

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE  
PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSING BOARD**

**LCB File No. R017-05**

Effective May 4, 2006

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§1 and 2, NRS 648.030 and 648.140.

A REGULATION relating to employees; increasing the period within which an unlicensed employee of a private patrolman or security guard must pass a certain examination as a condition of registration with the Private Investigator's Licensing Board; increasing the period within which certain forms relating to the unlicensed employee must be kept; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Section 1.** NAC 648.341 is hereby amended to read as follows:

648.341 1. As a condition of registration of an unlicensed employee pursuant to NRS 648.140, a private patrolman or security guard who is licensed by the Board must administer an examination provided by the Board and ensure that the unlicensed person passes the examination with a score of 100 percent before the licensee employs any unlicensed person unless the unlicensed person:

(a) Is a clerical employee; or

(b) Has passed an examination administered by another licensee within the immediately preceding ~~[24]~~ 60 months with a score of 100 percent.

2. In the quarterly report required pursuant to NRS 648.140, a private patrolman or security guard who is licensed by the Board shall certify that each unlicensed employee, except a clerical employee, has passed the examination required by subsection 1 within the immediately preceding ~~[24]~~ 60 months with a score of 100 percent.

**Sec. 2.** NAC 648.343 is hereby amended to read as follows:

648.343 1. A private patrolman or security guard licensed by the Board shall complete ~~fa form in triplicate~~ *each form* provided by the Board for each unlicensed employee , except a clerical employee. ~~[The form]~~ *At least one of those forms must be a form for registering the unlicensed employee, and another* must contain the results of the examination. The licensee shall distribute ~~[one]~~ *a copy of the form for registering the unlicensed employee* to the ~~[unlicensed employee and one copy to the]~~ Board within 10 days after the date of employment.

2. The licensee shall keep ~~[one]~~ *a copy of [the form] each form provided pursuant to subsection 1* and the original results of the examination on file for ~~[24]~~ *60* months after the date of the examination. The Board may audit the records of the licensee. The licensee shall submit ~~[his]~~ *a copy of the form for registering the unlicensed employee* to the Board within 10 days after the licensee terminates the employment of an unlicensed employee, except a clerical employee.

3. ~~[A]~~ *As proof that an unlicensed employee has passed the examination with a score of 100 percent, a* licensee may accept ~~[the copy of the form that belongs]~~ *a card issued for that purpose by the Board* to the unlicensed employee which contains the results of the examination administered by another licensee within the immediately preceding ~~[24 months as proof that the unlicensed employee has passed the examination with a score of 100 percent if the licensee submits a copy of that form when he registers that employee.]~~ *60 months.*

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION  
LCB File No. R017-05**

The Private Investigator's Licensing Board adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R017-05 which pertain to chapter 648 of the Nevada Administrative Code on March 22, 2006.

**Notice date:** 2/6/2006  
**Hearing date:** 3/22/2006

**Date of adoption by agency:** 3/22/2006  
**Filing date:** 5/4/2006

**INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT**

The following is an informational statement on the adoption by the Nevada State Private Investigator's Licensing Board to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 648 to change the required re-testing date from 24 months to 60 months for employees of private patrolman licensees.

LCB File No. R017-05 was noticed for public workshop on September 15, 2005, at the Office of the Attorney General, Mock Courtroom, 100 North Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada and video-conferenced to the Attorney General's Office, 555 E. Washington Avenue Suite 4500, Las Vegas, Nevada. The proposed regulation was submitted to the Legislative Counsel Bureau in June of 2005. The notice of intended action was filed with the Nevada State Librarian, Secretary of State and the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

The notice of hearing was posted at the following locations:

Private Investigators' Licensing Board  
Office of the Attorney General, Carson City  
Legislature Building, Carson City Office of the Attorney General, Las Vegas  
Nevada State Library, Carson City  
Washoe County Library, Reno Nevada  
Clark County Library, Las Vegas, Nevada  
Grant Sawyer State Office Building, Las Vegas Nevada

A copy of the proposed regulation was also made available at the Office of the Private Investigator's Licensing Board, for inspection by the public during business hours. The Board received no written comments. A public hearing was held March 22, 2006 at the Office of the Attorney General, Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 E. Washington Avenue Suite 4500, Las Vegas, Nevada and video-conferenced to The Office of the Attorney General, Mock Courtroom, 100 N. Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, in accordance with Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 233B. There were no written comments received concerning this regulation.

Copies of the proposed amendment were sent to those persons who are licensed and would be affected. They are licensed in the private patrolman category under NRS 648. Copies were also sent to all Nevada County Public Libraries for compliance with NRS 233B.066. Six people attended the public workshop. The Board and the licensees worked together on the final drafted language.

At the public hearing the regulation was read and discussed briefly. On March 22, 2006, the Board voted to adopt the proposed regulation with no amendments.

It is estimated that the amendment to the regulation will have no economic impact.

It is estimated that for the agency to enforce the proposed regulation can be done with minimal funds.

The amendment does not overlap or duplicate any other regulation of a state or government agency.