

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
STATE CONTRACTORS' BOARD**

LCB File No. R093-07

Effective April 17, 2008

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§1 and 2, NRS 624.100, 624.218 and 624.220.

A REGULATION relating to licenses of contractors; revising provisions relating to licenses in the specialty of constructing, altering or improving video service networks; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Section 1. NAC 624.574 is hereby amended to read as follows:

624.574 The Board will grant to qualified applicants a license in the specialty of constructing, altering or improving ~~community antenna television systems.]~~ *video service networks.* The Board designates such a license as a “Classification C-42” license. A person who holds a classification C-42 license may construct, alter or improve ~~community antenna television systems.]~~ *video service networks.*

Sec. 2. On April 17, 2008, a person who holds a classification C-42 license that was issued pursuant to the former provisions of NAC 624.574 shall be deemed to hold a classification C-42 license issued pursuant to the provisions of that section as amended by the provisions of this regulation.

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION
LCB File No. R093-07**

The State Contractors' Board adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R093-07 which pertain to chapter 624 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

A workshop and hearing notice to amend NAC 624 was posted November 2, 2007 at the following locations: Washoe County Court House; Washoe County Library; Reno City Hall; Las Vegas City Hall; Sawyer State Building; Clark County Library and Offices of the Contractors' Board in Reno and Las Vegas. In addition, the notice was posted on the agency's web site and mailed to approximately 135 interested individuals. The workshop was held December 11, 2007 and the hearing held December 13, 2007. No members of the industry or public attended the workshop or hearing. Any interested person may write to the Board at 9670 Gateway Drive, Suite 100, Reno, Nevada to obtain any documents relating to the workshop or hearing.

2. The number of persons who:

- (a) Attended each workshop & hearing: **0 (workshop); 0 (hearing)**
- (b) Testified at each workshop: **0**
- (c) Testified at hearing: **0**
- (c) Submitted to the agency written comments: **0**

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited from affected businesses by the notice posting, web site and direct mail.

4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

The regulation was adopted without change because no member of the public or industry commented on the proposed regulation. This regulation simply replaces the phrase "community antenna television systems" with "video service networks" to comply with the change to NRS 624.218.

5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and
- (b) Both immediate and long-term effects

- (a) The proposed revisions should have no adverse economic effect on the industry both immediately and long-term.
- (b) The estimated effect on the public both immediate and long term should minimal.

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

The cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation should be minimal.

7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

There are no other state or government agency regulations which the proposed amendments duplicate.