

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY**

LCB File No. R040-07

Effective October 31, 2007

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 639.070 and 639.2176.

A REGULATION relating to pharmacy; revising the requirements for earning credit for continuing education for registered pharmacists who attend certain meetings of the State Board of Pharmacy; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Section 1. NAC 639.330 is hereby amended to read as follows:

639.330 1. Except as otherwise provided in NAC 639.335, the Board will not issue a certificate as a registered pharmacist to any person pursuant to NRS 639.133, or renew the certificate of any registered pharmacist, until the applicant submits proof to the Board of receipt of 30 continuing education units within the biennium immediately preceding the current renewal period. The continuing education units must include not less than:

(a) Fifteen continuing education units in accredited programs; and

(b) One continuing education unit earned:

(1) In a jurisprudence program approved or presented by the Board relating to the practice of pharmacy or the law relating to pharmacy in this State; or

(2) By attending *an entire day of* any meeting of the Board ~~[for]~~ *if:*

(I) The Board meets for not less than 4 hours *on that day* ~~[;]~~ *; and*

(II) The registered pharmacist attends the entire day of the meeting regardless of the length of time that the Board meets on that day.

2. *A registered pharmacist who attends a meeting of the Board as set forth in subsection 1 is entitled to receive credit for four continuing education units.*

3. No applicant may carry over any excess continuing education units earned in a previous biennium for purposes of compliance with the requirements of this section.

~~3.~~ 4. Work-related experience acquired in fields other than the practice of pharmacy is not acceptable as credit toward the requirements of continuing education established by NRS 639.2171 to 639.2176, inclusive, and NAC 639.300 to 639.390, inclusive.

NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION
LCB File No. R040-07

The State Board of Pharmacy adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R040-07 which pertain to chapter 639 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

1. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW PUBLIC COMMENT WAS SOLICITED, A SUMMARY OF PUBLIC RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

Public comment was solicited through public notices posted in county courthouses and through mailings to interested parties.

There was no public response expressed relative to this proposed regulation.

2. THE NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO: (A) ATTENDED EACH HEARING; (B) TESTIFIED AT EACH HEARING; AND (C) SUBMITTED TO THE AGENCY WRITTEN STATEMENTS.

There was no public response expressed relative to this proposed regulation.

3. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW COMMENT WAS SOLICITED FROM AFFECTED BUSINESSES, A SUMMARY OF THEIR RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

Comments were solicited from affected businesses through posting of public notices in the county courthouses, by direct mailings to all interested persons who have requested notices of board of pharmacy meeting agendas and by direct mailings to professional and trade associations.

There was no response from affected businesses relative to this proposed regulation.

4. IF THE REGULATION WAS ADOPTED WITHOUT CHANGING ANY PART OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION, A SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR ADOPTING THE REGULATION WITHOUT CHANGE.

The proposed regulation was adopted without change as no testimony was offered.

5. THE ESTIMATED ECONOMIC EFFECT OF THE REGULATION ON THE BUSINESS WHICH IT IS TO REGULATE AND ON THE PUBLIC. THESE MUST BE STATED SEPARATELY, AND IN EACH CASE MUST INCLUDE:

A) BOTH ADVERSE AND BENEFICIAL EFFECTS.

This regulation should have no economic impact on affected businesses or on the public.

B) BOTH IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM EFFECTS.

This regulation will have no immediate or long-term economic effects on business or the public.

6. THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE AGENCY FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION.

There will be no additional or special costs incurred by the board for enforcement of this regulation.

7. A DESCRIPTION OF ANY REGULATIONS OF OTHER STATE OR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHICH THE PROPOSED REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES AND A STATEMENT EXPLAINING WHY THE DUPLICATION OR OVERLAPPING IS NECESSARY. IF THE REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES A FEDERAL REGULATION, THE NAME OF THE REGULATING FEDERAL AGENCY.

The Board of Pharmacy is not aware of any similar regulations of other state or government agencies that the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates.

8. IF THE REGULATION INCLUDES PROVISIONS WHICH ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN A FEDERAL REGULATION WHICH REGULATES THE SAME ACTIVITY, A SUMMARY OF SUCH PROVISIONS.

The Board of Pharmacy is not aware of any similar regulations of the same activity in which the federal regulation is more stringent.

9. IF THE REGULATION PROVIDES A NEW FEE OR INCREASES AN EXISTING FEE, THE TOTAL ANNUAL AMOUNT THE AGENCY EXPECTS TO COLLECT AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THE MONEY WILL BE USED.

This regulation does not provide a new or increase of fees.