

LCB File No. R018-02

**PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Explanation: Matter *italicized* is new; matter in brackets ~~[-]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: NRS 385.080 & 392.070

Exemption From Compulsory Attendance

Section 1. NAC 392.011 (1) is hereby amended as follows:

Definitions. As used in NAC 392.011 to 392.075, inclusive, unless the context otherwise requires:

1. “Approved correspondence program” means a program provided by:

- (a) A member of a national or regional accrediting association ~~[which is recognized by the United States Secretary of Education and]~~ accredited for elementary or secondary education;
- (b) A public school in Nevada offering correspondence study at the elementary or secondary level, or both; or
- (c) A private correspondence school which is licensed by the state board of education pursuant to the provisions of chapter 394 of NRS.

**QUESTIONNAIRE ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS
FOR WORKSHOP/PUBLIC HEARING**

Name/Title of Proposed Regulation: NAC 392.011 (1) Approved Correspondence Program

- 1. Describe the need for and purpose of the proposed revisions to existing regulations or proposed new regulations.**

NAC 392.015 states, “A child must be excused from compulsory attendance at public school when written evidence is provided to the board of trustees of the county school district that the child will receive equivalent instruction and be instructed by one of the following:...” ~~[several options are listed including]~~

“5. By the parent, when the child is enrolled in an approved correspondence program.”

NAC 392.011 (1) states “ ‘Approved correspondence program’ means a program provided by:

(a) A member of a national or regional accrediting association which is recognized by the United States Secretary of Education and accredited for elementary or secondary education.”

According to the United States Department of Education the United States Secretary of Education has never recognized national or regional accrediting associations so this information is incorrect.

- 2. Describe either a) the terms or substance of the proposed revisions to existing regulations or new regulations, or b) the subjects and issues involved.**

The meaning of “approved correspondence program” needs to be corrected.

- 3. Provide the annual estimated economic effect of the proposed revisions or new regulation on the business which it is to regulate in terms of adverse, beneficial, immediate and long-term effects (i.e., school districts, schools, etc.).**

None

- 4. Provide the annual estimated economic effect of the proposed revisions or new regulations on the public which it is to regulate in terms of adverse, beneficial, immediate and long-term effects (i.e., teachers, pupils).**

None

- 5. Provide the annual estimated cost to the agency (Department of Education) for enforcement of the proposed revisions or new regulation.**

None

6. Do the proposed revisions or new regulations overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state or local governmental (i.e., federal) agencies? If yes, please explain the necessity of the overlap or duplication.

No

7. Do the proposed revisions or new regulations establish any new fee or proposes an increase to an existing fee of the agency? If yes, please explain.

No

COMPLETED BY: Leslie James, NVDOE Home Schooling Education Consultant

DATE: 1-30-02