

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE**

**LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS AS REQUIRED BY NRS
233B.066**

**LCB FILE NO. R038-18
Commission General Regulation 474**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 503.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

The existence of this regulation is to provide the mechanism for the Department to comply with Senate Bill 364 of the 2017 Session of the Nevada Legislature, which mandated that traps, snares, and similar devices used by trappers must either be registered with the Department, or stamped with the trapper's name and address. The bill also mandated that the Department charge \$5 per trap registered.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

Public comment was solicited through a workshop held by the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners. The County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife (CABMW) and the public were involved. The regulation workshop occurred during the Commission's May 4, 2018, meeting. Public comment at the workshop addressed the transfer of registration numbers as well as clarifying the registration options for a trapper. The regulation was adopted at the Commission's June 29, 2018 meeting and there was one public comment in support of the regulation. A summary of public response and minutes of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners meetings are available at: http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meetings/Commission/Archive/

3. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

44 attendees on May 4, 2018
22 attendees on June 29, 2018

(b) Testified at each hearing: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

8 attendees testified on May 4, 2018
One attendee testified on June 29, 2018

(c) Submitted written comments: (date and number of attendees for workshops and hearings):

Six written comments were submitted before the May 4 workshop.

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

- (a) Name: Paul Dixon
- (b) Telephone number: (505) 699-1744
- (c) Business address: 9445 Greenville Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89134

- (d) Business telephone number: N/A
- (e) Electronic mail address: noxid1960@gmail.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Clark CABMW

- (a) Name: Walt Mandeville
- (b) Telephone number: (775) 463-4511
- (c) Business address: 3 North Bybee Lane, Yerington 89477
- (d) Business telephone number: N/A
- (e) Electronic mail address: waltm@mail.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Lyon CABMW

- (a) Name: Jana Wright
- (b) Telephone number: (702) 816-0211
- (c) Business address: 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy. Las Vegas, NV 89155
- (d) Business telephone number: N/A
- (e) Electronic mail address: jlivin42day@aol.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Self

- (a) Name: Cory Lytle
- (b) Telephone number: (775) 962-8071
- (c) Business address: N/A
- (d) Business telephone number:
- (e) Electronic mail address: clytle@lincolnnv.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Lincoln CABMW

- (a) Name: Rex Flowers
- (b) Telephone number: 775-722-4506
- (c) Business address: 3280 Sun Could Circle, Reno, NV 89506
- (d) Business telephone number:
- (e) Electronic mail address: randbflowers@yahoo.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Self

- (a) Name: Joel Blakeslee
- (b) Telephone number: N/A
- (c) Business address: 4905 Jackrabbit Rd., Reno
- (d) Business telephone number: N/A
- (e) Electronic mail address: N/A
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Nevada Trappers Association

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

Comment was not solicited from affected businesses. This regulation does not affect businesses. This regulation affects individuals who are trapping.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

The regulation was adopted with an addition of the word “and” as well as changes from the workshop.

7. **The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:**
 - (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and**

There will be no adverse or beneficial economic effects from the proposed regulation on businesses because it does not regulate the operation of any business, it regulates individuals trapping.
 - (b) **Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:**

There will be no immediate or long-term effects from the proposed regulation on businesses because it does not regulate the operation of any business.
 - (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and**

The proposed regulation is associated with individuals who are trapping. There will be no adverse or beneficial effects on the public.
 - (b) **Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:**

The proposed regulation is associated with individual trappers. There will be no immediate nor long-term effects.
8. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:**

The enforcement of the regulation falls within current operations of the Department; therefore, there will be no additional costs to the agency above the current legislatively approved budget.
9. **A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:**

This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any local, state, or federal regulation.
10. **If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:**

This regulation is not more stringent than federal regulation.
11. **If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

This regulation proposes a fee of \$5 per trap registered as mandated by Senate Bill 364 of the 2017 session of the Nevada Legislature. However, Senate Bill 364 created a method by which trappers may avoid the \$5 fee by stamping their traps with their name and address, so the fee is not mandatory. Because of this, revenue generated by the regulation is difficult to forecast. That being said, the last three years have seen an average of approximately 16,000 traps used by trappers statewide. Therefore, if 50% of traps are registered under this option, \$40,000 will be generated in the first year, with potential for a very small amount in future years as this is a one-time fee for each trap.

Revenue generated from trap registration goes into the Wildlife Fund Account which also includes revenue from licenses, tags, and stamps. This account funds a wide variety of NDOW functions

including Law Enforcement patrol, wildlife projects, and general operating expenses. Depending on which projects are funded with Wildlife Fund Account money, there is potential for 3 to 1 match with federal money, which effectively quadruples this revenue.