

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY**

LCB File No. R068-02

Effective August 6, 2002

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~{omitted material}~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 639.2176.

Section 1. NAC 639.330 is hereby amended to read as follows:

639.330 1. Except as *otherwise* provided in NAC 639.335, the board will not issue a certificate as a registered pharmacist to any person pursuant to NRS 639.133, or renew the certificate of any registered pharmacist, until the applicant ~~{has submitted}~~ *submits* proof to the board of receipt of 30 continuing education units within the biennium immediately preceding the current renewal period. ~~{Such}~~ *The* continuing education units must include not less than:

(a) Fifteen continuing education units in accredited programs; and

(b) One continuing education unit ~~{in}~~ *earned:*

(1) In a jurisprudence program approved or presented by the board ~~{ }~~ relating to the practice of pharmacy or the law relating to pharmacy in this state; or

(2) By attending any meeting of the board for not less than 4 hours.

2. No applicant may carry over any excess continuing education units earned in a previous biennium for purposes of compliance with the requirements of this section.

3. Work-related experience acquired in fields other than the practice of pharmacy is not acceptable as credit toward the requirements of continuing education established by NRS 639.2171 to 639.2176, inclusive, and NAC 639.300 to 639.390, inclusive.

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION
LCB File No. R068-02**

The State Board of Pharmacy adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R068-02 which pertain to chapter 639 of the Nevada Administrative Code on June 20, 2002.

Notice date: 5/17/2002
Hearing date: 6/20/2002

Date of adoption by agency: 6/20/2002
Filing date: 8/6/2002

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

The informational statement required by NRS 233B.066 numerically conforms to the subsections of the statute as follows:

1. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW PUBLIC COMMENT WAS SOLICITED, A SUMMARY OF PUBLIC RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

Public comment was solicited through public notices posted in county courthouses and through mailings to interested parties.

All interested parties may obtain a summary of public response by written or verbal request to: Nevada State Board of Pharmacy, 555 Double Eagle Court, Suite 1100, Reno, Nevada, 89511.

2. THE NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO: (A) ATTENDED EACH HEARING; (B) TESTIFIED AT EACH HEARING; AND (C) SUBMITTED TO THE AGENCY WRITTEN STATEMENTS.

The number of persons who attended the hearing was 1.
The number of persons who testified at the hearing was 1.
The number of agency submitted statements was 1.

3. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW COMMENT WAS SOLICITED FROM AFFECTED BUSINESSES, A SUMMARY OF THEIR RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

Comments were solicited from affected businesses through posting of public notices in the county courthouses, by direct mailings to all interested persons who have requested notices of board of pharmacy meeting agendas and by direct mailings to professional and trade associations.

There was no response from affected businesses relative to this proposed regulation.

4. IF THE REGULATION WAS ADOPTED WITHOUT CHANGING ANY PART OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION, A SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR ADOPTING THE REGULATION WITHOUT CHANGE.

The proposed regulation was adopted with minor changes.

5. THE ESTIMATED ECONOMIC EFFECT OF THE REGULATION ON THE BUSINESS WHICH IT IS TO REGULATE AND ON THE PUBLIC. THESE MUST BE STATED SEPARATELY, AND IN EACH CASE MUST INCLUDE:

A) BOTH ADVERSE AND BENEFICIAL EFFECTS.

This regulation should have no economic impact on affected businesses or on the public.

B) BOTH IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM EFFECTS.

This regulation will have no immediate or long-term economic effects on business or the public.

6. THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE AGENCY FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION.

There will be no cost incurred by the board for enforcement of this regulation.

7. A DESCRIPTION OF ANY REGULATIONS OF OTHER STATE OR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHICH THE PROPOSED REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES AND A STATEMENT EXPLAINING WHY THE DUPLICATION OR OVERLAPPING IS NECESSARY. IF THE REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES A FEDERAL REGULATION, THE NAME OF THE REGULATING FEDERAL AGENCY.

The Board of Pharmacy is not aware of any similar regulations of other state or government agencies that the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates.

8. IF THE REGULATION INCLUDES PROVISIONS WHICH ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN A FEDERAL REGULATION WHICH REGULATES THE SAME ACTIVITY, A SUMMARY OF SUCH PROVISIONS.

The Board of Pharmacy is not aware of any similar regulations of the same activity in which the federal regulation is more stringent.

9. IF THE REGULATION PROVIDES A NEW FEE OR INCREASES AN EXISTING FEE, THE TOTAL ANNUAL AMOUNT THE AGENCY EXPECTS TO COLLECT AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THE MONEY WILL BE USED.

This regulation does not provide a new or increase of fees.