

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS**

LCB File No. R022-12

Effective September 14, 2012

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 501.3575.

A REGULATION relating to the Wildlife Heritage Trust Account; requiring a person who is responsible for managing or supervising a conservation project that is approved by the Board of Wildlife Commissioners to enter into an agreement for the receipt of a grant of money from the Account; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Section 1. NAC 501.340 is hereby amended to read as follows:

501.340 1. A person who is responsible for managing or supervising a conservation project that is approved by the Commission shall:

(a) ~~[Complete a contract for services on a form provided]~~ *Enter into an agreement prescribed by the Department and approved by the Commission ~~[]~~ for the receipt of a grant of money from the Account;*

(b) Comply with all local, state and federal laws when executing the project;

(c) Coordinate all relevant sources of money for the project;

(d) Submit written requests for money in accordance with the policy of the Department before receiving a payment from the Account; and

(e) Upon request of the Commission, allow the Commission or a representative of the Commission who has been designated by the Commission for such a purpose to observe any activity related to the project.

2. A conservation project that receives money from the Account must be completed by the end of the fiscal year for which money is awarded from the Account for the project, unless, before that date, a person who is responsible for managing or supervising the project demonstrates that unusual circumstances exist which require an extension of time and the Commission approves such an extension.

3. If a person who is responsible for managing or supervising a project fails to meet any requirement of subsection 1, the Commission may cancel funding of the project and disqualify the project from any future funding.

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS**

MEMORANDUM

To: Legislative Counsel Bureau Date: July 21, 2012
From: Kenneth E. Mayer, Secretary, Board of Wildlife Commissioners
Subject: Informational statement relating to Commission General Regulation No. 407
(LCB File No. R022-12 - as required by Chapter 233B.066.

1. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The proposed regulation was noticed and posted on an agenda according to the Open Meeting Law; distributed to the Board of Wildlife Commissioners, 17 county advisory boards to manage wildlife and other interested persons; presented at the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' (NBWC) Finance Committee public meeting and the NBWC's main meeting in June.

2. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing: 2 members of the public at the committee meeting; 30 Workshop/17 Hearing.

(b) Testified at each hearing: 0 Workshop/0 Hearing

(c) Submitted to the agency written statements: 0

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

The proposed regulation was noticed and posted on an agenda according to the Open Meeting Law; distributed to the Board of Wildlife Commissioners, 17 county advisory boards to manage wildlife and other interested persons; presented at the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' (NBWC) Finance Committee public meeting and the NBWC's main meeting in June.

4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

5. The estimated economic effect of the regulations on the businesses they are to regulate and on the public.

Zero impact on businesses. The regulation addresses how the Commission distributes money from the Heritage account and changes the process from contracting to grant making to improve internal efficiencies.

(a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.

(1) Adverse – none

(2) **Beneficial – none, unless they receive an award. In which case they would receive their award funding faster through a granting process than a contracting process.**

(3) **Immediate – none**

(4) **Long term – none**

(b) **Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.**

(1) **Adverse – none**

(2) **Beneficial – none**

(3) **Immediate – none**

(4) **Long term – none**

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:

No increased costs to the agency are anticipated. The regulation will streamline and simplify internal processes.

7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary: None.

If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:

8. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions: n/a

9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used: n/a