

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS**

LCB File No. R055-01

Effective December 6, 2001

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§1 and 2, NRS 501.181, 501.3575, 502.160 and 502.250.

Section 1. NAC 502.427 is hereby amended to read as follows:

502.427 1. An applicant who was unsuccessful in obtaining a tag at the initial drawing for a big game tag may participate as a single applicant for the same species in the partnership in wildlife drawing held in the same year if he indicated his desire to participate on his application for the initial drawing.

2. The division shall retain the tag fee paid for the initial drawing by a person who indicated his desire to participate in the partnership in wildlife drawing as the fee for the partnership in wildlife drawing. After completion of the partnership in wildlife drawing, the division shall:

(a) Deposit with the state treasurer for credit to the wildlife account the entire tag fee received from each successful applicant ; ~~;~~

(b) Deposit with the state treasurer for credit to the wildlife heritage trust account ~~;~~

~~—(1) The entire~~ *\$10 of the* tag fee received from each unsuccessful applicant ~~[for a deer tag; and~~

~~—(2) Fifty percent of the tag fee received from each unsuccessful applicant for a big game tag other than a deer tag.] ; and~~

(c) Refund the remaining ~~[50-percent]~~ *amount* of the tag fee received from an unsuccessful applicant ~~[for a big game tag other than a deer tag]~~ to the unsuccessful applicant.

Sec. 2. This regulation expires by limitation on January 1, 2004.

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS**

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

Informational statement relating to Commission General Regulation No. 295
(LCB File No. R055-01 - as required by Chapter 233B.066.

1. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

- (a) A notice of hearing for adoption of Commission General Regulation No. 295 was published once in each of the three administrative regions in a newspaper of general circulation.
- (b) Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Board of Wildlife Commissioners were invited, through the notice of hearing and a mail distribution to interested and affected parties to appear at the public hearing or address their comments, data, views of arguments, in written form, to Secretary, Board of Wildlife Commissioners, 1100 Valley Road, Reno, Nevada 89512. Written submissions were to be received at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.
- (c) A copy of the Notice of the proposed regulations was mailed to the following: State Librarian; county main libraries; Reno, Elko and Las Vegas newspapers; LCB and interested parties.
- (d) A copy of the regulation to be adopted was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State, The Capitol, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by the public during business hours. Additional copies of the regulation could be obtained at the Division of Wildlife, 1100 Valley Road, Reno, Nevada for inspection and copying by the public.
- (e) The public was asked to make comments on this regulation at the public meeting.

2. The number of persons who:

- (a) **Attended each hearing: 50**
- (b) **Testified at each hearing: 4**
- (c) **Submitted to the agency written statements: 0**

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary: Information was distributed through multiple public meetings as well as to all individuals/groups on the Division's mailing list.

4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:
The regulation, as written, was acceptable.

5. The estimated economic effect of the regulations on the businesses they are to regulate and on the public.

(a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.

- (1) Adverse - N/A
- (2) Beneficial - N/A
- (3) Immediate - N/A
- (4) Long term - N/A

(b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.

- (1) Adverse - N/A
- (2) Beneficial - Less cost per application
- (3) Immediate - “ ”
- (4) Long term - “ ”

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:
N/A

7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary: N/A

8. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency: N/A

9. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions: N/A

10. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used:
N/A - decreases existing fee.

Terry Crawforth, Secretary
Board of Wildlife Commissioners