 353.150 through 353.246.

During the legislative session, the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means hold hearings to consider budget requests. Often, these committees meet jointly to hear testimony concerning agency budgets. On or before May 1 during the legislative session, the Economic Forum must prepare a written report confirming or revising its earlier projections of economic indicators and estimate of future State revenue.

INTERIM FINANCE COMMITTEE

In 1969, the Legislature created the IFC to function between sessions and to administer a contingency fund. This fund was set up to provide monies for State agencies to address unforeseen needs or emergencies when the Legislature is not in session. The IFC also reviews State agency requests to accept certain gifts and grants, to modify legislatively approved budgets, and to reclassify State merit system positions in certain circumstances. The IFC is composed of the members of the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means.

STATE GENERAL FUND

The 2015 Legislature appropriated $7.3 billion from the State General Fund over the 2015-2017 Biennium. Following is information from page 34 of the Fiscal Analysis Division’s 2015 Appropriations Report detailing the percentages appropriated to the different areas of State government, including:

- K through 12 education;
- The NSHE;
- Public safety;
• Commerce and industry; and
• Human services.

NEVADA GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS
LEGISLATURE APPROVED — 2015-2017 BIENNIUM

LEGISLATURE APPROVED APPROPRIATIONS - 2015-17 BIENNIUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elected Officials a, b.</td>
<td>$133,768,451</td>
<td>$161,826,363</td>
<td>$296,683,814</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance &amp; Administration b, c.</td>
<td>$58,288,357</td>
<td>$68,631,669</td>
<td>$127,920,026</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten to 12th Grade</td>
<td>$1,378,528,179</td>
<td>$1,431,807,725</td>
<td>$2,811,335,904</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada System of Higher Education</td>
<td>$530,902,990</td>
<td>$541,622,619</td>
<td>$1,072,525,609</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Education b</td>
<td>$303,003</td>
<td>$309,445</td>
<td>$612,448</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Education</td>
<td>$1,910,894,172</td>
<td>$1,973,739,789</td>
<td>$3,884,633,961</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce &amp; Industry</td>
<td>$64,383,766</td>
<td>$53,832,342</td>
<td>$118,216,108</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services</td>
<td>$1,044,884,014</td>
<td>$1,126,680,087</td>
<td>$2,171,564,091</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>$311,912,105</td>
<td>$316,802,043</td>
<td>$628,714,148</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$30,645,160</td>
<td>$31,411,513</td>
<td>$62,056,673</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Purpose Agencies</td>
<td>$5,477,591</td>
<td>$5,707,891</td>
<td>$11,185,482</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,558,057,616</td>
<td>$3,738,711,497</td>
<td>$7,296,769,113</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The 2015 Legislature approved moving the Department of Administration, Budget Division and Division of Internal Audits to the newly created Office of Finance in the Office of the Governor. The operating appropriations for the Office of Finance were transferred from the Finance and Administration function to the Elected Officials function for Legislature Approved Appropriations 2015-17 Biennium.

b. The 2015 Legislature transferred Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) from Other Education in the Education functional area to Elected Officials and the operating appropriation included in the new functional area in Legislature Approved Appropriations 2015-17 Biennium.

c. The Finance and Administration function for Legislature Approved Appropriations 2015-17 Biennium includes $4,231,214 pursuant to Section 4 of A.B. 489, $13,185,284 pursuant to Section 5 of A.B. 489, and $19,134,816 pursuant to Section 7 of A.B. 489 appropriated to the Board of Examiners for salary adjustment allocations.

BUDGET STABILIZATION ACCOUNT

In 1991, the Legislature created the Fund to Stabilize the Operation of the State Government (NRS 353.288). The 2011 Legislature, through the enactment of Senate Bill 74 (Chapter 100, Statutes of Nevada), changed the name to the “Account to Stabilize the Operation of State Government.” This account—often referred to as the “Rainy Day Account”—is a source of money that can be used under certain circumstances to offset a budget shortfall or a fiscal emergency.

FISCAL MEASURES

Article 11 (“Education”) of the Nevada Constitution was amended in 2006 through the “Education First” initiative, as approved by the voters, to require that, “before any other appropriation is enacted to fund a portion of the state budget for the next ensuing biennium, the Legislature shall enact one or more appropriations to provide the money the Legislature deems to be sufficient, when combined with the local money reasonably available for this purpose, to fund the operation of the public schools in the State for kindergarten through grade 12 . . . .”

The General Appropriations Act is one of the most significant bills passed during a regular session. This act establishes the biennial spending plan and makes appropriations from the State General Fund and State Highway Fund for all State agencies and the NSHE. In addition, the Legislature enacts other fiscal bills, including bills to establish the maximum salaries for State employees in the unclassified service and to appropriate money to cover unanticipated shortfalls in budgets of various agencies and funds.

Following is a list of the five major fiscal measures from the 2015 Legislature that were necessary to implement the legislatively approved budget:

1. K–12 Public Education Funding Bill (S.B. 515 [Chapter 537, Statutes of Nevada]);
2. General Appropriations Act (S.B. 514 [Chapter 534, Statutes of Nevada]);
3. State Employee Salary Bill (A.B. 489 [Chapter 472, Statutes of Nevada]);
4. Authorized Expenditures Act (A.B. 490 [Chapter 484, Statutes of Nevada]); and
5. Capital Improvements Program Act (A.B. 491 [Chapter 549, Statutes of Nevada]).

Additional information on any measures referenced in this document is available on the Nevada Legislature’s website at:  http://www.leg.state.nv.us.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- Budget Division, Governor’s Office of Finance:  http://budget.nv.gov/.
- Fiscal Analysis Division, LCB:  http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Fiscal/.
- Department of Taxation:  http://tax.nv.gov/.
GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Biennium
A two-year period beginning on July 1 of an odd-numbered year and ending on June 30 of the next odd-numbered year (e.g., July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2015).

Fiscal Year
A period beginning on July 1 of a calendar year and ending on June 30 of the next calendar year.
The State of Nevada, like most states, offers certain benefits for its employees. Along with retirement benefits, the State of Nevada also offers its employees various health benefits. The first group insurance program was created in 1963 and restructured into the Public Employees’ Benefits Program (PEBP) in 1999 by Senate Bill 544 (Chapter 573, Statutes of Nevada). Over time, the State’s health insurance program has evolved into a self-funded program that is also open to local governments wishing to join. The Legislature oversees PEBP, but it has an independent board with an executive officer who handles the day-to-day operations.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES’ BENEFITS PROGRAM

The PEBP is a State agency that administers the health plan for State and other public employees. It is legislatively mandated to provide group life, accident, or health insurance, or any combination of these (Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 287.043).

The PEBP currently administers various benefits, including: health insurance benefits such as dental, pharmacy, and vision insurance; accidental death and dismemberment insurance; basic life insurance; long-term disability insurance; group travel accident insurance; and flexible spending accounts. Voluntary insurance products are also available, including short-term disability, long-term care, home and auto, and additional life insurance.

The program serves over 40,000 participants, plus approximately 29,000 family members and survivors. Participating government entities include:

- State employees and officers;
- State retirees;
- State legislators;
• Certain Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) professional employees;

• Nonstate participating public agency employees and retirees; and

• Certain nonstate, nonparticipating public agency retirees.

Prior to 1969, only school districts with 25 or fewer employees were eligible to join the State health insurance plan. In the 1969 Legislative Session, the Legislature enacted a bill allowing all counties, municipal corporations, political subdivisions, and school districts to negotiate with the State’s group insurance for inclusion. Senate Bill 278 (Chapter 304, Statutes of Nevada) in the 1993 Session allowed participation by those retirees who were employed by local governments that did not take part in the State’s group insurance program. Prior to 1993, separate treatment of retirees and rating pools were not addressed in the statutes; however, in 1993, the Legislature prohibited “commingling” of the claims experience of nonstate retirees with other groups when setting insurance rates.

The commingling issue has been addressed repeatedly in past legislative sessions. Assembly Bill 564 (Chapter 546, Statutes of Nevada 2001) required the commingling of State active employees and State retirees for purposes of rate-setting. Due to large rate increases for nonstate retirees, A.B. 286 (Chapter 493, Statutes of Nevada 2003) dictated that nonstate active employees and nonstate retirees be commingled when setting rates thereby creating a larger pool and improving chances for a better claims experience. However, the number of nonstate participating agencies has decreased steadily, leaving a nonstate pool consisting mostly of retirees. Nonstate active participants have left PEBP purportedly because they found less expensive insurance elsewhere; the resulting rates for nonstate participants are significantly higher than the rates for State participants as noted in the chart on page 4.

A nonstate active participant is a person who is currently employed by a local government that opted to join PEBP pursuant to NRS 287.045. A nonstate retiree is a retired public employee from a participating local government or a retired employee from a local government who opted into PEBP individually but whose former employer does not participate in PEBP.
The PEBP Board brought forward S.B. 34 for consideration by the 2013 Legislature to require the commingling of the claims experience of State active and retired employees with nonstate active and retired public employees, for the purpose of determining group health insurance rates and coverage, except for nonstate retirees whose last public employer does not participate in PEBP. However, the bill failed to pass.

James R. Wells, C.P.A., Executive Officer, PEBP, advised the PEBP Board on April 18, 2013, of a deteriorating employee-to-retiree ratio for both nonstate participants and State participants. He noted that, from 2003 to 2013, the number of State employees per State retiree decreased from 4.2 to 2.7 and was projected to decrease to 2.4 by the end of 2015.

The rule allowing retirees of nonparticipating local governments to join PEBP changed significantly in 2007 under S.B. 544 (Chapter 496, Statutes of Nevada). If a local government’s active employees do not participate in PEBP, then its retirees may not join PEBP upon retirement unless they enrolled in PEBP on or before November 30, 2008.

As of October 1, 2015, there were nine nonstate active employees. During the 2015 Session, the Nevada Association of Counties proposed A.B. 426 to address this issue. Under the provisions of the bill, counties would be allowed to offer a subsidy to nonstate retirees to purchase health benefits outside of the PEBP system; however, the bill failed to receive a vote in the Assembly Committee on Government Affairs.

FUNDING

State Appropriations and Subsidies

The operations of PEBP are funded through legislative appropriation as part of the budget. Insurance plans within PEBP are funded through contributions of program participants and their employers. State employees are subsidized by their State agency employer for their health insurance coverage, and State agencies must build the cost of providing the active employee subsidy into their budgets. State retirees are subsidized by the State based on the number of years employed in State service in an amount determined by the Legislature each session. In the 2011 Session, A.B. 553 (Chapter 503, Statutes of Nevada) ended retiree subsidies by the State for State employees initially hired on or after January 1, 2012.

Nonstate Subsidies

Nonstate participants may also receive a subsidy from their participating local government while they are actively employed. As required by A.B. 286 of the 2003 Session, nonstate retirees with at least five years of service with a local government and who joined PEBP upon retirement from the local government employer must receive a subsidy from their local government employer that is proportionate to the subsidy paid by the State for State retirees. In the 2009 Session, eligibility for these subsidies was modified for PEBP participants hired on or after January 1, 2010, as set forth in S.B. 427 (Chapter 426, Statutes of Nevada).
Investment Fund

In the 2007 Session, the Legislature required the Public Employees’ Retirement Board, acting as the Retirement Benefits Investment Board, to establish a fund for the purpose of investing money from State and local government trust funds created to fund liabilities associated with post-employment benefits other than retirement. The Retirement Benefits Investment Board established and administered the Retirement Benefits Investment Fund.

PLAN OPTIONS AND RULES

Insurance plan options available for PEBP participants include a self-funded preferred provider organization (PPO) and a statewide Health Maintenance Organization (HMO). Levels of participation in the PPO and HMO are approximately evenly split.

The plan rates depend upon whether the PPO or HMO is chosen, whether the participant is an active employee or retiree, the date of retirement, and how many people in the family are covered. Rate charts are available on the PEBP website at: http://www.pebp.state.nv.us. A bar chart illustrating the premium rates effective July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016, is provided below.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN RECENT SESSIONS

To address budget concerns, the 2009 Legislature made a number of changes to health benefits for public employees. The provisions of S.B. 427 changed subsidy requirements for PEBP participants who are hired on or after January 1, 2010. The State will pay only subsidies for such employees who continuously participate in PEBP after retirement and who have at least 15 years of service or at least 5 years of service and receive disability payments from the Public Employees’ Retirement

*Legislators do not receive a subsidy.
System (PERS) or the NSHE retirement program for professional employees. Local governments must pay the same subsidy as the State if the local government retiree has participated continuously in PEBP since retirement and has at least 15 years of service or at least 5 years of service and receives disability payments from PERS or the NSHE retirement program for professional employees.

The 2011 Legislature made more changes to public employee health benefits, although most of the changes were made by the Board of the Public Employees’ Benefits Program to address an $85 million budget shortfall. Looking to the future, A.B. 553 eliminated retiree subsidies for State employees who are hired on or after January 1, 2012, but allowed them (as retirees) to participate in PEBP until they reach Medicare age. Among the changes made by the PEBP Board was the decision to move Medicare-eligible retirees to an individual market Medicare exchange, making Nevada the first state to offer a Medicare exchange to its retirees. The Legislature supported this decision by providing subsidies to Medicare-eligible retirees to offset the cost of purchasing supplemental coverage (A.B. 562 [Chapter 502, Statutes of Nevada 2011]).

The biennial reinstatement period was eliminated by A.B. 76 (Chapter 120, Statutes of Nevada 2011), but eligible persons may now re-enroll annually before the start of a plan year. The bill also clarified that some retirees may only reinstate coverage once, and some retirees may not reinstate coverage if they are covered by certain other plans or trusts. Assembly Bill 80 (Chapter 453, Statutes of Nevada 2011) updated Nevada law to comply with the federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act by removing the cap on the age of a dependent child and addressing the exclusion of preexisting conditions. Finally, A.B. 365 (Chapter 202, Statutes of Nevada 2011) provided that the option for groups of 300 or more State employees to leave the Program requires the departing group to include both its active and retired members.

The 2013 Legislature made some changes relevant to retirees participating in the PEBP. Assembly Bill 419 (Chapter 412, Statutes of Nevada) increased the number of members on the PEBP Board from nine to ten with an additional member who is retired from public employment and appointed by the Governor.

To comply with provisions of the Affordable Care Act, the 2015 Legislature approved S.B. 472 (Chapter 281, Statutes of Nevada), which revised the date on which certain public employees, State officers, and members of the Legislature become eligible to participate in PEBP to: (1) the date of hire or first day of the term of office of the public employee, State officer, or member of the Legislature if that date is the first day of the month; or (2) the first day of the month immediately following the date of hire or first day of the term of office of the public employee, State officer, or member of the Legislature.

To remove a disincentive for employees to return to state service after previously leaving, S.B. 513 (Chapter 483, Statutes of Nevada 2015) provided, with certain exceptions, that a State employee who returns to work at the same or another State agency after a break in service of more than one year does not lose the subsidy that would otherwise be paid toward his or her health benefits upon retirement.
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The State of Nevada, like most states, offers certain benefits for its employees. The Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS) provides retirement and disability benefits to State workers as well as other public employees. Participation in PERS is mandatory for public employees and provides retirement portability for persons in government service—an important incentive in attracting and retaining public employees. The Legislature oversees PERS, although PERS has an independent board with an Executive Officer and staff who handle day-to-day operations.

**CREATION OF PERS**

The PERS is a statewide entity that administers the retirement plans for State and other public employees. Originally established in 1947, PERS was substantially restructured in 1971 in response to a legislatively commissioned study. Based on recommendations from the 1971 study, PERS moved to full actuarial funding, and the *Nevada Constitution* was amended to create a trust fund and independent board.

Article 9, Section 2 of the *Nevada Constitution* reads, in relevant part:

2. Any money paid . . . for the purpose of funding and administering a public employees’ retirement system, must be segregated in proper accounts in the state treasury, and such money must never be used for any other purposes, and they are hereby declared to be trust funds for the uses and purposes herein specified.
3. Any money paid for the purpose of funding and administering a public employees’ retirement system must not be loaned to the state or invested to purchase any obligations of the state.

To provide legislative oversight, the Interim Retirement Committee was created in 1977. Later renamed the Interim Retirement and Benefits Committee, the Committee also monitors the Public Employees’ Benefits Program.

OPERATION OF PERS

Today PERS is run by a seven-member board in conformance with the Nevada Constitution and Chapter 286 (“Public Employees’ Retirement”) of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), as well as applicable federal law, including Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations. The PERS provides a tax-qualified defined benefit plan for public employees in Nevada and is a consolidated system with two subfunds (Regular and Police and Firefighters’ Retirement Fund) that are pooled for investment purposes. According to the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the PERS for Fiscal Year (FY) ended June 30, 2015, the net position (total assets less total liabilities) of PERS increased from $33.6 billion in FY 2014 to $34.6 billion in FY 2015. The funded ratio increased from 71.5 percent in FY 2014 to 73.2 percent in FY 2015 with full funding targeted using rolling 30-year periods.

DECLARATION OF STATE POLICY FOR PERS (NRS 286.015)

It is the policy of this State to provide, through PERS:

1. A reasonable base income to qualified employees who have been employed by a public employer and whose earning capacity has been removed or has been substantially reduced by age or disability;

2. An orderly method of promoting and maintaining a high level of service to the public through an equitable separation procedure, which is available to employees at retirement or upon becoming disabled; and

3. A system which will make government employment attractive to qualified employees . . . and which will encourage these employees to remain in government service for such periods of time as to give the public employer full benefit of the training and experience gained by these employees while employed by public employers.

Membership

With 195 public employers participating in the system, PERS serves over 103,000 active members (approximately 91,124 regular members and 11,984 police and firefighter members), along with over 58,000 retirees and beneficiaries. Members include State employees, police and firefighters, nonprofessional staff at the Nevada System of Higher Education, county and city employees, and school district and other local district employees.

Separate retirement programs have been created for State legislators (Legislators’ Retirement System) and Supreme Court justices, district court judges, and local judges (Judicial Retirement System).
The Board of Regents of the University of Nevada is required by statute (NRS 286.802) to provide a separate retirement and death benefits program for its professional staff.

**Investment Strategy**

The investment objectives of PERS are to:

- Generate an 8 percent average annual return;
- Minimize risk; and
- Structure an investment program which is sufficiently uncomplicated to control the ability to consistently meet return and risk objectives.

According to PERS, the fund has generated an average annual return of 9.6 percent over the past 31 years, since its inception.

**RECENT LEGISLATION**

Comprehensive reforms to the PERS were enacted by the 2009 Legislature (Senate Bill 427 [Chapter 426, *Statutes of Nevada*]) and the 2015 Legislature (S.B. 406 [Chapter 471, *Statutes of Nevada*]). The 2009 legislation affected members newly hired on or after January 1, 2010, and the 2015 legislation primarily affected members hired on or after July 1, 2015. Many of these changes are reviewed later in this report; however, two changes are highlighted below.

After some well-publicized corruption scandals in Nevada and other states, S.B. 406 requires the forfeiture of retirement benefits if a member is convicted of a felony involving: (1) accepting or giving, or offering to give, any bribe; (2) embezzlement of public money; (3) extortion or theft of public money; (4) perjury; or (5) conspiracy to commit any of these aforementioned crimes.

Senate Bill 406 also provided an additional benefit option for the spouse of a member—or a survivor beneficiary if the member was unmarried at the time of death—who is killed in the line of duty, in the course of employment, in the course of judicial service, or in the course of legislative service. This
additional option authorizes the surviving spouse, or survivor beneficiary, to receive a benefit that is equivalent to either 50 percent of the salary of the member on the date of the member’s death, or 100 percent of the retirement allowance that the member was eligible to receive based on the member’s years of service obtained before the member’s death without any reduction for age for the deceased member, whichever is greater. These benefits must be paid to the spouse, or the survivor beneficiary, for the remainder of that person’s life. This benefit is effective for any member killed in the line of duty on or after July 1, 2013.

Four other bills were enacted by the 2015 Session relevant to the PERS and the Judicial Retirement System (JRS) as summarized below.

1. Assembly Bill 180 (Chapter 57, Statutes of Nevada) requires the PERS to select an audit firm every four years and prohibits PERS from selecting the same firm in consecutive periods;

2. Senate Bill 12 (Chapter 261, Statutes of Nevada) eliminates the Assistant Investment Officer’s position and changes it to Chief Financial Officer;

3. Senate Bill 69 (Chapter 502, Statutes of Nevada) changes certain requirements for a retired judge or justice to become reemployed with the Nevada Court System and still qualify to receive allowances under the Judicial Retirement Plan for the duration of his or her active service; and

4. Senate Bill 420 (Chapter 381, Statutes of Nevada) adds a General Counsel position to the PERS administrative staff.

PLAN FOR REGULAR MEMBERS

**PERS Contributions**

Depending upon their employer, public employees may have a choice of contribution plans: (1) employee/employer joint contributory plan; or (2) employer-pay plan. Under the employee/employer joint contributory plan, the member pays 50 percent of the retirement contributions through a payroll deduction, and the employer pays the other 50 percent. Under the employer-pay plan, the employee pays for their portion of the contribution through a salary reduction and the employer pays 100 percent of the retirement contributions to PERS. Employees pay one-half of the contributions towards their retirement under either plan. Employees under the employee/employer plan may withdraw their contributions upon termination or voluntary departure from State service and forfeit any retirement benefits, but under the employer-pay plan, the member does not accrue refundable contributions and retains their service credit in the event of termination.

The statutory contribution rates are adjusted on July 1 of each odd-numbered year, based on the actuarially determined rates for the immediately preceding year (NRS 286.410).
According to a one-time independent comparable study performed by Aon Hewitt in 2013, the PERS police/fire contribution rate, then at 20.25 percent, was the highest contribution rate charged to members in a survey of 126 public pension plans, and the contribution rate of regular members of PERS was the third highest at 12.25 percent. It is important to note that one factor in the differing contribution rates is that PERS members are not covered by Social Security.

**Retirement Ages, Vesting, and Service Credits**

Eligibility for retirement differs depending on the retirement plan. As of June 30, 2015, the average age at retirement for regular members is 66. Fifty-nine percent of the new regular fund retirees retired with less than 20 years of service, and forty-one percent retired with 20 or more years of service.

Members are fully vested after five years of service and are then eligible to purchase up to five additional years of service credit. The cost to purchase a year of service credit, on average, is about one-third of the employee’s annual pay; it is the full actuarial cost associated with the employee’s age and average compensation at the time of the purchase. For members hired on or after July 1, 2015, purchase of service credit does not count toward eligibility unless there is a qualifying family medical emergency or credit is purchased by the State for the purpose of work force reductions. The purchase of service credit would still count toward the benefit calculation to increase the amount of the monthly benefit, but it will not, except in limited cases, allow them to retire earlier. Eligible members with active military service in Operations Desert Storm, Enduring Freedom, or Iraqi Freedom may purchase up to three additional years of service credit depending upon the number of months served.
**Benefit Factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Hire Prior to January 1, 2010</th>
<th>Date of Hire On or after January 1, 2010</th>
<th>Date of Hire On or after July 1, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Service Credit</td>
<td>Purchase of service credit does not count toward eligibility unless there is a qualifying family medical emergency or credit is purchased by the State for the purpose of work force reductions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERS Benefits**

Determination of benefits is calculated based on years of service, age at retirement, and compensation. First, a percentage is derived by multiplying the number of years of service times a multiplier. The resulting percentage is then applied to the average monthly compensation (defined as the highest 36 consecutive months of earnings) to determine the monthly retirement benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit Factors</th>
<th>Date of Hire Prior to January 1, 2010</th>
<th>Date of Hire On or after January 1, 2010</th>
<th>Date of Hire On or after July 1, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Time Multiplier</td>
<td>2.5 percent prior to July 1, 2001; 2.67 percent after July 1, 2001</td>
<td>2.5 percent</td>
<td>2.25 percent for regular members; and 2.5 percent for police and firefighters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75 percent maximum benefit if hired on or after July 1, 1985)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Compensation</td>
<td>Member’s highest 36-month average compensation.</td>
<td>Member’s highest 36-month average compensation with proviso that each 12-month salary period may not increase greater than 10 percent unless promotion or assignment related.</td>
<td>Member’s highest 36-month average compensation with proviso that each 12-month salary period may not increase greater than 10 percent unless promotion or assignment related.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Cap reportable to PERS</td>
<td>Federal limit.</td>
<td>Federal limit.</td>
<td>$200,000 per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Retirement Increases</td>
<td>2 percent in the 4th, 5th, and 6th years of retirement; 3 percent in the 7th, 8th, and 9th years; 3.5 percent in the 10th, 11th, and 12th years; and 4 percent in the 13th and 14th years; and 5 percent every year thereafter.</td>
<td>2 percent in the 4th, 5th, and 6th years of retirement; 3 percent in the 7th, 8th, and 9th years; 3.5 percent in the 10th, 11th, and 12th years; and 4 percent every year thereafter.</td>
<td>2 percent in the 4th, 5th, and 6th years of retirement; 2.5 percent in the 7th, 8th, and 9th years; and, for every year thereafter, the lesser of 3 percent or the Consumer Price Index cap.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For post-2009 employees, the calculation of average monthly compensation is subject to a cap on salary increases of no more than 10 percent per year in the 24 months leading up to, and during, the
36 months of highest compensation. This is an “anti-spiking” provision, so while the average monthly compensation is still determined based on a 36-month time period, the 24 months preceding the 36-month time period may now impact the calculation of average compensation by limiting any spike in compensation to no more than 10 percent. Assignment-related and promotion compensation are not subject to the cap. Persons whose retirement benefits are affected by this cap are entitled to a refund of contributions related directly to the affected pay. Post-2009 employees are also restricted as to what type of “call-back pay” is considered as compensation for purposes of determining their benefits.

If a member desires to retire early, the monthly benefit is reduced by 4 percent for each full year prior to regular retirement age. For post-2009 members, the monthly benefit is reduced by 6 percent for each full year. The retirement plan also offers survivor and disability benefits to qualified persons. Retirees may choose one of seven benefit options, and the option selected may change the monthly benefit amount.

There are also different restrictions on post-retirement increases for members hired on or after January 1, 2010, and July 1, 2015.

**Sample Calculation of Determination of Benefits**

20 years multiplied by 2.5 percent = 50 percent

50 percent multiplied by $2,000 (monthly salary) = $1,000 monthly benefit

$1,000 is the monthly benefit for a person retired after 20 years of service at 2.5 percent

**NOTE:** The PERS website ([http://www.nvpers.org](http://www.nvpers.org)) offers calculators that can be used to determine monthly benefits based upon a number of variables, including age, years of service, and average compensation. The information on calculations for retirement benefits provided above is for general information purposes only.
PLAN FOR POLICE AND FIREFIGHTERS

The 1975 Legislature created the Police and Firefighters’ Retirement Fund with the enactment of S.B. 336 (Chapter 575, Statutes of Nevada), later clarifying in 1977 this was to be a retirement fund separate from the public employees’ retirement fund. In some instances, police and firefighters may retire at a younger age than regular members. As of June 30, 2015, the average age at retirement for police and firefighter members is 60 years. Twenty-six percent of the new police/fire retirees retired with less than 20 years of service, and seventy-four percent retired with 20 or more years of service.

LEGISLATORS’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The Legislators’ Retirement System (LRS) was created in 1967 and, although overseen by PERS, is a separate retirement system. As of June 30, 2015, the LRS is 83.5 percent funded with full funding targeted for 2027. Legislators contribute 15 percent of their pay each session, and the employer contribution is determined actuarially. Legislators must serve at least ten years to vest in the system. The LRS has survivor (but not disability) benefits. Legislators who leave before vesting have the option of withdrawing their contributions.

The LRS defined benefit is calculated by multiplying $25 by the years of service to determine the monthly benefit Chapter 218C (“Legislators’ Retirement”) of NRS. For example, a legislator with ten years of service would receive a monthly retirement benefit of $250.

JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Members of the JRS include Supreme Court justices and district court judges, as well as local judges. In 2001, the Legislature enacted A.B. 4 (Chapter 4, Statutes of Nevada, 17th Special Session) to replace a prior judicial retirement plan with the JRS, and some justices and judges are still members of PERS or have vested under the prior judicial retirement program. To establish parity between the JRS and the PERS, S.B. 406 of the 2015 Session requires members who have an effective date of membership on or after July 1, 2015, to pay 50 percent of the required contributions to the JRS. Prior to this legislation, members were not required to contribute to the JRS. The JRS plan and benefits are essentially the same as PERS; however, benefit calculations are made using a higher multiplier, which was decreased from 3.4091 percent to 3.1591 percent for every year of service for new members on or after July 1, 2015.

The JRS for the state-level justices is 77.2 percent funded with full funding for state-level justices targeted for 2038. The JRS for local judges is fully funded.

REEMPLOYMENT OF RETIREES

In 2001, legislation was enacted that permits the rehiring of retired employees in positions designated as “critical labor shortages,” without loss of retirement benefits (NRS 286.523). These statutes were originally set to sunset in June 2005 but were extended twice. Senate Bill 406 of the 2015 Session repealed the June 30, 2015, sunset of these provisions.
RETIREMENT BENEFITS INVESTMENT BOARD

The 2007 Legislature required the Public Employees’ Retirement Board, acting as the Retirement Benefits Investment Board (NRS 355.220), to establish a fund for the purpose of investing money from State and local government trust funds created to fund liabilities associated with Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) such as retiree health insurance but not retirement. The Retirement Benefits Investment Board created the Retirement Benefits Investment Fund subject to the powers, duties, and limitations set forth in Chapter 287 (“Programs for Public Employees”) of NRS. As of June 30, 2015, this fund has eight participating public employers and assets of approximately $290 million.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AND PERS CONTACT INFORMATION

Tina M. Leiss
Executive Officer
Telephone: (775) 687-4200
Public Employees’ Retirement System website: http://www.nvpers.org

Reports on the subject of retirement benefits for public employees or websites with additional information include:


- National Association of State Retirement Administrators offers numerous issue briefs on the following website: http://www.nasra.org/content.asp?contentid=132.
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The State’s involvement in health care and health insurance issues is primarily through two agencies: the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and the Division of Insurance (DOI) in the Department of Business and Industry (B&I).

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

The mission of the DHHS is to promote “the health and well-being of Nevadans through the delivery or facilitation of essential services to ensure families are strengthened, public health is protected, and individuals achieve their highest level of self-sufficiency.”

The 2015 Legislature approved General Fund appropriations of $2.172 billion for the DHHS for the 2015-2017 Biennium, an increase of approximately $119.9 million, or 5.8 percent, compared to the appropriations for the 2013-2015 Biennium approved by the 2013 Legislature.

The following offices and their administrators are within the jurisdiction of the DHHS:

**Aging and Disability Services Division**
Jane Gruner, Administrator

**Division of Child and Family Services**
Kelly Wooldridge, Administrator

**Division of Health Care Financing and Policy**
Marta Jensen, Acting Administrator

**Division of Public and Behavioral Health**
Cody L. Phinney, M.P.H., Administrator
Leon Ravin, M.D., Acting Chief Medical Officer
In addition to these divisions and offices, the DHHS administers a variety of other programs and grants, including grants from the Fund for a Healthy Nevada, which is a fund established by the State’s Master Settlement Agreement with the tobacco industry. Originally, the Fund allocations were for defined service areas, including tobacco cessation programs; improvements in health services for children and for persons with disabilities; prescription drugs and pharmaceutical services for senior citizens and certain persons with disabilities; certain dental and vision benefits for senior citizens; and services to assist senior citizens with independent living. However, in 2013, the Legislature revised the requirements by expanding children’s health to include programs that address the health and well-being of all Nevadans; removing the specific funding allocations; and adding a requirement that a statewide community needs assessment be conducted every other year to establish funding priorities. Information about the Fund for a Healthy Nevada grants and funding priorities may be obtained from the DHHS website at: http://dhhs.nv.gov/Programs/Grants/Funding/FHN/Fund_For_A_Healthy_Nevada/.

DIVISION OF INSURANCE

The mission of the DOI is “to protect the rights of Nevada consumers in their experiences with the insurance industry and to ensure the financial solvency of insurers.” The DOI plays an active role in the implementation of health insurance reforms pursuant to the federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA). Primary reforms monitored by the DOI include:

- Assistance for individuals and families to purchase health insurance through federal subsidies;
- Guaranteed access to health insurance regardless of age or health status;
- The inability to decline coverage or charge extra for health insurance due to a preexisting health condition;
- The guarantee of a minimum set of health benefits known as “essential health benefits”;
- Dependent coverage extended to 26 years of age;
- Coverage of most preventive services and immunizations at no expense to the consumer;
- A requirement that insurers in the individual and small group market spend at least 80 cents of every dollar collected from consumers on medical care and services;
• Annual and lifetime dollar limits prohibited on essential health benefits; and

• Certification of provider network adequacy for health maintenance organizations (HMOs) and health benefit plans seeking certification as qualified health plans available for purchase through the health benefit exchange.

The Consumer Services Section (CSS) within the Division is available to assist consumers through enforcement of Title 57 (“Insurance”) of Nevada Revised Statutes pertaining to insurance. The CSS provides consumer advocacy, compliance investigation, insurance information, and assistance through educational materials and public liaison efforts. The “Consumers” link has a form that consumers may use to submit a formal complaint in an effort to resolve a problem with an insurance company or agent conducting business in Nevada. The “Health Care Reform” link provides additional information regarding implementation of the ACA in Nevada. Specifically, as it relates to health insurance and health care reform, the Division has created several informational pages to assist the public:


• Discount Plans: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Discount-Plans/.

• Dental Insurance: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Dental/.

• Rx Plans: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/RX/.

• Vision Insurance: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Vision/.

• Medicare Supplement Insurance: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Medicare-Supplement/.

• Long-Term Care Insurance: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Long-Term-Care-Insurance/.

• Disability Insurance: http://doi.nv.gov/Consumers/Disability-Insurance/.

• How Healthcare Reform Affects You and Your Family: http://doi.nv.gov/Healthcare-Reform/Individuals-Families/.

• How the Affordable Care Act Affects Your Business: http://doi.nv.gov/Healthcare-Reform/Small-Business/.

Additional information may be obtained through the Division’s website (http://doi.nv.gov/) or by calling (775) 687-0700 in Carson City, (702) 486-4009 in Las Vegas, or toll free at (888) 872-3234.

MAJOR COVERAGE PROGRAMS

Medicaid

The Nevada Medicaid program is administered by the Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP), DHHS. The program makes health care services available to low-income persons who are aged, blind, or disabled and to women and children. Medicaid is the largest low-income health care
program in the State, and the program is financed through a combination of money from the State General Fund, local governments, and the federal government. The ACA, in addition to other provisions, provided states with an opportunity to expand Medicaid, offering eligibility for coverage to a childless adult population. The 2015 Legislature approved a State General Fund appropriation of $1.125 billion for Medicaid for the 2015-2017 Biennium. The total budget is approximately $6.424 billion, including $5.299 billion in federal and other funding. The average monthly Medicaid caseloads are 587,907 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 and 576,310 in FY 2017. Nevada was one of the states that opted to expand Medicaid coverage, through the ACA, to adults 19 to 64 years of age with household incomes up to 138 percent of the federal poverty level. Approximately $1.86 billion of the total budget is for the Medicaid expansion population, which currently is funded with 100 percent federal funds.

The federal government, through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), United States Health and Human Services, provides matching funds, known as Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP), to support state-administered Medicaid medical services. The average FMAP rates for the current fiscal biennium are 64.93 percent for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016, and 64.67 percent for FFY 2017. The State receives an enhanced FMAP (100 percent) for individuals who are newly eligible for Medicaid, pursuant to the ACA, for three years (2014 through 2016). The enhanced federal funding for this population will decrease to 95 percent beginning in 2017 and will decrease every year thereafter until 2020 when it reaches 90 percent, where it will remain for each subsequent year. In addition to the FMAP for medical services, there are different FMAP rates for other types of services, such as: administrative; direct care provided by skilled professional medical personnel employed by the State of Nevada; information systems design, development, and implementation costs; and operations of a federally certified Medicaid Management Information System. All of these FMAP rates are defined by federal regulation.

Nevada’s Medicaid administers both fee-for-service and managed care programs. Mandatory Medicaid managed care requires certain persons who receive Medicaid to obtain their medical care from HMOs. For the DHCFP to require persons who receive Medicaid assistance to enroll in a managed care program, there must be at least two managed care companies in a region. Both Clark and Washoe Counties require that medical services to Medicaid recipients be delivered via HMOs. In other areas of the State, due to a lack of managed care companies, health care providers are paid on a fee-for-service basis when they treat a patient who is enrolled in Medicaid.

Medicaid services and the policies that govern these services can be found in the Medicaid Services Manual, which may be reviewed online at: http://dhcfp.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/dhcfnvgov/content/Resources/AdminSupport/Manuals/Medicaid_Services_Manual_Complete.pdf. In addition, Access Nevada is an online application system that allows individuals to apply for Medicaid and Nevada Check Up programs and other programs managed by the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, and is available online at: https://dwss.nv.gov/.

Medicare

Medicare is the nation’s health insurance program for people 65 years of age or older, certain younger people with disabilities, and people with End-Stage Renal Disease, which is permanent kidney failure...
requiring dialysis or a transplant. Unlike the Medicaid program, Medicare eligibility is not based upon low income, nor does Medicare generally cover long-term care. The U.S. Social Security Administration is responsible for the application and eligibility processes, and the CMS administers the Medicare program. In 2014, Medicare spending was estimated to account for 14 percent of the total federal budget and 20 percent of total national health spending.

Medicare benefit payments totaled $597 billion in 2014; roughly one-fourth was for hospital inpatient services (Part A), 12 percent for physician services (Part B), and 11 percent for drug benefits (Part D). Another one-fourth of benefit spending was for Part C, Medicare Advantage private health plans covering all Part A and B benefits.¹

The State Health Insurance Assistance Program (SHIP) is located within the Aging and Disability Services Division, DHHS. Through a statewide network of volunteers, SHIP offers free one-on-one assistance and counseling to Medicare beneficiaries in Nevada with respect to many problems seniors encounter regarding Medicare, supplemental health insurance, and long-term care options. Volunteers may be reached at: (800) 307-4444 or (702) 486-3478 in Las Vegas. Additional information is available online at: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/SHIP/SHIP_Prog/.

A more in-depth description of the federal Medicare program is included in the April 2016 Policy and Program Report on Senior Citizens.

Nevada Check Up

The Nevada Check Up program is the State’s Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP), and it is the second largest health care delivery program administered by the DHCFP. According to the Division, the mission of the Nevada Check Up program is “to provide low-cost, comprehensive health care coverage to low income, uninsured children (birth through 18) who are not covered by private insurance or Medicaid; while: (1) promoting health care coverage for children; (2) encouraging individual responsibility; and (3) working with public and private health care providers and community advocates for children.”

This program also is jointly funded by federal and State funds and is overseen by the CMS. The federal share FMAP rate for FFY 2016 is 98.45 percent and 98.27 percent for FFY 2017. Medical care to children enrolled in Nevada Check Up also is delivered by HMOs. Where there is insufficient HMO coverage, health care providers are paid on a fee-for-service basis.

Toll-free telephone numbers are available for families to determine their eligibility for the program: (877) 543-7669 (in-state) and (800) 992-0900 (out-of-state). Additional details about the program are available on the Nevada Health Link website at https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/individuals-families/medicaidnevada-check-up/ or on the Nevada Check Up website at https://nevadacheckup.nv.gov/.

Senior and Disability Prescription (Rx) Drug Programs

Senior Rx and Disability Rx are funded with a portion of Nevada’s share of tobacco settlement funds. The Senior Rx program is administered by the DHHS and was enacted during the 1999 Legislative Session. Effective January 1, 2007, the State of Nevada began providing assistance with the cost of prescription medicine to qualified individuals with disabilities. The programs help seniors and persons with disabilities who are Medicare eligible with their monthly premiums for their Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Plan and helps with prescription costs if they reach the Part D coverage gap (frequently referred to as the “donut hole”).

More information about Senior Rx may be found at: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/SeniorRx/SrRxProg/. Disability Rx information may be found at: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Physical/DisabilityRx/DisabilityRx/. Additional information for both programs may be obtained by calling (775) 687-0539, if calling from Reno, Carson City, or Gardnerville areas, or toll free at (866) 303-6323, option 7, if outside these areas.

Office for Consumer Health Assistance

For assistance when a health insurance claim is denied or with other problems with a person’s individual or group policy, questions may be directed to the Office for Consumer Health Assistance, DHHS, at: (888) 333-1597. This Office acts as “a single point of contact for consumers and injured workers to assist them in understanding their rights and responsibilities under Nevada law and health care plans, including industrial insurance policies.” The Office also will assist Medicaid consumers.

The Silver State Health Insurance Exchange

The Silver State Health Insurance Exchange was established by Senate Bill 440 (Chapter 439, Statutes of Nevada 2011) to create and administer a State-based health insurance exchange, facilitate the purchase and sale of qualified health plans, and provide for the establishment of a program to help certain small employers in Nevada facilitate the enrollment of employees in qualified health plans pursuant to the ACA and the federal Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010. The Exchange is governed by a board of directors, consisting of seven voting members and the directors of the DHHS, B&I, and Department of Administration. The board appoints an executive director of the Exchange, who in turn may employ such persons as necessary and as funding allows. In 2014, as a result of technical difficulties in the development of the State-based health exchange, the board of directors made a decision to use the federal platform (https://www.healthcare.gov/) for the eligibility and enrollment functions. In addition, the responsibility for premium billing and collection activities was transferred from the exchange to the insurance carries, to minimize potential misallocations, errors, and the need for reconciliations. Finally, the board increased the opportunity for face-to-face assistance, through the in-person assistance search tool, which helps individuals find Navigator organizations, certified enrollment assisters, and licensed brokers and agents in their area. The Exchange is funded through federal funds, fees, and interagency transfers. The Exchange’s web portal, Nevada Health Link, helps individuals and employers shop, compare, and purchase health insurance plans that fit their needs. The Open Enrollment Period for the 2016 plan year begins on November 1, 2015, and continues through January 31, 2016.
Additional information regarding the Exchange and Nevada Health Link may be found at: [https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/sshix/](https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/sshix/).

**HEALTH CARE SERVICES AND FACILITIES**

**Health Care Services**

For the most part, people who have health insurance have access to high-quality health care services. However, there is limited access to providers for persons who do not have health insurance. Without health insurance, access to specialist physicians is particularly limited.

Nationally, Nevada consistently ranks at or near the bottom of the list on major indicators of health status for the State’s population. In addition, the Nevada Primary Care Association (NVPCA) reports that the health status of some groups falls far below the State mean. Some populations in Nevada have mortality and morbidity rates that are among the highest in the nation. Native Americans, immigrants, homeless people, uninsured individuals, and low-income families tend to have chronic and acute health problems worse than the average population. In addition, oral health and behavioral health problems are severe for the State’s overall population, due in part to limited health care resources for underserved people.

Community health centers fill the void for many who do not have health insurance. These centers are local, nonprofit, community-owned health care providers that serve low-income and medically underserved communities. Community and tribal clinics in the State provide high-quality, affordable primary care and preventive services, and they often offer on-site dental, pharmaceutical, and mental health and substance abuse services. Also known as federally qualified health centers, they are located in areas where care is needed but scarce.

More information about the State’s community and tribal clinics may be obtained from NVPCA’s website at: [http://www.nvpca.org](http://www.nvpca.org). In addition, information on all Nevada public health policymaking organizations and population-based health service providers, including their missions, duties, and jurisdictions, may be accessed on the Nevada Public Health Foundation’s website at: [http://www.nevadapublichealthfoundation.org/](http://www.nevadapublichealthfoundation.org/).

Major hospitals in Nevada are required to reduce or discount the total billed charge of a hospital stay by at least 30 percent for hospital services provided to an inpatient who:

- Has no insurance or other contractual provision for the payment of the charge by a third party;
- Is not eligible for coverage by a federal or State program of public assistance that would provide for the payment of the charge; and
- Makes reasonable arrangements within 30 days after discharge to pay the hospital bill.
Health Care Facilities

Health care facilities such as hospitals and nursing homes are regulated in Nevada by the Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance (BHCQC) in the Division of Public and Behavioral Health, DHHS. In addition, entities such as assisted living facilities and group homes are regulated by the BHCQC. Complaints regarding the quality of care in these facilities may be directed to the BHCQC by calling (775) 684-1030 in Carson City or (702) 486-6515 in Las Vegas.

The Aging and Disability Services Division of the DHHS is able to assist consumers in locating information about nursing homes. It also assists seniors with complaints about quality of care issues. Consumers may obtain additional information about the Division’s services at: http://adsd.nv.gov/.

Complaints about the quality of care provided by individual employees in the facilities may be directed to the employee’s licensing board, particularly if the employee is a certified nursing assistant, nurse, physician, psychiatrist, or other licensed medical or mental health professional. For information on how to find contact information for these licensing boards, see the section titled, “Health Care Professionals—Disciplinary Action,” below.

HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS

Health Care Professionals—Disciplinary Action

The Legislative Counsel Bureau maintains a list of disciplinary reports from the State’s occupational and health care provider licensing boards on its website at: https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/OL/A/. Relevant contact information for the boards is available in these reports.

Supply of Health Professionals

According to the Health Workforce in Nevada, 2015 Edition, University of Nevada School of Medicine, report, Nevada is ranked 48th in the U.S. for the number of physicians per capita, 50th for primary care physicians, 41st for psychologists, 50th for social workers, 47th for psychiatrists, and 51st for registered nurses. In addition, Nevada ranks 43rd in physicians with medical specialties and 51st in physicians with surgical specialties, including 51st in general surgery and 51st in orthopedic surgery. The aging population, the increased access to health care through the ACA, and a national shortage of nurses and specific specialists all support policymakers’ efforts to develop a statewide system for determining and addressing the State’s needs for health care professionals to provide care.

WEBSITES AND ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Department of Health and Human Services
Telephone: (775) 684-4000
Website: http://dhhs.nv.gov/
Office for Consumer Health Assistance  
Toll-free Telephone: (888) 333-1597  
Website: http://dhhs.nv.gov/programs/CHA  
Website: https://nv.pparx.org

Aging and Disability Services Division  
Telephone (Carson City): (775) 687-4210  
Telephone (Elko): (775) 738-1966  
Telephone (Las Vegas): (702) 486-3545  
Telephone (Reno): (775) 688-2964  
Website: http://adsd.nv.gov

Senior Rx and Disability Rx  
Telephone: (775) 687-0539 (from Reno-Carson City-Gardnerville areas)  
Toll-free Telephone: (866) 303-6323, option 7 (from outside Reno-Carson City-Gardnerville areas)  
Website: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/SeniorRx/SrRxProg  
Website: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Physical/DisabilityRx/DisabilityRx

Division of Health Care Financing and Policy  
Telephone: (775) 684-3676  
Website: https://dhcfp.nv.gov/

Nevada Check Up  
Toll-free Telephone (in-state): (877) 543-7669  
Toll-free Telephone (out-of-state): (800) 992-0900  
Website: https://nevadacheckup.nv.gov/

Nevada Medicaid  
Telephone: (775) 684-3600  
Website: http://www.medicaid.nv.gov

Division of Public and Behavioral Health  
Telephone: (775) 684-4200  
Website: http://dpbh.nv.gov/

Division of Welfare and Supportive Services  
Telephone: (775) 684-0500  
Toll-free Voice Response System Telephone: (800) 992-0900  
Website: https://dwss.nv.gov/

Division of Insurance  
Department of Business and Industry  
Telephone (Carson City): (775) 687-0700  
Telephone (Las Vegas): (702) 486-4009  
Website: http://doi.nv.gov
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Human services are essential services designed to meet the basic subsistence needs of individuals and families who cannot meet these needs on their own. In Nevada, the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) provides such services. The mission of DHHS is to ensure that families are strengthened, public health is protected, and individuals achieve their highest level of self-sufficiency.

The availability of income supports, job training, food assistance, childcare, and similar services are critical for helping the State’s most vulnerable residents take care of themselves. Two of the most vulnerable segments of the population—residents under 18 years of age and those over 65 years of age—account for 37 percent of the State population; 23 percent and 14 percent, respectively.

In 2015, the State Legislature approved $2.172 billion in General Fund support for Human Services for the 2015-2017 Biennium. This represents a 5.8 percent increase compared to previous biennium.¹

This report provides an overview of economic assistance programs, child welfare services, mental health and developmental services, and substance abuse services in the State of Nevada.

ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Various services are available through numerous programs to help Nevadans who are unable to meet basic economic needs. The Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS) of DHHS administers most of these programs, which provide cash, food, or employment assistance, or a combination thereof. Certain low-income individuals or families may be eligible for multiple programs. Key economic assistance programs in Nevada are described in brief below.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families

Nevada’s Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program offers temporary financial assistance and services to help families with dependent children achieve self-sufficiency. The TANF program provides time-limited assistance in the form of cash payments, vouchers, and other benefits to cover a family’s ongoing basic needs, such as food, clothing, shelter, and utilities. Additional support services, such as childcare and transportation, are available to certain participants who are employed or actively looking for work.

To be eligible for TANF, an individual or family must meet certain financial and nonfinancial requirements, as outlined in the box below. In order to receive monthly benefits, participants must comply with the conditions outlined in their Personal Responsibility Plan, which specifies the services they will receive and steps they must take to achieve their goals—including work participation requirements. Failure to comply with these and other requirements may result in a loss of benefits.

TANF Eligibility Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FINANCIAL</th>
<th>NONFINANCIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Household income less than or equal to 130 percent of the federal poverty level ($31,525 for a family of four in 2015); and</td>
<td>• United States citizen or qualifying immigrant;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Less than $6,000 in assets (not including a vehicle, home, household goods and personal items).</td>
<td>• Nevada resident;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Relative or adoptive caregiver of a child;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• School attendance by child as required by State law;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Proof of child’s immunizations; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Compliance with child support enforcement program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Employees of Nevada

All work-eligible TANF participants are required to participate in New Employees of Nevada (NEON), the Division of Welfare and Supportive Service’s employment and training program.
The program provides numerous services to help TANF households with a means to acquire basic and vocational skills to achieve economic independence through job training, employment, and wage gain in an effort to reduce or eliminate dependency on public assistance programs.

All TANF-NEON participants are evaluated to determine vocational abilities and interests. Additional screening identifies specific issues, such as domestic violence, substance abuse, and parenting, which may inhibit successful transition to productive employment. Support services such as childcare, clothing, tools, transportation, and other items necessary to facilitate rapid transition into the workforce are available to help meet these needs.

**Funding and Benefit Limitations for TANF and TANF-NEON**

The TANF program is funded by State General Fund revenue, program revenue, and a federal block grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Federal law limits participation in TANF to a total five years during a person’s lifetime. In Nevada, once a TANF recipient receives assistance for 24 months, he or she may not participate in the program for the following 12 consecutive months, unless suffering certain hardships.

**Caseload in Nevada**

Since the 2007 recession, the number of Nevadans participating in TANF programs has grown—even as the economy improved. In 2015, TANF cash assistance programs served a monthly average of 12,233 Nevadans and TANF-NEON served an average of 8,220.

The DWSS attributes continued growth to the 2014 expansion of Medicaid, which streamlined the application process for both programs. More efficient eligibility and application processes have improved program access by eliminating certain barriers to entry. For example, new Medicaid applicants also learn whether they are eligible for TANF.

**Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program**

Formerly known as the Food Stamp program, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a federally funded program that helps low-income households buy nutritious food. Administered by DWSS, administrative costs are borne equally by State and federal government. Benefits are available to qualifying families, single adults, and elderly people.

To be eligible for SNAP, most applicants must not have more than set income limits; gross income must be less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level and net income must be less than or equal to 100 percent of poverty ($48,500 and $24,250 for a family of four in 2015, respectively). Households that receive TANF, General Assistance, Supplemental Security Income, or a combination of these programs are “categorically eligible” and not subject to these requirements. The resource limit for households without elderly or disabled members is $2,000; the limit increases to $3,250 for households with elderly or disabled members. The limit excludes one vehicle, home, household goods, and personal items.
Employment and Training Requirement

Certain SNAP participants are required to participate in the Supplemental Nutrition Employment and Training Program (SNAPET) as a condition of eligibility. This program promotes employment through job search activities and the provision of support services, such as transportation reimbursement, bus passes, and assistance paying for job search expenditures. Among those exempt from participating in SNAPET are participants under 16 years of age, those aged 60 and older, the disabled, those caring for children under the age of 6 or disabled family members, those already working or who are already required to participate in TANF-NEON, and those aged 16 or 17 who are attending school.

Caseload in Nevada

Since the recession began in 2007, the SNAP caseload has increased from a monthly average of approximately 56,600 Nevadans to 204,859 in 2015. The SNAPET caseload peaked in 2010, serving a monthly average of 343; in 2015, an average of 188 were served each month.

Women, Infants, and Children Supplemental Food Program

The Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) is a federally funded program that provides nutritious foods, health care referrals, and nutrition education to low-income pregnant, postpartum, and breastfeeding women, and to infants and children up to five years of age who are at nutritional risk. Administered by the Division of Public and Behavioral Health (DPBH), DHHS, WIC provides access to healthy foods, health screening, and information on health care services, such as prenatal care, immunizations, and family planning, among other community services.

To qualify for WIC, an applicant must be: (1) a pregnant woman; (2) a postpartum woman, up to six months after giving birth; (3) a breastfeeding woman, up to the breastfed infant’s first birthday; or (4) an infant or child under five years of age. Participants must live in Nevada and provide proof of residency. Income eligibility guidelines are higher than for most other public assistance programs—up to 185 percent of the federal poverty level ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015). Households participating in Medicaid, TANF, or SNAP automatically meet WIC income eligibility requirements. In order to qualify, applicants must also be determined to have a nutritional risk.

As the State population increased in recent years, and following the expansion of Medicaid, participation in WIC also grew, increasing from an average monthly caseload of 50,232 in 2007 to 71,706 in 2015.

Childcare Assistance

The Child Care and Development Program subsidizes childcare for low-income families receiving public assistance and those transitioning from public assistance in obtaining childcare so they can work or attend educational or training programs. Funded by both State and federal funds, and administered by DWSS, eligibility activities are conducted by program contractors—the Children’s Cabinet in
northern Nevada and the Las Vegas Urban League in southern Nevada. Subsidies are available to low-income families who are working, searching for work, or participating in TANF-NEON.

To be eligible for childcare subsidy assistance, a family must have a child less than 13 years of age, or 19 years of age if the child has a verified special need, and family income must not surpass 75 percent of the State’s median income, based on the size of the household. Other factors that determine eligibility include citizenship, immunizations, relationship, residency, and Social Security numbers.

In 2015, an average of 5,673 children were served each month.

**CHILD WELFARE SERVICES**

The State provides numerous services to ensure the welfare of children—one of the most vulnerable segments of society. Services include direct child protective services, foster care, independent living services, and adoption. The Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS), DHHS, is responsible for oversight of child welfare and child welfare services statewide, and it provides direct services to children and families in all counties except those with a population of 100,000 or more. The Clark County Department of Family Services and the Washoe County Department of Social Services provide child welfare services respectively in Clark and Washoe Counties.

*Child Protective Services*

Child Protective Services (CPS) aims to ensure the safety of children who have been reported as being abused or neglected. The goal of CPS is to protect children from harm and the risk of harm. CPS agencies respond to reports of child abuse and neglect as defined in statute, including nonaccidental physical or mental injury, sexual abuse or exploitation, negligent treatment, maltreatment, and excessive corporal punishment. Such reports are investigated, family assessments are conducted and, if necessary, emergency shelter care or foster care is provided. Referrals also may be made to community-based services to prevent entry into the child welfare system. Chapter 432B (“Protection of Children From Abuse and Neglect”) of *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) addresses child protection services and procedures in Nevada.

Additional CPS information is available at:  [http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/CPS/CPS/](http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/CPS/CPS/).

*Foster Care*

If a child’s protection and safety cannot be met in the child’s home, substitute care, such as foster care, may be necessary. Foster care provides a temporary placement for children removed from their home for their protection, until a permanent placement is established. The circumstances in which a child is or may need protection are outlined in NRS 432B.330 and include, but are not limited to, abandonment, abuse, or neglect by a person responsible for the child’s welfare.

A foster care caseworker assigned to a child assists in developing a plan and works to reduce the safety threats in the child’s family with the goal of the child returning home. Depending on the situation, a permanency plan developed with the district court may include family reunification,
placement with relatives, adoption, or independent living. Chapter 424 (“Foster Homes for Children”) of NRS addresses foster care in greater detail.

**Nevada Independent Living Program**

Designed to help youth in foster care and former foster youth transition from foster care to adulthood, the Nevada Independent Living Program provides opportunities to learn skills—such as money management, decision-making, substance abuse, and pregnancy prevention—that help youth become independent and self-sufficient. It also provides services such as financial assistance with college or vocational schools, mentorship, and counseling. Certain Nevada youth are eligible for the program until their 21st birthday. These include Nevada foster youth aged 15 and older, youth who aged-out of foster care at age 18, and foster youth who were adopted when they were 16 or older.

Additional information on the program is available at:  [http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/IL/](http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/IL/).

**Adoption**

The goal of the State’s adoption program is to provide safe and permanent homes for children whose birth parents cannot care for them. Most foster children are adopted by relatives and foster parents; others may require recruitment efforts to locate appropriate families. The DCFS and county agencies providing child welfare services offer financial, medical, and social services to help adoptive parents and to encourage and support adoption of foster children with special needs.

Additional adoption information is available at:  [http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/Adoption/](http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CWS/Adoption/).

**MENTAL HEALTH AND DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES**

The State provides a diverse array of mental health care and developmental services. Services include varying levels of inpatient, outpatient, and community-based care for Nevadans of all ages. Within the DHHS, adult mental health care services are provided primarily by the DPBH, children’s mental health services are provided by DCFS, and developmental services are provided by the Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD). Prior to DHHS’s reorganization in 2013, mental health and developmental services for adults were provided by the former Division of Mental Health.

**Mental Health**

The following mental health programs and services are offered in Nevada, although some are only available in urban areas:

- Consumer-directed peer-support programs;
- Inpatient psychiatric hospital services (urban areas);
- Medication clinics;
Human Services

- Mental health court counseling and treatment services;
- Mobile crisis units (urban areas);
- Outpatient and co-occurring disorders treatment services;
- Outpatient counseling;
- Programs for assertive community treatment (urban areas);
- Psychiatric emergency services (urban areas);
- Psychosocial rehabilitation;
- Residential programs;
- Senior outreach; and
- Service coordination.

In 2015, more than 15,000 adults received mental health services on average every month. Of those, Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health served a monthly average of 8,582 individuals and Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health served approximately 4,120. Rural clinics served more than 2,500 on average each month.

Title 39 (“Mental Health”) of NRS outlines key provisions regarding mental health in Nevada. Additional information on key mental health programs is available at: http://dpbh.nv.gov/Programs/Programs/.

**Children’s Mental Health**

Children’s mental health services are provided by DCFS. Pursuant to Chapter 433B (“Additional Provisions Relating to Children”) of NRS, the State is required to provide certain mental health services to people less than 18 years of age or, if in school, until graduation from high school. The DCFS provides mental and behavioral health services and psychiatric services through community-based, inpatient, outpatient, and residential services to children and families. Services are available for children with emotional disturbances, and mental health programming includes early childhood services, residential care, residential treatment center for adolescents, and an acute residential treatment center. Children’s mental health services are provided regionally—by Northern Nevada Child and Adolescent Services in the Reno/Sparks area, Southern Nevada Child and Adolescent Services in the Las Vegas valley, and by Rural Mental Health, DPBH, in rural regions of the State.
In addition, the Mobile Crisis Response Team provides immediate care and treatment to children and youth who have mental and behavioral health needs in order to reduce unnecessary psychiatric hospitalizations and other disruptions.

Additional information is available at: http://dcfs.nv.gov/Programs/CMH/.

**Involuntary Commitment**

Three types of admission to a mental health facility exist in Nevada: (1) voluntary; (2) emergency; and (3) involuntary. These are governed by Chapter 433A ("Admission to Mental Health Facilities or Programs of Community-Based or Outpatient Services; Hospitalization") of NRS. Involuntary, or court-ordered, admission of a person alleged to be suffering from a mental illness, as defined in statute, as well as emergency admission of such a person, may be commenced by certain persons, including certain family, health care professionals, and law enforcement.

In 2015, the Nevada Legislature added physician assistants to the list of people authorized to file an application for an emergency admission or a petition for the involuntary court-ordered admission of a person alleged to have a mental illness to a mental health facility or to a program of community-based or outpatient services.

The Legislature also expanded the list of health professionals authorized to complete a certificate stating that a person has a mental illness and, because of that mental illness, is likely to harm himself or herself or others if not admitted to certain facilities or programs, to include a physician assistant under the supervision of a psychiatrist, a psychologist, a clinical social worker with certain psychiatric training and experience, an advanced practice registered nurse with certain psychiatric training and experience, or an accredited agent of the DHHS. The State Board of Nursing and the Board of Examiners for Social Workers must adopt regulations prescribing the psychiatric training and experience necessary for the professionals they license to meet the qualifications to complete the certificate.

In addition, a person who is related by blood or marriage within the second degree of consanguinity or affinity to a person alleged to have a mental illness is now prohibited from completing: (1) an application for emergency admission; (2) a certificate stating that a person has a mental illness and, because of that mental illness, is likely to harm himself or herself or others; or (3) a certificate stating that a person does not have a mental illness.

**Developmental Services**

The ADSD provides a wide range of coordinated, community-based services for people with intellectual disabilities and related conditions and to their families, with the goal of helping people with disabilities to live independent, satisfactory lives. To qualify for developmental services, a person must meet certain eligibility requirements, including a diagnosis of intellectual disability or related conditions, as well as have at least three of six major life-skill limitations. Individuals and families receive assistance in developing a plan based on an individual’s needs and in finding
and obtaining services and funding to achieve their goals and integration with the community. Many developmental services are provided to Medicaid eligible individuals.

**SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES**

*Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Agency*

Within the DPBH, the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Agency (SAPTA) was established to reduce the impact of substance abuse in Nevada. With responsibility for the federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant, SAPTA identifies alcohol and drug abuse needs throughout the State and provides competitive funding to nonprofit and governmental organizations to support a continuum of services including prevention, early intervention, and treatment. The SAPTA is responsible for the development and implementation of a State plan for prevention and treatment, coordination of State and federal funding, and development of standards for the certification and approval of prevention and treatment programs.

Rather than providing direct substance abuse prevention or treatment services, SAPTA coordinates substance abuse service delivery programs and provides technical assistance to ensure the effective use of resources.

Additional information is available at: [http://mh.nv.gov/Meetings/SAPTA_Program_Page/](http://mh.nv.gov/Meetings/SAPTA_Program_Page/).

**RESOURCES**

Nevada 2-1-1: [http://dhhs.nv.gov/Programs/Grants/Programs/2-1-1/](http://dhhs.nv.gov/Programs/Grants/Programs/2-1-1/).

Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation: [http://detr.state.nv.us/](http://detr.state.nv.us/).

Department of Health and Human Services: [http://dhhs.nv.gov/](http://dhhs.nv.gov/).

Division of Child and Family Services: [http://dcfs.nv.gov/](http://dcfs.nv.gov/).

Division of Public and Behavioral Health: [http://dpbh.nv.gov/](http://dpbh.nv.gov/).


Division of Welfare and Supportive Services: [https://dwss.nv.gov/](https://dwss.nv.gov/).


Washoe County Department of Social Services: [http://www.washoecounty.us/socsrv/](http://www.washoecounty.us/socsrv/).
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According to population estimates and projections from the Nevada State Demographer, in 2015, approximately 398,882 Nevadans were 65 years of age and older, which represents nearly 14 percent of the State’s population. The Nevada State Demographer estimates the senior population will grow to 577,088 in 2030, which would then represent nearly 18 percent of Nevada’s projected 2030 population.¹

Many of these seniors are healthy, live active lifestyles, contribute positively to the State’s economy, and initially require few senior services. However, as seniors age, there may be significant impacts on services that are provided by public and private institutions. Projections suggest that

the demand for enhanced programs and services for our escalating senior population will continue to
grow at an increasing rate over the next several decades.

Meeting during the interim between legislative sessions, the Legislative Committee on Senior Citizens,
Veterans and Adults With Special Needs (Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 218E.750) was created to
address the concerns of this population.

The Committee may review, study, and comment on issues relating to senior citizens, veterans, and
adults with special needs, including:  (1) initiatives to ensure financial and physical wellness;  
(2) the prevention of abuse, neglect, isolation, and exploitation;  (3) public outreach and advocacy;  
(4) the enhancement of service programs to ensure that services are provided in the most appropriate
setting;  (5) programs that allow seniors to live outside institutional settings by providing services and
care in the home;  (6) the availability of useful information and data for the State to make decisions
effectively;  (7) the improvement of laws relating to guardianships;  and  (8) the improvement of
long-term care facilities in Nevada.  Information about this Committee can be found at:  http://www.leg.
state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/StatCom/SeniorVetSpecial/?ID=24.

HEALTH CARE

Medicare

Medicare is the federal health insurance program for people 65 years of age or older, certain younger
people with disabilities, and people with end-stage renal disease (permanent kidney failure with
dialysis or a transplant, sometimes called ESRD).  The Social Security Administration is responsible
for the application and eligibility processes, while the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
(CMS) administers the Medicare program.  Currently, Medicare is the country’s largest health
insurance program covering approximately 46.3 million people ages 65 and older and 9 million
younger people with permanent disabilities.\(^2\)

Medicare has four main parts:

1. Hospital insurance (also called Medicare “Part A”), which pays for care in a hospital or skilled
nursing facility, home health care services, and hospice care;

2. Medical insurance (also called Medicare “Part B”), which pays for doctors, outpatient hospital
care, and some preventative services;

3. Medicare Advantage Plans (also called Medicare “Part C”), which offer Parts A and B as well as
other benefits, such as prescription drug coverage, through a private company similar to a health
maintenance organization or preferred provider organization; and

4. Prescription drug coverage (also called Medicare “Part D”), which provides discount options offered by a Medicare-approved private drug plan.

Most people 65 years of age and older who are citizens or permanent residents of the United States are eligible for Medicare Part A without paying a monthly premium if they or their spouse paid Medicare taxes while working. Before age 65, individuals are eligible for premium-free Medicare hospital insurance if they have been entitled to Social Security disability benefits for 24 months. Anyone who is eligible for free Medicare hospital insurance (Part A) can enroll in Medicare medical insurance (Part B) and prescription coverage (Part D) by paying a monthly premium.

It is important for a person to enroll in Medicare as soon as he or she is eligible because late enrollment penalties in the form of higher premiums may be applied. People interested in finding out whether they are eligible for Medicare should contact the Nevada State Health Insurance Assistance Program at (800) 307-4444 or http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/SHIP/SHIP_Prog/, or the Social Security Administration at (800) 772-1213.

Medicare provides basic health care coverage; however, it does not pay for all medical expenses. Consequently, to fill in the gaps in Medicare coverage, known as “Medigap,” many private insurance companies sell insurance that covers Medicare copayments, coinsurance, or deductibles. Additional information is available in the booklet titled, Choosing a Medigap Policy: A Guide to Health Insurance for People with Medicare, or by calling Medicare at (800) 633-4227.

To prevent Medicare fraud, the Senior Medicare Patrol program through the Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD) of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) uses senior volunteers to educate Nevada Medicare enrollees on how to detect and report health care fraud in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Persons interested in volunteering or learning how to detect fraud can visit http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/SMP/SMP_Prog/ or call (888) 838-7305.

Medicaid

Medicaid is a federal-state health insurance program for low-income individuals. Sometimes, low-income seniors can be eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid. This subset is often referred to as “dual eligibles.” Medicaid may cover premiums and cost-sharing obligations associated with Medicare, as well as pay for services beyond Medicare if a person is eligible. Dual eligibles typically fall into one of the following categories depending on the level of benefits they may qualify for through Medicaid:

1. Full Benefit—recipients receive full Medicaid benefits in addition to Medicare coverage;

2. Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries—Medicaid pays for Medicare premiums and other out-of-pocket costs; or

3. Specified Low-Income Medicare Beneficiaries—Medicaid only pays for Medicare premiums.
Federal Prescription Drug Coverage

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 added several important benefits for Medicare participants. Current Medicare-covered benefits, which include the ability to choose one’s own doctor, must not be reduced or eliminated. The first year the new health reform law was enacted, nearly 4 million Medicare recipients with prescription drug coverage were eligible for a one-time, tax-free rebate of $250 as a cost relief for out-of-pocket costs paid for prescription drugs due to a coverage gap commonly known as a “donut hole.” Currently, individuals who fall into a donut hole because of high prescription drug costs receive a 50 percent discount from manufacturers on brand-name drugs. By 2020, the donut hole will be completely closed due to health care reform. For more information, please see a fact sheet prepared by CMS titled, Closing the Coverage Gap—Medicare Prescription Drugs Are Becoming More Affordable.

State Prescription Drug Coverage

In addition to federal programs, Nevada has the Senior Rx and Disability Rx Programs to help seniors and persons with disabilities who are Medicare-eligible with monthly premiums for Medicare Part D prescription drug plans and with prescription costs if they reach the Part D coverage gap. To qualify for the Senior Rx Program, an applicant must be 62 years of age, have resided in Nevada continuously for 12 months, and have a maximum household income of not more than $27,923 for individuals and $37,222 for couples. According to the Senior and Disability Prescription (RX) Assistance Program (SPAP) fact sheet from the ADSD, SPAP enrollment is projected to increase at a rate of 2 percent per month through Fiscal Year (FY) 2017.

SERVICES FOR THE ELDERLY

The life expectancy of Americans has increased, and with it the demand for services for older adults has grown. As a person ages, his or her ability to perform activities of daily living independently can decline, resulting in a need for assistance with personal care activities. This need for assistance also may be true for persons under 60 who have a physical or mental disability. Because personal care services are often the same for a senior and a person with a disability, there has been a national trend to streamline services for these two populations by combining programs and agencies.

While programs and services for seniors are administered through various offices in Nevada, the coordination of services for seniors and persons with disabilities is the responsibility of the ADSD. Certain services also are offered through local county or municipal agencies.

The Administration on Aging in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services administers an aging network and a variety of programs supporting elderly persons in the community and provides oversight of long-term care facilities. The Administration on Aging distributes funds to the states through area agencies on aging (AAAs). In Nevada, the designated AAA is the ADSD, which distributes federal as well as State funding to local governments and community providers through a competitive grant process.
Seniors, caregivers, and the general public can access information and support related to long-term care services in Nevada through an Aging and Disability Resource Center (ADRC). The ADRC program serves as a “one-stop shop” for assistance and is administered by the ADSD.

**Property Tax Relief for Seniors**

During the 2011 Legislative Session, the ADSD eliminated the Senior Citizens’ Property Tax Assistance Account (also known as the Senior Tax Assistance/Rent Rebate [STARR] Program) due to projected revenue shortfalls in its budget and the need to fund other critical services. The 2015 Legislature passed Senate Bill 514 (Chapter 534, Statutes of Nevada), which authorized a $5 million appropriation to implement a senior citizen’s property tax assistance rebate program in the 2015-2017 Biennium. The Governor must recommend eligibility criteria to the Interim Finance Committee of the Legislature, and the ADSD is responsible for implementing the program.

**National Family Caregiver Support Program**

The National Family Caregiver Support Program (NFCSP) was signed into law as part of the Older Americans Act (OAA) Amendments of 2000. The OAA was reauthorized by Congress in 2006. The NFCSP provides grants to states to enable AAAs to provide an array of support services to:

- Adult family members or other informal caregivers, 18 years of age and older, who are caring for individuals 60 years of age and older or individuals of any age with Alzheimer’s disease and related disorders; and

- Grandparents and other relatives (excluding parents), 55 years of age and older, who are caring for children under the age of 18 or adults 18 to 59 years of age with disabilities.

**Nutrition Programs**

Under Title III of the OAA, states are provided funding for three nutrition programs that aim to reduce hunger and promote the health of older adults:

1. Congregate Nutrition Services—meals provided in a congregate setting;

2. Home-Delivered Nutrition Services—meals delivered to a homebound individual; and

3. Nutrition Services Incentive Program—grant funding for the exclusive purchase of food to supplement the other two nutrition programs.

The programs also provide nutrition education and counseling, as appropriate. Program services are available to persons over 60 years of age or persons under 60 years of age with a disability, and there are no income limitations.
**Elder Rights**

Elder Protective Services (EPS) in ADSD helps those 60 years of age and older who may experience abuse, exploitation, isolation, or neglect. Elder Protective Services serves all of Nevada and includes investigation (within three working days of being reported), evaluation, counseling, referral for other services, and protective services.

Anyone may report an incident of abuse if he or she has reason to believe that an elderly person has been abused, exploited, isolated, or neglected. The report may be made to the local ADSD office during business hours or to any police department or sheriff’s office. After normal business hours, the reporter should contact local law enforcement. If EPS believes that a crime has been committed against an elderly person, a referral is made to the appropriate law enforcement agency for possible investigation and prosecution. All information obtained during the reporting process is kept confidential.

The Advocates for Elders provide advocacy and assistance to frail persons who are 60 years of age and older and reside in a community setting. The Advocates offer assistance related to the coordination of resources and services available to aging persons within their respective communities, as well as disseminate information on ADSD programs and services through public outreach. There is an Advocate in Las Vegas (702) 486-3545; Reno (775) 687-2494; and Elko (775) 738-1966.

Federal law requires each state to have a Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program headed by a State Long-Term Care Ombudsman. In Nevada, the Ombudsmen are called “Elder Rights Advocates.” Elder Rights Advocates receive, investigate, and attempt to resolve complaints made by or on behalf of residents who reside in long-term care facilities, including homes for individual residential care, residential facilities for groups, and nursing homes. Elder Rights Advocates work on behalf of the resident according to his or her wishes and desires. Services provided by the Ombudsman Program are confidential. The State Long-Term Care Ombudsman reports cases of alleged abuse or neglect to EPS. Learn more about the Ombudsman Program at: [http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/LTCOmbudsman/LTCOmbudsProg/](http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/LTCOmbudsman/LTCOmbudsProg/).

**Program to Assist Relatives Who Have Legal Guardianship of Children**

The Program to Assist Relatives Who Have Legal Guardianship of Children was established by the Legislature in 2001. This program provides cash payments to a caretaker who has obtained the guardianship of a relative child. The program, also known as the Kinship Care Program, is funded through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Program administered by the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services of the DHHS.

To receive cash payments of up to $462 per month, a caretaker must meet TANF Non-Needy Caretaker eligibility requirements and: (1) be 62 years of age or older; (2) be related to the child; (3) be caring for and residing with the child for at least six consecutive months; and (4) have obtained legal guardianship through a Nevada court and met any court requirements. The payments must not exceed the amount the State would have provided if the child had been placed in foster care.
Senior Centers

All but 1 of Nevada’s 17 counties have senior centers dedicated to services for older adults. There are 68 senior centers in Nevada that generally offer the following types of services:

- Case management and health services;
- Congregate and home delivered meals;
- Legal services; and
- Recreational activities.

Senior centers help older adults stay connected in the community by providing a gathering place for seniors to be among peers, remain active through recreational classes, and receive services to help in the transition towards retirement and the later stages of life.

Transportation

Nevada has several options to assist seniors in obtaining transportation at low or no cost. In Clark County, the ADSD administers the Taxi Assistance Program (TAP), which provides $10 coupon books (valued at $20) for discounted taxicab fares to qualified persons. To be eligible for the TAP program, an applicant must: (1) be a Nevada resident; (2) be at least 60 years of age or have a permanent disability; and (3) have a maximum annual household income of equal to or less than $35,641 for a single person or $48,061 for two people. More information about the TAP program is available at: http://adsd.nv.gov/Programs/Seniors/TAP/TAP_Prog/.

A similar taxicab voucher program is available through the Washoe Senior Ride (WSR) program operated by the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC). The WSR coupon books cost $10 (valued at $20) and are available to any Washoe County resident over 60 years of age whose annual household income is less than $45,000. In addition, RTC ACCESS passengers and all Washoe County veterans who meet the income requirement are eligible, regardless of their age. The RTC ACCESS program is a paratransit service for certain passengers with disabilities. More information on the WSR program is available at: http://www rtcwashoe.com/public-transportation-2-91.html.

In addition to discounted taxicab fares, regional transportation services offer fixed route services, dial-a-ride, and other transit services specifically for seniors.

LONG-TERM CARE

Decisions relating to whether one should enter a long-term care facility or find services in the home to help extend independent living are difficult ones involving several options with varying levels of care. To assist Nevadans in understanding the long-term care options available, federal, State, and local agencies have collaborated to establish the Nevada Care Connection. The Nevada Care Connection
provides information and access to long-term supports and services for seniors and persons with disabilities, as well as their caregivers and families. More information on this program is available at http://www.nevadaadrc.com/.

**Home and Community-Based Programs**

The ADSD administers five nonmedical, community-based programs to enable elderly persons to maintain their independence and to offer an alternative to nursing home placement. Services available through these programs include: (1) personal care (bathing, dressing, eating, and toileting); (2) homemaking (laundry, light housekeeping, meal preparation, and shopping); (3) adult companionship; (4) adult day care; (5) personal emergency response systems; and (6) respite for the primary caregiver. Eligibility and the amount of assistance depends on age, risk of institutionalization, and annual income.

Services received in a residential setting include the home- and community-based waiver, homemaker program; and community options program for the elderly. Moreover, services received in a facility include the waiver for the elderly in adult residential care and the assisted living waiver.

**Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance**

The federal CMS has an agreement with the Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance of the DHHS to certify medical facilities, providers, and skilled nursing facilities that receive Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement. The Bureau conducts surveys, also known as inspections, and complaint investigations for all licensed facilities.

**Board of Examiners for Long-Term Care Administrators**

The Board of Examiners for Long-Term Care Administrators (http://beltca.nv.gov/) serves as the licensing and regulatory agency for long-term care administrators in Nevada. Long-term care facilities include nursing homes, group care facilities, and assisted living facilities. The Board protects public and consumer interests by ensuring long-term care administrators are of good moral character, properly educated, and trained to care for Nevada’s citizens in a dignified and attentive manner.

**OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIORS**

While retirement is often thought of as a rest and relaxation period, there are many opportunities for seniors to be active in the community, as well as improve upon their skills and abilities.

**Volunteerism**

The Senior Corps, an agency of the federal Corporation for National and Community Service (an independent federal agency), is designed specifically for persons over the age of 55. Senior Corps oversees three primary programs that offer training and supplemental insurance to volunteers while on duty:
1. The Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP) recruits volunteers to serve nonprofit and public agencies such as day care centers, hospitals, museums, schools, and other organizations to meet critical community needs. Hours can range from a few to over 40 per week, and the interests and skills of the volunteer are matched to the organization.

2. The Foster Grandparent Program trains individuals to serve as one-on-one mentors, role models, and tutors to children through youth facilities such as Head Start centers or schools. Volunteers who meet income eligibility guidelines receive a tax-free, hourly stipend to offset the cost of volunteering. Foster grandparents can serve up to 40 hours per week.

3. The Senior Companion Program connects volunteers with adults with disabilities, frail elders, and persons with terminal illnesses to offer friendship, assistance with simple chores, and transportation. The Senior Companion Program also offers respite services to caregivers. Volunteers can serve up to 40 hours per week and may qualify for a tax-free, hourly stipend if they meet income eligibility guidelines.

For more information about how to become involved in Senior Corps programs in Nevada, call (775) 784-7474 or e-mail nv@cns.gov. General information about Senior Corps is available at: http://www.nationalservice.gov/programs/senior-corps.

Education

Older adults are encouraged to continue activities that are mentally and physically challenging. The University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV), offers senior programs that are different learning opportunities for retired and semiretired adults. The Osher Lifelong Learning Institute offers members noncredit, academic learning activities and discounts on other courses, as well as ticket discounts to various UNLV functions, such as athletic events, concerts, and plays. Information about this program can be found at: https://olli.unlv.edu/about.

STUDYING THE NEEDS OF NEVADA’S SENIORS

With one of the largest senior populations in the nation, Nevada has State entities dedicated to reviewing and addressing the needs of older adults.

Nevada Commission on Aging

The Nevada Commission on Aging is comprised of 11 voting members and 4 or more nonvoting members. The Governor appoints voting members, which include two representatives of a county commission, two from a city council, and seven individuals with experience and knowledge of aging issues. Nonvoting members consist of the Director of the DHHS, the Administrator of the ADSD, and one member each of the Senate and Assembly who are appointed by the Legislative Commission. The Commission is responsible for evaluating the needs of Nevada’s seniors, establishing work priorities, and promoting community-based programs while also seeking ways to avoid unnecessary duplication of services. More information about the Commission can be found on its website at: http://adsd.nv.gov/Boards/COA/COA/.
Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Forum

The Legislature established the Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Forum to discuss and act upon issues of importance to seniors. Members of the Senate, in consultation with the members of the Assembly who reside within their senatorial district, nominate potential members for the Forum for appointment by the Legislative Commission. In addition to other items, the Forum may hold public hearings to discuss issues of importance to Nevada seniors and request one bill draft related to matters within the scope of the Forum. The activities of the Forum may be tracked through its website at: https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/NonLeg/Silver/?ID=3.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

- Nevada 2-1-1—Dial 2-1-1 from any phone in Nevada or visit https://211nevada.communityos.org/cms/ for information on any type of health and human services in Nevada.
- Clark County Senior Services: http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/social-service/services/Pages/SeniorCitizenProtectiveServices.aspx; (702) 455-7051 or (888) 729-0571.
- Washoe County Senior Services: https://www.washoecounty.us/seniorsrv/; (775) 328-2575.
- BenefitsCheckUp—A service of the National Council on Aging: https://www.benefitscheckup.org/.
- SeniorNet—SeniorNet’s mission is to provide older adults education for and access to computer technologies to enhance their lives and enable them to share their knowledge and wisdom: http://www.seniornet.org.
- Nevada Legislature’s website: http://www.leg.state.nv.us.
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Nevada’s court system is the core of the Judicial Branch of State government. It encompasses the Nevada Supreme Court, district courts, justice courts, and municipal courts and their justices, judges, and staff. This section of the Policy and Program Report describes the court system and related topics, including attorneys, court reporters, district attorneys, juries, public defenders, the State Bar of Nevada, and other subjects. It also describes the role of the Office of the Attorney General.

**ORGANIZATION OF NEVADA’S COURTS**

**Supreme Court**

The Supreme Court is composed of a Chief Justice and six associate justices. The Chief Justice is the administrative head of the court system, with authority to divide the work of the Supreme Court among the justices, assign district judges to assist in other judicial districts or to special functions, and assign retired judges or justices to appropriate temporary duty.

The Supreme Court has appellate jurisdiction in all civil cases arising in district court and in questions of law in criminal cases within the original jurisdiction of the district courts.

In 1997, the Legislature authorized the Supreme Court to hear and decide cases in panels of three justices. The full Court must consider any case in which two members of a panel make such a request.
**District Courts**

The district courts have original jurisdiction over all matters excluded from the jurisdiction of the justice and municipal courts, and appellate jurisdiction in cases arising from those courts. Nevada’s district courts also have jurisdiction over juvenile justice.

In Clark and Washoe Counties, the Legislature has established family courts, which are divisions of the district courts. Those counties may levy an ad valorem tax on all taxable property in the county for the support of the family court.

The 2015 Legislature, through the passage of Assembly Bill 435 (Chapter 221, *Statutes of Nevada*), increased the number of judicial districts from 10 to 11. The new Eleventh Judicial District is comprised of the Counties of Lander, Mineral, and Pershing. The Legislature sets the number of judges serving each district. The Second Judicial District (Washoe County) and the Eighth Judicial District (Clark County) have the largest numbers of judges.

**Specialty Courts**

Specialty courts are problem-solving courts that help break the cycle of: (1) drug and/or alcohol addiction that can influence adult criminal activity; (2) problem gambling; (3) juvenile delinquent behavior; or (4) parental abuse and/or neglect of children. *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) 176.0613 defines a specialty court program as:

> [A] program established by a court to facilitate testing, treatment and oversight of certain persons over whom the court has jurisdiction and who the court has determined suffer from a mental illness or abuses alcohol or drugs.

Currently, Nevada has 42 specialty court programs (27 urban and 15 rural programs). These 42 programs include:

- 17 adult drug courts, including diversion and child support;
- 3 family drug courts;
- 3 mental health courts;
- 4 juvenile drug courts;
- 6 driving under the influence (DUI) courts;
- 5 hybrid DUI/drug courts;
- 1 prostitution prevention court;
- 1 veterans treatment court; and
- 2 habitual offender courts.
In 1993, Nevada became one of the first states to authorize drug courts. A court may establish a treatment program or assign a person to an appropriate, certified treatment facility. Such an assignment must establish the terms and conditions for successful completion and must provide for regular progress reports. Persons in the program who were charged with the use or possession of a controlled substance must be subject to frequent urinalysis to determine that they are not using a controlled substance. If the offender successfully completes the program, the court must dismiss the charges.

In 2001, the Legislature authorized a court to establish an appropriate program for the treatment of mental illness and to assign a defendant to the program. As in the drug courts, an assignment must establish the terms and conditions for successful completion and, if the offender successfully completes the program, the court must dismiss the charges.

In 2009, the Legislature authorized a court to establish a program for the treatment of problem gambling and to assign persons to the program, provided that they agree to pay restitution as a condition of electing treatment. If an offender completes the program successfully, the court must set aside the conviction, and the records may be sealed.

The Legislature also declared in 2009 that combat service can exact a psychological toll on members of the military and that establishment of specialty treatment courts for veterans who are nonviolent offenders allows them to heal and reenter society. The Legislature established the veterans courts, under rules similar to those applicable to drug and mental health courts. After a defendant is discharged from probation under the program, the court must order the criminal records sealed.

In 2013, the Legislature gave the district courts some flexibility to determine whether a veteran or member of the military is disqualified from participating in a specialty court treatment program for committing an act of violence. It requires the court to consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense, including whether the defendant intended to place another person in fear of harm.

**Community Courts**

Community courts are similar to specialty courts since they allow local governments to impose alternative sentences on persons charged with misdemeanors. In 2013, the Legislature authorized each county to establish a community court pilot project for misdemeanor offenders, excluding person charged with domestic violence or driving under the influence.

**Justice Courts**

Nevada’s justice courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. They handle civil matters not exceeding $15,000 in damages, evictions, misdemeanors, small claims, traffic cases, and other matters. This monetary limit was increased to $15,000 from $10,000 in A.B. 66, (Chapter 200, Statutes of Nevada 2015). Justice courts also determine whether probable cause exists for felony and gross misdemeanor cases to be bound over to the district court.
There must be one justice court in each of the townships into which the board of county commissioners has divided the county, and at least one justice of the peace in each justice court. The Legislature establishes the number of justices in a township according to its population.

**Municipal Courts**

Municipal courts are also courts of limited jurisdiction. They handle violations of city ordinances, proceedings to abate a nuisance within a city, actions for collection of city taxes or assessments up to $2,500, and similar matters.

Each of Nevada’s cities must have a municipal court, except that the governing body of a city may provide that the justice of the peace is the ex officio municipal judge, with the consent of the county commission and the justice of the peace.

**Court of Appeals**

Senate Joint Resolution No. 14 of the 76th Session (File No. 47, *Statutes of Nevada 2013*) was approved for the second consecutive time by the 2013 Legislature and was submitted to the voters at the 2014 General Election. With voter approval, an intermediate Court of Appeals was established in Nevada. Article 6 of the *Nevada Constitution* was amended, creating a Court of Appeals consisting of three judges. The Nevada Supreme Court assigns the types of district court decisions to be heard by the Court of Appeals and also determines when a Court of Appeals decision may be reviewed by the Nevada Supreme Court.

Senate Bill 463 (Chapter 343, *Statutes of Nevada 2013*), a companion measure, covered implementation of the court of appeals and took effect upon ratification of the constitutional amendment by the voters.

**JUSTICES AND JUDGES**

Nevada’s voters elect the State’s justices and judges, who are nonpartisan. Supreme Court justices, district court judges, and justices of the peace serve six-year terms, except that some first-term district court judges serve four-year terms. The city charter or a city ordinance fixes the term of office of a municipal judge. In 1996, the voters disapproved a proposed constitutional amendment that would have established term limits for Nevada’s justices and judges.

The Legislature has established the minimum qualifications for candidates running for judicial office, as set forth in the following table:
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**MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS—CANDIDATES FOR JUDICIAL OFFICE IN NEVADA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Supreme Court</th>
<th>District Court</th>
<th>Justice Court</th>
<th>Municipal Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice of law</td>
<td>Must be an attorney licensed and admitted to practice in Nevada at the time of the election or appointment.</td>
<td>Must be an attorney licensed and admitted to practice in Nevada at the time of the election or appointment.</td>
<td>In some townships, must be an attorney licensed and admitted to practice in Nevada at the time of election or appointment.¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience/Education</td>
<td>Must have been licensed and admitted to practice law in Nevada, another state, or the District of Columbia for not less than 15 years, at least 2 of which were in Nevada.</td>
<td>Must have been licensed and admitted to practice law in Nevada, another state, or the District of Columbia for not less than 10 years, at least 2 of which were in Nevada.</td>
<td>Must have high school diploma or equivalent. In some townships, must have been licensed and admitted to practice law in Nevada, another state, or the District of Columbia for not less than 5 years.²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency</td>
<td>Must be a qualified elector and have been a bona fide resident of Nevada for 2 years preceding the election or appointment.</td>
<td>Must be a qualified elector and have been a bona fide resident of Nevada for 2 years preceding the election or appointment.</td>
<td>Must be a qualified elector.</td>
<td>Must be a Nevada citizen and a qualified elector in the city, and must have been a bona fide resident of the city for not less than 1 year preceding the election or appointment, unless otherwise provided in city charter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Must not have been removed from any judicial office by the Legislature or the Commission on Judicial Discipline.</td>
<td>Must not have been removed from any judicial office by the Legislature or the Commission on Judicial Discipline.</td>
<td>Must not have been removed from any judicial office by the Commission on Judicial Discipline.</td>
<td>Must not have been removed from any judicial office by the Commission on Judicial Discipline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Applies in a township whose population is 100,000 or more in a county whose population is 700,000 or more (currently Clark County) and in a township whose population is 250,000 or more in other counties, but does not apply to a person who held the office of justice of the peace on June 30, 2001.

² See Note 1.

---

The Legislature sets the salaries of a Supreme Court justice and a district court judge; the board of county commissioners sets the minimum salary of a justice of the peace; and the governing body of a city or the city charter sets the compensation of a municipal court judge.

When a vacancy occurs before the expiration of the term of office of a Supreme Court justice or a district court judge, the Governor must appoint a person from a list of three names the Commission on
Judicial Selection submits. The membership of the Commission varies, depending on whether the vacancy occurs in the Supreme Court or in a district court.

The Commission on Judicial Discipline receives and reviews complaints and may discipline any Supreme Court justice, district court judge, justice of the peace, or municipal judge.

JURIES

The *Nevada Constitution* guarantees the right of every person to a trial by jury, unless the parties in a civil matter waive the requirement in a method prescribed by law. The Nevada Supreme Court has ruled that the guarantee does not apply to some proceedings, such as proceedings for petty offenses, small claims actions, and certain others.

All qualified electors, whether or not registered to vote, are qualified jurors in the county in which they reside, provided that they have a sufficient knowledge of English; have not been convicted of a felony, treason, or other infamous crime; and are not incapable by reason of infirmity. A convicted felon is not a qualified juror until his or her civil right to serve as a juror has been restored. Certain persons, including members of the Legislature (when in session), police officers, persons over the age of 70 who do not wish to serve, and others are exempt from jury duty. The court may also temporarily excuse a juror on account of hardship, illness, public necessity, or other factors.

The Legislature has established laws regarding the selection of jury pools, the formation of jury panels, and the summoning of grand juries. (Only Clark and Washoe Counties have grand juries at this time.) It is unlawful for an employer to terminate, or threaten to terminate, an employee who is a juror or who has been summoned for jury duty, and persons who are unlawfully discharged may commence a civil action against their employers for lost wages and benefits, reinstatement, damages, and attorney’s fees. Furthermore, an employer may not require an employee to use sick leave or vacation time to serve as a juror or prospective juror.

STATE AND COUNTY LEGAL PERSONNEL

Attorney General

The Attorney General and the appointed deputies of the Attorney General are the legal advisers to the Executive Branch of State government, and no member of the Executive Branch may employ an attorney to represent the State or be compensated by State funds, directly or indirectly, unless the Attorney General is disqualified to act in the matter or the Legislature specifically authorizes employment of other attorneys.

In criminal cases, a district attorney may request assistance from the Attorney General with the consent of the board of county commissioners. The Attorney General also prosecutes or defends, in the Supreme Court, cases to which the State, a State officer, or a county is a party.
With the consent of the Governor, the Attorney General may commence an action or defend the State in proceedings necessary to determine and establish the rights of the State or its residents as they relate to interstate waters and the public lands.

The Office of the Attorney General includes special units for children endangered by exposure to drugs; consumer protection; domestic violence; insurance fraud; the investigation and prosecution of crimes against older persons; Medicaid fraud; telephone solicitation; and workers’ compensation fraud.

The voters elect the Attorney General to terms of four years, and the Legislature sets the Attorney General’s salary.

**District Attorneys**

The district attorney in each county is the public prosecutor for the county. The district attorney also prepares all indictments required by a grand jury; defends all suits against the county; prosecutes actions for the recovery of debts, fines, and forfeitures owed to the county; brings actions for the abatement of public nuisances; and issues legal opinions to county officers. In addition, the district attorney handles legal duties for the school district in the county, unless the board of trustees of the school district has employed private counsel.

The voters in each county elect the district attorney to terms of four years.

**Public Defenders**

Indigent defendants charged with a crime may ask a district court judge, justice of the peace, or municipal judge to appoint an attorney to represent them. If the request is granted, the judge must designate the State or county public defender, as appropriate, to represent the defendant or, for good cause, appoint another attorney. A city must reimburse the public defender or other attorney for appearing in municipal court, and a county must reimburse the State public defender or other attorney for appearing in justice court.

In Clark and Washoe Counties, the board of county commissioners must create, by ordinance, the office of public defender and, in all other counties, the board may create such an office. Two or more counties may join together to establish an office. Once the county commission creates an office, it also appoints the public defender, who serves at the pleasure of the commission. Any county that has created an office of public defender may contract for the services of the State Public Defender when the county public defender is disqualified or otherwise unable to represent a defendant.

The Office of State Public Defender is located within the Department of Health and Human Services. The Governor appoints the State Public Defender to a four-year term. Except when operating under a contract with a county and in post-conviction proceedings presented to the Nevada Supreme Court, the State Public Defender serves only those counties in which there is no office of the county public defender.
**Court Reporters**

To promote efficiency and establish a standard of competency for court reporters, the Legislature has declared that the practice of court reporting is subject to regulation. The Certified Court Reporters’ Board of Nevada, consisting of five Governor’s appointees, administers the regulations. It examines applicants for competency, handles disciplinary matters, issues registration certificates, and licenses court reporting firms. It is unlawful to practice court reporting without a certificate or to conduct business as a court reporting firm without a license.

A district court judge may appoint a certified court reporter as the official reporter for the court or a court department. In a civil action, the court reporter must make a record of all testimony and rulings when requested by either party or the court. In a criminal case, the court reporter must make a record of all arraignments, pleas, sentences, and testimony at the request of the district attorney or the attorney for the defendant.

In justice court, the proceedings are recorded using sound recording equipment, except where the board of county commissioners authorizes and the justice of the peace appoints a certified court reporter to record the proceedings as in district court.

**ATTORNEYS AND THE STATE BAR**

The State Bar of Nevada, a public corporation created by statute, is under the exclusive control and jurisdiction of the Nevada Supreme Court. It is unlawful for a person to practice law in Nevada without active membership in the State Bar or other authorization under the Supreme Court rules, or if suspended or disbarred from membership.

Under the rules of the Supreme Court, the Board of Governors of the State Bar, elected by the members of the Bar, is responsible for administrative and enforcement functions. The Board of Governors appoints bar counsel, an executive director, a treasurer, disciplinary boards to serve the northern and southern districts of the State, and a standing committee on ethics and professional responsibility.

The Board of Bar Examiners, in consultation with the Board of Governors, determines the qualifications for admission to the State Bar and administers the bar examination.

**ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

All civil actions filed for damages in district court must be submitted to nonbinding arbitration if the amount in issue does not exceed $50,000 (excluding attorney’s fees, interest, and court costs), unless the parties have agreed or are required to take part in a settlement conference, mediation, a short trial, or other alternative method of resolving disputes. A short trial is one that is conducted pursuant to procedures designed to limit its length, including restrictions on the amount of discovery, time limits for presenting each party’s case, and the use of a jury of not more than eight persons.
All civil actions filed for damages in justice court may be submitted to binding arbitration, mediation, a settlement conference, or other alternative method of resolving disputes if the parties agree. In certain types of cases involving domestic issues, foreclosures, homeowners’ associations, telemarketing, and other subjects, arbitration or mediation is required. The parties to an arbitration agreement may agree on the method for appointing an arbitrator. If they do not agree, if the method fails, or if the arbitrator is unable to act, the court must appoint the arbitrator.

In Clark and Washoe Counties, the board of county commissioners must establish a neighborhood justice center to provide, at no charge, a forum for the impartial mediation of minor disputes between businesses and their customers; family members; landlords and tenants; neighbors; and others. In other counties, the board of county commissioners may establish such a center. The centers are supported by the county’s account for dispute resolution, funded by fees charged on the commencement of action and the appearance of parties in justice court.

COURT ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCES

The Nevada Supreme Court appoints a Court Administrator who serves at the pleasure of the Court. The Court Administrator and staff, known as the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), collect and compile statistics on court operations; determine whether courts are in need of assistance; develop accounting and procurement procedures; examine court dockets; prepare and submit biennial budgets for operation of the State court system; recommend administrative procedures, policies, and proposed legislation; and carry out other duties as assigned.

The State of Nevada is responsible for district court judges’ salaries, but the counties are responsible for the costs of courtrooms, facilities, staff, and related items.

When a defendant pleads guilty or is found guilty of a crime, the sentence must include an administrative assessment, in addition to any fine imposed. The proceeds of the administrative assessments help support the AOC; the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History; the district, justice, juvenile, and municipal courts; the Fund for the Compensation of Victims of Crime; the Peace Officers’ Standards and Training Commission; and other programs and uses the Legislature has identified.

The district courts and justice courts also charge fees for the commencement of civil actions, including the filing of appeals, objections, petitions, and related items. The fees are for services rendered and for support of the State General Fund, the special account for the benefit of the court, neighborhood justice centers, and programs for abused or neglected children, legal aid, victims of domestic violence, and other purposes.

ADVISORY BODIES

The Judicial Council of the State of Nevada, which the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court chairs, develops and recommends policies for court administration; develops minimum standards for court security, education of judges and staff, and other subjects; makes recommendations for the
improvement of courts; reviews proposed legislation; and carries out other duties. The AOC provides staff support to the Council.

In 1997, the Legislature established the seven-member Advisory Council for Prosecuting Attorneys to develop and carry out a program for training and assisting prosecutors in conducting civil and criminal cases and to coordinate the development of proposed legislation.

In 2007, the Legislature created the 17-member Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice (ACAJ), which replaced the Advisory Commission on Sentencing. The appointed members serve two-year terms after the biennial legislative sessions. Among other duties, the ACAJ evaluates the corrections system, juvenile justice issues, parole, sentencing, specialty court programs, and other matters, and it submits a report to each regular session of the Legislature.

SOURCES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION


Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice: [https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/StatCom/AdminJustice/?ID=18](https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/StatCom/AdminJustice/?ID=18).


William S. Boyd School of Law, University of Nevada, Las Vegas: [http://law.unlv.edu/](http://law.unlv.edu/).
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This section of the Policy and Program Report covers the procedural aspects of the criminal justice system in Nevada. Although many persons may be generally familiar with such terms as “arraignment,” “bail,” “detention,” “grand jury,” “indictment,” and “sealing of criminal records,” the following review of what these terms mean and how they relate to each other may be helpful.

Over the years, many important constitutional cases at the federal and State level have revolved around procedural matters arising from arrest, detention, and trial.

**DETENTION AND ARREST**

The justice system follows different procedures relating to detention and arrest, depending on whether a complaint has been filed and a judge has issued an arrest warrant.

**Detention and Arrest Under a Warrant**

When a person with personal knowledge of a crime files a complaint with the court and it appears from the complaint that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed the offense, the magistrate must issue a warrant to any peace officer for the
arrest of the defendant. (“Magistrate” means a Supreme Court justice, district court judge, justice of the peace, or municipal judge.) The warrant must describe the offense and command that the defendant be arrested and brought before the nearest available magistrate. If the complaint is against a corporation, the magistrate must issue a summons requiring the corporation to appear before the judge at a specified time and place within ten days.

If the offense is a felony or gross misdemeanor, a peace officer may make the arrest on any day, at any time of day or night. If the offense is a misdemeanor, the arrest cannot be made between the hours of 7 p.m. and 7 a.m. unless the warrant directs otherwise.

**Detention and Arrest Without a Warrant**

A peace officer may detain any person whom the officer encounters under circumstances indicating the person has committed or is about to commit a crime or has violated the conditions of parole or probation. The person who is detained must provide identification but may not be compelled to answer any questions. The detention must not be longer than necessary and in no event longer than one hour, and it must remain in the immediate vicinity where the detention occurred unless the person is arrested.

At any time after the detention begins, the peace officer must arrest the person if probable cause appears. If there is no probable cause for arrest, the peace officer must release the person. If the officer believes the person may be armed with a dangerous weapon, the officer may search the person and seize any such weapon or any evidence of a crime.

**Detention and Arrest, Generally**

A peace officer may break open a door or window of a house or other place where the officer believes the person to be arrested is located, after demanding admittance and explaining the reason for the demand. If necessary to prevent escape, a peace officer may, after giving a warning, use deadly force to carry out the arrest if there is probable cause to believe the person has committed a felony involving serious bodily harm or the use of deadly force or poses a threat of serious bodily harm to the officer or others. Any person arrested has the right to make a reasonable number of completed telephone calls—including one to a friend or bail agent and one to an attorney—from the police station or other place where booking occurs, not later than three hours after the arrest.

Under certain circumstances, a person other than a peace officer may make an arrest.

**Arrest and DNA Analysis**

A law enforcement agency is required to obtain a biological specimen for DNA analysis when a person is arrested for a felony. The DNA profile is then entered into a national database where it is used to generate investigative leads if it matches a profile taken from a crime scene. If a judge determines there was no probable cause for the person’s arrest, the specimen must be destroyed, and if a person arrested for a felony is not convicted, he or she may make a written request to the Central
Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History to destroy the specimen and purge the DNA record from the national and State databases.

MISDEMEANOR CITATIONS

When a peace officer detains a person for an offense punishable as a misdemeanor, the person must be taken before a magistrate without unnecessary delay in the following instances: (1) when the person demands it; (2) when the person is detained pursuant to an arrest warrant; (3) when the person has been arrested; (4) if the person is issued a misdemeanor citation and refuses to give a written promise to appear in court; or (5) if the person does not furnish satisfactory evidence of identity.

If the person is not required to be taken before a magistrate, the peace officer may issue a misdemeanor citation, electronically or manually. The citation must include a notice to appear in court at a specific time and place, the offense charged, and other information, and it must be signed by the peace officer. Other public officials, including officials responsible for animal control, building inspections, fire, health, parking, solid waste, zoning, and other functions may, if authorized, also issue misdemeanor citations within their field of enforcement. The peace officer or other officer issuing the citation must file a copy with the court having jurisdiction over the offense. If the form of the citation is proper, it is deemed a complaint for the purpose of prosecuting the misdemeanor.

Justice courts and municipal courts have jurisdiction over misdemeanor offenses. When a person alleged to have committed such an offense appears in court as required by a misdemeanor citation, the person enters a plea, and the case proceeds to trial and sentencing.

PROCEDURE AFTER ARREST

After an arrest, the defendant must be brought before a magistrate without unnecessary delay, normally within 72 hours excluding nonjudicial days. The magistrate must inform the defendant of the complaint and of the right to retain counsel or request assignment of counsel. The magistrate must also inform the defendant that the defendant is not required to make a statement and that any statement made may be used against him or her.

If the case will not be tried in justice court, the defendant does not enter a plea and has a right to a preliminary examination. If the defendant waives the preliminary examination, the magistrate must immediately transfer the matter to the district court. If the defendant requests a preliminary examination, the magistrate must hear the evidence within 15 days, unless the period is extended for cause. Unless the defendant waives the right to have an attorney, the judge must allow reasonable time for the attorney to appear. The county district attorney must be present and conduct the prosecution.

If, from the evidence presented in the preliminary examination, the magistrate finds there is probable cause to believe the defendant has committed an offense, the magistrate must require the defendant to answer in district court and transfer all papers from the proceeding to the district court. In these circumstances, or if the defendant has waived a preliminary hearing, the prosecuting attorney then
files a formal criminal charge, known as an “information,” with the district court. The information must be filed within 15 days of the preliminary examination or waiver.

Through the passage of Assembly Bill 193 (Chapter 148, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*) certain hearsay evidence is allowed in a preliminary examination under certain circumstances. The court must also allow a witness to testify at a preliminary examination through the use of audiovisual technology under certain circumstances.

**GRAND JURIES**

In Clark and Washoe Counties, the district attorney may also seek a criminal charge by bringing the case before the grand jury. The grand jury may return an indictment, which is a written accusation charging a person with a public offense, or a presentment, which is an informal written statement representing to the court that a triable public offense has been committed and there is reasonable ground to believe that a particular person has committed it.

A grand jury must elect from its members a foreman, deputy foreman, and secretary. The grand jury may administer oaths, enter the jail, examine all public records, issue subpoenas for witnesses, engage additional counsel or experts, and receive evidence. The grand jury is not required to hear evidence from the defendant, but it must weigh all evidence submitted and, if it believes other evidence would explain away the charge, order such evidence to be produced. If the district attorney is aware of evidence that would explain away the charge, the district attorney must submit it.

As in preliminary examinations, A.B. 193 provided that certain hearsay evidence is allowed before a grand jury in certain circumstances, and the court must also allow a witness to testify using audiovisual technology under certain circumstances before a grand jury.

Only the district attorney, a witness and the witness’ attorney, the court reporter, interpreters when necessary, and persons the grand jury requests to be present may attend a session of a grand jury. Only the grand jurors may be present when the grand jury is deliberating or voting.

Persons who are the subject of the grand jury proceedings may request to appear if they have not been subpoenaed to appear but only if they execute a written waiver of their constitutional privilege against self-incrimination. The district attorney or a peace officer must serve notice on a person who is the subject of grand jury proceedings unless the court determines adequate cause exists to withhold notice.

A grand jury consists of 17 jurors and may return an indictment or presentment only upon the concurrence of 12 or more jurors. The grand jury should return an indictment when all the evidence presented establishes probable cause to believe an offense has been committed and the defendant committed it.
BAIL

In general, a person who is arrested in Nevada for an offense other than first-degree murder must be allowed to post bail, known as being “admitted to bail,” by posting a bond for the person’s appearance. A person may be admitted to bail at various stages of criminal procedure, including after arrest, after trial and before sentencing, and after conviction and pending appeal.

The Legislature has placed limits and conditions on admission to bail for a person arrested for:

- A felony and who has been released on parole or probation for a different offense;
- A felony and whose sentence was suspended or who was sentenced to a term of residential confinement for a different offense;
- Driving under the influence (DUI) or a related offense;
- Battery that constitutes domestic violence; or
- Violating a protective order against domestic violence.

Someone who was arrested for first-degree murder may be admitted to bail in the discretion of any competent court or magistrate authorized to do so.

Before releasing a person on bail, a court may require the surrender of the person’s passports or impose other conditions to protect the public health, safety, and welfare and ensure the person will appear in court as ordered. Upon a showing of good cause, a court may also release without bail a person who is entitled to bail, and a sheriff or chief of police may release without bail a person charged with a misdemeanor, pursuant to court standards.

ARRAIGNMENT AND PREPARATION FOR TRIAL

Nevada’s courts may exercise jurisdiction and try criminal cases either after the district attorney has filed information or a grand jury has returned an indictment.

Arraignment takes place in open court and consists of reading the indictment or information to the defendant or stating the substance of the charge and calling on the defendant to enter a plea. A defendant may plead guilty, guilty but mentally ill, not guilty, not guilty by reason of insanity, or with the consent of the court, nolo contendere (i.e., no contest). The court must determine that a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contendere is made voluntarily with an understanding of its consequences. The defendant has the burden to prove insanity or mental illness by a preponderance of the evidence.

If a defendant does not plead or the court refuses to accept a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill, the court must enter a plea of not guilty. With certain conditions and restrictions, a defendant may enter a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill pursuant to a plea bargain with the prosecuting attorney.
Defense counsel may raise any defense or objection that can be determined without trial of the general case. Defenses and objections based on defects in the prosecution, other than insufficiency of the evidence, must be raised before trial and, except with the permission of the court, before a plea is entered. Motions that, by their nature, may delay or postpone a trial if granted must be made before trial unless there was no opportunity to do so. A motion raising defenses or objections must be determined before trial unless the court orders it be determined during the trial. An issue of fact must be tried by a jury if a jury trial is required by law.

If the defendant will be tried for a felony or gross misdemeanor, the defendant and the prosecuting attorney must file and serve on each other a list of witnesses they intend to call during the case. If the defendant or prosecutor expects to offer testimony of an expert witness, they must file and serve a specific notice on the opposing party at least 21 days before the trial. After complying with these requirements, each party has a continuing duty to the other party to provide the names and addresses of additional witnesses as soon as practicable. If a party acts in bad faith, the court must prohibit the additional witness from testifying.

At the request of the defendant, the prosecuting attorney must allow the defendant to copy or inspect any written or recorded statements made by the defendant or a witness, results of mental or physical examinations, and documents or objects the prosecutor intends to introduce in evidence that are not privileged or protected from disclosure by law. Likewise, at the request of the prosecuting attorney, the defendant must allow the prosecuting attorney to copy or inspect the same items.

TRIAL

**Trial by Jury**

In Nevada’s district courts, jury trials are required unless the defendant waives a jury trial in writing, the court approves, and the State consents. If a defendant pleads not guilty to a capital offense, there must be a jury trial. In Nevada’s justice courts, cases are tried by jury only if a defendant demands a jury trial at least 30 days in advance.

In district court, a jury normally consists of 12 jurors; in justice court, a jury on a criminal case consists of 6 jurors. The court may impanel up to 6 alternate jurors to replace, when called, jurors who are disqualified or unable to perform.

The presiding judge or justice of the peace conducts the initial examination of the jurors, and the defense and prosecution are entitled to make further reasonable inquiries. Either side may challenge an individual juror, and each side is entitled to four peremptory challenges or, if the charge is punishable by death or imprisonment for life, eight peremptory challenges.

A criminal action may be removed from the court in which it is pending, upon an application by either the defense or the prosecution, on the grounds that a fair and impartial trial cannot be accomplished in that county. The court must not grant the application until after the examination of jurors has been conducted and the court finds that the selection of a fair and impartial jury cannot be accomplished.
Conduct of the Trial

After the jury is impaneled, the court administers a specific oath to the jury and admonishes the jury regarding the disclosure of personal knowledge of a fact in controversy. In a felony case, the clerk must then read the indictment or information and state the defendant’s plea. The district attorney opens the case and defense counsel may then make an opening statement or reserve it until before the presentation of the defense.

The prosecution then offers its evidence in support of the charge, the defendant may offer evidence in defense, and both sides may offer rebuttal testimony. The testimony of witnesses must be taken orally in open court, unless the law provides otherwise. After the presentation of evidence and rebuttal, unless either side submits the case to the jury without argument, the prosecution makes a concluding argument. The judge must then charge the jury and, unless the parties agree, the charge must be in writing. The judge must inform the jury of all matters of law the judge believes are necessary for reaching a verdict.

A defendant in a criminal action is presumed innocent until proven otherwise. If there is reasonable doubt whether the prosecution has satisfactorily proven guilt, the defendant is entitled to acquittal.

Conduct of the Jury

In a criminal case, the court may in its discretion allow the jurors to go home overnight before the case is submitted to the jury and after jury deliberation commences. If the jury is kept in the charge of a proper officer, the officer must not allow any communications with them, except by court order, except to ask whether they have reached a verdict. Each time the court adjourns, the court must admonish the jurors not to converse among themselves or with anyone else concerning the trial; listen to, read, or watch any news report on the trial; or form an opinion before the case is finally submitted to them.

The jury must not be discharged until it has reached a verdict and presented it in open court or, after a proper time, it appears there is no reasonable probability the jury can agree.

Verdict

The jury’s verdict must be unanimous. At the request of any party or the court, the jury must be polled to determine whether there is unanimous concurrence.

If a defendant is found guilty or guilty but mentally ill of first-degree murder, the court must hold a separate penalty hearing. If a jury reached the verdict, the penalty hearing must take place before the same jury. If the defendant pled guilty or guilty but mentally ill, a jury must be impaneled for the penalty phase if the prosecution seeks the death penalty.

In cases where the prosecution seeks the death penalty, the jury must determine upon the evidence presented whether aggravating and mitigating circumstances exist. The jury may impose a death penalty.
sentence only if it finds at least one aggravating circumstance and no mitigating circumstance sufficient to outweigh it. If the jury cannot reach a unanimous verdict in the penalty phase and the prosecution seeks the death sentence, the judge must sentence the defendant to life without the possibility of parole or impanel a new jury for the penalty phase. If the prosecution does not seek the death sentence, the trial judge must impose the sentence.

**SENTENCING**

*Imposition of the Sentence*

After trial, the court must impose a sentence without unreasonable delay. However, before imposing a sentence, the court must give the defendant’s attorney an opportunity to speak, give the defendant an opportunity to make a statement or present mitigating information, and give the victim or the victim’s representative an opportunity to express any views.

The sentence must cover any term of imprisonment; the amount and terms of any fine, restitution, or administrative assessment; a reference to the statute under which the person is sentenced; and any statutory provision necessary to determine eligibility for parole.

With the passage of A.B. 267 (Chapter 152, *Statutes of Nevada*) in the 2015 Session, a court may no longer impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole upon a person convicted of certain crimes who was less than 18 years of age at the time the crime was committed. Courts must consider the differences between juvenile and adult offenders in determining an appropriate sentence, and certain minimum periods of incarceration must be served by a prisoner who was sentenced as an adult for certain offenses that were committed when he or she was less than 18 years of age before the prisoner is eligible for parole.

**Concurrent and Consecutive Sentences**

Whenever a person is convicted of two or more offenses, the court may provide that the sentences to be served will run either concurrently or consecutively.

To give both offenders and crime victims more clarity about prison sentences when a court imposes consecutive sentences, the 2013 Legislature approved Senate Bill 71 (Chapter 64, *Statutes of Nevada*) to require the sentences be added together or “aggregated” for crimes committed on or after July 1, 2014. This bill streamlines the work of the State Board of Parole Commissioners and reduces concern on the part of victims and their families by eliminating the need for a parole hearing when the first of two consecutive sentences is being served.

**Presentence Investigations**

With some exceptions, for each person who pleads guilty or no contest to a felony, or who is found guilty of a felony, the Division of Parole and Probation, Department of Public Safety (DPS), must make a presentence investigation and report to the court. No report is required if a jury fixes the sentence, if a report has been made previously within the last five years, or for most convictions for a
category E felony. Except for disclosures to the parties, corrections and law enforcement agencies, and as necessary for mental health evaluations and administration of the State’s gaming laws, presentence investigation reports are confidential.

The report must cover the defendant’s prior criminal record; information about the defendant and the effect of the offense on the victim; a recommendation of a minimum and maximum term of imprisonment, fine, or both; and other information.

For persons convicted of felony sexual offenses, additional requirements apply to the presentence investigations. For more information, see the April 2016 Policy and Program Report titled, “Justice System: Focus on Sex Offenders.”

APPEALS

A party who is aggrieved by a decision in a criminal case may appeal to a higher court in some circumstances. Either the defendant or the State may:

- Appeal to the district court from a final judgment in justice court;
- Appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court a district court order granting a motion to dismiss, granting a motion for acquittal, or granting or refusing a new trial; or
- Appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court a district court determination on whether a defendant is intellectually disabled.

For good cause, the State may also appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court a pretrial district court order granting or denying a motion to suppress evidence.

A defendant may not appeal a final judgment or verdict resulting from a voluntary plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contendere unless the defendant reserved that right in writing or the appeal is based on constitutional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings.

Unless the defendant waives the appeal, an appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court is automatic in cases where the defendant pled not guilty or not guilty by reason of insanity and was convicted and sentenced to death. Even where such a defendant waives the appeal, the Supreme Court must still review the sentence.

An appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court must be made only on questions of law, not evidence. All appeals from a district court to the Supreme Court must be heard on the original record and the reporter’s transcript of the proceedings. The Supreme Court may reverse, affirm, or modify the judgment that was appealed or order a new trial.
Nevada Court Of Appeals

Senate Joint Resolution No. 14 of the 76th Session (File No. 47, Statutes of Nevada 2013) was approved for the second consecutive time by the 2013 Legislature and was submitted to the voters at the 2014 General Election. With voter approval, an intermediate Court of Appeals was established in Nevada. Article 6 of the Nevada Constitution was amended, creating a Court of Appeals consisting of three judges. The Nevada Supreme Court assigns the types of district court decisions to be heard by the Court of Appeals and also determines when a Court of Appeals decision may be reviewed by the Nevada Supreme Court.

Senate Bill 463 (Chapter 343, Statutes of Nevada 2013), a companion measure, covered implementation of the court of appeals and took effect upon ratification of the constitutional amendment by the voters.

RECORDS OF CRIMINAL HISTORY

Sealing of Records

After a convicted person is released from custody or discharged from parole or probation, the person may petition the court where the conviction occurred to seal all records relating to the conviction. The person must wait a certain number of years before submitting a petition, ranging from 2 years for a misdemeanor conviction to 15 years for a category A or B felony conviction, and no person may petition the court to seal records relating to a conviction for a crime against a child, a sexual offense, or a felony DUI conviction. After notifying the prosecuting attorney and conducting a hearing, the court may grant such a petition if the convicted person does not have a conviction or pending charge, except for minor traffic violations, during the waiting period.

If a person was arrested for alleged criminal conduct and acquitted, or if the charge was dismissed or the conviction was set aside, that person may petition the court to seal all records related to the arrest and the proceedings. After notifying the prosecuting attorney and conducting a hearing, the court may grant such a petition. In addition, if the prosecuting attorney declines to prosecute the charges, a person may apply for the sealing of all records after the statute of limitations has run out, after ten years, or pursuant to an agreement among the parties.

The effect of a court order sealing a record is that the covered proceedings are deemed never to have occurred, the person to whom the order applies may answer any inquiry accordingly, and the person’s rights to hold office, serve on a jury, and vote are immediately restored.

Sealed records may be reopened for inspection upon a petition from the person who is the subject of the records, or by court order. Also, the Nevada Gaming Commission and the Nevada Gaming Control Board may inspect sealed records, if the event or conviction was related to gaming, to determine a person’s suitability for a State gaming license or registration.
Central Repository

In 1985, the Legislature created the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History in the General Services Division, DPS. Each agency of criminal justice and any agency dealing with delinquency of children must collect and submit required information to the Central Repository. The Department may disseminate information from the Central Repository to other criminal justice agencies, exchange information with federal repositories and those of other states, and request and receive background information from the Federal Bureau of Investigation on any person who applies to the State of Nevada or one of its local governments for a license, with whom the State or a local government intends to enter into an employment relationship, who has applied to attend an academy for training police officers, and other persons as authorized by law.

The Central Repository must investigate the criminal history of any person who has applied to the Superintendent of Public Instruction for a license, has applied to a public or private school for employment, or is employed by a public or private school, and must notify the Superintendent or school administrator, as appropriate, if the person has been convicted of a felony, an offense involving moral turpitude, or certain other crimes.

The Central Repository must also make annual reports of statistical crime data to the Governor and the Legislature.

The Legislature has placed conditions and limits on the dissemination of records of criminal history, depending on the need for the records, the purpose of the request, the source of the request, the types of records, and other factors. A record of criminal history must be used only for the purpose for which it was requested and may not be disseminated further without express authority or a court order. The Central Repository and each police agency in the State must allow a person to inspect a record of criminal history pertaining to that person during regular business hours, subject to reasonable charges or fees, and the DPS must adopt regulations covering the correction of any records found to be inaccurate.

ACTIVITIES DURING THE 2015-2016 INTERIM

The Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice will meet to discuss activities related to criminal procedure.
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There are many types of crimes involving unlawful acts against people, property, public decency, public health and safety, and the State itself. In Nevada, the most serious crimes for which the courts may impose State prison terms are felonies. Misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors are less serious crimes for which the courts may sentence a defendant to a term in the county jail. This section of the *Policy and Program Report* describes types of crimes and their punishments, and it also explains a variety of programs intended to assist crime victims.
CLASSIFICATION OF CRIMES

Nevada classifies crimes in three main categories: (1) felonies; (2) gross misdemeanors; and (3) misdemeanors. A felony is a crime that is punishable by death or imprisonment in the State prison. A misdemeanor is a crime punishable by a fine of not more than $1,000 and/or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months. All other crimes are gross misdemeanors, which are punishable by a fine of not more than $2,000 and/or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 364 days.

Nevada law further classifies felonies in categories A through E, as follows:

- Category A, for which a sentence of death or imprisonment for life, with or without the possibility of parole, may be imposed;
- Category B, for which a sentence of imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 1 year and not more than 20 years may be imposed;
- Category C, for which a sentence of imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 1 year and not more than 5 years may be imposed, plus a fine of not more than $10,000, unless a greater fine is authorized or required by law;
- Category D, for which a sentence of imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 1 year and not more than 4 years may be imposed, plus a fine of not more than $5,000, unless a greater fine is authorized or required by law; and
- Category E, for which a sentence of imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 1 year and not more than 4 years may be imposed, plus a fine of not more than $5,000 and, with some exceptions, the court must suspend the sentence and grant probation with appropriate conditions, which may include a term of confinement in the county jail.

If *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) does not specify the penalty for a particular offense, the offense is a misdemeanor.

TYPES OF CRIMES

Nevada’s laws relating to crimes and punishments identify a number of different types of criminal offenses, which generally fall into the following subject areas.

*Crimes Against Public Justice*

Crimes against public justice include bribery, conspiracy, corruption, falsifying evidence, impersonating an officer, malicious prosecution, perjury, resisting a public officer, and other similar offenses.
**Crimes Against Persons**

Crimes against persons include abuse, neglect, or exploitation of older or vulnerable persons; assault; battery; bodily injury; child abuse and neglect; child pornography; homicide; false imprisonment; kidnapping; mayhem; robbery; sexual assault; stalking; and related offenses.

The 2015 Legislature passed several bills addressing crimes against persons. Assembly Bill 212 (Chapter 150, *Statutes of Nevada*) provided that prosecution for sexual assault must be commenced within 20 years after the commission of the offense, instead of within 4 years, as was the law previously. Assembly Bill 214 (Chapter 212, *Statutes of Nevada*), increased the penalty for soliciting a child for prostitution from a category E felony to a category E felony for a first offense, a category D felony for a second offense, and a category C felony for a third and subsequent offense. In addition, A.B. 214 prohibited the court from granting probation to, or suspending the sentence of, a person convicted of a third or subsequent offense of soliciting a child for prostitution. Assembly Bill 223 (Chapter 174, *Statutes of Nevada*) revised provisions concerning the abuse, neglect, exploitation, and isolation of older and vulnerable persons by adding “abandonment” to the law.

**Crimes Against Public Decency**

Crimes against public decency include criminal gang recruitment; incest; indecent exposure; nonsupport of a child, former spouse, or spouse; obscenity; pandering; paternity fraud; prostitution; and similar offenses.

The passage of A.B. 49 (Chapter 399, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*), established the crime of unlawful dissemination of an intimate image of a person and provided penalties for the crime. The bill revised the definition of “sexual assault” to include several new acts but provided that the definition does not apply to a person who is under 18 years of age and is not more than 2 years older than the person upon whom the act is committed.

The bill also provided that a person who commits lewdness with a child under the age of 16 is guilty of a category A felony. If a person under the age of 18 commits lewdness with a child under 14, the offender is also guilty of a category A felony, and if the child is 14 or 15 years of age, the offender is guilty of a category B felony.

**Crimes Against Public Health and Safety**

Crimes against public health and safety include offenses related to acts of terrorism; dangerous and vicious dogs; the possession, manufacture, or use of firearms and other weapons; the sale of alcoholic beverages; the sale or use of tobacco; the transportation of explosives; and other similar offenses.

In 2015, the Legislature considered many firearms-related issues and struggled with the difficult balance among public safety, personal security, and personal rights. Senate Bill 175 (Chapter 328, *Statutes of Nevada*) amended the definition of “justifiable homicide” and created a rebuttable presumption if a person knew or reasonably believed that the person killed was: (1) entering or
attempting to enter the occupied house or vehicle unlawfully and with force; (2) committing, or attempting to commit, a crime of violence; and (3) was not provoked.

The Legislature also passed A.B. 239 (Chapter 327, Statutes of Nevada 2015), which regulated the operators of unmanned aerial vehicles, also commonly referred to as drones, and provided criminal and civil penalties for their unlawful operation. The bill prohibits weaponizing a drone. One who does so is guilty of a category D felony, and one who both weaponizes and discharges a weaponized drone is guilty of a category C felony.

**Crimes Against Property**

Crimes against property include arson, burglary, counterfeiting, embezzlement, extortion, forgery, fraud, home invasion, identity theft, larceny, offenses relating to credit and debit cards, receiving stolen goods, theft, and related crimes.

The Legislature addressed the issue of “squatting” through the passage of A.B. 386 (Chapter 507, Statutes of Nevada 2015). The bill created and defined crimes and associated penalties for “housebreaking,” “unlawful occupancy,” and “unlawful reentry” and addressed summary procedures to obtain possession of real property.

**Malicious Mischief**

Malicious mischief includes offenses related to defacing notices or proclamations, destruction of property, destruction or removal of boundary markers, graffiti, killing or maiming animals or livestock, trespass, and other similar offenses.

Through the passage of S.B. 56 (Chapter 372, Statutes of Nevada 2015), the Legislature granted the governing body of a city the authority to adopt ordinances and allow officers and employees of the city to cover or remove graffiti placed on certain portions of residential property with the property owner’s consent. Assembly Bill 244 (Chapter 215, Statutes of Nevada 2015) provided that a person is guilty of a category D felony, regardless of the value of the loss, if the person has been previously convicted of two or more graffiti offenses or otherwise defacing public or private property.

**Other Types of Crimes**

Other types of crimes include crimes against the legislative power, crimes by and against the executive power of the State, crimes against the public peace, crimes against the revenue and property of the State, and miscellaneous crimes.

**PUNISHMENTS**

**Probation and Alternative Sentencing**

Except in cases of such serious crimes as murder and sexual assault, or where a court has found a convicted person to be a habitual criminal, a court may place a person convicted of a felony,
gross misdemeanor, or misdemeanor on probation and suspend the person’s sentence. For most category E felony convictions, the district court must grant probation. If the court determines that a person convicted of a category C, D, or E felony has the financial ability to post a bond to ensure compliance with the conditions of probation, the court must order the person to execute a surety bond.

When a person is granted probation, the defendant is placed under the supervision of the Division of Parole and Probation, Department of Public Safety. The period of probation is normally limited to three years for a gross misdemeanor and five years for a felony. The court may impose reasonable conditions on a probation order relating to conduct, contacts with other persons, restitution, residency, weapons, and other matters. If a probationer violates the conditions of probation, the court may issue a warrant for his or her arrest or, if the person agrees, order the person to a term of residential confinement.

**Punishment for Misdemeanors**

A person convicted of a misdemeanor in Nevada must be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, by a fine of not more than $1,000, or both, unless the applicable statute prescribes a different penalty. In lieu of all or part of the punishment, the court may sentence the convicted person to perform a fixed period of community service.

If a corporation is convicted of an offense that, for a natural person, would be punishable as a misdemeanor, the punishment is a fine of not more than $1,000, unless a different penalty is prescribed by law.

**Punishment for Gross Misdemeanors**

A person convicted in Nevada of a gross misdemeanor must be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 364 days, by a fine of not more than $2,000, or both, unless the applicable statute prescribes a different penalty. The court may order the person to perform a fixed period of community service as a condition of probation.

**Punishment for Felonies**

A person convicted of a felony in Nevada must be punished as set forth in the penalties for felonies charts listed on the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) website at: [http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/Factsheets/CrimeCharts/index.html](http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/Factsheets/CrimeCharts/index.html).

**Death Penalty**

A person convicted of first-degree murder must be punished by death, only if one or more aggravating circumstances are found that are not outweighed by any mitigating circumstance. Nevada’s laws identify the only aggravating circumstances, which include, among others:

- Murder committed by a person already under a sentence of imprisonment;
• Murder by a person who knowingly created a great risk of death to more than one person;

• Murder committed while engaged in or attempting to engage in arson, burglary, home invasion, kidnapping, or robbery;

• Murder for hire;

• Murder of a firefighter or peace officer engaged in the performance of official duty;

• Murder involving mutilation or torture;

• Murder committed at random;

• Murder of a person under the age of 14; and

• Murder committed as a hate crime.

Mitigating circumstances may include a defendant with no significant history of prior criminal activity; murder committed under the influence of extreme emotional or mental disturbance; a victim who was a participant in the defendant’s criminal act or who consented to the act; a murder in which the defendant was a relatively minor accomplice; a defendant who was under duress or domination of another person; a youthful defendant; and other circumstances.

Fines

All fines imposed and collected for violations of Nevada’s criminal laws must be paid into the State Treasury. A judgment that imposes a fine constitutes a lien like a money judgment in a civil action. The Nevada Constitution pledges all fines collected under the penal laws of the State for educational purposes and provides that the money from fines must not be transferred to other funds for other purposes.

AID TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Nevada Revised Statutes includes a variety of provisions designed to assist victims of crime. In addition to providing direct financial or other assistance to victims, these laws address confidentiality; disclosures and notices to victims; fictitious addresses; mandatory conditions of parole and probation; mandatory reporting of certain crimes; procedural consideration; protection of victims from intimidation and threats; restitution; victims’ attendance at school; victims’ recovery of damages; and related subjects.

Fund for Compensation of Victims of Crime

An eligible person may apply to the State Board of Examiners for compensation from the Fund for Compensation of Victims of Crime (NRS 217.260). The Board may pay compensation to or for the
benefit of victims, to a victim’s caregivers for their expenses or losses, to a victim’s dependents if the victim dies, and to members of the victim’s immediate family or household for psychological counseling. With the passage of S.B. 230 (Chapter 421, Statutes of Nevada 2015) the statutory cap was removed on the total amount of compensation the State Board of Examiners may award from the Fund to a victim, members of the victim’s household, or the victim’s dependents for expenses and losses.

**Account for Aid for Victims of Domestic Violence**

The Division of Child and Family Services, Department of Health and Human Services, administers the Account for Aid for Victims of Domestic Violence (NRS 217.440). Eligible nonprofit entities may apply to the Division for grants to provide services to victims.

**Assistance to Victims of Sexual Assault**

Each county in Nevada must provide, by ordinance, a program for counseling and medical treatment of victims of sexual assault, and it must pay for any medical care for injuries resulting from the assault provided to the victim within 72 hours after the victim arrives for treatment. In addition, the victim or the victim’s spouse may apply to the board of county commissioners for treatment of emotional trauma at the county’s expense.

**Contingency Account for Victims of Human Trafficking**

The money in the Contingency Account may be expended for the purpose of establishing or providing programs or services to victims of human trafficking (NRS 217.530). Through this Account, a nonprofit agency or State or local government agency is authorized to apply for money to assist victims of human trafficking with needed services. A limited portion of the money in the Contingency Account can be used for fundraising for the direct benefit of the Contingency Account.

**Confidentiality**

Information related to victims of abuse of older or vulnerable persons, domestic violence, sexual assault, and other sexual offenses is confidential and may be disseminated only to the court, law enforcement agencies, parole and probation officers, and other persons who need the information for official purposes. Information on individual victims of sex offenders must not be disclosed on the community notification website, from a record of registration, or from the Statewide registry.

**Disclosure and Notice**

Nevada’s laws require disclosure or notification to victims of a variety of events that may affect them. In the juvenile system, victims must be notified of the disposition of the cases involving them and of petitions alleging that a juvenile is delinquent for committing a sexual offense against them.
In the adult justice system, victims must be notified of the following types of events if those events might affect them or their cases: an application for a pardon; an application for a concealed weapon permit; the discharge, escape, or release of an offender; the disposition of a harassment, sexual assault, stalking, or theft case; a meeting to consider clemency; a meeting to consider parole; a postconviction petition for genetic marker analysis; a recommendation for a modified sentence of probation; a request to serve a term of residential confinement; the results of a test for HIV or sexually transmitted disease on a person arrested for a crime; and other events.

**Fictitious Address Program**

An adult, a parent or guardian for a child, or a guardian acting on behalf of an incompetent person may apply to the Secretary of State for designation of a fictitious address if the subject of the application has been a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking. The Secretary of State must approve the application if it is accompanied by specific evidence demonstrating that the person has been a victim, and he or she may make records pertaining to the fictitious address available for inspection only upon the request of a law enforcement agency or by court order.

**Intimidation of Victims**

A person who, by intimidation or threat, discourages, hinders, or prevents a crime victim or witness from causing an arrest, commencing a prosecution, or reporting a crime is guilty of a category D felony. A court may issue an injunction restraining a defendant or other person from such conduct.

**Procedural Considerations for Victims**

In consideration of victims of acts against older or vulnerable persons, acts committed against a child, domestic violence, and sexual assault and other sex offenses, the courts may adjust trial dates and must in many cases provide an attendant to support the victim during proceedings.

Assembly Bill 193 (Chapter 148, *Statutes of Nevada 2015*) allows hearsay evidence in preliminary examinations and grand jury proceedings, but only in cases involving sexual offenses committed against children under the age of 16; felony child abuse; and felony domestic violence involving substantial bodily harm to the victim.

**Restitution**

In many circumstances, persons convicted of a crime or falling within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court must provide restitution to their victims. A juvenile court may include restitution in an agreement for informal supervision and may order restitution from a child adjudicated delinquent or the child’s parent or guardian.

In the adult system, the court may require restitution as a condition of parole or probation, and the order of restitution is deemed a money judgment against the offender. The Department of Corrections may deduct amounts required to pay restitution from an offender’s personal account and wages. For
crimes of theft and similar crimes, a district attorney may require restitution and suspend prosecution under certain conditions.

**Victims’ Attendance at School**

Unless the juvenile court has terminated the requirement or approved an alternative plan of supervision as provided for in NRS, the court must prohibit a child adjudicated delinquent for a sexual offense or sexually motivated act from attending the same school the victim is attending, and it must order the parent or guardian of the delinquent child to inform the parole or probation officer each time the child expects to change schools. The parole or probation officer must notify the school district in which the delinquent child resides, or the private school if the child attends private school, and the notice must include the name of the victim if the victim’s parent or guardian consents.

In addition, a child covered by the fictitious address program may attend school outside a normal zone of attendance established by the board of trustees of the school district or attend in another school district.

**Other Considerations for Victims of Crime**

Among the numerous statutes that consider the needs and rights of crime victims, the Legislature has authorized crime victims to seek recovery of damages for their injuries and to appear at sentencing hearings. The family of a victim of a capital offense may request notice of execution of the death penalty against the offender and must be given preference in attending the execution. As a condition of lifetime supervision imposed on a sex offender and as a condition of parole or probation for a person convicted of a sexual offense, the offender must not have any contact with the crime victim except as authorized by the court.

For more information, see the April 2016 *Policy and Program Report* chapters titled, “Justice System: Focus on Domestic Violence,” and “Justice System: Focus on Sex Offenders.”

**Other 2015 Legislation**

Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 (File No. 37, *Statutes of Nevada*) proposes to amend the *Nevada Constitution* by eliminating existing victims’ rights provisions found in Article 1, Section 8, and replacing them with an expanded set of provisions in the form of a victims’ bill of rights.

**ACTIVITIES DURING THE 2015-2016 INTERIM**

The Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice will meet during the 2015-2016 Interim to discuss activities related to crimes and punishments.
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When a defendant is convicted of a crime in Nevada, the sentence may include a term of confinement in the county jail or, for felony crimes, in the State prison. In addition to those offenders confined in local detention facilities, Nevada’s Department of Corrections (NDOC) currently houses, on average, approximately 13,000 persons in its 18 operating correctional institutions, camps, and centers, at any given time. (See the map on page 8 of this report for further information.)

The State Board of Parole Commissioners may release an offender who is eligible for parole from State prison after considering a list of factors set forth in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). The Division of Parole and Probation in the Department of Public Safety (DPS) supervises parolees until the expiration of their court-imposed terms of imprisonment.

LOCAL DETENTION FACILITIES

Each county in Nevada must build or provide a jail and maintain it in good condition, at the county’s expense. The county is responsible for the condition and treatment of prisoners and jail security, and the county sheriff is the jail custodian. With the concurrence of the sheriff, a board of county commissioners may enter into an interlocal agreement with another county or city in Nevada to provide a jail.

The board of county commissioners and the governing body of any incorporated city must make all necessary arrangements, in accordance with State law, to use the labor of prisoners committed to jail by a district or justice court. In addition, a sheriff or chief of police may establish a program to release prisoners from jail for education or work.

A board of county commissioners or the governing body of an incorporated city may seek reimbursement from a prisoner who is not indigent for the actual costs of keeping the prisoner in jail or supervising the prisoner in an alternative program.
Unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, the county sheriff or chief of police may supervise a convicted prisoner electronically, instead of confining the prisoner in jail, if the prisoner has a suitable residential living situation and poses no unreasonable risk to public safety.

For each month in which a prisoner in a local jail appears to have been faithful, obedient, and orderly, the sheriff or chief of police may deduct up to five days from the term of imprisonment and, if the prisoner completes an educational program, completes a program of treatment for drug or alcohol abuse, or performs assigned work diligently, additional days as provided by statute.

STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

Nevada’s DOC and the Board of State Prison Commissioners are responsible for the housing and treatment of offenders sentenced to State prison. The Board consists of the Governor, who serves as chair; the Secretary of State, who serves as secretary; and the Attorney General.

Nevada’s correctional facilities and institutions are publicly financed and are operated by NDOC. Prisoners are classified by NDOC based on risk assessment and are assigned to an appropriate risk-defined facility. A prisoner may not be assigned by NDOC to a minimum-security facility if the prisoner is not eligible for parole or release within a reasonable period; has recently committed a serious infraction of NDOC rules; has not performed assigned duties in a faithful and orderly manner; has ever been convicted of a felony sexual offense; has been convicted within the last year of a felony involving the use or threat of force or violence; or has escaped or attempted to escape.

The Department must require each prisoner to spend 40 hours per week in vocational training or employment, unless the prisoner’s behavior precludes participation or the prisoner is excused to attend class or for medical reasons. Offenders receive hourly wages for their work, and NDOC may deduct amounts from those wages to support the Fund for the Compensation of Victims of Crime; to provide support for the offender’s family; for construction of new facilities for prison industry; to offset the cost of keeping the prisoner in prison; to pay the unpaid balance of fees and administrative assessments imposed on the offender; and for other purposes.

The Committee on Industrial Programs, which includes the Director of NDOC, the Administrator of the Purchasing Division of the Department of Administration, four members from the Legislature, and four other members representing business, manufacturing, and organized labor, is responsible for reviewing current and proposed agricultural and industrial programs.

NEVADA’S DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS—STATE FUNDING

The 2015 Legislature appropriated $521.5 million from the General Fund to NDOC for the 2015-2017 Biennium, an increase of approximately $34.2 million, or 7 percent, over the $487.3 million approved by the 2013 Legislature. According to information contained in the November 2015 Nevada Legislative Appropriations Report, this increase primarily results from the addition of 45 new protective service officers throughout NDOC.
NEVADA’S DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS—DEMOGRAPHICS

The NDOC’s budget is primarily driven by the projected number of inmates to be housed. The 2015-2017 Biennium budget, as approved by the Legislature, provides for housing an average of 12,890 inmates in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 and 12,948 in FY 2017. From October 2014 to February 2015, there was a slight increase in the inmate population, which was reflected in the legislatively approved inmate population projections compiled by the JFA Institute in February 2015. In total, the Legislature approved an increase in inmate-driven operating expenditures of $356,290 in FY 2016 and $481,767 in FY 2017.

The following highlights demographic information about NDOC inmates as provided by NDOC in the December 29, 2015, Stat Facts publication:

- Offenders by Custody Level (minimum, medium, close and maximum):

  ![NDOC Offenders by Custody Level](image)


- Gender of Offenders:

  ![NDOC Offenders by Gender](image)

• Ethnicity of Offenders:

![NDOC Offenders by Ethnicity](chart)


• Age of Offenders:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Median Age at Intake</th>
<th>Median Current Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>37 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33 years</td>
<td>35 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


• Average Daily Operating Costs by Housing Type:

![NDOC Average Daily Operating Costs by Housing Type](chart)

• Longest Sentence Length:

![NDOC Offenders by Length of Sentence](image)

*Based on longest sentence an offender is serving.


**RECIDIVISM RATE**

The recidivism rate is the proportion of offenders who return at least once to a correctional facility within NDOC within 36 months of parole or discharge.

**NOTE:** Ex-felons who re-offend in other jurisdictions are not captured in the rate. As information becomes available, NDOC releases updated reports on recidivism rates. The most recently published data is included in the April 2013 NDOC report titled, *Recidivism Rates for the 2009 Release Cohort*.

During calendar year 2009, a total of 5,692 prisoners were released from NDOC. During the following 36-month period, a total of 1,590 (28 percent) were re-admitted to NDOC. To compare this rate nationally, the most recent study by the Bureau of Justice Statistics concluded that 52 percent of offenders are re-arrested within a 36-month period.

When looking at the effect of release type on recidivism, data indicate that offenders who are paroled (30 percent recidivism rate) are more likely to be re-admitted than those who are discharged (25 percent recidivism rate).

Other indicators of a higher recidivism rate include ethnicity, age, and type of offense (see charts on the following page).
NDOC Recidivism Rate by Ethnicity

- Indian: 36.67%
- African American: 33.48%
- White: 27.29%
- Asian: 26.5%
- Hispanic: 19.98%

Average Recidivism Rate 27.93 percent

NDOC Recidivism Rate by Age

- Under 18: 50%
- 18-27: 32.86%
- 28-37: 27.7%
- 38-47: 27.15%
- 48-57: 21.33%
- 58-67: 15.83%
- 68-77: 0%

Average Recidivism Rate 27.93 percent

NDOC Recidivism Rate by Type of Offense

- Property: 33.36%
- Drugs: 27.76%
- Violence: 26.86%
- Sexual: 23.67%
- Other: 19.39%
- DUI: 13.42%

Average Recidivism Rate 27.93 percent
PARDONS AND PAROLE

The State Board of Parole Commissioners determines whether to grant parole to a convicted person. The Governor appoints the Board and its chair to four-year terms. The Board appoints an executive secretary.

The State Board of Parole Commissioners must adopt, by regulation, objective standards to assist the Board in determining whether to grant or revoke parole. The standards must address the parole of a person who committed a capital offense, who was sentenced to a life term, who was convicted of a violent sexual offense, who is a repeat offender, and other types of convicted persons.

The Board may release an offender who is eligible for parole after considering factors set forth in NRS. If the offender was imprisoned for a felony, the offender remains under the jurisdiction of the Board from the time of release on parole until the expiration of the maximum term of imprisonment the court imposed, less any credits earned to reduce the sentence pursuant to NRS.

A prisoner who was sentenced for a crime committed before July 1, 1995, is eligible for parole when the prisoner has served one-third of the sentence, less any credits earned to reduce the sentence. A prisoner who was sentenced for a crime committed on or after July 1, 1995, is eligible when the prisoner has served the minimum term imposed by the court, less any applicable credits earned.

The Chief Parole and Probation Officer and the Division of Parole and Probation supervise parolees and investigate cases referred to them by the State Board of Parole Commissioners. (The Division also supervises probationers; see the April 2016 Policy and Program Report titled, “Criminal Procedure.”) Officers of the Division must stay informed of the conduct and condition of all persons under their supervision and aid and encourage them to bring about improvements.

The State Board of Pardons Commissioners, consisting of the Governor, the justices of the Nevada Supreme Court, and the Attorney General, considers applications for the commutation of punishments; remission of fines; restoration of civil rights; and pardons. Before the Board considers an application at a meeting, the Board must notify the district attorney for the county in which the conviction occurred, and the district attorney must submit a statement to the Board. Both the Board and the district attorney must also notify any victim of the applicant who has requested notice in writing.

ACTIVITIES DURING THE 2015-2016 INTERIM

The Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice meets regularly to discuss activities related to issues regarding correctional facilities, parole and probation, sentencing and a range of other justice-related topics. Information on the Commission’s current activities is available online at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/StatCom/AdminJustice/?ID=18.
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Committee on Industrial Programs: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Interim/78th2015/Committee/StatCom/Industrial/?ID=33.
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One important subset of the Nevada justice system addresses interactions that take place within family units, including adoption, divorce, marriage, and other matters. These matters figure prominently in the well-being of all Nevadans.

MARRIAGE

Marriage in Nevada is a civil contract requiring the consent of each party and a formal ceremony before witnesses known as “solemnization.” Nevada does not recognize common-law marriages begun after March 29, 1943. Persons who are at least 18 years of age may marry. A person who is 16 or 17 years of age may marry with the consent of a parent or guardian, and a person less than 16 years of age may marry with the consent of a parent or guardian and the approval of a district court. No two persons who are closer in relationship than second cousins may marry.

In 2002, Nevada’s voters approved a constitutional amendment specifying that Nevada recognizes only a marriage between a male and a female. However, the 2013 Legislature approved Senate Joint Resolution No. 13 (File No. 43, Statutes of Nevada), which proposed to amend the Nevada Constitution to provide that the State of Nevada and its political subdivisions will recognize marriages and issue licenses to couples regardless of gender. Religious organizations and clergy would have the right to refuse to perform marriages, and no person would have the right to make a claim against a religious organization or clergy for such refusal. All legally valid marriages would be treated equally under the law. The 2015 Legislature also approved S.J.R. 13 in exactly the same form as in 2013, and it will be placed on the 2016 General Election ballot for final decision by the voters. While the S.J.R. 13 process plays out, in 2014, the United States Courts for the Ninth Circuit, Court of Appeals, ruled that Nevada’s prohibition on same-sex marriage was unconstitutional and, as of the writing of this report, Nevada does allow same-sex marriage.

Persons who wish to marry in Nevada must obtain a marriage license from the county clerk, but there is no residency requirement. In Clark County, the county clerk is the commissioner of civil marriages, and in other counties containing a township whose population is 15,500 or more, the board
of county commissioners may appoint the county clerk to act as the commissioner. After obtaining a certificate of permission from the county clerk, any appointed, licensed, or ordained minister or other person authorized to solemnize a marriage who is in good standing with his or her church or religious organization organized in Nevada may perform a marriage. In addition, a commissioner of civil marriage, Supreme Court justice, district court judge, justice of the peace, municipal judge, or notary public may also perform a marriage. The Secretary of State must maintain a statewide database of persons authorized to solemnize a marriage.

DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIPS

In 2009, the Legislature enacted statutes relating to domestic partnerships. Two persons may register a domestic partnership with the Secretary of State if they have a common residence, are not married or a member of another domestic partnership, are not related by blood in a way that would prevent them from being married to each other in Nevada, are both at least 18 years of age, and are both competent to consent to the partnership.

Domestic partners have the same benefits, duties, obligations, protections, responsibilities, and rights as are granted to and imposed upon spouses. However, no employer is required to provide health care benefits to the domestic partner of an employee.

Domestic partners may terminate the partnership by filing a statement with the Secretary of State declaring that both partners have chosen to terminate the partnership, but only if the partnership has been registered for five years or less; there are no minor children of the relationship or the parties have executed an agreement regarding child custody and support; there is no community or joint property or the parties have executed an agreement regarding the division of property and liabilities; the parties waive any rights to support or have executed an agreement setting forth the amount and manner of support; and the parties waive any right to conduct of divorce proceedings. Otherwise, to terminate a partnership, the partners must follow the procedures for a divorce.

DIVORCE

To file for divorce, a person must have resided in Nevada for at least six weeks, unless the cause of action occurred in Nevada while both parties were actually domiciled here. There are three causes of action for divorce: (1) incompatibility; (2) insanity; or (3) one year of separation without cohabitation.

Nevada is a community property state. Unless the action is contrary to an enforceable premarital agreement, the court must, to the extent practicable, make an equal disposition of the community property, except that the court may make an unequal distribution as it deems just.

In granting a divorce, the court may award alimony to either party and may set apart a portion of either party’s property for child support or spousal support. The Legislature has identified a list of factors the court must consider in determining whether to award alimony and the amount of the award.
A married couple may commence a summary proceeding for divorce by filing a joint petition with the court, provided that:

- They have been separated for one year without cohabitation or are incompatible;
- There are no minor children of the relationship and the wife is not pregnant, or the parties have executed an agreement regarding child custody and support;
- There is no community or joint property or the parties have executed an agreement regarding the division of property and liabilities;
- The parties waive any rights to spousal support or have executed an agreement setting forth the amount and manner of support; and
- The parties waive their rights to written notice of entry of the divorce decree, to appeal, to request findings of fact and conclusions of law, and to move for a new trial.

**CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION**

The Legislature has declared that it is the policy of the State to ensure that minor children have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with both parents after a separation or divorce and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities of raising children. In determining custody of a minor child in a divorce action, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the child. The Legislature has identified an order of preference for awarding custody—with the first preference being joint custody or custody by either parent—and a list of factors the court must consider in determining the best interest of the child.

The Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act of 1997, which addresses issues involving child custody cases that cross state lines, and the Uniform Child Abduction Prevention Act of 2006, which authorizes a court to order measures to prevent an abduction in a child custody proceeding if the court determines there is a credible risk of abduction, were enacted by the Nevada Legislature in 2003 and 2007, respectively. A similar measure, also enacted in Nevada in 1997, is the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act, which provides universal and uniform rules for the enforcement of family support orders.

With the continued possibility of overseas deployment, addressing custody issues and attending hearings is an increasing problem for military personnel. Because of this, the 2013 Legislature approved Assembly Bill 358 (Chapter 204, Statutes of Nevada) to enact the Uniform Deployed Parents Custody and Visitation Act and replace Nevada’s existing laws on these subjects. When a parent of a child receives military deployment orders, the bill requires the parents to communicate regarding custody and visitation issues as soon as possible, declares that no permanent custody order may be entered before or during deployment without the service member’s consent, and declares that the residence of the deploying parent is not changed by reason of the deployment.
When imminent deployment is not an issue, A.B. 358 prohibits a court from using a parent’s past deployment or possible future deployment itself as a negative factor in determining the best interests of the child during a custody proceeding.

**CHILD SUPPORT AND PARENTAGE**

In Nevada, parents have a statutory duty to provide for a child’s necessary education, health care, maintenance, and support, whether the child was born in or out of wedlock. Nevada’s statutes contain a child support formula, based on the parent’s gross monthly income and the number of children, subject to a minimum and presumptive maximum amount. The court may award a greater or lesser amount, if supported by evidence, and may modify the amount in some situations.

The obligation to support a minor child ends when the child turns 18 years of age or, if the child is enrolled in high school, 19 years of age.

A person may bring an action in district court to determine parentage. Such an action is not barred until three years after the child turns 18 years of age. After a hearing, the court may recommend a settlement including dismissal of the action or compromise by agreement, in which the alleged father assumes a defined economic obligation.

In some circumstances involving abandonment, neglect, or unfitness, a court may terminate parental rights. There is a procedure for the restoration of parental rights if the child has not been adopted.

**ADOPTION**

An adult person or married couple may petition a district court to adopt a child. A child welfare agency or licensed child-placing agency may accept children from parents or guardians for adoption and may consent to adoption of children. The person who adopts a child must be at least 10 years older than the child, and if the child is over 14 years of age, the child must consent to the adoption.

With some exceptions, all adoption proceedings are confidential and must be held in closed court. The Division of Child and Family Services in the Department of Health and Human Services maintains the State Register for Adoptions, which includes information submitted voluntarily to identify adults who were adopted and persons related to them.

A child welfare agency may grant financial assistance for the costs of adopting and maintaining a child with special needs due to age, mental or physical problems, or race.

Nevada has entered into the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children, relating to cooperation among the states in the interstate placement of children, and the Interstate Compact on Adoption and Medical Assistance, relating to the interests of the states in obtaining adoptive families for children with special needs.
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The juvenile justice system applies to children who are not old enough to be held responsible as adults for criminal acts. In Nevada, “child” generally means a person who is under 18 years of age, with certain qualifications and exceptions discussed below.

In 2003, the Legislature declared that children subject to juvenile court jurisdiction must receive care and guidance, preferably in their own home, conducive to the children’s welfare and the State’s interests and, when a child is removed from parental control, the court must secure an equivalent or nearly equivalent level of care. The Legislature also stated that one of the purposes of juvenile justice laws is to promote programs designed to prevent children from becoming subject to juvenile court jurisdiction.

The Nevada Supreme Court has ruled that constitutional and statutory provisions related to criminal procedure are not applicable to proceedings in juvenile court, which are not criminal in nature, and that jury trials are not required in juvenile court.

Nevada has entered into the Interstate Compact for Juveniles, which promotes cooperative efforts and mutual assistance among states related to juvenile offenders who have run away from home and have left their state of residence.

**JUVENILE COURTS**

Nevada’s district courts have jurisdiction over juvenile justice and, when exercising that jurisdiction, are known as “juvenile courts.” Juvenile court expenses are charged to the county, except for expenses related to State juvenile detention facilities. The county is entitled to reimbursement from the parent or guardian of a child who becomes subject to juvenile court jurisdiction and receives ancillary medical, psychiatric, psychological, or transportation services.
In general, the juvenile court has jurisdiction over a child who is alleged or adjudicated to be in need of supervision, to have committed a delinquent act, or to be in need of commitment to an institution for the intellectually disabled.

For the purposes of juvenile justice, a “child” is a person who is between 10 and 18 years of age, is less than 21 years of age and is subject to juvenile court jurisdiction for an unlawful act committed before the age of 18, or is subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court as a juvenile sex offender. Additionally, a child aged 8 and older may be charged with the crimes of murder or a sexual offense under certain circumstances.

A “child in need of supervision” is one who is habitually truant from school; habitually disobeys the reasonable demands of a parent or guardian; runs away from home and is in need of care or rehabilitation; or violates a county or municipal ordinance related to curfews, loitering, or tobacco products. A child in need of supervision is not considered a delinquent child.

A “delinquent” child is one who violates a county or municipal ordinance other than those specified above, violates a regulation or rule having the force of law, or commits a criminal offense pursuant to State law.

There are several situations in which youth offenders either may or must be handled in the adult rather than the juvenile justice system.

- For such serious crimes as murder and attempted murder, the juvenile court has no jurisdiction over a child who was 16 years of age or older when the crime was committed, thus the district court handles these cases.

- A child who is accused of committing murder or attempted murder at the age of 13 or older may be certified and tried as an adult upon a motion by the district attorney and after a full investigation and hearing by the court.

- Again upon the motion of the district attorney and after an investigation and hearing, the juvenile court may transfer certain cases involving children who were 14 years of age or older at the time of their crimes, usually felonies, to adult court. This process is known as “presumptive certification.”

- Upon the motion of the district attorney and after an investigation and hearing, the juvenile court may transfer other types of cases.

- If a child is charged with a minor traffic offense, the juvenile court may transfer the case to justice or municipal court, with some restrictions.

The following table provides additional details on situations in which adult courts handle youth offenders.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crime</th>
<th>Age of Child</th>
<th>Who Has Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murder, attempted murder</td>
<td>16 years or older</td>
<td>Adult court</td>
<td><a href="#">Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 62B.330, 62B.390, 194.010</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-16 years</td>
<td>Adult court, if certified as an adult by the juvenile court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-12 years</td>
<td>Juvenile court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual assault or attempted sexual assault involving use or threat of force or violence</td>
<td>16 years or older at time of offense</td>
<td>Adult court, if the child was previously adjudicated delinquent for an act that would have been a felony if committed by an adult</td>
<td>Automatic NRS 62B.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise, adult court, after certification for proceedings as an adult by the juvenile court</td>
<td>Nondiscretionary NRS 62B.390¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense or attempted offense involving use or threat of use of firearm</td>
<td>16 years or older at time of offense</td>
<td>Adult court, if the child was previously adjudicated delinquent for an act that would have been a felony if committed by an adult</td>
<td>Automatic NRS 62B.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise, adult court, after certification for proceedings as an adult by the juvenile court</td>
<td>Nondiscretionary NRS 62B.390²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felony resulting in death or substantial bodily harm committed on school property when pupils or employees present, at an activity sponsored by a school, or on a school bus, with intent to create a great risk of death or severe bodily harm to more than one person</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Adult court</td>
<td>Automatic NRS 62B.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A or B felony</td>
<td>At least 16 years but less than 18 years when offense committed, but not identified by law enforcement and charged before age of 20 years and 3 months but less than 21 years, or not identified by law enforcement until reaching 21 years</td>
<td>Adult court</td>
<td>Automatic NRS 62B.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td>Age of Child</td>
<td>Who Has Jurisdiction?</td>
<td>Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense that would have been a felony if committed by an adult</td>
<td>At least 14 years at time of offense</td>
<td>Adult court, if certified for proceedings as an adult by the juvenile court</td>
<td>At the discretion of the juvenile court NRS 62B.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escape or attempted escape from lawful custody in facility for detention or correctional care of children</td>
<td>At least 14 years at time of escape or attempted escape</td>
<td>Adult court, if certified for proceedings as an adult by the juvenile court</td>
<td>At the discretion of the juvenile court NRS 62B.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 However, the juvenile court must not certify a child for criminal proceedings as an adult if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the child is developmentally or mentally incompetent to understand the situation and the court proceedings or to aid the child’s attorney in those proceedings, or the child has substance abuse or emotional or behavioral problems that may be appropriately treated through the jurisdiction of the juvenile court.

2 See note 1.

3 But only if the child was committed to the facility because the child had been charged with or had been adjudicated delinquent for an unlawful act that would have been a felony if committed by an adult or the child or another person aiding the child used a dangerous weapon to facilitate the escape or attempted escape.

**JUVENILE PROCEDURE**

**Initial Custody and Detention**

A peace officer or probation officer may take a child into custody if the officer has probable cause to believe the child has violated a State or local law, ordinance, regulation, or rule having the force of law, or if the child’s conduct indicates the child is in need of supervision. The officer must attempt to notify the child’s parent or guardian without delay, and the detention facility must notify a probation officer and attempt to notify the parent or guardian if that person has not already been contacted.

The child usually must be released to the custody of a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult who agrees, in writing, to bring the child before the juvenile court as the court specifies. If the child is not released, he or she must either be taken to juvenile court or the court must be notified of the detention, at which time the court may order the child detained, released to the custody of a parent or guardian, or conditionally released for supervised detention at home.

For some offenses involving domestic violence or violation of a protective order, the child must remain in custody for at least 12 hours, unless another appropriate alternative is available.

If a child is not alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision, the child must not be detained in a detention facility, jail, or police station. If a child is alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision, the child must not be detained in a secure facility unless: (1) the child is a fugitive; (2) the child was taken into custody pursuant to a warrant; or (3) there is probable cause to believe that the child will commit another offense, run away, or be taken away. A child under 18 years of age who is not alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision must not be detained in a secure facility unless: (1) the child is a fugitive; (2) the child was taken into custody pursuant to a warrant; or (3) there is probable cause to believe that the child will commit another offense, run away, or be taken away. A child under 18 years of age who is not alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision must not be detained in a secure facility unless: (1) the child is a fugitive; (2) the child was taken into custody pursuant to a warrant; or (3) there is probable cause to believe that the child will commit another offense, run away, or be taken away.
age may not be detained at a jail or police station unless no alternative is available and the child is kept separate from adults who are detained there.

**Complaint and Petition**

When a child, parent, peace officer, school official, or other person alleges or complains that a child is delinquent or in need of supervision, a probation officer must investigate the complaint to determine whether a petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision should be filed with the juvenile court or the interests of the child or the public would be better served by placing the child under informal supervision. If the probation officer recommends filing of a petition, the district attorney has final authority to determine whether to file the petition.

**Informal Supervision**

A child may be placed under the informal supervision of a probation officer if the child voluntarily admits participation in the acts alleged in the complaint and, if the alleged acts would constitute a gross misdemeanor or felony if committed by an adult, the district attorney gives written approval. The terms and conditions of informal supervision must be stated in writing and may require the child to perform community service or provide restitution. The period of informal supervision must not exceed 180 days. If the child successfully completes the supervision, the court may dismiss any petition filed against the child.

**Supervision and Consent Decree**

If the district attorney files a petition with the juvenile court and the court does not refer the child for informal supervision, the court may place the child under the supervision of the court pursuant to a consent decree without a formal adjudication of delinquency, upon the recommendation of the probation officer and with the consent of the district attorney and the child’s parent or guardian.

**Rights of Children**

A child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision is entitled to be represented by an attorney at all stages of the proceedings. If the child’s parent or guardian is indigent, the court must appoint an attorney and may order the parent or guardian to reimburse the county or the State in accordance with his or her ability to pay.

At intake and in juvenile court, children must be advised of their rights, informed of the allegations against them, and given the opportunity to affirm or deny the allegations. If a child denies the allegations, the court must conduct a hearing and determine whether the allegations have been established.

**Juvenile Competency**

In 2015, the Legislature adopted Nevada’s first stand-alone juvenile competency standard via Assembly Bill 138 (Chapter 364, Statutes of Nevada). The bill establishes procedures both a juvenile
court and a person who makes a motion for the evaluation of a child must follow in determining the question of competence. If the court suspends a case to consider the question of competency, then the court must appoint one or more qualified experts to make an evaluation. The measure also provides guidelines and considerations that must be taken into account as part of the evaluation such as age, developmental maturity, and other factors that affect a child’s competence.

Upon the receipt of required written reports from the experts, the court must hold an expedited hearing to determine competency. If the child is determined to be incompetent, the court is required to make additional determinations, issue all appropriate recommendations and orders, and conduct a periodic review to determine whether the child has attained competence.

After a periodic review is conducted, depending on its findings, the court will:

- Proceed with the case if the child has attained competence;
- Order appropriate treatment if the child has not attained competence; or
- Consider the best interests of the child and the safety of the community if the child has not attained competence and is not likely to attain competence in the foreseeable future.

If the court determines a child to be incompetent, during the period that the child remains incompetent the child may not be adjudicated a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision or placed under the supervision of the court. No statement made by a child to an expert during an evaluation is admissible as evidence on the issue of guilt or in a criminal proceeding unless the child first introduces the statement as evidence.

**Rights of Parents and Guardians**

A parent or guardian of a child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision may also be represented by an attorney at all stages of the proceedings. The parent or guardian must be given written notice of any proceedings after the initial detention hearing. It is unlawful for an employer to terminate, or threaten to terminate, an employee who gives the employer notice of an appearance with or on behalf of a child in any court. A person who is unlawfully discharged may commence a civil action against the employer for attorney’s fees, damages, lost wages and benefits, and reinstatement.

**Disposition of Cases**

When a child is adjudicated in accordance with these procedures, the juvenile court may:

- Place the child in the custody of a suitable person for supervision in the child’s home or another home;
- Commit the child to the custody of a licensed public or private institution or agency authorized to care for children;
- Permit the child to reside in a residence without the immediate supervision of an adult, if the child is at least 16 years of age, would benefit from the arrangement, and is under the strict supervision of the court;
- Order the child, the child’s parent or guardian, or both, to perform community service;
- If the child was not previously found delinquent or in need of supervision and the offense did not involve the use or threatened use of force or violence, order the child to complete a program of cognitive training and human development, a program for the arts, or a program of sports or physical fitness;
- Order the child’s driver’s license to be suspended for at least 90 days but not more than two years;
- Impose a fine;
- Order medical, psychiatric, psychological, or other care, examination, or treatment in the best interests of the child; or
- Order the parent or guardian to refrain from any conduct the court believes has caused the child to come under the court’s jurisdiction.

If the case involves a child who is or is alleged to be in need of supervision, the court must:

- Admonish the child and refer the child to counseling or other similar services if the child has not previously been under the purview of the juvenile court; and
- Impose a fine or order community service and suspend the child’s driver’s license if the child is found to be a habitual truant.

If the case involves a child who is adjudicated delinquent, the court may:

- Commit the child to a detention facility if the child is at least 12 years of age;
- Commit the child to the custody of the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), for suitable placement;
- Order the child, the parent or guardian, or both, to provide restitution to the victim;
- In some circumstances, order the child to undergo an evaluation to determine whether the child is an abuser of alcohol or other drugs; or
- Take other actions specified in State law.
Confidentiality

With some exceptions, the records of any case brought before the juvenile court may be opened to inspection to persons who have a legitimate interest in the records only by court order. Upon the request of a child’s attorney, a district attorney, a court appointed special advocate, or other specified person, the director of juvenile services, a chief juvenile probation officer, or the Chief of the Youth Parole Bureau, DCFS, may share appropriate juvenile justice information for the purposes of ensuring the child’s safety, permanent placement, rehabilitation, educational success, or well-being. If a child is under 21 years of age, the child, a probation officer, or parole officer may petition the juvenile court for an order sealing all records relating to the child. The district attorney, the chief probation officer, or a designee may testify at the hearing on the petition to seal the records. If the juvenile court finds that it has been three years since the child was adjudicated or placed under supervision or the child does not have any delinquent or criminal charges pending, then:

- If the child is less than 18 years of age, the juvenile court may enter an order sealing all records relating to the child; or

- If the child is 18 years of age or older, the juvenile court shall enter an order sealing all records relating to the child.

The law also sets guidelines requiring the juvenile court to determine whether a child has been rehabilitated. The juvenile court retains jurisdiction over civil judgments, case captions, and case numbers, and orders entering civil judgments must not be sealed until the civil judgment is satisfied or expires.

If circumstances warrant, in addition to notifying the district attorney and the chief probation officer, the Chief of the Youth Parole Bureau must be notified of the filing of a petition to seal a juvenile record and may testify at the hearing on the petition. Personal identifying information from sealed juvenile records may be used by entities performing bona fide outcome and recidivism studies.

When a child reaches 21 years of age, all records must be automatically sealed, except for records related to sex offenses or acts that would have been a felony if committed by an adult and that involved the use or threatened use of force or violence, which must not be sealed before the child reaches 30 years of age. Once a person’s records are sealed, all proceedings covered in the records are deemed never to have occurred, and the person may reply accordingly to any inquiry concerning the proceedings.

Youth Parole

After a child has been committed to a State detention facility, the superintendent of the facility may grant parole, if the child is eligible and parole would be in the child’s best interests. The superintendent must first consult with the Chief of the Youth Parole Bureau. Upon being released on parole, the child is under the supervision of the Chief. Each child who is paroled must be placed in a home and an educational program or work program. After a child has been paroled, the Chief must
apply to the juvenile court for a dismissal of all proceedings against the child if the child has made an acceptable adjustment outside the facility or is no longer amenable to treatment as a juvenile. If there is probable cause to believe that a child has violated parole, a peace officer may take the child into custody on the written order of the Chief, pending the child’s return to juvenile court.

**JUVENILE PROBATION**

County juvenile probation departments have jurisdiction over children placed on probation who are in need of supervision or who have committed delinquent acts. The requirements for county juvenile probation departments vary, depending on the county’s population. Unlike adult probation, juvenile probation is a county function.

The Legislature has authorized special supervision programs to improve and strengthen local supervision of children placed on probation and reduce the necessity for commitment of delinquent children to State detention facilities. Such programs include an unusually high level of supervision and the use of new techniques. The juvenile court in each county may apply to the DHHS for a share of the costs of a special supervision program.

**JUVENILE SEX OFFENDERS**

If a child is adjudicated delinquent for an unlawful act and the juvenile court determines at a hearing that the act was sexually motivated, or if a child is adjudicated delinquent for an act that would have been a sexual offense if committed by an adult, the court must place the child under the supervision of a parole or probation officer for at least three years and must impose a number of other conditions concerning attendance at school and community notification. For more information, see the April 2016 *Policy and Program Report* titled, “Justice System: Focus on Sex Offenders.”

**FACILITIES FOR JUVENILE DETENTION**

There are local, regional, and State facilities for the detention of children. The individual counties administer local facilities. Regional facilities, administered by or for the benefit of more than one government entity, include the Spring Mountain Youth Camp and Red Rock Academy in Clark County, the China Springs Youth Camp in Douglas County, and the Western Nevada Regional Youth Center in Lyon County.

**ACTIVITIES DURING THE 2015-2016 INTERIM**

The Legislative Committee on Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice will meet and consider such matters as statewide juvenile justice reform, the crossover of issues affecting both child welfare and juvenile justice, evidence based reforms to juvenile justice, and child welfare services.

The Commission on Statewide Juvenile Justice Reform is co-chaired by the Chief Justice and one associate justice of the Nevada Supreme Court and includes the Attorney General, district court judges, justices of the peace, members of the Legislature, State and local government staff members,
and representatives of the nonprofit community. The Commission will study and evaluate the continuum of care, possible reorganization of Nevada’s correctional commitment facilities, limiting State commitments to the most serious youth offenders, stabilization of long-term funding, and related subjects.

**SOURCES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**
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Legislative committees have studied juvenile justice in Nevada and have published their findings in Legislative Counsel Bureau bulletins since 1961. Some of the more recent bulletins on this subject include:

- Bulletin No. 01-11, *Commission on School Safety and Juvenile Violence*, January 2001;
- Bulletin No. 05-6, *Study of Juvenile Justice System*, January 2005;
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This section of the Policy and Program Report focuses on sex offenders, a subject that affects every aspect of the justice system, including aid to victims, corrections, courts, criminal procedure, family law, juvenile justice, and punishments.

The combination of federal and Nevada law addressing sex offenders calls for lifetime supervision of serious offenders, provides notice to the public about offenders residing or working in the community, requires nationwide tracking of records on offenders, requires offenders to register with local law enforcement agencies, and sets limits on parole and probation in order to protect public health and safety.

**FEDERAL SEX OFFENDER LAWS**

Since 1994, the United States Congress has passed at least eight laws relating to sex offenders. The following paragraphs describe four of the most widely known federal sex offender laws.
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Justice System

**Jacob Wetterling Act of 1994**

The Jacob Wetterling Crimes Against Children and Sexually Violent Offender Registration Act, passed in 1994, requires states to maintain a registry of sex offenders and persons who commit crimes against children. States must verify the addresses of sex offenders for at least ten years, and sexually violent predators must verify their addresses quarterly for life. The Act has been amended several times since 1994.

**Megan’s Law (1996)**

In 1996, the Congress amended the Jacob Wetterling Act by passing the federal version of Megan’s Law, which requires state and local law enforcement agencies to release information about persons registered with the state under the Jacob Wetterling Act in order to protect the public. The law provides for public dissemination of information from state sex offender registries for any purpose permitted under state law.

**Pam Lychner Act of 1996**

The Pam Lychner Sex Offender Tracking and Identification Act of 1996 requires the U.S. Attorney General to establish a national database, known as the National Sex Offender Public Registry (NSOPR), for tracking certain sex offenders. The Act allows for the dissemination of information collected by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to federal, state, and local law enforcement officials and includes provisions relating to notification of the FBI and state agencies when a sex offender moves to another state.

**Adam Walsh Act of 2006**

The Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 created the Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering, and Tracking, known as the “SMART Office,” within the U.S. Department of Justice. The Office administers grant programs authorized by the Act, coordinates training and technical assistance, and administers standards for sex offender notification and registration.

Title I of the Adam Walsh Act, the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, creates a NSOPR on the Internet, which integrates state sex offender registries and is available to law enforcement regardless of location. Offenders are assigned to one of three tiers; offenders in the higher tiers must check in with law enforcement more frequently.

**STATUS OF NEVADA’S SEX OFFENDER LAWS**

In 2007, the Legislature passed two bills implementing the federal Adam Walsh Act of 2006—Assembly Bill 579 (Chapter 485, Statutes of Nevada) and Senate Bill 471 (Chapter 528, Statutes of Nevada).
Together, A.B. 579 and S.B. 471:

- Require community notification for sex offenders within three tiers related to the crime committed;
- Require community notification of all sex offenders and other offenders who committed a crime against a child, regardless of their tier classification;
- Require sex offenders to register with a local law enforcement agency every 90 days for Tier III, every 180 days for Tier II, and annually for Tier I;
- Require sex offenders to register for the first time prior to release from prison or, if not imprisoned, within three days of sentencing;
- Require sex offenders to provide a biological specimen at the time of registration;
- Require electronic monitoring of certain offenders;
- Increase the number of years a person convicted of sexual assault of a child not resulting in substantial bodily harm must serve before becoming eligible for parole;
- Prohibit some Tier III offenders from living within 1,000 feet of locations frequented by children;
- Prohibit some offenders from knowingly being within 500 feet of locations frequented by children;
- Make a violation of certain provisions by a Tier III offender under lifetime supervision punishable as a category B felony;
- Expand the types of information provided by the community notification website;
- Make certain juveniles subject to offender notification and registration requirements;
- Provide a criminal penalty for using information obtained from the community notification website to commit a crime; and
- Repeal *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) sections that are inconsistent with the Adam Walsh Act.

The provisions of A.B. 579 and S.B. 471 were set to take effect on July 1, 2008. However, prior to that date, lawsuits were filed in both State and federal court seeking to stop implementation of the two bills in Nevada.

On April 3, 2008, the Honorable William O. Voy, Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division-Juvenile, declared the provisions of A.B. 579 and S.B. 471, as applied to juvenile sex offenders, unconstitutional, and on June 26, 2008, the Honorable David T. Wall, Eighth Judicial District Court, granted a preliminary injunction staying the implementation of the provisions in Clark County.
On June 30, 2008, the Honorable James C. Mahan, U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, granted a preliminary injunction staying the provisions of A.B. 579 and S.B. 471 for the entire State, and on October 7, 2008, granted a permanent injunction. The State of Nevada, represented by the Attorney General, appealed, and on February 10, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit reversed Judge Mahan’s order enjoining the implementation of A.B. 579 and, finding that the dispute over S.B. 471 was moot, directed the federal district court to consider vacating its order on S.B. 471 in favor of a binding consent decree.

On January 16, 2014, a new lawsuit was filed to stop implementation of the provisions. As of the writing of this report, the Nevada Supreme Court has yet to decide the matter. Additionally, the 2015 Legislature approved S.B. 99, which made substantial changes to Nevada’s sex offender laws but was vetoed by Governor Brian Sandoval and will be returned to the 2017 Legislature for consideration. As such, Nevada’s sex offender laws continue to be unsettled and will require further clarification. The sex offender laws in effect today are those that existed prior to the enactment of A.B. 579 and S.B. 471 in 2007, with additional substantive revisions made after 2005 in other bills, as described in the rest of this report.

NEVADA ADULT SEX OFFENDER LAWS (PRE-2007 AND PASSAGE OF A.B. 579)

Requirements After Conviction

If a defendant is convicted of a crime against a child or a sexual offense, the court must notify the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History and inform the defendant of the registration requirements. The registration requirements include:

- Registration in Nevada during any period in which the defendant is a Nevada resident or a nonresident student or worker;
- Registration in any other jurisdiction during any period in which the defendant is a resident of that jurisdiction or a nonresident student or worker;
- Registration with the appropriate law enforcement agency if the defendant moves from Nevada;
- Notice to the local law enforcement agency where the defendant previously resided of any change of address;
- Notice to the local law enforcement agency if the defendant enrolls or expects to enroll in an institution of higher education or changes the date of commencement or termination of enrollment; and
- Notice to the local law enforcement agency if the defendant is, or expects to be, a worker at an institution of higher education or changes the date of commencement or termination of such work.
If the Central Repository receives notice from a court that an offender has been convicted of a crime against a child or a sexual offense, the Repository must notify the local law enforcement agency so that a record of registration may be established. If a record was previously established, the Repository must update the record and notify the law enforcement agency. If the offender is granted probation or otherwise not confined, the Repository must immediately notify the appropriate law enforcement agency where the offender resides. If the offender is confined or incarcerated, before the offender is released, the Repository must update the record of registration and notify the appropriate law enforcement agency where the offender will reside. Finally, if the Central Repository receives notice from another jurisdiction or the FBI that an offender is now residing in Nevada or is in the State as a nonresident student or worker, the Repository must notify the appropriate local law enforcement agency and establish a record of registration.

Each offender convicted of a crime against a child or a sexual offense must register with the local law enforcement agency or agencies not later than 48 hours after arriving, establishing a residence, or becoming a nonresident student or worker in a county or city. To register, the offender must appear in person, provide all information requested, and sign and date the record in the presence of an officer. After an offender registers, the law enforcement agency must forward the record to the Central Repository.

The offender must continue to comply with the registration requirements until that responsibility is terminated in accordance with NRS. In general, the offender may file a petition in district court for termination of registration if the offender has complied with the requirements for 15 consecutive years, during which the offender has not been convicted of any offense that poses a threat to the safety or well-being of others. After a hearing, the court must terminate the duty of the offender to register if the court determines the offender is not likely to pose a threat to the safety of others. However, offenders who are sentenced to lifetime supervision, who are declared to be sexually violent predators, or who are repeat offenders may not petition for termination of the registration requirements.

A person may not petition a court to seal records relating to a conviction of a crime against a child or a sexual offense, either after a conviction or after completing a program of reentry into the community. The Central Repository may inspect any sealed records that contain information relating to sexual offenses and may notify employers of the information. Upon request, the Central Repository must disseminate to a current or prospective employer information contained in a record of registration concerning a current or prospective employee or volunteer who is a sex offender or was convicted of a crime against a child.

**Lifetime Supervision**

A defendant convicted of certain sexual offenses must be sentenced, in addition to any other penalties, to lifetime supervision, commencing after any period of probation or release from prison and parole. A person sentenced to lifetime supervision may petition the sentencing court or the State Board of Parole Commissioners for release from lifetime supervision if the person meets requirements set forth in NRS. A person who is released nevertheless remains subject to the provisions for registration and community notification.
The special sentence of lifetime supervision applies to the following offenses or attempted offenses: battery with intent to commit sexual assault; certain acts of murder and other crimes determined to be sexually motivated; incest; lewdness with a child; luring; production of child pornography; promotion of a sexual performance by a minor; possession of child pornography (subsequent offense); a sex act with a dead body; sexual assault; and solicitation of a minor.

**Probation**

If a defendant is convicted of a sexual offense for which probation or a suspended sentence is allowed, the Division of Parole and Probation, Department of Public Safety (DPS), must arrange for a psychosexual evaluation of the defendant as part of its presentence investigation and report, in accordance with the detailed requirements of NRS. If the court grants probation or suspends the sentence, the order must include 18 specific conditions. The defendant must—among other conditions—submit to search and seizure at any time without a warrant; reside only at an approved location; work or volunteer only in an approved position; participate in a program of professional counseling; abstain from consumption of alcohol; not use aliases or fictitious names; not access the Internet without approval; and not be in or near a school, playground, park, motion picture theater, or business that caters primarily to children without approval.

After receiving an honorable discharge from probation, the defendant is still subject to the community notification and registration requirements described in this report.

**Statewide Registry and Community Notification Website**

As required by NRS, the DPS has established within the Central Repository a statewide registry of sex offenders, offenders convicted of a crime against a child, and a community notification website. Except as otherwise provided by law, the registry is available only to law enforcement officers in the regular course of their duties, employees and officers of the Repository, and other persons conducting investigations or research with the permission of the Director of the Department.

A person requesting information from the website must provide the name of the subject of the search and other required information concerning the identity and location of the subject. For each inquiry, the Repository must explain the levels of notification assigned to sex offenders and explain that the Repository is prohibited from disclosing certain information concerning offenders listed in the registry. If the request matches a record in the registry, the Repository must disclose information concerning an offender who is assigned to Tier II or Tier III, but not Tier I. The Repository must provide the offender’s address, name, offense resulting in conviction, physical description, year of birth, and other information.

Information from the website must not be used for any purpose related to accommodations, credit, education, employment, fellowships, housing, insurance, loans, or scholarships, or for benefits, privileges, or services provided by a business establishment. A person who violates these restrictions is liable in a civil action brought by an injured party or the State of Nevada.
Information accessed at or disclosed by the statewide registry or community notification website must not reveal the name of any individual victim of the offense.

**Community Notification**

The Attorney General, in consultation with the Advisory Council for Community Notification, must establish guidelines and procedures for community notification. The guidelines and procedures address assessing the risk of recidivism of sex offenders within Nevada, and they incorporate such relevant factors as the offender’s age and criminal history and whether the offender receives therapy or treatment.

The Attorney General’s guidelines must provide for three levels of community notification:

1. Tier I indicates a low risk of recidivism, and the local law enforcement agency where the offender resides or is a student or worker must notify other law enforcement agencies likely to encounter the offender;

2. Tier II indicates a moderate risk of recidivism, and the local law enforcement agency must also notify schools and religious and youth organizations likely to encounter the offender; and

3. Tier III indicates a high risk of recidivism, and in addition to the requirements for Tier I and Tier II, the local law enforcement agency must notify the public by appropriate means.

If the sex offender is assigned to Tier II or Tier III and has committed a sexual offense against a person less than 18 years of age, the local law enforcement agency must also notify motion picture theaters and businesses that are likely to encounter the offender.

After a sex offender other than a sexually violent predator has been subject to community notification for at least ten consecutive years, during which the offender is not convicted of an offense that poses a threat to the safety or well-being of others, the offender may petition the Attorney General for a reassessment of the risk of recidivism. After a reassessment, an offender may be reassigned to one tier below the current assignment and, if currently assigned to Tier I, may be relieved from being subject to community notification.

**Sexually Violent Predators**

If a sex offender has been convicted of a sexually violent offense, the prosecuting attorney may petition the sentencing court for a declaration that the offender is a sexually violent predator. After a hearing and an evaluation by a panel, if the court determines that the offender suffers from a mental disorder or personality disorder, the court must enter an order declaring the offender to be a sexually violent predator. Each sexually violent predator must be assigned to Tier III for purposes of community notification and may not receive a risk reassessment.

Every 90 days, the Central Repository must mail a verification form to each resident offender who is declared to be a sexually violent predator and who is not incarcerated. The offender must complete...
the form and return it to the Repository within ten days to verify that he or she still resides at the address last registered. The verification form must include a current set of fingerprints, a current photograph, and other information necessary to update the record.

**Parole**

The State Board of Parole Commissioners may not release an offender convicted of a sexual offense until the local law enforcement agency where the offender will be released has had the opportunity to give notice under the community notification guidelines and procedures. If the Board releases a sex offender on parole, it must, in addition to any conditions, require the parolee to comply with a list of mandatory conditions similar to those imposed on a sex offender who is placed on probation (as described earlier in this report).

If the offender was convicted of committing lewdness with a child, luring, sexual assault, solicitation of a minor, or other serious crimes against a child under the age of 14, the Board must, as appropriate, require the parolee to participate in psychological counseling, prohibit the parolee from being alone with a child, and prohibit the parolee from being on or near the grounds of a place primarily designed for use by children.

**JUVENILE SEX OFFENDERS**

**Jurisdiction**

As discussed earlier in this report, Nevada’s juvenile courts have jurisdiction over children alleged or adjudicated to be in need of supervision or to have committed a delinquent act. In certain situations, however, young offenders are handled in the adult rather than the juvenile justice system. One of these situations is when a juvenile who is at least 16 years of age and who has previously been adjudicated delinquent for an act that would have been a felony if committed by an adult is charged with committing sexual assault or attempted sexual assault involving the use or threat of force or violence against the victim.

Also, upon the motion of the district attorney and after an investigation and a hearing, the juvenile court must transfer certain cases to adult court, through the process known as “presumptive certification.” This process applies when a juvenile who is at least 16 years of age is charged with a sexual assault involving the use or threatened use of force against the victim. Finally, upon the motion of the district attorney and after an investigation and hearing, the juvenile court may transfer other cases to adult court if a child is charged with an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult and was at least 14 years of age at the time.

**Procedure**

When a district attorney files a petition with the juvenile court alleging that a child committed an unlawful act that would have been a sexual offense if committed by an adult, the district attorney must provide the victim and the victim’s parent or guardian with a document advising them of their rights.
If the juvenile court finds a child delinquent for an unlawful act that, if committed by an adult, would have constituted burglary, false imprisonment, home invasion, or kidnapping, the court must at the request of the district attorney conduct a hearing to determine whether the act was sexually motivated. If the court determines at the hearing that the act was sexually motivated, it must enter its finding in the record.

**Supervision and Community Notification**

If a child is adjudicated delinquent for an unlawful act and the juvenile court determines at a hearing that the act was sexually motivated, or if a child is adjudicated delinquent for an act that would have been a sexual offense if committed by an adult, the court must:

- Place the child under the supervision of a parole or probation officer for at least three years;
- Notify the Attorney General so that the Attorney General can arrange for a risk assessment pursuant to the guidelines on community notification;
- Notify the child and the parent or guardian that the child is subject to community notification as a juvenile sex offender and may be subject to community notification as an adult sex offender; and
- Order the child and the child’s parent or guardian, while the child is subject to community notification, to inform the parole or probation officer of any change of address at which the child resides within 48 hours of the change.

In addition, the parole or probation officer must notify the local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction where the child resides and keep the applicable law enforcement agencies informed of any change of address of the child’s residence.

Unless the juvenile court has terminated the requirement as provided in NRS:

- The court must prohibit the child from attending a school that the victim of the act is attending, and must order the parent or guardian to inform the parole or probation officer each time the child expects to change the school the child attends; and
- The parole or probation officer must notify the school district in which the child resides, or the private school if the child attends private school, and the notice must include the name of the victim, if the victim attends school in Nevada and if the parent or guardian of the victim consents.

However, the juvenile court may allow a child adjudicated delinquent for a sexually motivated act or sexual offense to attend the school the victim is attending if, upon request, the court develops and approves an alternative plan of supervision that protects the interests and safety of the victim.
**Child Subject to Adult Registration and Community Notification Requirements**

If a child who has been adjudicated delinquent for a sexual offense or sexually motivated act is still subject to community notification as a juvenile sex offender when the child reaches 21 years of age, the juvenile court must hold a hearing to determine whether the child should be deemed an adult sex offender, for purposes of registration and community notification.

If the juvenile court determines that the child has been rehabilitated to its satisfaction and is not likely to pose a threat to public safety, based on factors set forth in NRS, the juvenile court must relieve the child from registration and community notification requirements. Otherwise, the court must deem the child to be an adult sex offender, for purposes of registration and community notification, and must notify the Central Repository.

**Records and Reports**

While a child is subject to community notification as a juvenile sex offender, the records relating to the child must not be sealed. If the child is deemed an adult sex offender, the records must not be sealed, and each delinquent act the child committed that would have been a sexual offense if committed by an adult is considered a criminal conviction for purposes of community notification and registration.

The Division of Child and Family Services, Department of Health and Human Services, must collect from the juvenile courts, juvenile probation departments, and youth correctional facilities detailed confidential information on each child adjudicated delinquent for an unlawful act that would have been a sexual offense if committed by an adult. The Director of the Department must establish a program to compile and analyze the data, to provide statistical data on recidivism, and to assess the effectiveness of treatment programs. The Director must submit a report to the Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice and the Legislature every biennium.

**ACTIVITIES DURING THE 2015-2016 INTERIM**

The Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice will meet to discuss activities related to sexually dangerous persons.

**SOURCES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**


National Sex Offender Public Website: [https://www.nsopw.gov/](https://www.nsopw.gov/).


STATE CONTACT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office of the Attorney General</th>
<th>Department of Public Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General</td>
<td>General Services Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 North Carson Street</td>
<td>Julie Butler, Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89701</td>
<td>333 West Nye Lane, Suite 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-1100</td>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-1108</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-6262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://ag.nv.gov/">http://ag.nv.gov/</a></td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://gsd.nv.gov/">http://gsd.nv.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department of Health and Human Services</th>
<th>State Board of Parole Commissioners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division of Child and Family Services</td>
<td>Connie S. Bisbee, Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Wooldridge, Administrator</td>
<td>1677 Old Hot Springs Road, Suite A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4126 Technology Way, Floor 3</td>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 687-5049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 4400</td>
<td>Fax: (775) 687-6736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-4455</td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://parole.nv.gov/">http://parole.nv.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://dcfs.nv.gov">http://dcfs.nv.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department of Public Safety</th>
<th>Department of Public Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division of Parole and Probation</td>
<td>Division of Parole and Probation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Wood, Chief</td>
<td>Natalie Wood, Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445 Old Hot Springs Road, Suite 104</td>
<td>1445 Old Hot Springs Road, Suite 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
<td>Carson City, Nevada 89706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-2600</td>
<td>Telephone: (775) 684-2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-2697</td>
<td>Fax: (775) 684-2697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website: <a href="http://npp.dps.nv.gov/">http://npp.dps.nv.gov/</a></td>
<td>Website: <a href="http://npp.dps.nv.gov/">http://npp.dps.nv.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The topic of domestic violence cuts across many aspects of the justice system in Nevada. The need to address crimes that constitute domestic violence influences the laws on aid to victims, corrections, court administration, criminal procedure, juvenile justice, and domestic relations, among others. This section of the Policy and Program Report focuses on domestic violence and related definitions, penalties, procedures, and programs.

Acts That Constitute Domestic Violence

The definition of domestic violence involves an act of violence against a spouse, family member, or other person who has a close relationship with the perpetrator. The act constitutes domestic violence if it is committed against:

- A relative by blood or marriage;
- Someone with whom the perpetrator has a child in common or a dating relationship, or with whom he or she is residing;
- A spouse or former spouse;
- A child of any of these persons; or
- The perpetrator’s minor child.

Jurisdiction

Nevada’s district courts, family courts, and justice courts share jurisdiction over domestic violence matters. The family court, where established, and the justice court have concurrent jurisdiction over the issuance of protective orders against domestic violence. The family court, where established, and the district court have concurrent jurisdiction over actions for damages brought by a person who was injured by an act of domestic violence.
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PROCEDURE

The courts and peace officers must follow special procedures in cases that involve battery constituting domestic violence.

A peace officer must arrest a person, with or without a warrant, if the officer has probable cause to believe the person has committed battery within the preceding 24 hours against a relative, spouse, former spouse, or one of the other persons listed earlier.

A person arrested for battery that constitutes domestic violence must not be admitted to bail sooner than 12 hours after arrest. If the person is released on bail without appearing before a magistrate, Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) specify minimum bail amounts. If the person is a juvenile and does not meet the criteria for secure detention, and if other appropriate arrangements can be made, the person may be released before 12 hours have passed.

During an investigation of domestic violence, an officer must make a good faith effort to explain the mandatory arrest procedures and to advise victims of all reasonable means to prevent further abuse. The officer must also provide information to the victim in writing covering the victim’s right to file a criminal complaint, the procedures for seeking a protective order, and where to go for emergency assistance and shelter.

If an officer detains a person for a misdemeanor offense that constitutes domestic violence and issues a citation instead of taking the person before a magistrate, the officer must obtain at least one fingerprint and forward the print and the incident report to the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History.

PENALTIES

Nevada imposes enhanced, mandatory penalties upon persons convicted of battery constituting domestic violence. Unless the court must sentence an offender to a greater penalty under the general battery statute (for battery committed with the use of a deadly weapon, for example), the penalties are as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Crime</th>
<th>Imprisonment (Jail or Prison)</th>
<th>Community Service</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Participation in Domestic Violence Treatment Program</th>
<th>Assessment*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Offense Within 7 Years</td>
<td>Misdemeanor</td>
<td>Jail—2 days to 6 months</td>
<td>48 to 120 hours</td>
<td>$200 to $1,000</td>
<td>At least 1.5 hours per week for 6 to 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Crime</td>
<td>Imprisonment (Jail or Prison)</td>
<td>Community Service</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Participation in Domestic Violence Treatment Program</td>
<td>Assessment*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Offense</td>
<td>Misdemeanor</td>
<td>Jail—10 days to 6 months</td>
<td>100 to 200 hours</td>
<td>$500 to $1,000</td>
<td>At least 1.5 hours per week for 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 7 Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third or Subsequent Offense</td>
<td>Category C Felony</td>
<td>Prison—1-year minimum and 5-year maximum</td>
<td>Optional Not more than $10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 7 Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Administrative assessment for domestic violence programs (NRS 228.460).

In addition, the court may require convicted persons to participate, at their own expense, in a certified alcohol or drug abuse treatment program and, if it appears that a child may need counseling as the result of a battery constituting domestic violence, the court may refer the child to a child welfare agency for counseling and order the offender to reimburse the agency for its costs.

A prosecuting attorney may not dismiss a charge of battery constituting domestic violence in exchange for a guilty plea to a lesser charge if the prosecuting attorney knows the charge is supported by probable cause or can be proven at trial.

If a person is convicted of a misdemeanor that constitutes domestic battery, the justice of the peace or municipal judge may suspend the remainder of the sentence after the person has served a mandatory minimum period of confinement, on the condition that the person actively participates in a certified alcohol or drug abuse treatment program, a certified program for treatment of those who commit domestic violence, or both. A court must not grant probation or suspend the sentence of a person charged with battery constituting domestic violence.

**PROTECTIVE ORDERS**

Upon request, a court may grant a temporary or extended order for protection against domestic violence if the court is satisfied that domestic violence has occurred or there is a threat of domestic violence. The court may require the adverse party, the applicant, or both to appear before deciding whether to grant the order. A temporary order does not require notice to the adverse party, and it must be decided upon within one judicial day of the application. However, an extended order may be granted only after notice to the adverse party and a hearing.

In Carson City, Clark County, and Washoe County, the court must be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, including weekends and holidays, to receive telephone communications from alleged victims of domestic violence and to issue temporary protective orders, as provided for in NRS.
The payment of costs and fees for a protective order must be deferred for any applicant. The court may assess the costs and fees against the adverse party, or reduce or waive them. The clerk of the court, or other person designated by the court, must assist any applicant with completing the application and any necessary papers related to a protective order but must not offer legal advice.

A temporary protective order expires after 30 days or a shorter time fixed by the court, unless an application for an extended order has been filed. An extended order expires after the period fixed by the court, not to exceed one year.

Before the end of the next business day after an order is issued, the court must transmit the order to the appropriate law enforcement agency with jurisdiction over the child care facility, place of employment, residence, or school of the applicant or minor child, and it must serve the adverse party with the order as set forth in NRS. The court must also transmit information about the order to the Central Repository, for receipt by the end of the next business day.

Every protective order must include an order to any law enforcement officer to arrest an adverse party if the officer has probable cause to believe there has been a violation. After an arrest, the adverse party must not be admitted to bail until 12 hours have passed if the arresting officer determines there is a threat of harm, there was a previous violation, or the party is intoxicated.

A violation of a protective order against domestic violence is a misdemeanor, unless a more severe penalty is prescribed for the act that constituted the violation. A person who commits a felony in the course of violating a protective order is subject to an additional enhanced penalty of imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 1 year and not more than 20 years (or not more than 5 years if the felony was a category A or B felony). The additional sentence must not exceed the sentence for the underlying crime and may run either concurrently or consecutively.

A person may register a protective order against domestic violence issued in another jurisdiction. Such an order must be given full faith and credit and must be enforced by the Nevada courts if the issuing court had jurisdiction and the adverse party had notice and an opportunity to be heard.

HARASSMENT AND STALKING

The crimes of harassment and stalking are frequently associated with domestic violence. They include the act of stalking by e-mail, over the Internet, or using similar means of communication. The penalties range from a misdemeanor for a first offense to a category B felony for aggravated stalking. A court may grant a protective order against harassment or stalking under rules similar to those that apply to domestic violence.

The Peace Officers’ Standards and Training (POST) Commission must require each peace officer to be trained concerning stalking crimes.
CHILD CUSTODY AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

In addition to Nevada’s general laws for the protection of children, discussed elsewhere in this *Policy and Program Report*, the Legislature has enacted laws designed specifically to protect a child when a parent or guardian is a perpetrator or victim of domestic violence. In a divorce involving acts of domestic violence, there is a rebuttable presumption that joint or sole custody of the child by the perpetrator is not in the child’s best interest. There are similar laws regarding visitation orders.

Child welfare agencies must provide protective custody of a child upon the death of a parent that is or may be the result of domestic violence. The appropriate court must hold a protective custody hearing within 72 hours after the child is placed in custody, and the agency must file a petition within ten days of the hearing for a judicial determination of whether the child is in need of protection.

RENTAL AGREEMENTS AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

In some cases of domestic violence, there may be a need to terminate rental agreements. The 2013 Legislature, through the passage of Assembly Bill 284 (Chapter 301, *Statutes of Nevada*), provided for the early termination of a rental agreement if a tenant, cotenant, or household member is a victim of domestic violence. In addition, the measure prohibited a landlord from taking retaliatory action against a tenant who terminates an agreement because he or she is a victim of domestic violence.

ASSISTANCE FOR VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

*Types of Assistance*

The Legislature has made significant assistance available to victims of domestic violence. The Account for Aid for Victims of Domestic Violence, with revenues from justice court fees, marriage license fees, and other sources, provides grants to nonprofit agencies to provide services to victims. Victims may participate in the fictitious address program, receive restitution payments, and take advantage of other types of assistance available to crime victims in Nevada. For more details, see the *Policy and Program Report* titled, “Crimes, Punishments, and Aid to Crime Victims.”

*Ombudsman*

The Office of Ombudsman for Victims of Domestic Violence, within the Office of the Attorney General, provides assistance to victims, prepares reports from information contained in the Central Repository, and provides education on domestic violence, available assistance, available treatment, and prevention.

*Committee on Domestic Violence*

The Attorney General also appoints the Committee on Domestic Violence, which includes judges, justices of the peace, law enforcement officers, prosecuting attorneys, representatives of victims, treatment providers, and victims. The Committee must:
• Adopt regulations for certifying, evaluating, and monitoring programs for the treatment of persons who commit domestic violence;

• Certify, monitor, and review such programs;

• Review and evaluate training programs provided to peace officers and related to domestic violence and make recommendations to the POST Commission concerning such training;

• To the extent money is available, arrange for the provision of legal services, including assisting a person in an action for divorce; and

• Submit a biennial report to the Legislature summarizing its work and recommending any necessary legislation.

Nevada Council for the Prevention of Domestic Violence

The Nevada Council for the Prevention of Domestic Violence, also within the Office of the Attorney General, is responsible for increasing awareness regarding domestic violence in Nevada, recommending any necessary legislation, and providing financial support for prevention programs. The Council consists of up to 30 members appointed by the Attorney General.

The Council also must study and review the criminal justice system in rural Nevada as it relates to domestic violence and the availability of counseling services; solicit comments and recommendations from district judges, justices of the peace, and municipal judges in rural Nevada; and submit a biennial report to the Legislature summarizing its work, recommending any necessary legislation on domestic violence, and including all comments and recommendations received.

2015 LEGISLATION

Assembly Bill 193 (Chapter 148, Statutes of Nevada) allowed hearsay evidence in preliminary examinations and grand jury proceedings, but only in cases involving sexual offenses committed against children under the age of 16; felony child abuse; and felony domestic violence involving substantial bodily harm to the victim.

Senate Bill 175 (Chapter 328, Statutes of Nevada) prohibited a person who has been convicted in this State or any other state of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence from owning or having in their possession or under their custody or control any firearm. A person who violates such a provision is guilty of a category B felony.

Senate Bill 362 (Chapter 40, Statutes of Nevada) authorized the Director of the Department of Health and Human Services to establish, within the limits of available funding, an educational program within the Division of Public and Behavioral Health regarding the prevention of domestic violence and any medical, mental health, or social services available to victims of domestic violence.
SOURCES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION


Nevada Network Against Domestic Violence:  http://www.nnadv.org/.

Clark County Family Law Self-Help Center:  http://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/.


STATE CONTACT INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada  89701
Telephone:  (775) 684-1100
Statewide Domestic Violence Toll-free Telephone:  (800) 500-1556
Website:  http://ag.nv.gov/

Department of Public Safety
Website:  http://dps.nv.gov/

Division of Parole and Probation
1445 Old Hot Springs Road, Suite 104
Carson City, Nevada  89706
Telephone:  (775) 684-2600

General Services Division
333 West Nye Lane, Suite 100
Carson City, Nevada  89706
Telephone:  (775) 684-6262
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The health of Nevada’s environment and the preservation and conservation of its most critical natural resources contribute significantly to quality of life and economic prosperity. Clean air and water, open space, recreational opportunities, and scenic beauty make Nevada a desirable place to live and visit.

Historically, Nevada was known to have limited environmental regulations when compared with other more populous states. In recent decades, however, Nevada has undertaken significant State-sponsored programs to improve and protect its natural environment and to address new and changing federal regulations, particularly since passage of the federal Clean Air and Clean Water Acts in the early 1970s—some of the first laws ever written that establish a national framework for protecting the environment.

In addition to statewide environmental programs and regulations, Nevada shares responsibility for the preservation of Lake Tahoe with the State of California. Situated in the heart of the Sierra Nevada, one-third of the Lake Tahoe Basin is in the State of Nevada and two-thirds is in the State of California. Regulatory responsibility for the Lake Tahoe Basin rests with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), originally established in 1969.

AIR QUALITY

Air pollution can come from a variety of sources. These include “stationary sources” such as factories, power plants, and smelters; “smaller
sources” such as dry cleaners and degreasing operations; “mobile sources” such as cars, trucks, buses, and planes; and “natural sources” such as dust and wildfires.

In Nevada, the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) has primary authority for the control of air pollution. Within the Department, Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) (Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 232.090) and the State Environmental Commission (SEC) (NRS 232.090 and NRS 445B.200) work together on the adoption of regulations and the implementation of programs to maintain and improve air quality.

Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection is charged with enforcement responsibility for the federal Clean Air Act. Within NDEP, the Bureau of Air Pollution Control has jurisdiction over all air quality programs in Nevada with the exception of Clark and Washoe Counties. The Bureau of Air Pollution Control also has jurisdiction over fossil fuel-fired power plants that generate steam for electricity production. The Bureau of Air Quality Planning is responsible for ambient air quality monitoring, mobile sources, regional haze, and smoke management.

Nevada’s air pollution control laws are found in Chapter 445B (“Air Pollution”) of NRS. With regard to air pollution, NRS 445B.100 acknowledges that it is the policy of the State of Nevada “to achieve and maintain levels of air quality which will protect human health and safety, prevent injury to plant and animal life, prevent damage to property, and preserve visibility and scenic, esthetic and historic values of the State.” The air pollution statutes were added to NRS by the Nevada Legislature in 1971, following passage by Congress of the Clean Air Act in 1970.

**Federal Clean Air Requirements**

The foundation for most of the federal clean air requirements and standards is the federal Clean Air Act, which became law in 1970 and was most recently amended in 1990. Many of the Clean Air Act programs are driven by the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, which identify and set minimum standards for several harmful pollutants. The six principal air pollutants (known as “criteria” pollutants) are carbon monoxide (CO), lead (Pb), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), particulate matter or “dust” (with an aerodynamic size less than or equal to 10 microns, or PM-10, and 2.5 microns, or PM-2.5), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂).

The Clean Air Act also recognizes that states should take the lead in carrying out the Act and deciding how best to meet the air quality standards because pollution control problems often require an understanding of local industries, geography, weather, transportation patterns, and other regional influences on air quality.

In addition to setting standards, states are required to develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to direct implementation of air quality regulations of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. A SIP contains regulations and measures that will be used to clean up areas in violation of air quality standards and to address pollution control in other areas to maintain air quality.
As part of these SIPs, states are divided into air quality control regions and attainment areas designated at the county level. If monitoring in a control region shows that air quality has fallen below any of the air quality standards, the EPA designates that control region as a nonattainment area for that particular pollutant and a SIP must be prepared. If a SIP is required of a local jurisdiction, the county prepares the SIP and submits it to NDEP for review and approval. Once approved by NDEP, the SIP is then submitted to the EPA for federal review and approval. The following website for the EPA has pollutant-specific information about the nonattainment status of counties nationwide: http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/greenbk/ancl2.html.

**Local Air Quality Programs**

Air quality programs in Clark County are managed by the Department of Air Quality. In Washoe County, these programs are managed by the Air Quality Management Division of the Washoe County Health District. Up-to-date information on air quality in Clark and Washoe Counties is available on the following websites: http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/depts/airquality/pages/default.aspx and http://airnow.gov/index.cfm?action=airnow.local_city&mapcenter=0&cityid=121.

**Air Pollution Control Laws**

Emission Credits
One method of controlling pollutants is the sale of emission credits or allocations, commonly referred to as a “cap and trade” program. The DCNR is authorized to collect money from the sale of emission credits or allocations for any pollutant, and any money collected is to be deposited in the Account for the Management of Air Quality in the State General Fund (NRS 445B.235). The State’s air pollution policy includes periodic retirement of a portion of any emission credits and allocations that would otherwise be available for banking or sale.

Vehicle Emissions Programs
An important goal of Nevada’s air pollution control laws is reducing vehicle emissions to improve air quality, especially in populated areas with air quality concerns. In Nevada, this is accomplished through the “smog check program,” with fees deposited in the Pollution Control Account.

The Vehicle Emissions Programs are authorized under NRS 445B.770, which requires the SEC to adopt regulations in designated areas, for the control of emissions from motor vehicles in Clark and Washoe Counties. In all other counties, the SEC has the option to adopt regulations if the Commission determines that it is feasible and practicable to carry out an emissions testing program, and if carrying out the program is deemed necessary to achieve or maintain air quality standards.

Nevada’s Pollution Control Account
The Pollution Control Account is created in the State General Fund by NRS 445B.830. The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) deposits money in the Account that is collected from fees for licensing and renewing licenses of smog check facilities. Also deposited in the Account are fees collected for forms certifying emission control compliance. At this time, only the urbanized areas of Clark and Washoe Counties have smog check programs; thus, the money in the Account comes mainly from the owners of automobiles in these two areas.
The Account is administered by the DMV as follows.

- One-sixth of the money received from the issuance of emission test certificates is distributed quarterly to local governmental agencies in nonattainment or maintenance areas for air pollution (Clark and Washoe Counties).

- Pursuant to legislative appropriation or with the approval of the Interim Finance Committee, money is available to air pollution control agencies in the following priority order:
  1. The DMV (for administration of the program);
  2. The DCNR (for NDEP’s statewide air quality control programs);
  3. The State Department of Agriculture (for its fuels testing program under Chapter 590 [“Motor Vehicle Fuel, Petroleum Products and Antifreeze”] of NRS);
  4. Local governmental agencies in nonattainment or maintenance areas for air pollution; and
  5. The TRPA (for air quality programs at Lake Tahoe).

- Following these deductions, money remaining in the Account in excess of $1 million is to be distributed directly, on an annual basis, to local governmental agencies in nonattainment or maintenance areas in an amount proportionate to the number of forms issued to emission testing stations, for programs related to the improvement of air quality.

Efforts to Encourage the Use of Alternative Fuels
In addition to the air pollution control laws in Chapter 445B of NRS, Nevada encourages the use of alternative fuels. Chapter 486A (“Alternative Fuels; Clean-Burning Fuels”) of NRS was added by the Legislature in 1991 and took aim at reducing, especially in metropolitan areas, the contaminants resulting from the combustion of conventional fuels in motor vehicles. In 2001, the Legislature added NRS 486A.200, which authorized an incentive program for the use of clean-burning fuel. As a result, NDEP developed the “Alternative Fueled Vehicles in Fleets Program” to reduce motor vehicle-related pollution by converting fleet vehicles to use cleaner burning fuels. State and local government fleets in Clark and Washoe Counties operating ten or more vehicles are regulated under this program.

Alternative fueled vehicles are also exempt from emissions testing and benefit from a reduced special fuels tax rate (NRS 366.190).

Greenhouse Gas Emissions
“Greenhouse gases” are defined as carbon dioxide (CO₂), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆). On May 21, 2010, President Barack Obama requested that the EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation’s National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) work together to develop a national program that would improve the fuel economy of and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from U.S. light-duty vehicles for model years 2017-2025. President Obama’s proposal
expands the first phase of the national program, which established coordinated greenhouse gas emissions and fuel economy standards for model years 2012-2016. The EPA is proposing national greenhouse gas emissions standards under the Clean Air Act, and the NHTSA is proposing Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act. In July 2011, President Obama announced an agreement with major automakers and other stakeholders on fuel efficiency goals for the next phase of light-duty greenhouse gas emissions and CAFE standards.

WATER QUALITY

(NOTE: This report will address the issue of water quality as an environmental issue in Nevada. The topic of water quantity will be addressed in another report dealing with natural resources.)

Growing public awareness and concern for controlling water pollution led to enactment of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972. As amended in 1977, this law became known as the Clean Water Act. It establishes the basic structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into the waters of the U.S. and gives the EPA authority to implement pollution control programs such as setting wastewater standards for industry. The Clean Water Act also continues requirements to set water quality standards for all contaminants in surface waters. Under this Act, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source into navigable waters, unless a permit is obtained.

The Nevada Water Pollution Control Law is set forth in NRS 445A.300 through 445A.730, and establishes Nevada’s compliance with the federal Clean Water Act. The SEC has the authority to adopt regulations to carry out the provisions of the Nevada Water Pollution Control Law, including standards of water quality and amounts of waste that may be discharged into the waters of the State. Administration and implementation of these regulations are the responsibility of NDEP. Legislation enacted in 2009 authorizes NDEP to award subgrants for set-aside programs authorized by the federal Safe Drinking Water Act.

An important aspect of the Clean Water Act is the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). A TMDL is an assessment of the amount of pollutant a water body can receive and not violate water quality standards. In other words, a TMDL determines how much pollutant load a lake or stream can assimilate. It is the sum of the allowable loads of a single pollutant from all contributing point and nonpoint sources. A “point source” is a discharge from discernible points, including pipes, ditches, channels, and tunnels; a “nonpoint source” is a discharge over a wide area of land not from a specific location (such as runoff).

The Clean Water Act requires each state to:

- Identify waters not meeting water quality standards;
- Set priorities for TMDL development of “impaired” water bodies; and
- Develop a TMDL for each pollutant for each listed body of water.
The Act also requires the EPA to approve or disapprove submissions by the states.

Water quality in Nevada generally is affected by agriculture and municipal and industrial sources. Overall, water quality generally has been improving in Nevada due to the removal of many point sources and more stringent standards being implemented on other point sources. Most exceedances are seasonal and of a natural condition. As for nonpoint sources, improvements are anticipated as a result of promoting public awareness, improved grazing and irrigation practices, erosion control measures, and implementation of Best Management Practices.

Hydraulic Fracturing

Hydraulic fracturing, or “fracking,” is a process where millions of gallons of water, which are combined with some sand and chemicals, are pumped underground to break apart rock and release natural gas. The practice has gained national attention in recent years amid concerns over potential environmental risks. The 2013 Legislature enacted Senate Bill 390 (Chapter 466, Statutes of Nevada) to require the Division of Minerals of the Commission on Mineral Resources and NDEP to develop jointly a hydraulic fracturing program on or before July 1, 2014 (NRS 522.119). The program was developed and approved as part of a major update to the State’s oil and gas regulations in 2014, and included assessing the effects of hydraulic fracturing on the waters of Nevada, requiring disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing, and providing for public notice concerning fracturing activities. Senate Bill 44 (Chapter 36, Statutes of Nevada 2015) increased the statutory limit on the fees prescribed by the Commission on Mineral Resources for permits to drill a well in search of oil and natural gas from $200 to $5,000 for a well that is intended to be hydraulically fractured and to $2,000 for a well not intended to be hydraulically fractured.

Perchlorate

Nearly 90 percent of the drinking water for southern Nevada comes from the Colorado River via Lake Mead. This vital source of drinking water is under constant scrutiny to maintain water quality. Perchlorate, a toxic chemical used in rocket fuel and explosives, was first detected in Lake Mead in 1997. Perchlorate was produced from World War II through the 1990s at two sites in Henderson, one owned by Kerr-McGee LLC and the other by American Pacific Corporation (APC). Kerr-McGee stopped making the chemical in 1998, and a cleanup project began in 1999 (the project is ongoing). The site owned by APC was destroyed by an explosion in 1988. If ingested in high doses, perchlorate can decrease function of the thyroid gland and result in hypothyroidism or possibly thyroid tumors.

Although perchlorate is not regulated under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act, NDEP established 18 parts per billion (ppb) as a provisional action level based upon EPA guidance standards established in 1999 for perchlorate in drinking water. In 2005, the National Research Council recommended a reference dose of 0.0007 milligrams/kilogram/day, which translates to a Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) of 24.5 ppb. As a result of cleanup efforts, Lake Mead has seen decreased levels of perchlorate.
Nitrates

A water quality issue in recent years has been the level of nitrates in the groundwater of some outlying valleys where septic tanks have leaked, threatening the drinking water in individual water wells. Where standards are exceeded, NDEP may require development of a community sewage system and elimination of the use of individual septic tanks, such as in the case of Spanish Springs north of Reno.

Clean Water Act Versus Safe Drinking Water Act

It is important to note that the Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act are two different pieces of federal legislation. The Clean Water Act pertains to water quality as an environmental issue, while the Safe Drinking Water Act addresses drinking water quality and public water systems as a health issue. A “public water system” is a system that provides the public with piped water for human consumption, if the system has 15 or more service connections or regularly serves 25 or more persons.

In Nevada, primary enforcement responsibility for the Safe Drinking Water Act rests with NDEP, which is responsible for licensing and monitoring public water systems consistent with national drinking water standards. The Division also administers Nevada’s Drinking Water State Revolving Fund to provide free technical assistance and low-interest loans to private and public water systems to ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. State financial assistance for drinking water systems was created by the Nevada Legislature in 1991 to provide grants to water purveyors to pay for costs of capital improvements to publicly owned water systems as required by the State Board of Health or the Safe Drinking Water Act. The grant program seeks to enable communities to comply with health regulations and to ensure that the costs of improvements do not overwhelm or cripple the system.

In the past decade, changes to federal drinking water standards impacted certain Nevada communities that had to improve their water treatment facilities to meet higher standards. One example is the national standard for arsenic that was changed by the EPA from 50 ppb to 10 ppb, effective on January 23, 2006. New water treatment plants were constructed in Fallon and Fernley in order to bring their drinking water into compliance with the new national standard for arsenic.

MERCURY

Mercury is a naturally occurring metal that can contaminate air, water, and soil. In Nevada, the largest source of atmospheric mercury is from the processing of gold in mining operations. Historically, mining has also resulted in mercury contamination of certain lakes and rivers in Nevada. Ingestion of methylmercury by eating contaminated fish or other exposures to mercury may have negative health impacts, including nervous system damage.

The Clean Water Act requires that states develop a list of water bodies (“List of Impaired Waters”) needing additional work beyond existing controls to achieve or maintain water quality standards. There are several water bodies included on the 2012 list developed by NDEP for exceeding the
allowable level of certain contaminants. The Carson River from New Empire to the Carson Sink is included on the National Priorities List (Superfund) due to mercury contamination from historic mining activities, so it is jointly managed by NDEP and the EPA Region 9. The list may be viewed online at: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/superfund-national-priorities-list-npl.

The Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services, has issued species-specific health advisories for waters where a fish species has an average methylmercury level above 1 ppm, based on the Federal Drug Administration mercury action level. The health advisories recommend no consumption of the following species in northern Nevada waters:

- Fish from Lahontan Reservoir and the Carson River from Dayton downstream to the reservoir;
- White bass from Little and Big Washoe Lakes;
- Wipers and walleye (and only one 8-ounce meal per month from all other fish) from Rye Patch Reservoir;
- Walleye from Chimney Dam Reservoir; and
- Largemouth bass or northern pike from Comins Lake.

In 2006, NDEP implemented the Nevada Mercury Air Emissions Control Program to reduce emissions at precious metal mining facilities processing mercury-containing ore by means of thermal treatment that may result in mercury emissions. Prior to this regulatory program, Nevada had implemented a voluntary mercury reduction program for Nevada gold mines that reduced annual emissions by 82 percent over three years.

THE NEVADA BROWNFIELDS PROGRAM

Brownfield properties are vacant or abandoned properties that are unused or underutilized due to environmental contamination from past use. Examples typically include former automotive, railroad, or light industrial sites. The Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP, implements the Nevada Brownfields Program, also referred to as the Land Recycling Program.

The Nevada Brownfields Program was created in 1999 to model the federal Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002. Nevada’s program is codified in NRS 459.610 through 459.658. The intent of the program is to provide incentives for people voluntarily to remediate and use environmentally contaminated property while providing protection from liability for additional, future cleanup costs. In other words, the program encourages the revitalization of vacant, unproductive, and environmentally contaminated lands throughout Nevada.

Nevada’s program allows the owner, prospective or innocent purchaser of contaminated property, or the owner of contiguous property to reach an agreement with NDEP to undertake corrective cleanup actions. The property owner can obtain a certificate as a result of the agreement, releasing the
property owner from liability for contamination occurring before the cleanup took place. However, the relief from liability does not limit the State’s authority to require a specified action or cleanup by persons who are responsible for the actual contamination.

To implement the Brownfields Program, the State of Nevada received a $2 million grant from the EPA. These funds are being used in the Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund, a revolving loan administered by NDEP to provide no- or low-interest grants to eligible recipients. Payments from these grants have been leveraged to make additional loans. Nevada’s program also provides for recovery by the State of a portion of the cleanup costs if the State funded the cleanup effort at the property and that cleanup increased the land’s value.

In 2005, the Legislature adopted the Uniform Environmental Covenants Act, which is codified in Chapter 445D (“Environmental Covenants [Uniform Act]”) of NRS, to allow for the recordation of long-term institutional controls on remediated properties. The Act provides for a perpetual real estate interest, known as an environmental covenant, to be recorded for the purpose of giving notice of use restrictions on the land when ownership is transferred. Giving notice of any such restrictions facilitates future enforcement for the protection of both public and private interests.

An environmental covenant stays with the property unless limited to a specific duration or terminated by various means. However, while an environmental covenant may restrict uses of real property authorized by zoning or by another law, the covenant cannot authorize a use that is otherwise prohibited by law or a recorded instrument with priority over the covenant.

MINING AND MINE RECLAMATION

In 2012, gold prices and production contributed to Nevada’s mining industry setting an all-time record in the value of mineral output, which was $10.437 billion, not including oil and geothermal resources. According to the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology’s Special Publication P-26, Major Mines of Nevada 2014, Nevada produced approximately 73 percent of U.S. gold in 2014. The publication further noted that the State was also the nation’s leading producer of barite, lithium compounds, and magnesium compounds. Copper ranked second only to gold in terms of value in 2014.

Additionally, Nevada is second only to California in producing geothermal power. In 2014, there were 22 geothermal electric generating plants in 16 locations that sold approximately 2.7 million megawatt hours of electricity (enough power to supply nearly 244,000 homes). Finally in 2014, approximately 316,426 barrels of oil were produced from oil fields in Eureka and Nye Counties.

The economic significance of mining is especially great in rural areas where mining activities are centered. In 2014, there were, on average, 14,413 Nevadans directly employed in the mineral industry at an average salary of $88,634. This is the highest average salary of any employment sector in the State.

State Oversight of Mining

The 2011 Legislature passed S.B. 493 (Chapter 449, Statutes of Nevada) to create the Mining Oversight and Accountability Commission whose duties include oversight of the activities of
the various State agencies that have responsibility for the environmental regulation, operation, safety, and taxation of mines and mining in this State (Chapter 514A [“Mining Oversight and Accountability Commission”] of NRS).

The following agencies are subject to supervision by the Oversight Commission and must submit annual reports to the Oversight Commission:

- Nevada Tax Commission and Department of Taxation;
- Division of Industrial Relations of the Department of Business and Industry;
- Commission on Mineral Resources and its Division of Minerals;
- Bureau of Mines and Geology of the Nevada System of Higher Education; and
- NDEP of the DCNR.

The Department of Taxation is required to report annually to the Oversight Commission on the expenses and deductions of each mining operation in the State and must submit a comprehensive audit program for each mining operator at the first meeting of the Oversight Commission. In addition, the Oversight Commission may ask the Legislative Commission to direct the Legislative Auditor to undertake an audit or investigation of the supervised entities with respect to mining issues.

The Division of Minerals administers programs and activities to further the responsible development and production of the State’s mineral resources. The Division regulates drilling operations of oil, gas, and geothermal wells; administers a program to identify, rank, and secure dangerous conditions at abandoned mines; and manages the State Reclamation Bond Pool. The statutes relating to the programs administered by the Division of Minerals generally are found in Chapter 513 (“Commission on Mineral Resources”), Chapter 517 (“Mining Claims, Mill Sites and Tunnel Rights”), Chapter 519A (“Reclamation of Land Subject to Mining Operations or Exploration Projects”), Chapter 522 (“Oil and Gas”), and Chapter 534A (“Geothermal Resources”) of NRS.

Mining regulation, mine closure, and mine reclamation are the responsibility of NDEP and its Bureau of Mining Regulation and Reclamation. The Regulation Branch is responsible for ensuring that the quality of Nevada’s water resources is not adversely impacted by active mining operations. The Mining Closure Branch regulates mines in closure, ensuring chemical stabilization of all components. Finally, the Mining Reclamation Branch ensures that land disturbed by mining and exploration activities is returned to a productive post-mining land use. Statutory authority for these programs is found in NRS 445A.300 through 445A.730 (“Water Pollution Control”) and Chapter 519A (“Reclamation of Land Subject to Mining Operations or Exploration Projects”) of NRS.

Mine Reclamation

Mine reclamation is an important environmental issue, especially in rural Nevada. In 1991, the State Reclamation Bond Pool was created to ensure that sufficient resources exist in the event a mining company goes bankrupt and cannot pay to reclaim the land. In Nevada, mine operators are required
to obtain a reclamation permit and to file a surety with NDEP or a federal land manager. The Bond Pool is administered by the Division of Minerals; however, NDEP is responsible for reviewing the mine operator’s estimate of the cost for reclamation to determine whether the estimate is reasonably sufficient to conduct all required reclamation.

The 2013 Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 346 (Chapter 305, Statutes of Nevada) to require that reclamation plans for mining operations and exploration projects must, if feasible, provide for at least one point of public nonmotorized access to the water level of a pit lake that has a predicted filled surface area of more than 200 acres. Such access must be provided when the pit reaches at least 90 percent of its predicted maximum capacity. Any owner of a pit lake may make the final determination on the ultimate use of the property, but testimony advocating for the bill noted this may facilitate potential recreational uses in the future.

**Toxics Release Inventory**

Another important environmental issue relative to mining is the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI). On December 4, 1984, extremely toxic methyl isocyanate gas escaped from a Union Carbide Corporation chemical plant in Bhopal, India, in what is considered one of the worst industrial disasters in history, resulting in thousands of deaths and long-term disabilities for survivors. Then in 1985, a chemical release occurred at a similar plant in West Virginia, providing additional impetus for federal action. Congress enacted the federal Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 to inform communities and residents of potential chemical hazards in their area by requiring certain businesses to report the locations and quantities of designated chemicals stored on-site and to allow state and local planning for chemical emergencies. The legislation included the TRI Program, which has expanded significantly since its inception. It now includes 594 individual chemicals combined with chemical categories for an inventory of 689 listed chemicals, and covers many industries beyond manufacturing, such as the mining industry.

According to the data reported in the EPA’s 2014 TRI Factsheet for Nevada, there were 134 facilities in Nevada reporting a total of 496.4 million pounds of toxic chemical releases during 2014.

**LAKE TAHOE**

The natural beauty and outstanding water quality at Lake Tahoe have resulted in ongoing efforts to preserve and enhance the natural environment within the Lake Tahoe Basin. More than 100 years ago, conservationists voiced concern about the impacts of logging, tourism, and other development on the Lake’s environment. While their efforts to designate the Basin as a national forest or national park failed, they continued lobbying for environmental protection. The States of Nevada and California began discussions about how best to protect the Lake.

The debate climaxed in the 1960s after two decades of rapid growth. The governors and lawmakers of Nevada and California approved the Tahoe Regional Planning Compact that created a regional planning agency to oversee development at Lake Tahoe. In 1969, the U.S. Congress ratified the agreement and created the TRPA. With ratification of the Compact, the TRPA was the first bistate regional environmental planning agency in the country.
The early years of the Agency were beset with controversy as procedures and regulations were established and imposed and people adjusted to this new level of regulation and bureaucracy. Specifically, the basic structure of the TRPA’s Governing Board, as well as a voting system through which a project could be “deemed approved” unless a majority of the Board’s representatives in each state voted to deny the project, proved unworkable in achieving the objectives of the Compact. Other controversies centered on provisions for the location and control of gaming, inadequate requirements for establishing planning standards, and criteria for environmental evaluations.

During the 1970s, the governors and lawmakers of both states again debated about how to amend the bistate Compact to address these controversies. Finally in 1980, the Compact was revised to give the TRPA authority to adopt environmental quality standards (called thresholds) and to enforce ordinances designed to achieve those thresholds.

National attention was again turned to Lake Tahoe in 1997, with the Lake Tahoe Presidential Forum. President Bill Clinton issued an Executive Order designed to ensure increased coordination and cooperative efforts in planning and implementing environmental measures in the Basin. Among the efforts is the Environmental Improvement Program (EIP), an integrated plan for identifying the projects, continuing programs, and studies necessary to achieve environmental goals at Lake Tahoe. Funding for projects identified in the EIP called for an initial investment of $908 million, while the allocation for projects funded by the State of Nevada on the Nevada side of the Basin was $82 million.

Following the Presidential Forum, Nevada Governor Bob Miller signed a Memorandum of Understanding pledging the State’s support for carrying out its full $82 million share of the EIP. At the time, the State of Nevada already had a portion of this amount allocated for EIP projects. The remainder was approved in the 1999 Legislative Session, with authorization of the sale of $53.2 million in bonds staggered throughout the life of the EIP. General obligation bonds have been authorized each session since 1999 to fund the implementation of the EIP, and the final installment of these bonds was authorized in 2007. The 2009 Legislature authorized the issuance of not more than $100 million in general obligation bonds for the State of Nevada’s apportioned share of the costs of the EIP for the next decade. The bonds are to be issued between July 1, 2009, and June 30, 2020.

In every regular session since 1985, with one exception, the Nevada Legislature has authorized an interim committee to oversee the activities associated with the TRPA and the bistate Compact. In 2003, the Nevada Legislature created the Legislative Committee for the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Marlette Lake Water System as a statutory committee (NRS 218E.555).

Scientists noticed Lake Tahoe’s water clarity beginning to decrease in 1968, when a white Secchi disk the size of a dinner plate could be seen as far as 102.4 feet below the water’s surface. Water clarity declined to 64.1 feet by 1997, and the declining trend appears to have slowed or even improved in recent years as the 2014 measurement was 77.8 feet.

**Increased Focus by California and Nevada on the Tahoe Regional Planning Compact**

The 2011 Legislature passed S.B. 271 (Chapter 530, *Statutes of Nevada*) in the final minutes of the Session, and it was signed by Governor Brian Sandoval. The bill provided for the withdrawal of
the State of Nevada from the Compact, if the TRPA Governing Board did not adopt an updated Regional Plan and certain amendments to the Compact were not enacted by California and ratified by Congress by October 1, 2015. The bill authorized the Governor to issue a proclamation extending this withdrawal to October 1, 2017. The Nevada TRPA (NRS 278.792) would assume the duties and powers of regulating environmental and land-use matters on the Nevada side of the Lake Tahoe Basin, should the withdrawal occur.

California Governor Jerry Brown and Nevada Governor Brian Sandoval attended the 15th Annual Lake Tahoe Summit (Summit) held on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, along with Leo M. Drozdoff, P.E., Director, DCNR, and John Laird, Secretary, California Natural Resources Agency. At the Summit, the governors announced a renewed commitment to Lake Tahoe by the States of California and Nevada, which culminated in an informal bistate consultation group convening on several occasions throughout the spring and summer of 2012. The bistate consultation group worked to reach a consensus among the various stakeholders in Lake Tahoe on some of the outstanding issues of the update of the TRPA’s Regional Plan, and it concluded its work with the presentation of a document of recommendations to the TRPA Governing Board.

The update to the Lake Tahoe Regional Plan was adopted by the Governing Board on December 12, 2012, and both states enacted legislation relevant to their continued support of the TRPA in 2013. Senate Bill 229 (Chapter 424, Statutes of Nevada 2013) repeals most of the provisions of S.B. 271. Governor Brown signed S.B. 630 (Chapter 762, California Statutes) on October 11, 2013, relevant to a mutual agreement with Nevada regarding the TRPA. Governor Sandoval issued a proclamation, dated December 19, 2013, acknowledging the California legislation satisfied the requirement set forth in Sections 7 and 9 of S.B. 229. The two states committed, again, to working together through the TRPA, and the threat of withdrawal from the TRPA was averted.

**Area Plans**

The 2012 update to the Lake Tahoe Regional Plan increases the TRPA’s focus on key environmental goals, while providing a means for other regulatory agencies in the region to take over more of the local permitting via the adoption of Area Plans. Chapter 13 of the Code of Ordinances, which is the document compiling the laws and ordinances used to implement the goals and policies of the Regional Plan, sets forth the provisions relevant to Area Plans. An Area Plan that has been adopted by the local government and approved by the TRPA’s Governing Board will allow the Regional Plan policies to be implemented at a smaller scale and with greater flexibility. Information about the status of the development and adoption of Area Plans is available on the TRPA website: [http://www.trpa.org](http://www.trpa.org).

**Reauthorization of the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (LTRA)**

More than 78 percent of the land in the Lake Tahoe Basin is owned by the federal government. Starting in 2000, the first LTRA (H.R. 3388 and S. 1925) authorized the federal share of funding, $300 million, for the Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program (EIP) for a decade; however, the reauthorization of the LTRA has been attempted unsuccessfully since 2009. Legislation was
introduced in both houses of the U.S. Congress on November 3, 2009, (H.R. 4001 and S. 2724) to reauthorize the LTRA. The identical measures included a $415 million appropriation over eight years to enable the Chief of the U.S. Forest Service, the Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Administrator of the EPA, in cooperation with the TRPA and the States of California and Nevada, to fund, plan, and implement significant new environmental restoration and forest management activities in the Lake Tahoe Basin, an endeavor generally known as the Lake Tahoe EIP. Both bills died, and the four Senators representing California and Nevada subsequently co-sponsored S. 432, which was introduced on March 2, 2011. The legislation introduced in 2011 also included a $415 million appropriation, but it was to be spread over ten years. Despite previous failed attempts, the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act is again pending before Congress in the form of H.R. 3692 and S. 1724; both were introduced in 2015 for consideration by the 114th Congress. United States Representative Tom McClintock (R-CA) and U.S. Representative Mark Amodei (R-NV) introduced H.R. 3382 on July 29, 2015, to authorize a lesser amount ($60 million from 2016 through 2025) with a limited focus on the Aquatic Invasive Species Program and forest fuel reduction projects. Representative McClintock released a statement that the smaller price tag on H.R. 3382 would fit within the budget parameters set by Congress and increase the prospect of passage; however, the measure was still pending at the time this publication was drafted.

WEBSITES AND ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

The following websites contain additional information and further detail on the programs and topics described in this report. In some cases, such as the EPA and NDEP sites, information is available for multiple subjects including air quality, water quality, brownfields, mining regulation, and more.

- Division of Minerals: http://minerals.nv.gov/.
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GLOSSARY OF COMMON ACRONYMS

As with any policy area, acronyms are common in environmental and natural resources subjects. Following is a list of the most common acronyms one might expect to encounter:

AML ............................................................................................ abandoned mine lands
BLM .......................................... Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior
BMP.......................................................................................Best Management Practices
CAA ............................................................................................ Clean Air Act of 1990
CAFE ............................................................................ Corporate Average Fuel Economy
CFR .................................................................................... Code of Federal Regulations
CWA ........................................................................................ Clean Water Act of 1977
DCNR .......................................................... State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
DMV .................................................................................. Department of Motor Vehicles
DOI ................................................................................. U.S. Department of the Interior
DWEL ............................................................................ Drinking Water Equivalent Level
EA .................................................................................................... environmental assessment
EIP .......................................................... Environmental Improvement Program
EIS ................................................................................. Environmental Impact Statement
EPA ........................................................................ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA ................................................................................ Endangered Species Act of 1973
NAAQS ........................................................ National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NDEP .............................................................. Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection
NEPA ................................................................. National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
NHTSA .......................................................... National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation
SEC ......................................................................................... State Environmental Commission
SIPs ................................................................................... State Implementation Plans
TMDL ............................................................................ Total Maximum Daily Load
TRI .......................................................................................... Toxics Release Inventory
TRPA ................................................................. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
USDA .................................................................................. U.S. Department of Agriculture
Many of the State agency responsibilities related to natural resources are housed in the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR). Other State agencies with responsibilities for natural resources and related issues include Nevada’s State Department of Agriculture (NDA), the Commission on Mineral Resources (through its Division of Minerals), and Nevada’s Department of Wildlife (NDOW).

More than 85 percent of Nevada’s land area is owned and administered by the federal government. In some rural counties, the federal government controls more than 90 percent of the land. As a result, federal laws, regulations, and policies play a very important role in the management of vast areas of the State’s natural resources and significantly influence local public policy.

**AGRICULTURE**

Although agriculture is an industry rather than a resource, it depends heavily on Nevada’s natural resources and the availability of public land for grazing. Therefore, agriculture, natural resources, and public lands issues are closely related in this State, and measures pertaining to agriculture are generally considered by the Legislature’s natural resources committees.

**Overview of Agriculture in Nevada**

Agriculture is one of Nevada’s most important industries, contributing significantly to the economies of rural communities and the State as a whole. The NDA was created in 1915 and established in Chapter 561 (“State Department of Agriculture”) of *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) to promote the efficient, orderly, and economic conduct of various activities for the advancement, encouragement, and
protection of Nevada’s livestock and agricultural industries. Due to the breadth of agricultural subjects and issues in Nevada, Titles 49 ("Agriculture") and 50 ("Animals") of NRS cover the administrative responsibilities and programs of the NDA.

Nevada agriculture is directed primarily toward range livestock production; cattle and calves are the leading agricultural industry. With more than 85 percent of Nevada land owned by the federal government, most of Nevada’s ranchers graze their livestock, at least in part, on public land. Most of the federal land in Nevada that is used for agriculture is overseen by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the United States Department of the Interior (DOI), and the U.S. Forest Service (USFS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). These entities determine how much livestock can be grazed on any one area at any time. Assessments are made periodically to ensure grazing is at an optimum level, taking into account other uses such as recreation, wildlife, and plant diversity.

Dairy cows, sheep, lambs, and hogs are among Nevada’s other livestock enterprises. The larger cattle and sheep ranches are in the northern half of the State. While most of the dairies are in northern Nevada, the largest dairies are in the south.

Despite Nevada’s arid climate, excellent crops are produced where land can be irrigated. Alfalfa hay is the leading cash crop of the State, and much of the hay is sold to dairy operations in surrounding states. Significant quantities of alfalfa cubes and compressed bales are exported overseas each year. Additional crops produced in Nevada include barley, corn, garlic, honey, oats, onions, potatoes, and winter and spring wheat. Smaller acreages of mint, fruits, and vegetables are grown throughout the State.

Hemp production in the United States was once a common industry and was even encouraged in colonial times and during World War II. However, hemp was caught up in the criminalization of marijuana, and the last American hemp farm closed in 1958. Still, other countries continue to grow hemp and research new uses for this versatile crop. To help determine whether industrial hemp is a viable crop in Nevada, the 2015 Legislature authorized Nevada’s colleges and universities and the NDA to grow industrial hemp under an agricultural pilot program or for other academic research. Each site used to grow hemp must be certified and registered with the NDA. Industrial hemp that is grown or cultivated pursuant to the provisions of this legislation is exempted from crimes relating to marijuana.

Federal laws and regulations can significantly affect ranching in Nevada since livestock production depends heavily on the use of grazing permits on federal land. In 1994, for example, the DOI began to revise existing grazing regulations that had been in effect for decades. This program was called “Rangeland Reform ’94.” The three major issues involved in Rangeland Reform ’94 were grazing preference, ownership of range improvements, and mandatory qualifications for permit applicants. The regulations were seen by many as a means to restrict grazing on public lands.

In 2003, the BLM proposed changes to its grazing rules in an attempt to resolve several controversial components of the Rangeland Reform ’94 regulations. However, a federal judge blocked the new rules in a 2007 decision that concluded the rules would loosen restrictions, limit public comment, and dilute the BLM’s authority to sanction violators.
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Following implementation of Rangeland Reform ’94, the Nevada Legislature created the Rangeland Resources Commission in 1999 in response to concerns about the decreasing viability of Nevada ranching. The Commission is funded by the livestock industry through an assessment on public land use with the goal of promoting the benefits of rangelands through information, education, and collaboration. Its stated mission is to inform the public that Nevada’s rangelands are a vital economic resource, protected and preserved for all citizens by a stable, sustainable livestock industry.

State laws on grazing are found primarily in Chapter 568 (“Grazing and Ranging”) of NRS and are complementary to federal law, including the Taylor Grazing Act of 1934. Chapter 568 of NRS creates State grazing boards and provides for the disbursement of the federal funds received by the State under the Taylor Grazing Act and related federal acts and executive orders. The federal grazing fee for 2015 is $1.69 per animal unit month for public lands administered by the BLM and $1.69 per head month for lands managed by the USFS.

**MINERAL RESOURCES**

In 2014, Nevada’s mining industry produced approximately $7.4 billion in total mineral value, representing a 20 percent decrease from the previous year. The decline in production was precipitated by a fall in the value of gold. Nevada’s gold production fell by roughly 9 percent from 2013 to 2014, while the average price per ounce decreased to $1,266.40 in 2014 from $1,411.23 in 2013. According to Nevada’s Bureau of Mines and Geology’s Special Publication P-26, *Major Mines of Nevada 2014*, Nevada produced approximately 73 percent of the total gold in the U.S. The State was also the nation’s leading producer of barite, lithium compounds, and magnesium compounds. Copper ranked second only to gold in terms of value in 2014.

Additionally, Nevada is second only to California in producing geothermal power. There are 22 geothermal electric generating plants in 16 locations that sold approximately 2.7 million megawatt hours of electricity in 2014 (enough power to supply nearly 244,000 homes). Finally, in 2014, 316,426 barrels of oil were produced from oil fields in Eureka and Nye Counties.

The economic significance of mining is especially great in rural areas where mining activities are centered. In 2014, there were 110 mining operators located throughout Nevada, with an average of 14,413 Nevadans directly employed in the mineral industry at an average salary of $88,634.

Nevada’s Division of Minerals administers programs and activities to further the responsible development and production of the State’s mineral resources, which include: minerals produced from mines; geothermal resources; and oil and gas. The Division regulates drilling operations of oil, gas, and geothermal wells; administers a program to identify, rank, and secure dangerous conditions at abandoned mines; and manages the State reclamation performance bond pool.

The General Mining Law of 1872 is one of the major federal statutes that directs the federal government’s land management policy. The law grants free access to individuals and corporations to prospect for minerals on public domain lands, and it allows them, upon making a discovery, to stake (or “locate”) a claim on that deposit. A claim gives the holder the right to develop the minerals and may be “patented” to convey full title to the claimant. A continuing issue is whether this law should be reformed and, if so, how to balance mineral development with competing land uses.

In recent years, bills have been introduced in both the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of Representatives to revise provisions in the over 140-year-old mining law as well as laws pertaining to mine safety. Most legislation introduced proposing to amend the 1872 law have not been enacted. While there appears to be consensus that the law should be revised, Nevada’s federal delegates have opposed provisions in the different bills. Debate continues on mining reform, particularly on the amount for royalties included in the bills. For more information from an environmental standpoint, see the 2016 Policy and Program Report on General Environmental Issues and Matters Concerning Lake Tahoe.

MISCELLANEOUS NATURAL RESOURCES TOPICS

Drought

Several western states, including Nevada, have experienced drought conditions for many years. Drought conditions create severe economic and environmental conditions in Nevada. During an average year, much of the State regularly receives less than 10 inches of precipitation, with some areas receiving even less. Nevada’s already dry and arid conditions are exacerbated by drought, leaving the land parched and subject to catastrophic fires and much-needed water bodies dry. The impacts to agriculture and wildlife can be disastrous.
During severe drought conditions, the USDA may identify specific counties as eligible for emergency assistance due to losses caused by drought, heat, and wildfires. Among the assistance available are low-interest loans from the USDA Farm Service Agency to qualified family-sized farm operators to help cover actual losses as a result of crop and livestock conditions.

In southern Nevada alone, the drought has spurred the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) to continue its efforts to import water from rural areas and develop a third and deeper intake for water in Lake Mead. Programs by the SNWA to replace water-hungry turf with drought-tolerant plants have met with significant success. For more information on water resources, see the 2016 Policy and Program Report on Water Resources.

Concerns over the recent drought and long water supplies lead to the passage of Assembly Bill 198 (Chapter 338, Statutes of Nevada) during the 2015 Legislative Session. The bill required the Legislative Committee on Public Lands to conduct a study of water conservation and alternative sources of water for Nevada communities. The measure set out the scope of the study, which included a review of issues relating to water resources, water use, and the apportionment of groundwater. In addition to its other duties, the Committee must submit its findings and recommendations to the 2017 Legislature.

**Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plants**

Invasive plant species increasingly affect Nevada’s lands in both urban and rural areas. Nonnative plants across the State’s rangelands are often flammable and increase fire intensity and frequency. They typically out-compete native plant species, thereby decreasing natural biodiversity and wildlife habitat. Thorny, spiny plants make areas inaccessible for recreation, and the spread of invasive plants coupled with the need to control these weeds in crops drives up the price of food. Some species are so detrimental to the State’s economy and environment that they are designated as “noxious weeds” through formal legislative action. Chapter 555 (“Control of Insects, Pests and Noxious Weeds”) of NRS deals specifically with noxious weeds. According to the USDA, noxious weeds are defined as “species of plants that cause disease or are injurious to crops, livestock or land, and thus are detrimental to agriculture, commerce or public health.”

One of the most prominent and insidious invasive plants in Nevada is cheatgrass. Originating in Europe, cheatgrass is an exotic, fine-stemmed annual grass that is highly flammable, and cheatgrass rangelands are significantly more likely to burn than rangelands of native vegetation. Cheatgrass easily reestablishes after a fire and becomes a “monoculture” (or a solid stand of a single plant species) on the burned land. Based on satellite imagery from 2003, the BLM estimated approximately 11 million acres of Nevada lands administered by the agency have at least 10 percent cover of cheatgrass and other annual grasses. Before the invasion of cheatgrass, significant fires burned once every 40 to 100 years, and native shrubs had a chance to become well established. Today, however, regular fires occur every ten years or less, thereby ensuring that cheatgrass remains the dominant species.

Beyond their contribution to wildland fire, invasive species have the potential to severely displace native and diverse plant communities with unproductive single plant communities that greatly impact...
the environment. They jeopardize the ecological diversity of the region, with significant impacts to native habitat, wildlife, agriculture, and recreation. Nevada’s Noxious Weeds program, undertaken by the NDA, is an action plan to: (1) address weed management; (2) prevent new infestations; (3) educate and create awareness; (4) foster coordination, cooperation, and partnerships; and (5) promote research. The Nevada Weed Action Committee (a committee of the NDA) and others have identified funding as the primary need in the fight against noxious weeds in Nevada.

Wildland Fires

Hundreds of wildfires occur during each fire season in Nevada. According to the National Interagency Fire Center, wildland fires consumed 42,479 acres of land in 2015. Years of unusually dry conditions and the spread of invasive plants like cheatgrass have left the State vulnerable to dangerous fire seasons. During drought years, the acreage burned by wildfires typically increases. Dry fuels contribute to more erratic burning conditions and increased fire intensity, and firefighting agencies may not have enough money and resources to suppress fires.

Several agencies share responsibility for fire prevention and suppression in Nevada. At the State level, the Division of Forestry, DCNR, provides fire protection through fire suppression and prevention programs and other emergency services. Chapters 472 through 477 (Title 42 [“Protection From Fire; Explosives”]) of NRS pertain to protection from fire and explosives. Chapters 527 (“Protection and Preservation of Timbered Lands, Trees and Flora”) and 528 (“Forest Practice and Reforestation”) of NRS address forestry, forestry products, and flora. At the federal level, the BLM and the USFS participate extensively in fire-related efforts throughout Nevada. Local fire protection districts and volunteer fire departments also are located across the State. The cooperation of these entities at all levels is significant and contributes greatly to successful fire prevention and suppression efforts. Finally, rangeland fire protection associations include a network of trained and certified fire teams that help to reduce fire risk by controlling fuel loads; rehabilitating and restoring burned areas; and working with federal, state, and local governments to implement a successful fire suppression strategy. The State Forester Firewarden is responsible for the routine evaluation and regulation of rangeland fire protection associations relating to the formation, operation, and training of the members of an association.

PUBLIC LANDS

The Division of State Lands, DCNR, conducts land ownership surveys for each Nevada county. The Division’s 2015 survey found over 85 percent of the land within Nevada was federally owned, of which 1.64 percent is tribal lands. This amounts to approximately 61 million acres of land under federal management. In 16 of Nevada’s 17 counties, more than 50 percent of the land is under federal or tribal control; in 5 counties, more than 90 percent of the land is under federal or tribal control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Total Federal Acres</th>
<th>Total Acres in County</th>
<th>Percent Federal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>56,290</td>
<td>100,601</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>2,704,193</td>
<td>3,216,476</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>4,532,961</td>
<td>5,178,240</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because federally managed land is exempt from property taxes, the estimated annual impact of this property tax exemption on western lands has been estimated at billions of dollars, placing some fiscal burdens on local governments.  In a state like Nevada, some have argued the quantity of federal land ownership hinders the ability to develop and prosper economically.

Public Land Acts 

Payments in Lieu of Taxes Act of 1976 
The Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-565) requires the federal government to make annual payments to local governments as compensation for the loss of revenue they experience due to the presence of federally owned land within their jurisdictions.  The PILT payments began in 1977 and have since distributed more than $7.1 billion to local governments nationwide.

The formula used to determine the payments is based on population and the amount of federal land within an affected county or census area.  The states whose local governments received the most in PILT payments in 2015 are (listed in descending order of the amount received):  California, Utah, New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Montana, Idaho, Alaska, Wyoming, and Nevada.  Nevada typically ranks tenth in the amount of PILT funding received, although more federally owned land exists within its borders than any other of the 48 contiguous states.  The irony of the PILT formula is that counties with the most federal land typically have the smallest populations but, because the formula is, in part, population dependent, counties with the highest percentage of federal land do not receive the greatest payments.

After years of inadequate PILT funding and a decrease in 2007 of payments under PILT, Congress increased PILT by over 50 percent in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.  As a

---

### Federal Land Ownership in Nevada by County (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Total Federal Acres</th>
<th>Total Acres in County</th>
<th>Percent Federal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>249,594</td>
<td>472,673</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko</td>
<td>8,102,283</td>
<td>10,959,010</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esmeralda</td>
<td>2,233,727</td>
<td>2,294,915</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka</td>
<td>2,109,480</td>
<td>2,674,061</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>5,090,943</td>
<td>6,211,913</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lander</td>
<td>2,985,871</td>
<td>3,525,761</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>6,659,056</td>
<td>6,804,678</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon</td>
<td>935,673</td>
<td>1,295,358</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2,303,172</td>
<td>2,440,233</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nye</td>
<td>11,373,325</td>
<td>11,640,101</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing</td>
<td>2,937,154</td>
<td>3,880,754</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storey</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>168,683</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washoe</td>
<td>3,301,765</td>
<td>4,188,232</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Pine</td>
<td>5,438,353</td>
<td>5,695,863</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEVADA</td>
<td>61,029,817</td>
<td>71,082,466</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** BLM, Nevada State Office, December 2015.
result, Nevada received approximately $22.6 million in Fiscal Year (FY) 2008, and slightly more than that amount in each FY since, with a high of $25.4 million in FY 2014. In 2013, Nevada received $23.3 million in PILT funding, a slight reduction from 2012 due to federal sequestration. Again in FY 2015, one of the three funding sources used for PILT was subject to the mandatory sequestration rate of -6.8 percent, reducing the total distribution to Nevada to $25.2 million. During FY 2015, the U.S. Department of the Interior distributed PILT twice, with an additional distribution occurring in October, following the regular June distribution to bring the total FY 2015 closer to full funding. For more information regarding the PILT program, please visit the BLM’s PILT information webpage at www.doi.gov/pilt or refer to the Research Division’s Fact Sheet titled, Payments in Lieu of Taxes Summary.

Federal Land Policy Management Act of 1976
In 1964, Congress created the Public Land Law Review Commission to review all current federal land management laws and enacted the Classification and Multiple Use Act. The Commission was created to study federal lands and their management, history, and current laws and to make recommendations for reforms and modernization. These recommendations led to the enactment of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976 (Public Law 94-579).

In the FLPMA, Congress expressly stated a policy of retaining the remaining federal lands in federal ownership; repealed many executive withdrawal authorities and imposed controls on future executive withdrawals; provided for review of existing withdrawals; required land use planning; and directed the use of the “multiple-use” concept whereby the uses to be allowed on particular lands would be determined directly through the land use planning process.

Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998
The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act (SNPLMA) of 1998 (Public Law 105-263) allows the BLM to sell public land within a specific boundary around Las Vegas. The revenue derived from land sales is shared between the State’s general education fund (5 percent), the SNWA (10 percent), and a special account available to the Secretary of the Interior for: (1) acquiring environmentally sensitive land in Nevada; (2) capital improvements at various areas administered by the BLM in Clark County, Nevada, and the Spring Mountains National Recreation Area; (3) developing a multi-species habitat conservation plan in Clark County; (4) developing parks, trails, and natural areas in Clark County; and (5) various conservation initiatives on federal land administered by the DOI or the USDA.

Other provisions in the SNPLMA set forth certain land sale and acquisition procedures, direct the BLM to convey title to land in the McCarran International Airport noise zone to Clark County, and provide for the sale of land for affordable housing. Recent amendments to the SNPLMA have expanded the expenditure categories in the special account pertaining to fire suppression, affordable housing, and parks and trails access.

Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act of 2000
The Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act (FLTFA) of 2000 (Public Law 106-248) provides for the use of revenues from the sale or exchange of public lands identified for disposal under land use plans in effect at the time the Act was passed. The revenue derived from land sales is shared between...
the State of Nevada (4 percent) for educational purposes or for the construction of public roads, and a special account available to the Secretary of the Interior and Secretary of Agriculture for certain land acquisitions and administrative expenses necessary to carry out the land disposal program under the FLTFA. In Nevada, the FLTFA does not apply to lands eligible for sale under the SNPLMA, Santini-Burton Act, Mesquite Lands Act, or Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act (LCCRDA). The FLTFA also does not apply to lands identified for disposal after July 25, 2000, such as through a land use plan amendment approved after that date.

The Act was originally authorized for a ten-year period, but it was later extended for another year through July 25, 2011. Since the FLTFA expired in July 2011, several attempts to reauthorize the Act have been considered by the U.S. Congress. The most recent effort, S. 368 (introduced on February 14, 2013), has received widespread support, as it was reported favorably out of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. It was placed on the “Senate Legislative Calendar” on June 27, 2013, and is awaiting further action. The bill would reauthorize the FLTFA and make it permanent. A similar bill introduced in 2013 and under consideration by the U.S. House of Representatives—H.R. 2068—would reauthorize the FLTFA until July 25, 2020. This bill was heard in the House Subcommittee on Public Lands and Environmental Regulation; however, no action was taken on the bill.

Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2004
The LCCRDA of 2004 (Public Law 108-424) authorizes the sale of federal land in Lincoln County, Nevada. The LCCRDA further designates approximately 770,000 acres of federal land in Nevada as wilderness. The Act also designates a specified corridor for utilities in Clark and Lincoln Counties and grants rights-of-way to the SNWA and Lincoln County Water District for roads, wells, pipelines, and other facilities necessary for the construction and operation of a water conveyance system.

Other provisions include: (1) designate a trail system in Lincoln County (“Silver State Off-Highway Vehicle [OHV] Trail”); (2) authorize the Secretary of the Interior to convey specified land to Lincoln County and the State of Nevada to be used for natural resources conservation or public parks; and (3) transfer administrative jurisdiction of specified lands between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the BLM.

White Pine County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2006
The White Pine County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2006 (WPCCRDA) (Public Law 109-432) authorizes the sale of up to 45,000 acres of federal land in White Pine County. The WPCCRDA sets up a special account similar to other federal lands acts, with 5 percent of land sales proceeds earmarked for the State education fund and 10 percent to White Pine County for law enforcement, fire protection, transportation, and natural resource planning. The remaining 85 percent goes to the DOI to protect wilderness areas in White Pine County, study a potential extension of the Silver State OHV Trail, promote resource protection, and carry out a countywide recreation study.

The Act designates approximately 538,000 acres of wilderness in 12 new wilderness areas and expands the Mount Moriah and Currant Mountain Wilderness areas. The WPCCRDA also simplifies
the land management structure around the Great Basin National Park by transferring jurisdiction of land from the USFS to the BLM. It also transfers land from the BLM to the USFWS for inclusion in the Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge and simplifies management of the Bald Mountain Wilderness by transferring land from the BLM to the USFS.

The WPCCRDA conveys federally managed land for two existing State parks and one State wildlife management area to expand and improve the management of these areas, along with conveyances of parcels for the expansion of the Ely Airport and industrial park. In addition, the Act transfers four parcels of land totaling 3,526 acres to the Ely Shoshone Tribe for traditional, ceremonial, commercial, and residential purposes.

The Act directs the Secretary of the Interior to complete a study of routes for the Silver State OHV Trail. Following the study, the Secretary must designate the trail if it is consistent with certain principles in the Act. Finally, the WPCCRDA provides for the implementation and enhancement of the Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project.

Red Rock Canyon Conservation Area and Adjacent Lands Act of 2003
Located just 20 miles from Las Vegas, the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area (RRCNCA) boasts great scenic and geologic beauty and is a major tourist destination for over 2 million people annually. The BLM is charged with the Area’s stewardship and protection. In 1993, the Nevada Legislature approved legislation requiring any county or city whose territory includes all or part of the RRCNCA (designated pursuant to Title 16 of the United States Code) to prohibit any use other than for recreation, the excavation or extraction of any substance, and the erection of any structure, in that area. The county or city may permit such activities within the boundaries of a mining claim only to the extent permitted by federal law. Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP), DCNR, must issue a permit for any of these activities and must not approve any activity that is “detrimental to the environment outside the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area or would preclude the designation of the national conservation area as wilderness.”

In 2003, the Legislature expanded protections of the Red Rock Canyon area. Nevada law specifies that the various planning and zoning powers in NRS are subordinate to the limits on development in the Red Rock Canyon area. In addition, the 1993 Act was renamed the “Red Rock Canyon Conservation Area and Adjacent Lands Act.” The Act was amended to add new sections defining adjacent tracts of land in the Red Rock Canyon. Finally, the Legislature declared that development shall be limited in areas of land adjacent to Red Rock Canyon and, further, that a local government is prohibited from increasing the number of residential dwelling units allowed by zoning regulations (except in certain circumstances) and from establishing any new, or expanding any existing, nonresidential zoning districts (other than public facilities). The local government shall, at its discretion, continue to regulate buffering, landscaping, lighting, screening, and signage.

During the 2005 Legislative Session, the Legislature approved Senate Bill 318 (Chapter 182, Statutes of Nevada), which authorized the Administrator of the Division of State Lands to make a direct sale of approximately 80 acres of land within the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area. The parcel was administered by the BLM. Senate Bill 318 also provided that money received from the land sale must be deposited in the Account for Maintenance of State Park Facilities and Grounds. The bill
helped facilitate the “Pine Creek Land Sale” within the Conservation Area for $14.5 million. The funds were used to benefit over 20 State park facilities throughout the State for maintenance and repair.

State Route 159, which is the primary access route to Red Rock Canyon, was the subject of two bills in 2007 and 2009. Senate Bill 128 (Chapter 479, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2007 Legislative Session prohibited heavy vehicles, except charter buses, school buses, utility trucks, and garbage trucks, from traveling on scenic route portions of State Route 159, unless those vehicles have a point of origin or destination there. Meanwhile, S.B. 240 (Chapter 77, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2009 Legislative Session established the State Route 159 Safety Speed Zone, which includes portions of State Route 159 that are within or adjacent to the Conservation Area and certain other portions of the route that have been designated as a Scenic Byway or State Scenic Byway. The bill required the speed limit in the Zone to be set at a level that takes into consideration the safety and protection of the residents and visitors of the RRCNCA.

Finally, in 2013, the Legislature approved S.B. 159 (Chapter 85, Statutes of Nevada), which declared the Nevada Legislature’s support for a land exchange of the Gypsum Mine property, bounded in part by the RRCNCA, for federal lands of equal value located away from the Conservation Area. The bill urged Nevada’s Congressional Delegation to support and facilitate efforts to achieve the land exchange and transfer title of the Gypsum Mine property to the BLM so that it can be managed as part of the Conservation Area.

OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLES

In recent years, the number of OHVs operated on public lands in Nevada has increased dramatically. It is estimated that between 200,000 and 400,000 OHVs (including dirt bikes and snowmobiles) are owned in Nevada. The increased popularity of OHVs as recreational vehicles poses significant land management challenges, since careless and unauthorized uses can cause erosion, impact wildlife, and interfere with other uses of public lands.

The BLM is tasked with protecting public land resources and managing BLM lands for multiple uses. Under the BLM process, there are three designations for OHV use: (1) open; (2) limited; or (3) closed. Travel management planning for the BLM may take place as part of the standard Resource Management Plan update process or as a separate travel management planning process. The National Park Service, another agency in the DOI but with a different mandate, determines OHV restrictions based on the specific characteristics of each park and its enabling legislation.

In 2005, the USFS published its final travel management rule, followed by the final travel management directives in 2008. According to the USFS, its goal is to secure a wide range of recreational opportunities while ensuring the best possible care of the land. The rule requires each national forest or ranger district to designate those roads, trails, and areas open to motor vehicles and to include the “class” of vehicle and, if appropriate, the time of year for motor vehicle use.
The Legislature grappled with the issue of OHV titling and registration for several sessions, and a task force of interested parties worked on proposed legislation. Efforts to implement a registration and titling program were finally realized in the passage of S.B. 394 (Chapter 504, Statutes of Nevada) in the 2009 Session. Nevada’s program to register and title OHVs went into effect July 1, 2012. The program requires the licensing of OHV dealers and titling of all new OHVs and OHVs resold through an authorized dealer. Titling fees cover administrative costs to Nevada’s Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). Annual registration is mandatory for all OHVs, and stickers with unique identification numbers are issued for placement on the registered OHV. Initial registration requires certain evidence of ownership and payment of applicable sales tax and can be done by an authorized dealer. Registration fees are limited to between $20 and $30, and the majority of the registration fee is deposited into the Account for Off-Highway Vehicles. Exceptions include OHVs operated by government agencies, for husbandry purposes, for utilities, or OHVs that were manufactured prior to 1976.

The OHV program is administered by the Commission on Off-Highway Vehicles whose members are appointed by the Governor to administer the Account for Off-Highway Vehicles. The Commission includes 11 appointees representing dealers, enforcement personnel, local governments, ranchers, resource specialists, sportsmen, and users. An advisory committee with membership representing federal and State agencies will also be formed.

During the first year of implementation, 85 percent of the registration fees went to the DMV and 15 percent to the Fund for Off-Highway Vehicles—now the Account for Off-Highway Vehicles—to be used for public education. After the first year, 85 percent of the registration fees go to the Account to be split between OHV projects (60 percent), enforcement (20 percent), education (15 percent), and administrative costs (5 percent).

In 2013, the Legislature approved two bills—S.B. 343 (Chapter 188, Statutes of Nevada) and S.B. 109 (Chapter 477, Statutes of Nevada)—designed to address a number of “cleanup” provisions related to the existing OHV program. Senate Bill 343 created a new category of OHV for large all-terrain vehicles. Under the new category, operators of licensed large all-terrain vehicles can drive on certain rural roads designated by a county or city. To meet the licensing criteria of the new designation, owners of large all-terrain vehicles must provide proof of insurance that meets the requirements of insurance on an automobile. The new requirements provided owners of a large all-terrain vehicle the option to register as a standard OHV (as currently required) or choose the new designation.

Senate Bill 109 created an OHV dealer plate, similar to an automobile dealer plate, which allows dealers to operate unregistered vehicles for the purposes of demonstrations or test drives. The bill also provided that registration of an OHV is not required if it is registered in another state and not located in Nevada for more than 15 days. Finally, the bill created new exemptions from the OHV registration process for vehicles operated solely in organized races, operated or stored on privately owned or leased land, or operated while engaged in an approved search-and-rescue operation.
WILDLIFE AND WILD HORSES

Historically, Nevada has been home to 892 species of mammals, reptiles, fish, birds, and amphibians. Of that number, 790 species are native, 102 have been brought into the State, 64 are only found in Nevada, and 32 are now extinct.

Wildlife Generally

According to data presented by NDOW at the Department’s overview before the Joint Meeting of the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Mining and the Senate Committee on Natural Resources on February 12, 2015, the total estimated value of wildlife and boating to Nevada’s economy is $1,631,231,451 each year. Management of Nevada’s wildlife is the responsibility of NDOW, which oversees 11 wildlife management areas totaling approximately 120,000 acres of habitat. Additionally, NDOW administers four fish hatcheries that raised more than 841,000 trout, nearly 26,400 warm water fish species, and 2.2 million fish from other sources in 2015. These fish are then stocked into lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and streams.

Nevada’s wildlife laws are primarily found in Chapter 501 (“Administration and Enforcement”), Chapter 502 (“Licenses, Tags and Permits”), and Chapter 503 (“Hunting, Fishing and Trapping; Miscellaneous Protective Measures”) of NRS.

Nevada’s Board of Wildlife Commissioners, a nine-member, Governor-appointed board, is responsible for establishing broad policy, setting annual and permanent regulations, reviewing budgets, and receiving input on wildlife and boating matters from entities such as the 17 county wildlife management advisory boards. The 2011 Legislature gave the Governor greater discretion in appointing the Director of NDOW by removing a requirement that the Governor choose from nominees provided by the Board of Wildlife Commissioners.

Another agency with wildlife-related responsibilities is the Nevada Natural Heritage Program, an agency within the DCNR. The Program provides information to developers and other interested parties so they can become aware of the possible biological effects of a project during the planning stages, before financial commitments are made. Inadvertent environmental impacts, as well as unexpected delays and expenses, can thereby be reduced. The Program does this by maintaining an inventory and current databases on the locations, biology, and conservation status of all threatened, endangered, and sensitive species and biological communities in the State.

Protection of threatened and endangered species falls under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, a federal law administered by the USFWS. The purpose of the Act is to conserve “the ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened species depend” and to conserve and recover listed species. Under the law, species may be listed as either “endangered” or “threatened.” Endangered means a species is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Threatened means a species is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future.

Wildlife Action Plan
The U.S. Congress enacted two new programs related to wildlife in 2000: the Wildlife Conservation and Restoration Program and the State Wildlife Grants Program. As a condition of receiving federal
funds from these programs, Congress required state wildlife agencies to submit a strategic assessment and action plan for wildlife to the USFWS for review by October 1, 2005. Nevada’s Wildlife Action Plan was completed through a partnership between NDOW, Nevada Natural Heritage Program, Lahontan Audubon Society, and the Nature Conservancy. The Plan focuses on the species and habitats deemed to be in greatest need of conservation in Nevada.

Invasive Species
Former U.S. President William J. Clinton issued Executive Order No. 13112 on February 3, 1999, which defined invasive species as an “alien species whose introduction does or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health.” An alien species is a species that is nonnative to the ecosystem under consideration. Some invasive species that pose problems in Nevada currently are Africanized honey bees, Asian clams, Norway rats, and Quagga mussels. First discovered in 2002, Asian clams have now spread from the southern part of Lake Tahoe to Lake Tahoe Nevada State Park at Sand Harbor, according to preliminary findings in November 2015. Efforts to stop the spread of the clams are underway, but they are very cost-prohibitive.

Quagga mussels, which are similar to Zebra mussels, were found in Lake Mead in January 2007 and later in the Lake Mead Fish Hatchery, raising concerns that mussels may have been inadvertently moved from the hatchery to interior waters during trout stocking operations in 2006. Quagga mussels are prolific breeders that clog pipelines and threaten native species of fish by competing for their food. They are difficult to control and nearly impossible to eradicate. It is presumed they were introduced into Lake Mead and Lake Havasu by an infested private boat from the Great Lakes. With the discovery of Quagga mussels in California only a few hundred miles from Lake Tahoe, the
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency implemented boat inspections in an effort to prevent Quagga mussels from invading Lake Tahoe.

The 2011 Legislature strengthened laws prohibiting the intentional introduction of invasive species into any waters of the State and established a new aquatic invasive species (AIS) fee, to be paid yearly by boaters. Upon payment of this fee, boaters receive an AIS decal to affix to their watercraft. As a follow-up to this legislation, the 2013 Legislature approved A.B. 128 (Chapter 124, Statutes of Nevada), which clarified that this fee and the AIS decal are not required if a person who operates a vessel on the Colorado River, Lake Mead, or Lake Mohave has his or her vessel registered in Arizona and Arizona has an AIS management program in effect. Boaters who operate a vessel on Lake Tahoe or Topaz Lake are also exempt if the vessel is registered in California and California has an AIS management program in effect.

Greater Sage-Grouse

The Greater Sage-Grouse is a small game bird found throughout the western U.S., including 15 of Nevada’s 17 counties. The USFWS estimates there are between 200,000 and 500,000 of these birds in the region and that the population is on the decline. Concerns about the quality and quantity of their populations and habitat and the submission of three petitions resulted in the USFWS initiating a formal species status review in April 2004.

The listing of the Greater Sage-Grouse as a threatened or endangered species would affect land and water uses and recreational activities. As a result, then Nevada Governor Kenny C. Guinn appointed a sage-grouse task force in August 2000, representing biological professionals, conservation organizations, industry, land management agencies, legislators, and Native American tribal governments. The task force was charged with creating a strategy for Local Area Conservation Planning groups to follow when creating sage-grouse conservation plans for their respective areas. The intent was to proactively address the issues and avoid a listing under the ESA. The conservation plan defines programs to address localized problems before the species reaches a threshold of vulnerability from which recovery might be difficult. After four years of work, the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Plan for Nevada and Eastern California was released in June 2004. Since 2004, resource management agencies have implemented conservation projects and instituted policies to support the conservation goals set forth in the 2004 State plan.

The USFWS completed its status review of the Greater Sage-Grouse in December 2004 and determined that the species does not warrant protection under the ESA at that time. However, in December 2007, a federal judge ordered the USFWS to reconsider its refusal to add the sage-grouse to the endangered species list, saying the agency decision ignored expert advice. The USFWS completed its second review and submitted its findings to the court in March 2010, stating that listing is warranted but precluded by listings of other species with a greater need for protection. There are two different populations identified in Nevada. The Greater Sage-Grouse have been given a priority ranking of 8 and are found in several western states besides Nevada, including California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. The USFWS has identified a second distinct population (the Bi-State population) that are found in or near
the Mono Basin on the California-Nevada border and given that population a priority ranking of 3. Candidate species with a level 1 ranking are the most likely to be listed under the ESA, while those with a ranking of 12, the lowest possible, are the least likely to be listed.

Environmental organizations filed another lawsuit in 2010 against the USFWS in federal district court in Idaho arguing that the species must be listed immediately. Meanwhile, the BLM continues to work with the affected states on preserving Greater Sage-Grouse habitat on public lands and on developing a national planning strategy. In October 2011, the USFWS released its “Candidate Notice of Review,” its annual review of plant species’ status and made no changes in the priorities but noted that the Bi-State population may be considered for listing before the next annual review. On December 9, 2011, in response to the USFWS review and to avoid a potential ESA listing, the BLM and the USFS began a process to amend their land use management plans affecting sage-grouse habitat to incorporate sage-grouse conservation measures. On March 30, 2012, Nevada Governor Brian Sandoval issued Executive Order 2012-09, which established the Governor’s Greater Sage-Grouse Advisory Committee with a directive to provide an updated strategy and recommended approach for sage-grouse conservation in Nevada. The recommendations of the Advisory Committee, which were issued on July 31, 2012, were extensive and addressed topics such as management strategies, mitigation and monitoring, local area working groups, the impacts of fire and invasive species, piñon-juniper encroachment, predation, the impacts of renewable and other energy production and transmission, and recreation and OHV use. For a copy of the Advisory Committee’s final report, please refer to: http://sagebrusheco.nv.gov/Archive/Archives/.

In an effort to continue the work of the Advisory Committee (and at the recommendation of the Advisory Committee itself), the 2013 Legislature approved A.B. 461 (Chapter 513, Statutes of Nevada), which authorized the Division of State Lands to establish and carry out programs to preserve, restore, and enhance sagebrush ecosystems on public and private land. Specifically, the bill requires the Division to:

- Oversee a program that awards credits for taking measures to protect, enhance, or restore sagebrush ecosystems;
- Identify and prioritize projects to improve sagebrush ecosystems;
- Suggest measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the impact of activities conducted in areas that include sage-grouse habitats; and
- Submit an annual progress report to the Sagebrush Ecosystem Council of the DCNR.

The measure required the Governor to appoint nine voting members to the Sagebrush Ecosystem Council, which was established by Executive Order in November 2012, identified six nonvoting members of the Council, primarily representatives of federal and State land management agencies, and allowed the Governor to appoint other nonvoting members to the Council. The Council must: (1) establish and carry out certain strategies and programs for the conservation of sage-grouse and for managing land that holds sagebrush ecosystems; (2) coordinate discussion among and provide advice
to certain persons and governmental entities concerning the management of sagebrush ecosystems; and
(3) submit a biannual report concerning its activities to the Governor.

The Council continues to meet on a regular basis throughout the year or as needed. Most recently, the
Council has been focusing on the implementation of the Conservation Credit System. The Credit
System enables land owners and managers to generate credits by enhancing and protecting sage-grouse
habitat that can be sold to developers who want to mitigate the impact to sage-grouse from their
projects. The Council also continues to refine habitat maps used to identify critical sagebrush
ecosystems throughout the State.

In April 2015, the U.S. Secretary of the Interior announced that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
has determined that the Bi-State population of Greater Sage-Grouse does not require the protection of
the ESA. A key factor cited in the decision not to list the sage-grouse was the development of the
Bi-State Action Plan, a conservation plan developed in recent years by a group of interested parties.
The plan resulted in on-the-ground projects seeking to preserve habitat in the region. Further, in
September 2015, the USFWS’s final record of decision determined that listing of the sage-grouse as
endangered or threatened was unwarranted in Nevada under the ESA. An unprecedented,
landscape-scale conservation effort across the western United States was cited as the catalyst for
reducing threats to the sage-grouse across 90 percent of the species’ breeding habitat.

Wild Horse and Burro Management

Wild Horses and Burros
The federal Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act of 1971 requires the
BLM and the USFS to protect, manage, and control wild free-roaming horses
and burros on public lands at population levels that assure a “thriving natural
ecological balance” under the multiple use concept. Ecological balance is
defined as the balance between populations of wild horses, burros and wildlife,
livestock, and rangeland vegetation on the long-term yield basis. Management
focuses on monitoring, removal of excess wild horses and burros, and the
adoption program.

Wild horses and burros are found throughout the West, but the Nevada populations are by far the
largest. As of March 2015, the BLM estimated a total of 58,150 wild horses and burros roamed
BLM land in ten western states. Approximately 30,210 (52 percent) are located in Nevada.
The BLM has identified 84 Herd Management Areas (HMAs) in Nevada that vary in size from
4,000 acres to more than 1 million acres, with most exceeding 100,000 acres.

Because forage in HMAs must be shared with wildlife and livestock, public land managers are
required to set appropriate management levels (AMLS) for wild horses and burros for each HMA.
The AML may be influenced by many factors, most notably fire and drought. As of March 2015, the
BLM has determined the AML for Nevada is 12,811 wild horses and burros. Therefore, Nevada
exceeds the AML by over 17,399 animals, which is an increase of 87 percent over the 2013 number
of wild horses and burros exceeding the AML.
Achieving and maintaining AMLs often requires periodic removal of horses, but rounding up wild horses (known as “gathers”) is controversial and often results in litigation. The options for wild horses removed from the range are the BLM’s adoption program, transport to long-term holding facilities (pastures) in the Midwest, or, in certain cases, sale. The BLM has placed more than 230,000 wild horses and burros into private care, primarily through adoption, since 1971. As of November 2015, there were 47,303 wild horses and burros being cared for by the BLM, including 16,182 animals in corrals, 30,605 in pastures, and 516 in eco-sanctuaries. Since receiving limited authority for sale of wild horses or burros, the BLM has sold more than 5,800 animals. In FY 2015, the Wild Horse and Burro Program budget was $77.2 million, of which $49.4 million went to holding costs, $1.8 million to the cost of gathers, and $6.3 million to adoption events.

Federal legislation, such as the Restore Our American Mustangs Act, that would expand protection of wild horses is often introduced in Congress but has failed to pass in recent sessions. Efforts to promote and legalize sanctuaries for wild horses on private lands or leases on public lands are being explored in Nevada. The BLM has certified two wild horse “eco-sanctuaries” in Wyoming, and one in Oklahoma. To date, no eco-sanctuaries have received certification from the BLM in Nevada.

State laws pertaining to wild horses are found in Chapter 504 (“Management and Propagation”) of NRS. Nevada’s Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses was created by the Legislature in 1985 with funding from a bequest from Leo Heil. The Commission provided grant funding and acted as an advocate for wild horses on private lands or leases on public lands are being explored in Nevada. The BLM has certified two wild horse “eco-sanctuaries” in Wyoming, and one in Oklahoma. To date, no eco-sanctuaries have received certification from the BLM in Nevada.

During the 2013 Legislative Session, the Legislature approved Senate Joint Resolution No. 1 (File No. 41, Statutes of Nevada), which expressed support for wild horses and burros by declaring that these animals are an integral part of the ecosystem and rangelands of the U.S. and the State of Nevada. The resolution noted that wild horses and burros are natural resources and cultural assets with the potential to promote tourism and job creation, particularly with the building of eco-sanctuaries. The resolution also noted that these animals depend on the understanding, cooperation, and fairness of all interested persons. In addition, the resolution expressed the Legislature’s support for the preservation and protection of wild horses and burros and the development of wild horse- and burro-related ecotourism. Finally, S.J.R. 1 encouraged a spirit of cooperation between wild horse and burro advocates, private land owners, and the NDA.

Estray Horses
An interesting differentiation in the management of wild horses is whether the animals are found on federal or State land. If located on federal land, the animals are considered “wild horses” and are managed under the provisions of federal law. However, if the animals are located on State property, they are considered “estrays” subject to Nevada’s estray and feral livestock laws as described in NRS 569.005 through 569.130 and are the responsibility of the NDA. In Nevada, many of these estray horses are located in the Virginia Range near Virginia City.
Based on the official census conducted in June 2014, the NDA estimates the estray population in the Virginia Range totals more than 1,950, which, according to the Department, is significantly more than the 300 to 600 horses the habitat can support. During the 2015 Legislative Session, presentations from the NDA and others before the Legislature’s natural resources committees highlighted the increased number of interactions between humans and estray horses, particularly in southeast Reno. However, due to budget constraints, the NDA does not have any immediate plans to remove, gather, and relocate estrays.

Assembly Bill 264 (Chapter 357, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2013 Legislative Session made a second or subsequent violation of the statutory prohibition against feeding estray or feral livestock a gross misdemeanor (NRS 569.040). The bill also established a gross misdemeanor for the taking up or possession of estray or feral livestock by a person who is not the owner and does not have the owner’s consent (NRS 569.130). The bill specified that the NDA may provide for the management of estrays and feral livestock and enter into a cooperative agreement for their management. Any such cooperative agreement must provide for the cooperating person or entity to hold the State of Nevada harmless from any claim or liability arising from an act or omission of the cooperating person or entity in carrying out the cooperative agreement.

USEFUL WEBSITES FOR PUBLIC LANDS AND GENERAL NATURAL RESOURCE ISSUES

The following websites contain additional information and further detail on the programs and topics described in this report.

Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection:  http://ndep.nv.gov/.
Nevada’s Division of Minerals:  http://minerals.nv.gov/.
State Department of Agriculture:  http://agri.nv.gov/.
State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources:  http://dcnr.nv.gov/.
U.S. Forest Service:  http://www.fs.fed.us/.
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## GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

As with any policy area, acronyms are common in environmental and natural resource subjects. Following is a list of the most common acronyms one might expect to encounter:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>Aquatic Invasive Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>Appropriate Management Level (for wild horses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUM</td>
<td>Animal Unit Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOR</td>
<td>Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWMA</td>
<td>Cooperative Weed Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Environmental Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>Endangered Species Act of 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLPMA</td>
<td>Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLTFA</td>
<td>Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act of 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMA</td>
<td>Herd Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCRDA</td>
<td>Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCA</td>
<td>National Conservation Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDEP</td>
<td>Nevada’s Division of Environmental Protection, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>Nevada’s Division of Forestry, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDOW</td>
<td>Nevada’s Department of Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act of 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRA</td>
<td>National Recreation Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHV</td>
<td>Off-highway Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PILT</td>
<td>Payment in Lieu of Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMP</td>
<td>Resource Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA/NDA</td>
<td>State Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDCNR/DCNR</td>
<td>State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>State Environmental Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPLMA</td>
<td>Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNWA</td>
<td>Southern Nevada Water Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRI</td>
<td>Toxics Release Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFS</td>
<td>U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPCCRDA</td>
<td>White Pine County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSA</td>
<td>Wilderness Study Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the most arid state in the nation, Nevada has always faced challenges when managing its valuable water resources. Other western states share many similar issues; however, Nevada’s tremendous population growth over the past decades, coupled with an unusually severe and ongoing drought, poses special challenges.

Since most surface waters in the State were put to use before the twentieth century and Nevada’s allocation of Colorado River water is a mere 300,000 acre-feet per year, determining the sustainability of groundwater sources is a critical concern. Conservation measures, water banking, water transfers, water reuse, and conversions of water to new uses are possible sources of relief, as are the possibility of desalination or other options in the future.

OVERVIEW OF NEVADA WATER LAW

Like 17 other western states, Nevada has adopted the prior appropriation doctrine. The prior appropriation doctrine was first developed in the nineteenth century in response to the water needs of mining and agricultural irrigation—uses that were often not located near surface waters. Nevada’s water law is now considered one of the most comprehensive water laws in the West.

The cornerstone of the prior appropriation doctrine is beneficial use as “the basis, the measure and the limit of the right to use water.” The beneficial use requirement means that water must actually be put to use for such recognized beneficial uses as: commercial, industrial, irrigation, mining, municipal, power generation, recreation, stockwatering, storage, or wildlife. If the water is not put to beneficial use, the right to such water is lost.

The primary concepts of Nevada water law are the Rule of Priority (“First in time, first in right”) and the Beneficial Use Requirement (“Use it or lose it”).
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WATER BELONGS TO PUBLIC

Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 533.025
“The water of all sources of water supply within the boundaries of the State whether above or beneath the surface of the ground, belongs to the public.”
The basic statutory principles of Nevada water law in use today were adopted in 1913. Nevada began regulating groundwater in 1939 although groundwater development was very limited until the 1960s. Nevada’s water law is set forth in Chapters 533 (“Adjudication of Vested Water Rights; Appropriation of Public Waters”) and 534 (“Underground Water and Wells”) of NRS. Over the years, numerous court decisions and orders of the State Engineer have refined the law.

Water rights may be acquired by: (1) adjudicating a right beneficially used prior to the enactment of water law (known as “vested” rights); or (2) applying to the State Engineer to appropriate unallocated water and perfecting the right by putting the water to beneficial use (known as “certificated” or “perfected” rights). Domestic wells generally are exempt from the requirement to obtain a permit for water rights.

State Engineer

The Office of the State Engineer, created in 1903, is responsible for the administration of Nevada water law. The State Engineer is also the executive head of the Division of Water Resources in the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. The State Engineer determines the rights of claimants to water, the use to which water may be put, the quantity of water reasonably required for beneficial use, and where water may be used.

In addition, the State Engineer is responsible for:

- Quantifying existing water rights;
- Monitoring water use and maintaining related data and records;
- Processing reports of conveyances (transferring ownership of water rights);
- Reviewing recharge projects;
- Overseeing State and civil decrees, and assisting in federal decrees;
- Reviewing water availability for new subdivisions;
- Overseeing dam safety;
- Appropriating geothermal water;
- Licensing and regulating well drillers and water rights surveyors;
- Reviewing flood control projects;
- Coordinating water planning and conservation plans; and
- Providing technical assistance to the public and governmental agencies.
Legislature’s Role

The Legislature has made changes to Nevada water law over time and has conducted several interim studies regarding the State’s water resources. With the passage of Assembly Bill 198 (Chapter 338, Statutes of Nevada) by the 2015 Legislature, the Legislative Committee on Public Lands has been tasked with conducting a study of water conservation and alternative sources of water for Nevada communities during the 2015-2016 Interim. The measure sets out the scope of the study, which includes a review of issues relating to water resources, water use, and the apportionment of groundwater and requires the Committee to submit its findings and recommendations to the 2017 Legislature. Other duties of the Committee include monitoring the activities of water districts and purveyors.

Interstate Water Resources

Colorado River
In addition to Nevada, the states of Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, as well as the Republic of Mexico, all use water from the Colorado River. The Law of the River is the collection of laws, court decisions, and regulations that determine the use of the Colorado River and the operation of its dams. In 1922, the seven states entered into an interstate compact that provides for the apportionment of the waters of the Colorado River system and divided the states into two basins—upper and lower—with an allocation of 7.5 million acre-feet annually (a.f.a.) to each basin. Nevada is part of the lower basin and, as part of the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928, Congress authorized the apportionment of the lower basin’s 7.5 million a.f.a. as follows:

- Nevada—300,000 a.f.a.;
- California—4.4 million a.f.a.; and
- Arizona—2.8 million a.f.a.

Through a 1944 treaty, the United States agreed to deliver 1.5 million a.f.a. to Mexico.

Despite estimates in the early 1900s that the flow of the Colorado River was 17 million a.f.a., more current data puts the flow at 15 million a.f.a., much less than the 16.5 million a.f.a. apportioned by the Law of the River. Compounding the controversies relating to allocation of the Colorado River is the ongoing drought.

In 2007, the Secretary of the Interior approved an interstate agreement among the lower basin states that sets forth the rules for sharing shortages on the River and jointly operating Lake Mead and Lake Powell. Nevada and the other lower basin states also have reached water banking and reserve agreements. Due to the drought, the water level in Lake Mead has dropped significantly and the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) has completed a third, lower, intake line in Lake Mead.
The following map illustrates the Colorado River Basin and its division into the upper and lower basins.

![Colorado River Basin Map](image)

Map Source: Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Chris Hayes.

**Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act of 1990**

Long-standing disputes over water in the Truckee and Carson Rivers led to the congressional enactment of the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act of 1990, also known as the “Negotiated Settlement.” The main provisions in the legislation are:

- An interstate allocation between Nevada and California of the Truckee and Carson Rivers and Lake Tahoe;
- A new operating agreement—Truckee River Operating Agreement (TROA);
- Reauthorization of the Newlands Project to serve additional purposes, including recreation and fish and wildlife restoration, and as a municipal water supply for the Fallon area;
- Development of a recovery program for the endangered Pyramid Lake cui-ui fish and threatened Lahontan cutthroat trout through a water rights acquisition program; and
- A water rights purchase program for the Lahontan Valley wetlands.
In September 2008, after years of discussion and debate, the States of Nevada and California, the U.S. Department of the Interior, the U.S. Department of Justice, Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA), and the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, among other signatory parties, signed the TROA, an agreement to better manage the water of the Truckee River, with U.S. Senator Harry Reid leading the formalities. The agreement will be implemented over the next several years. For more information regarding the TROA and its implementation, please refer to http://www.troa.net/.

State, Regional, and Local Water Entities

The distribution of water generally is handled by counties, cities, water districts, or other purveyors throughout the State. Water districts and purveyors include municipal or public entities as well as some small water companies.
Colorado River Commission
The Colorado River Commission of Nevada is a State agency with a seven-member board charged with protecting Colorado River water and power resources in Nevada. The Commission oversees the distribution of approximately 25 percent of the hydropower generated by the Hoover and Davis Dams in Nevada and the Parker Dam in Arizona. Ensuring compliance with the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program is another responsibility.

Southern Nevada
In southern Nevada, the regional water authority—SNWA—is a joint powers authority that manages water supplies and water planning in most of Clark County. The SNWA works closely with the Colorado River Commission to manage the Colorado River water supplies. Rate setting and typical utility functions are handled by the entities that comprise the SNWA: Boulder City, Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, the Big Bend Water District, the Clark County Water Reclamation District, and the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

Elsewhere in southern Nevada, water storage, conservation, and distribution are managed by entities such as the Lincoln County Water District, Moapa Valley Water District, Nye County Water District, and Virgin Valley Water District.

Northern Nevada
After a 2005-2006 Interim study on consolidation of water resources in Washoe County, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 487 (Chapter 531, Statutes of Nevada 2007) creating the Western Regional Water Commission to plan for the management of water supplies and to develop a comprehensive regional water plan for most of Washoe County. As directed by the Legislature, the goals of the water utility consolidation were to achieve lower cost of service while also allowing for a “conjunctive use” approach to the area’s water-resource management. The consolidation of Washoe County Department of Water Resources and South Truckee Meadows General Improvement District into TMWA was completed on December 31, 2014.

Elsewhere in northern Nevada, communities’ water supplies are managed by general improvement districts, as in Incline Village and Sun Valley. The Carson Water Subconservancy District is a multicounty, bistate agency without regulatory authority that works cooperatively to protect and maximize the resources of the Carson River watershed.

The Truckee-Carson Irrigation District (TCID), a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, was organized and chartered in 1918 to represent the water right holders within the Newlands Project. The TCID was formed and is paid for by landowners within the boundaries of the Newlands Project who own water rights appurtenant to their land. Since 1926, the TCID has been responsible for operation of the Newlands Project under a contract with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

Central Nevada
In rural counties, water generally is managed by the county, the city, or a water district. Regional organizations, such as the Humboldt River Basin Water Authority and the Central Nevada Regional Water Authority, are used to coordinate water planning, data collection, and legislative efforts.
Water Resource Issues

Although approximately 90 percent of the State’s population lives in urban areas, the Nevada State Water Plan in March 1999 estimated that approximately 77 percent of Nevada’s water is used for agricultural purposes, while public and municipal uses account for 13 percent. This scenario is typical of other western states due to high rates of population growth, increasing urbanization, and finite water resources. Since most surface waters in Nevada are allocated by a federal, State, or civil decree, or water rights permits, and the Colorado River allocation is only 300,000 a.f.a., determining the availability and sustainability of groundwater supplies has become an important issue. The Legislature has heard calls for additional studies and research and the integration of current data in order to better assess the State’s water supply, conservation opportunities, and potential alternative sources of water and will be performing a study addressing these issues during the 2015-2016 Interim.

Drought
The ongoing and severe drought in Nevada has amplified water resource issues. Governor Brian Sandoval established the Nevada Drought Forum in April 2015 to bring together interested stakeholders to assess the drought in Nevada, identify best conservation practices and policy needs, and make recommendations to regarding next steps. In his Executive Order 2015-13, the Governor also mandated full water audits of State facilities and implementation of water conservation strategies at State facilities. Additionally, he urged local governments and private citizens to conduct similar audits and conserve water in consultation with local water authorities.

The Nevada Drought Forum was established to:

- Evaluate key findings and next steps identified in the Western Governors’ Drought Forum: Special Report as they relate to Nevada;

- Meet with relevant stakeholders including, but not limited to, agricultural producers, municipal water suppliers, the industrial sector, recreation interests, tribal nations, and members of the general public; and

- Determine, with input from stakeholders and the public, the elements of a final report to the Governor.

As part of its responsibilities, the Nevada Drought Forum prepared a Summary of Current and Future Planned Actions by local, state, and federal entities; participated in the Governor’s Drought Summit held in September 2015; reviewed and considered the Western Governors’ Drought Forum: Special Report; and held six meetings with interested stakeholders from throughout the State. The final report of the Nevada Drought Forum, including recommendations, was released in December 2015.

Additional information regarding the meetings and activities of the Nevada Drought Forum can be found at: http://drought.nv.gov/.
Overallocation
In Nevada, there are several communities with overallocations of surface waters or groundwater. Drought conditions worsen these situations. In southern Nevada, the Las Vegas Valley has been dealing with a critical overdraft complicated by years of population growth. At the direction of the 1997 Legislature, SNWA developed the Las Vegas Valley Groundwater Management Program to protect and manage the Las Vegas Valley’s primary groundwater supply. The Program includes recharge projects, plugging abandoned wells, connecting well owners to municipal systems, and protecting the aquifer from contamination. Funding is provided, in part, by a fee on groundwater users of $13 per year for domestic well owners and $13 per acre-foot of permitted water use per year for all other types of wells.

Curtailment
In part due to the drought, groundwater pumpage has increased in each of the past three years, and groundwater levels have declined in Nevada’s Smith and Mason Valleys, which prompted the State Engineer to issue curtailment orders for these basins in October 2015.

The State Engineer issued two separate orders, one for each valley, that require curtailment of diversions of supplemental irrigation groundwater rights in the Mason and Smith Valley Hydrographic Basins. The State Engineer used the doctrine of prior appropriation and thus the most junior supplemental water rights are curtailed first. The minimum curtailment necessary to achieve four feet or less of groundwater-level decline was determined.

The two orders have different requirements regarding priority dates and percentages of curtailment, based on the April 1, 2015, streamflow forecasts. The curtailment orders and supplemental underground rights priority tables for each basin are available at the Division of Water Resources website at: http://water.nv.gov/.

Interbasin or Intercounty Transfers and Other Reallocations
A controversial trend in recent years has been the reallocation of water from agricultural uses in rural counties to municipal uses in urban counties. Reallocation can also occur through applications to change the use of water from agricultural use to municipal use. In addition, water importation projects currently are under consideration in both Clark and Washoe Counties.

The benefits of transferring water to urban areas to support residential and commercial growth must be balanced against potential impacts to the rural areas from which the water is being exported. Loss of water in rural areas may affect the local economies, present and future, as well as the environment. Similarly, changing water uses can affect the economy and environment by taking agricultural lands out of production thereby reducing return flows, vegetation, and habitat.

Updates to State Water Law
The Legislative Committee on Public Lands submitted a bill draft request in 2011 for the purpose of making appropriate changes to the statutes relating to applications and protests. Assembly Bill 115 (Chapter 166, Statutes of Nevada) was passed in the 2011 Session and made several modifications to the application and protest procedures by:
Changing from one year to two years the time within which the State Engineer must act;

Deleting the requirement that a protestant must consent to the postponement of an application;

Adding new grounds on which the State Engineer may postpone an application;

Expanding the provisions regarding the reopening of the protest period to any application that has not been acted on in seven years; and

Clarifying that the new provisions only apply to applications filed on or after July 1, 2011.

After the approval of applications for water use, the State Engineer may set conditions on that use based on the approval of a monitoring, management, and mitigation plan—also known as a 3M plan. In an effort to involve local government in 3M plans, and particularly Nevada’s rural counties, S.B. 133 (Chapter 146, Statutes of Nevada) of the 2013 Legislative Session allowed a county to participate, in an advisory capacity, in the development and implementation of a 3M plan, if the State Engineer required such a plan as a condition of appropriating water for a beneficial use. The State Engineer must consider any comment, analysis, or other information submitted by the participating county before approving any 3M plan but is not required to include such comments and analyses in the plan. A determination by the State Engineer regarding whether or not to include or follow a county’s comments or analyses is not subject to judicial review.

Groundwater Management
Nevada is divided into 256 groundwater basins, of which 120 are designated or partially designated. Designation occurs when the State Engineer determines that the groundwater basin is in need of further administration, usually due to overallocation. Groundwater appropriations require a permit and are processed in the same manner as applications for surface water rights. As in many western states, domestic wells in Nevada do not require a water right but are limited in use to 2 acre-feet per year. The general policy of the State Engineer is to limit groundwater withdrawals in a basin to the average annual recharge of the basin or its “perennial yield.” Perennial yield is the maximum amount of water that can be taken each year over the long term without depleting the groundwater reservoir.

To address domestic well issues, legislation in 2007 changed the daily limit of 1,800 gallons on a domestic well to an annual limit of 2 acre-feet and clarified that domestic wells could serve accessory dwellings, such as mother-in-law units, as long as the 2 a.f.a. limit is not exceeded and local zoning permits such accessory uses.

The 2007 legislation also provided that the priority date of a domestic well is based on the completion date for the well. To address the proliferation of domestic wells through the parcel map process and resulting concerns about overallocations in certain groundwater basins, the legislation authorized the State Engineer to require a dedication of water rights for a parcel map in designated basins if the local government does not require water rights dedications for parcel maps.
In 2013, the Legislature addressed the issue of appropriating groundwater for watering livestock. During severe drought, maintaining sufficient water supply for livestock is critical to their survival. Senate Bill 134 (Chapter 147, *Statutes of Nevada*) authorized a person to apply for a temporary permit to appropriate groundwater for watering livestock when the point of diversion is within a county, or a neighboring county, that is under a drought declaration. Any associated well must be plugged and sealed upon expiration of the temporary permit, which must not exceed one year in duration.

### Enforcement

Most violations of water law are punishable criminally as a misdemeanor. The 2007 Legislature granted the State Engineer the authority to impose administrative penalties and seek injunctive relief for violations of Nevada water law. For the past several legislative sessions, the Legislature has debated additional enforcement powers for the State Engineer.

### Conservation

Water conservation is an important issue throughout the U.S., and Nevada is no exception. Chapter 540 (“Planning and Development of Water Resources”) of NRS requires each water district and purveyor to develop a water conservation plan and to update the plan at least every five years.

### Environment

Water resource issues are often intertwined with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 and the increasing emphasis on protection of instream flows for wildlife and recreational purposes. The controversy surrounding the Walker River Basin and Walker Lake is an example of limited water resources impacting the environment. Endangered species are also a continuing concern in the agreements involving the Truckee River and Pyramid Lake.

### Federal

Generally speaking, there is no such thing as a federal water law. As part of the early congressional acts disposing of western lands, state law was recognized as controlling the appropriation of water. However, the federal government may assert expressly reserved water rights and, since more than 85 percent of Nevada is federally owned, federal agencies play a significant role in water issues. Federal adjudications, such as the Alpine Decree (Carson River), Orr Ditch Decree (Truckee River), and Walker River Decree (Walker River), involved decades of litigation.

### Tribal

Native American tribes have asserted “reserved water rights” claims under the Winters Doctrine, based on the assumption that Congress reserved water for tribal use when creating reservations. Tribes in Nevada have actively asserted claims throughout the State, including the Pyramid Lake area, Walker River Basin, and the Las Vegas Valley.
USEFUL WEBSITES FOR WATER ISSUES

The following websites contain additional information on the programs and topics in this report.

- Central Nevada Regional Water Authority:  http://www.cnrwa.com/.
- State Engineer, Division of Water Resources:  http://www.water.nv.gov.
- Truckee River Operating Agreement:  http://www.troa.net/.
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